Evaluating sulphate removal and identifying the bacterial community present in acid mine drainage treated with synthetic domestic wastewater sludge
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ABSTRACT
Domestic wastewater sludge can serve as a carbon source in the passive biotic treatment of acid mine drainage (AMD) in microbial bioreactors to create anaerobic conditions for the removal of sulphate, chemical oxygen demand (COD) and pH neutralization. A synthetic medium simulating domestic wastewater sludge (SDWWS). Sulphate and COD removal were determined at different incubation temperatures and with and without a biofilm in the bioreactors. Sulphate and COD were removed by 60.8% and 96% within 26 d, after which a plateau was reached. Bacterial community analyses using next generation sequencing showed that Chlorobium spp. dominated at a relative percentage of 36% followed by Magnetospirillum spp. and Ornatibacterium spp. The effect of a resident biofilm in the bioreactors showed dominance of Chlorobium spp. at a relative percentage of 62% and removal of sulphates and COD at 96% and 58%, respectively, after 26 d. Incubation at 17–19°C reduced sulphates by only 10% and COD by 12% after 17 d, after which a plateau was reached. Magnetospirillum spp. was the dominate organism at the end of this trial.
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INTRODUCTION
Acid mine drainage (AMD) refers to untreated wastewater from mining activities (Geremias et al., 2003) and poses a threat to human and environmental health (Keller et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2010). AMD contains high concentrations of sulphur and heavy metals (Hughes and Gray, 2013). The formation of AMD is initiated when mining activities expose pyrite (FeS₂) to atmospheric oxygen and water that leads to the production of dissolved iron, sulphates and hydrogen ions. The formation of sulphuric acid decreases the pH of the water leading to the complete oxidation of pyrite to ferric iron and precipitation of ferric hydroxide (Sand et al., 2000; Costello, 2003; Druschel et al., 2004).

Various remediation methods for AMD have been investigated since the 1900s (Barnes and Romberger, 1968; Olem and Unz, 1977). These methods involve abiotic and biotic methods, which can be divided into active and passive systems (Johnson and Hallberg, 2005). Although the use of alkaline chemicals or limestone (Nairn et al., 1992) in the abiotic treatment of AMD is convenient, it is not environmentally friendly due to the formation of bulky sludge (Johnson and Hallberg, 2005). Passive biotic remediation methods rely on the use of microbial treatment systems by the once-off addition of one carbon source, such as domestic wastewater (Davison et al., 1989; Strosnider et al., 2011a and 2011b). This creates conditions that will select for specific microbial populations responsible for the removal of sulphates, organic matter content and heavy metals, as well as neutralize the pH of the AMD (Barton and Fauque, 2009). The main bacterial group responsible for sulphate removal during passive biotic treatment of AMD is sulphate-reducing bacteria (SRB) and has been well studied (Garcia et al., 2001; Johnson and Hallberg, 2005; Pruden et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2013). SRB oxidise organic substrates while reducing inorganic sulphate to hydrogen sulphide (LeGall and Fauque, 1988), a process known as dissimilatory sulphate removal.

Green sulphur-oxidising bacteria are involved in the removal of sulphides from the environment under anaerobic conditions. Anoxygenic photosynthesis occurs via the light-harvesting centroms (chlorosomes packed with antenna bacteriochlorophylls) situated on the inside of the cell membranes (Blankenship et al., 1995). Cellular energy is generated through anoxygenic photosynthesis, a process whereby solar energy is converted to ATP without producing oxygen, with sulphide as electron donor. Sulphides are oxidised to elemental sulphur, which is deposited externally as sulphur globules that can be removed from the wastewater system (Brune, 1995; Pott and Dahl, 1998; Frigaard and Dahl, 2008). Due to their robustness and the nature of their sulphur metabolism, anoxygenic sulphur bacteria have been widely used in wastewater treatment processes as they enable a cost-effective, low-maintenance treatment of sulphide-rich wastewater (Malik et al., 2008; Mara, 2008; Moura et al., 2009).

