Genetic characterization of Salmonella and Shigella spp. isolates recovered from water and riverbed sediment of the Apies River, South Africa
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ABSTRACT

Riverbed sediment is a vital component of river ecosystems and plays an important role in many geomorphological and ecological processes. However, when re-suspension occurs, pathogenic bacteria associated with sediment particles may be released into the water column, thus creating a health risk to those who use such water for drinking, household and recreational purposes. The aim of this study was to investigate the presence of bacterial pathogens Salmonella spp. and Shigella spp. in the Apies River and to ascertain whether there was any level of genetic relatedness between river water and riverbed sediment isolates of these pathogenic bacteria. A total of 124 water and sediment samples were collected from a site located on the Apies Rivers upstream of the Daspoort Wastewater Treatment Works, Pretoria, Gauteng, South Africa, between August and November 2014. In order to detect and identify the target bacteria, samples were analysed by culture-dependent and culture-independent techniques (quantitative real-time PCR). Genetic relatedness was established using Sanger sequencing of the invA gene of Salmonella spp. and ipaH of Shigella spp. Results of this study displayed the presence of the target bacteria both in the water and sediment of the river. The phylogenetic tree of Salmonella spp. revealed a ≥ 99% and 99% genetic relatedness between river water and riverbed sediment isolates for Salmonella spp. and Shigella spp., respectively. The degree of genetic relatedness between sediment and water pathogen isolates suggests that these organisms could possibly have a common origin and that there could be possible movement of microorganisms between the water column and the sediments.
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INTRODUCTION

Many waterborne pandemics are associated with Shigella spp. and Salmonella spp. (Threlfall, 2002). The genus Shigella contains four species, namely S. flexneri, S. sonnei, S. boydii and S. dysenteriae. All four species are responsible for shigellosis or bacillary dysentery, a disease that causes high fever, neurological disturbances and mucus-pyro-hemorrhagic dysentery (Sansonetti, 2001). The global burden of shigellosis has been estimated to be 150 million cases, with 1 million deaths per year recorded in developing countries (Parsot, 2005). Shigella spp. are normally found in water polluted with human excrement (Saha et al., 2009). The presence of Shigella spp. in drinking water indicates human faecal contamination. This bacterium is of fundamental public health significance because of its great pathogenicity. Outbreaks of shigellosis have been associated with water treatment failures (at times inefficient treatment) in water supply systems (Karanis et al., 2007). Such waterborne outbreaks often lead to a considerable number of individuals being simultaneously affected, and in most cases the outbreak subsides when the water supply is adequately treated (Pillsbury, 2010).

The genus Salmonella consists of two species, namely S. enterica and S. bongori, each of which contains multiple serotypes. Most of the disease-causing serovars are from Subspecies I, with the most important serovars in human health being Typhimurium and Typhi (Lan et al., 2009). Typhoid fever is recognised as a devastating disease in several regions in Asia, Africa and South America, while the disease is rare in developed countries. In 2000, the global burden was estimated to be more than 21 million cases, with up to 200 000 deaths (Crump et al., 2004). Enteric fever is widespread in poor nations, affecting around 12.5 million people yearly (CDC, 2005). Waterborne illnesses associated with Salmonella spp. are more commonly due to increased faecal pollution of water bodies (Levantesi et al., 2012). In South Africa, the MDG (Millennium Development Goals) 2013 report pointed out that some 3–5 million people still depend on untreated water from rivers and springs (UN, 2013). An epidemiological study by Niehaus et al. (2011), following an outbreak of food-borne salmonellosis after a school function in Durban, KwaZulu-Natal, reported that Salmonella enteritidis isolated from patients and food samples could not be distinguished phenotypically and genotypically. The authors suggested a point-source as the origin of the outbreak, with a possibility of continued transmission through the water supply.

Several studies have reported on the occurrence of Salmonella spp. (Tobias and Heinemeyer, 1994; Touron et al., 2005) and Shigella spp. (Baums et al., 2007; Skarjyachan et al., 2015; Xiong et al., 2015) in different aquatic sediments, even when these were not isolated in the overlying water. A study by...
Baudart et al. (2000) showed that Salmonella trapped in sediment particles accumulated in the riverbed during low water levels downstream of a river course and were re-suspended during storm events. In another study, the loads of Salmonella spp. were found to be high in water resources during severe or frequent disturbance events (Walters et al., 2007). The presence of these pathogenic bacteria of faecal origin poses severe threats to environmental and human health, particularly when sediments go through natural or human-induced re-suspension, resulting in them being transported toward downstream areas (Chapman, 2013). The presence of pathogenic bacteria in riverbed sediments highlights the need to investigate the similarity between river sediment and water isolates at genetic level to better understand the microbial dynamics between these two matrices.

Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) has been used in monitoring studies to compare the data acquired by culture-based techniques, which have been generally used for evaluation of bacterial pathogens in environmental samples (Mackay, 2004; Robertson and Nicholson, 2010; Kaushik and Balasubramanian, 2012; Yamahara et al., 2012; Garrido et al., 2013). These molecular methods, when applied to DNA purified from environmental samples, permit the enumeration of pathogenic microorganisms even when dead or in a viable, but not yet cultivable, state (Noble and Weisberg, 2005; Lee et al., 2006; Rantsiou et al., 2013).

Genetic approaches also provide additional information such as pathogenicity, especially if the genes targeted are those associated with the disease-causing abilities of the organism (Pathak et al., 2012). As a result, qPCR has been used to enumerate bacteria in different sample types, including food (Elizaquível et al., 2012), soil (Prévost-Bouré et al., 2011), wastewater (Sidhu et al., 2012). Despite these numerous applications, the use of qPCR in riverbed sediment studies is still in its early stages. Sediments may be problematic when it comes to the effective utilization of the qPCR methods due to the presence of inhibitory substances co-extracted with DNA, which can hinder the polymerase chain response, hence reducing the capacity to amplify target DNA (Vezzulli et al., 2009; Sidstedt et al., 2015). The aim of the present study was to establish the genetic relatedness between Salmonella spp. and Shigella spp. isolated from water and those isolated from riverbed sediments of the Apies River using culture-based and culture-independent methods in a bid to understand genetic similarity among isolates of water and sediments.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

The Apies River and its tributaries have previously been described by Abia et al. (2015b). Briefly, this river is situated in the Gauteng Province of South Africa, and flows through the city of Pretoria. It falls within the Crocodile (West) Marico Water Management Area, within the Apies River basin. The Apies rises in the Fountains Valley in Pretoria and flows through Gauteng, North-West and Limpopo, where it ultimately joins the Pienaars River to the north of Hammanskraal (Tuwani, 2011). The Apies River has a total flow of approximately 500 m³/year, about 12% of which originates from wastewater treatment works around the river, such as Daspoort, Rooiwal and Mogwase Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTPs) (Venter, 2007). For the purpose of this study, the sampling point was upstream of the Daspoort Wastewater Treatment Works (WWTW) effluent discharge point where the river is channelled through Pretoria Central and the National Zoological Garden of Pretoria.

**Sample collection**

Water and riverbed sediment samples were concurrently collected on a weekly basis from August to November, 2014 from the sampling point located in the Apies River (immediately upstream of the Daspoort WWTP discharge point), resulting in a total of 62 water and 62 sediment samples. Water and sediment samples were collected using 1 L sterile containers as previously described by Abia et al. (2015b). Samples were transported to the laboratory in cooler boxes containing ice and analyses were performed within 3 h of the time of collection.

**Culture-based enumeration of Salmonella spp. and Shigella spp.**

For the culture-based isolation and enumeration of Salmonella spp. and Shigella spp., collected sediment samples were tested using the membrane filtration (MF) methods according to the procedure described in APHA (2001). Prior to the isolation and enumeration of these target pathogens, attached bacteria were dislodged from the sediments using the method developed by Abia et al. (2015a). Briefly, sediment samples were gradually transferred to a graduated 1 L Durham bottle containing 900 mL of 1× PBS until the 1 000 mL mark was reached, to obtain a 10% dilution (v/v). Thereafter the suspension was vigorously shaken manually for 2 min as described by Abia et al. (2015a), who point out that the water-displacement method is important to dislodge attached microorganisms from the sediment matrix. In addition, use of this method does not influence the growth of target bacteria in the subsequent membrane-filtration step. 100 mL aliquots, along with 10-fold serial dilutions of the resulting phosphate buffer solution, were then analysed using the membrane filtration technique according to standard methods (US EPA, 2002). Two 0.45 µm filters were used; one was placed onto xylose lysine deoxycholate agar (XLD) (Biopac, South Africa) and/or Salmonella-Shigella agar (SS) (Merck, South Africa) and incubated at a temperature of 37°C for 24 h under aerobic conditions, and the other was preserved in 1 mL of 15% glycerol at 0°C for genetic analysis. Since the desired counts required were of the actual water and sediment samples, no enrichment step was needed to enhance and multiply the initial bacterial counts, as also performed by Wolfs et al. (2006) and Marathe et al. (2012). The abundance of cultured Salmonella spp. and Shigella spp. was recorded as colony-forming units (CFU) per 100 mL of water or sediment. Colonies were counted based on their morphological features. The culture-based method was used for presumptive enumeration only. No isolate from this method was used for genetic analysis.

