

Erratum: Assessing parallel testing to improve detection of bovine tuberculosis at a slaughterhouse in Lahore, Pakistan

R. Maqsood¹, **A. Rehman¹**, **H. Bin Rashid²**, **F.N. Awan³**, **S.S. Gill¹**, **N. Arshad¹**, **R. Akram¹**, **C. Jabeen¹**, **G. Umbreen¹**, **M. Sarwar³**, & **M. Chaudhry^{1#}**

¹Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan

²Department of Veterinary Surgery, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

³Provincial Diagnostic Laboratory, Livestock and Dairy Development Department, Punjab, Pakistan

(Published 31 October 2025)

Copyright resides with the authors in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 South African Licence.

See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/za>

Condition of use: The user may copy, distribute, transmit and adapt the work, but must recognise the authors and the South African Journal of Animal Science.

The article 'Assessing parallel testing to improve detection of bovine tuberculosis at a slaughterhouse in Lahore, Pakistan' (SAJAS volume 54, issue 4, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/sajas.v54i4.02>) was initially published with an error in the second paragraph of page 497.

The incorrect sentence:

'The OD of bovine PPD (OD_{PPD-B}) stimulated was subtracted from OD of avian PPD (OD_{PPD-A}) stimulated ($OD_{PPD-B} - OD_{PPD-A}$) and the calculated value ≥ 0.1 was kept as cut off for a positive, whereas for a negative result, the subtracted value would be < 0.1 .'

The corrected sentence:

'The OD of avian PPD (OD_{PPD-A}) stimulated plasma was subtracted from OD of bovine PPD (OD_{PPD-B}) stimulated plasma ($OD_{PPD-B} - OD_{PPD-A}$) and the calculated value ≥ 0.1 was kept as cut off for a positive, whereas for a negative result, the subtracted value would be < 0.1 .'

This correction is important for the clarity and accuracy of the methodology described in the manuscript.

Dr Megan North
Editor-in-Chief