**Total bacterial DNA extraction**

The preserved filters were thawed and centrifuged for 1 min at 12 000 × g; then total bacterial DNA from pellets was extracted using InstaGene matrix (BioRad, South Africa) for water samples and ZR Soil Microbe DNA MicroPrep (Zymo Research, USA) for riverbed sediment samples using their respective manufacturer’s instructions. The quality and
The quantity of the isolated DNA was determined by means of the NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, South Africa).

**Standard curve**

Standard curves converting cycle threshold (C) values to bacterial invA and ipaH gene copy numbers were generated as follows: a double-stranded DNA oligomer gBLOCKS was synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc., USA) to span the region of the invA and ipaH gene covered by the forward and reverse qPCR primers (Table 2) as listed in Table 1. The lyophilized gBLOCKS were reconstituted to prepare a 20 nM gBLOCKS stock solution that was 10-fold serially diluted and used in the range of 1 ng to 10 fg per reaction (Gunawardana et al., 2014) to produce 10 standards spanning the 20–2.0 × 10^{-7} nM concentration range (6.8 × 10^{9}–68 for Salmonella spp. and 7.4 × 10^{9}–7.4 Shigella spp. copy numbers). The standard curves were always performed in duplicate. A graph of C values versus log (copy number) afforded linear calibration curves with typical R^2 values of 0.981 for Salmonella spp. and 0.998 for Shigella spp.

**Enumeration of total Salmonella spp. and Shigella spp. by qPCR**

The qPCR assays were applied for the determination of the total abundance of Shigella spp. and Salmonella spp. in both river water and riverbed sediment samples. For each type of sample, a volume of 100 mL was filtered through 0.45 μm nitrocellulose membrane filters. The membrane filters were then placed in a cuvette containing 1.5 mL of phosphate buffer. The cuvette was then vortexed for 10 min to dislodge bacteria from the membrane for DNA extraction. Bacterial DNA from water and riverbed sediments was extracted using commercial kits InstaGene Matrix (Bio-Rad, South Africa) and ZR Soil Microbe DNA MiniPrep kit (Zymo Research, USA), respectively. The extracted DNA was quantified using the NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, South Africa). For the purpose of qPCR, primers (synthesized by Inqaba Biotec, South Africa), listed in Table 2, were used where ipaH targeted the invasive plasmid antigen of Shigella spp. and invA targeted the invasive gene of Salmonella spp. The ipaH target gene is carried by all Shigella spp. as well as by enteroinvasive Escherichia coli (E. coli) (EIEC); thus it is used for the diagnosis of dysentery (Sethabutr, 1993). The invA target gene is located on the Salmonella pathogenicity island 1 (SPI1), which encodes proteins of a type III secretion system (Malorny et al., 2003). Reactions were run using SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix on the CFX96 Touch real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, South Africa). All reactions were run in a total volume of 20 μL, containing 10 μL of Supermix, 1 μL of each primer (final concentration 1 μM), 5 μL of template DNA and 3 μL nuclease-free (NF) water (Fermentas, Germany). The qPCR conditions were optimised in terms of the following thermal cycling parameters: 98°C for 2 min for the enzyme activation, followed by 40 amplification cycles of denaturation at 98°C for 5 s, annealing of primers with the genomic DNA (gDNA) template at 60°C for Salmonella spp. and 59°C for Shigella spp., and a primer extension at 72°C for 5 s followed by melt curve analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
<th>gBLOCKS oligomer for internal control and standard curve generation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>invA</td>
<td>GGTGAAATTATCGCCACGTTCGGGCAAATTCGTTATTGCGGATACCTGCCGTTGGTGGTTTTGTTCCTTCTATTGTCCACCTGGTTGGCTCTATGGTTATGTGCCCGGTAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ipaH</td>
<td>GCCCGGCAATTTCTTCTCAGCATGTTGGACCGGACCGATCGTGCACCTGCGGGTACGATGCTGGTTCG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2</th>
<th>Oligonucleotide primers used in qPCR assay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designation</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>invA139Fa</td>
<td>GTGAAATTATCGGCACTTTGGTTGGCCAATTTGCTATTGCGGATACCTGCCGTTGGTGGTTTTGTTCCTTCTATTGTCCACCTGGTTGGCTCTATGGTTATGTGCCCGGTAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>invA141Ra</td>
<td>TCATCGCACCGTCAAGGGGAAACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ipaH Fb</td>
<td>CGCGTCGAGCTTTGGCTCGGCTGGAACACATCGATGCGATCGCACTTCATGATGTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ipaH Rb</td>
<td>ATGTTCAAAAGCATGCCCATATCTCGTGAAGGGGAAACC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Salmonella spp. primer
Shigella spp. primer
analysis steps from 65 to 95°C in 0.5 s increments for 5 s. Cycle threshold \((C_T)\) values were automatically calculated by the Bio-Rad CFX Manager software (Ver. 3.0). Reactions were run in duplicate, using the isolated DNA extracted from both water and riverbed sediment. Purified DNA of *Shigella dysenteriae* (ATCC 11835) and *Salmonella* subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium (ATCC 14028) obtained from the TUT (Tshwane University of Technology) Water Research Group bacteria stock collections were used as positive control. For each reaction and primer set, negative controls were run in duplicate, consisting of primers, PCR Supermix and nuclease-free water instead of gDNA template. The specificity of the assay was assessed by the analysis of the melting curve (Varga and James, 2005; D’Souza et al., 2009). Melting was performed from 54°C to 95°C and 60°C to 95°C for *Shigella* spp. and *Salmonella* spp., respectively, at increments of 0.5°C/10 s. The melting temperature \(T_m\) was defined as the peak of fluorescence in the generated melting curve.

**Genetic analysis of *Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp. isolated from riverbed sediment and river water samples**

**Amplification DNA product for Sanger sequencing**

For the amplification process, the following primer sets, as listed in Table 3, were generated using the PrimerQuest Tool software available at www.idtdna.com (IDT, USA) targeting the invA of *Salmonella* spp. and ipaH gene of *Shigella* spp.

**Gel electrophoresis**

For quality control purposes only, amplicons were run through gel electrophoresis of 1% (w/v) agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide, followed by imaging under ultraviolet light. The FastRuler low range DNA ladder (Fermentas, Germany) was included in all gels as a size marker as well as positive control (DNA of ATCC cultures). These results were captured using a gel documentation system (Syngene, Cambridge, U.K.)

**Sanger sequencing of the invA and ipaH gene**

Following the gel image, all amplicons showing the desired size of *Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp. were sent for sequencing at Inqaba Biotech (South Africa). The dioxySeq Sanger sequencing in forward directions only was used with the primer sets listed in Table 3. For this procedure, Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit for ABI3130XL was used according to the manufacturer's instructions and the gel was run on a 3130XL sequencer. Sequences were analysed by comparing them with known invA and ipaH sequences using the BLASTn (Basic Location Alignment Search Tool for nucleotide) algorithm (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) to find the closest match in GenBank, EMBL, DDBJ (DNA Data Bank of Japan) and PDB sequence data. Similar type species with 97% resemblance (<3% diversity) to the sequences of isolates were selected as matching species. The invA of *Salmonella* spp. and ipaH of *Shigella* spp. sequences were aligned with Clustal X2 (Larkin et al., 2007) and then were edited using BioEdit v.7.2.5 software (Hall, 1999). The distances of relatedness for each invA and ipaH gene were calculated by the neighbour-joining method and phylogenetic trees were created by using MEGA (Tamura et al., 2004). Nucleotide distance matrices of invA and ipaH were calculated by the neighbour-joining method with the Kimura 2-parameter model of substitution on datasets of 500 bootstrap replicates (Tamura et al., 2004). All locations having gaps and missing data were removed from the dataset using the complete deletion option.

All of the newly-sequenced bacteria were deposited in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) and the accession numbers are listed in the supplementary material.

**Statistical analysis**

The data were statistically analysed using Microsoft Excel 2010. Mean bacterial counts between the river water and riverbed sediments were assessed by two-way ANOVA to test for differences in the abundance of *Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp., followed by Tukey’s test when significant differences were encountered \((p < 0.05)\).

**RESULTS**

**Salmonella and Shigella culture counts**

A total of 124 samples (62 water and 62 sediment samples) were collected from the described sampling site on the Apies River for analysis of the abundance of *Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp. The mean counts of *Salmonella* spp. in water and sediment were, respectively, 2.60 log_{10} and 4.82 log_{10} CFU/100 mL. The mean counts of *Shigella* spp. in water and sediment were, respectively, 3.05 log_{10} and 4.87 log_{10} CFU/100 mL. Culture counts of *Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp. in water were respectively in the range of zero (9.6%) to 2.98 log_{10} CFU/100 mL and 2.93 to 3.16 log_{10} CFU/100 mL, while in the sediment samples the counts were in the range of 4.70 to 4.91 log_{10} CFU/100 mL for *Salmonella* spp. and 4.78 to 4.92 log_{10} CFU/100 mL for *Shigella* spp.

For the qPCR counts, the mean counts of *Salmonella* spp. invA gene copies in water and sediment were respectively 3.52 log_{10} and 5.81 log_{10}. The mean counts of *Shigella* spp. ipaH gene copies in water and sediment were respectively 3.55 log_{10} and 5.59 log_{10}. The number of invA gene copies of *Salmonella* spp. and ipaH gene copies of *Shigella* spp. varied from zero (3.2%) to 1.52 log_{10} CFU/100 mL and zero (9.6%) to 1.55 log_{10} CFU/100 mL, respectively, in the water samples, while the

### TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide primers for Sanger sequencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Designation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal445P*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sali276R*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shig937Fb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shig1894P*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* *Salmonella* spp. primer

* *Shigella* spp. primer
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number of invA gene copies of Salmonella spp. and ipaH gene copies of Shigella spp. was ‘not detected’ (3.2%) to 5.82 log_{10} CFU/100 mL and zero (22.6%) to 5.86 log_{10} CFU/100 mL, respectively, in the sediment samples of the Apies River.

The contrast between qPCR and culture-based methods revealed that the abundances of Salmonella spp. (5.81 log_{10}) and Shigella spp. (5.59 log_{10}) in the riverbed sediment samples obtained by quantification of gene copies were consistently and significantly higher than those obtained using the culture-based approach (Salmonella – 4.82 log_{10} CFU/100 mL; Shigella – 4.87 log_{10} CFU/100 mL) (p < 0.05; Fig. 1). In particular, loads determined by qPCR of Salmonella spp. and Shigella spp. were between 10 and 30 times higher than the loads obtained using culture-based techniques.

Phylogenetic analysis of isolates

The evolutionary history was gathered using the neighbour-joining system (Saitou and Nei, 1987). The phylogenetic trees with the sum of branch lengths = 1.12364635 (Salmonella spp.) and 2.25308283 (Shigella spp.) are shown in Fig. 2 and 3. The values of replicate trees in which the related taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (500 replicates) are shown next to the branches (Felsenstein, 1985). The evolutionary distances were processed using the Kimura-2 parameter method (Kimura, 1980) and are in the units of the number of base replacements per location. The analysis involved 28 Salmonella spp. and 28 Shigella spp. nucleotide sequences and first, second and third codon positions were included. All positions containing gaps and missing information were eliminated. There was a sum of 2 072 (Salmonella spp.) and 717 (Shigella spp.) positions in the last dataset. Evolutionary analyses were performed using MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013).

We analysed the invA gene sequences in Salmonella spp.; all fragments belonged to Salmonella enterica with diverse serovars. In water, the most abundant strains were Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium at 62% of all the water isolate fragments, followed by 8% of the other isolate fragments, as shown in Fig. 4. The sediment isolates exhibited a more diverse Salmonella spp. community than the water, with both Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium and Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Enteritidis having 21.4% of all the isolated sediment fragments, followed by Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Newport with 14% and the other serovars with 7% each, as shown in Fig. 4. The only other strains found in water samples were Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Agona, Abony, Cubana, Tennessee and Enteritidis.

As can be seen in Fig. 2, both sediment and water isolates are in a phylogenetic tree with two primary clades. The first clade contains many fragments from water and sediment at 99% gene sequence similarity. The second clade has three fragments, one water isolate and two sediment isolates at 91% genetic similarity. Both water and sediment isolates are clustered together. Although the other observed similarity levels were secondary, no less than 53% sequence similarity was observed.

The ipaH gene sequences of Shigella spp. were analysed, and Shigella flexneri was found to be most abundant both in water and sediment, at 79% and 61%, respectively, of all sequences (Fig. 3). In water, two strains were identified as Shigella sonnei and Shigella dysenteriae with sequence similarities of 7% and 14%, respectively, while in the sediments three other strains were isolated, namely, Shigella sonnei (23%), Shigella boydii (8%) and Shigella dysenteriae (8%) as shown in Fig. 5.
Figure 2
Phylogenetic tree for invA gene of Salmonella spp. isolates obtained from water (blue) and sediment (red)

Figure 3
Phylogenetic tree for ipaH gene of Shigella spp. isolates obtained from water (blue) and sediment (red)
Salmonella spp. diversity in water (A) and sediment (B)

In Fig. 3, three primary clades can be observed. All three clades have 99% similarity among the DNA sequences analysed; DNA sequence clustering was observed in both water and sediment samples at no less than 63% of genetic similarity.

**DISCUSSION**

**Pathogen concentrations**

Salmonellosis and shigellosis continue to be a major public health problem worldwide, with 93.8 million and 163.2 million cases, respectively, reported annually (Majowicz et al., 2010; Kotloff et al., 2013). Many interrelated factors contributing to this incidence include increased urbanization, inadequate supplies of clean water, antibiotic resistance and increased regional movement (Boehmer et al., 2009). Real-time PCR is a generally used procedure that permits the precise quantification of a particular gene in ecosystem samples (Luna et al., 2012). This method can likewise be used for the determination of the bacterial loads, including microorganisms of faecal source (Noble and Weisberg, 2005). On the other hand, while this technique has been efficiently used for the quantification of pathogenic bacteria in seawater, soil, food and wastewater specimens (Ibekwe and Grieve, 2003; Haugland et al., 2005; Fukushima et al., 2007; Shannon et al., 2007), its application in riverbed sediment samples has still not been extensively researched (Noble and Weisberg, 2005). On the other hand, while this technique has been efficiently used for the quantification of pathogenic bacteria in seawater, soil, food and wastewater specimens (Ibekwe and Grieve, 2003; Haugland et al., 2005; Fukushima et al., 2007; Shannon et al., 2007), its application in riverbed sediment samples has still not been extensively researched (Cébron et al., 2008; Vezzulli et al., 2009). For the effective use of qPCR-based examinations on riverbed sediment samples, numerous scientific steps are required, particularly those required for minimizing the presence of inhibitory substances which are associated with nucleic acids (Vezzulli et al., 2009). Inhibitors should be removed from the sediment samples as these substances interfere with the extraction of DNA and prevent the amplification of the nucleic acids through the PCR. Therefore, extraction and refinement steps are of vital importance in sediment sample preparation, as high levels of organic matter, biological pollutants and trace metals act as PCR inhibitors through interaction with DNA or interference with the DNA polymerase enzyme (Fortin et al., 2004).

When contrasting quantification by culture methods versus qPCR, results of this study revealed that qPCR produced higher values compared to the culture-based method. The real-time PCR examinations gave much higher (up to 3 logs) estimations of pathogenic bacteria loads than those obtained using culture-based techniques. Similar results were also observed by Luna et al. (2012) when they conducted qPCR techniques coupled with cultivable enumeration. The authors quantified *E. coli*, *Enterococcus* spp. and *Salmonella* spp. from harbour marine sediments using both culture-based and qPCR techniques. The qPCR technique revealed a higher concentration than the culture-based methods. They found that total *E. coli* and *Enterococcus* spp. (qPCR) counts were respectively 65 to 1 571 and 11 to 51 times higher than that from cultured-based quantification. In a study by Su et al. (2013), the high bacterial counts were attributed to viable but non-cultivable bacteria that are found in the environment. In another study, it was found that high qPCR counts may also result from DNA of dead cells in the environment (Wolffs et al., 2005). Culture-based methods are known to seriously underestimate the bacterial pathogen counts in seawater and marine sediment (Shannon et al., 2007; Luna et al., 2010). A similar higher sensitivity of the qPCR method when compared to culture-based approaches has previously been reported in harbour sediment (Luna et al., 2012) and beach sands (Yamahara et al., 2009). These data are vital for an understanding of the potential dangers related to the presence of pathogenic bacteria in sediments. Since the presence of pathogenic bacteria can bring about human diseases with counts of as low as 1.7 × 10⁶ CFU/mL for *Salmonella typhimurium* (Srinivasan et al., 1982) and 1 × 10⁶ CFU/mL for *Shigella* spp. (Kothary and Babu, 2001), such a low identification breaking point makes the real-time PCR assay particularly valuable for surveying the microbiological nature of riverbed sediments. Although qPCR does not necessarily produce results comparable to culture-based methods because qPCR measures a genetic, rather than a growth, endpoint, it remains clear that the sensitivity of qPCR is needed in analysing bacteria of public and environmental interest.

In a study conducted by Lindsay et al. (2013), the authors observed that at 4.38 log₁₀ copies/mL of *ipaH* gene present in the stools of infants, a moderate to severe diarrheal infection occurred. Results in our study are substantially above this value; this may suggest that if Apies River water is consumed, this can lead to diarrhoeal infections in infants. Pathogenic
Shigella sonnei, Shigella boydii and Shigella dysenteriae. Shigella flexneri was the most predominant species found in both river water and riverbed sediments. With a bootstrap value of 99%, this may suggest that Shigella spp. isolated from river water and riverbed sediment are also strongly related at a genetic level. Skariyachan et al. (2015) found that where Shigella sonnei is isolated from sediment this is suggestive of natural or environmental sources of contamination in the overlying water.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Overall, results from this study indicate that the qPCR technique, being highly specific and sensitive, may present a powerful tool which can be routinely used for a true assessment of the pathogenic contamination of water and riverbed sediments. The phylogenetic typing characteristics of isolate sequencing show that pathogenic bacteria isolated from water and sediment samples were closely related (99%). This study recommends future studies to be conducted on multi-locus sequencing or whole genome sequencing techniques in order to emphasize the findings of this study. The presence of pathogenic Salmonella spp. and Shigella spp. is a matter of concern for the communities along the Apies River, who currently depend on this water source for multiple purposes, including drinking, bathing, recreational and agricultural purposes. An urgent intervention is required by the local Water Service Authority to supply safe drinking water in order to prevent a severe outbreak of waterborne diseases within these communities.
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APPENDIX

All the newly-sequenced bacteria were deposited in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) with the following accession numbers: LC111465 (SALW1), LC111466 (SALW2), LC111467 (SALW3), LC111468 (SALW13), LC111469 (SALW14), LC111470 (SALW12), LC111471 (SALW11), LC111472 (SALW10), LC111473 (SALW9), LC111474 (SALS12), LC111475 (SALW01), LC111476 (SALW8), LC111477 (SALS1), LC111478 (SALS2), LC111479 (SALW7), LC111480 (SALS11), LC111481 (SAL20), LC111482 (SALW6), LC111483 (SALS9), LC111484 (SALS8), LC111485 (SALS7), LC111486 (SALS6), LC111487 (SALS5), LC111488 (SAL54), LC111489 (SAL53), LC111491 (SALW4), LC111492 (SHGW2), LC111493 (SHGSI1), LC111494 (SHGW3), LC111495 (SHGW4), LC111496 (SHGW1), LC111497 (SHGSI2), LC111498 (SHGSI3), LC111499 (SHGSI4), LC111500 (SHGW5), LC111501 (SHGSI5), LC111502 (SHGW6), LC111503 (SHGW7), LC111504 (SHGW8), LC111505 (SHGSI6), LC111506 (SHGSI7), LC111507 (SHGW9), LC111508 (SHGSI8), LC111509 (SHGW10), LC111510 (SHGSI9), LC111511 (SHGSI10), LC111512 (SHGSI11), LC111513 (SHGSI12), LC111514 (SHGW11), LC111515 (SHGSI13), LC111516 (SHGW14), LC111517 (SHGW13) and LC111512 (SHGW12).