A passive biotic approach to AMD treatment that has not been well studied is the use of biofilms in microbial bioreactors. A biofilm can be defined as a complex community of microorganisms adhering to a surface (Characklis, 1990), forming a working unit that interacts on a physical and chemical level (Costerton et al., 1995; James et al., 1995). Specifically, COD and sulphate were removed in wastewater that had been in contact with biofilms (Lazarova and Manem, 1995; Nicoll et al., 2000; Wuyarts et al., 2003; Hure and Keller, 2004). The presence of biofilms has been described at AMD sites (Denef et al., 2011; Smucker and Vis, 2011) and may therefore play a potential role in AMD treatment.
Domestic wastewater has a significant environmental impact due to its insufficient treatment in developing countries (Gadgil, 1998; Strosnider et al., 2011a). The passive co-treatment of AMD and domestic wastewater can meet the requirements of both treatment processes as the effluent of each consists of elements that can be used by the other (Strosnider et al., 2011b). Domestic wastewater is readily available and is therefore a convenient and cost-effective carbon source for potential treatment of AMD (Gadgil, 1998; Strosnider et al., 2011a).

It was therefore hypothesized that domestic wastewater sludge could be used as a carbon source for the treatment of AMD. The aims of this study were to determine the effect of an established biofilm and incubation temperature on the removal of sulphates and COD in AMD treatment by using synthetic media simulating domestic wastewater sludge and to investigate the bacterial community present before and after treatment.

**MATERIALS and METHODS**

**Experimental setup**

The composition of domestic wastewater sludge varies depending on the source (Al-Salem, 1987; Mohammed et al., 2012). The need therefore exists to find a standardised and representative domestic sludge composition for experimental purposes.

Therefore, in a preliminary study, synthetic domestic wastewater sludge (SDWWS) was formulated (Table 1) and a 1:1 ratio of AMD to SDWWS was determined as the optimum ratio for sulphate and COD removal (Van den Berg et al., 2016). All the components were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Pty) Ltd., Aston Manor, South Africa.

The following methodology was used for each trial. Medical drip bags (1 L) (Stelmed, Stellenbosch, South Africa) served as bioreactors. AMD was sampled from the Inyanda Return Water Dam at the Inyanda Coal Mine located near Emalahleni (née Witbank) in the Mpumalanga Province, South Africa, and couriered overnight in 5 L plastic containers before each trial and stored at room temperature (20–21°C) until use. AMD and SDWWS media were added to sterile saline medical drip bags in a 1:1 ratio to a final volume of 900 mL in triplicate. Two different control bioreactors were included in triplicate: one control bioreactor consisting of 1:1 dH2O to AMD mixture and a medium control bioreactor consisting of a 1:1 SDWWS: dH2O mixture. Domestic wastewater sludge, collected from the anaerobic digester tank at the Pniel wastewater treatment plant (Pniel, Western Cape, South Africa) before each trial, served as an inoculum and 10 mL was added to the trial bioreactors before incubation. All bioreactors were incubated in a dimly-lit environment for the trial period. The pH was measured at the initiation of each trial and the pH adjusted to 7.5 by using 0.5 M NaOH (Sigma-Aldrich) or 0.5 M HCl (Sigma-Aldrich) if needed, and using the PCTestr 35 Multi-Parameter digital pH meter (Lasec SA, South Africa). The ambient temperature was monitored twice daily with the Pocket Thermometer MT605 hand-held thermometer (Allen Instruments, Cape Town, South Africa). For sampling, 5 mL of the liquid contents from each bioreactor were extracted by using a sterile syringe and needle. The sulphate concentration and total chemical oxygen demand (COD) of extracted samples were measured using the Merck Spectroquant Pharo 300 and cell test kits (4564; 14541) according to the respective protocols.

**The treatment of AMD with SDWWS (30 d trial)**

The bioreactors were incubated at 25°C. The liquid samples from each bioreactor were extracted before incubation and after every 3 days during the trial for sulphate and COD analysis until sulphate removal reached a plateau. From here on this trial will be referred to as the ‘30 d trial’.

**The treatment of AMD with SDWWS at a reduced incubation temperature**

The bioreactors were incubated between 17 and 19°C to simulate environmental conditions at a lower temperature. The COD and sulphate concentrations were monitored before incubation and every 3 days during the trial until a plateau was reached.

**Determining the microbial diversity present in the bioreactors before and after the AMD treatment trial period using next generation sequencing**

One bioreactor from each mentioned trial was well shaken and a sample was extracted before and after incubation (30 d) using a sterile needle and syringe. A total of 4 mL from the respective samples were centrifuged (6 000 g, 5 min, 18°C), the supernatant was discarded and the resulting pellets were re-suspended in 200 µL dH2O. The resulting 200 µL solution was exposed to a layer of activated carbon in a ratio of 1:10 v/v (Jacobi Carbons, Germany) for 2 h at room temperature (23°C) in order to reduce the amount of PCR inhibitors sufficiently to allow for PCR amplification (Abolmaaty et al., 2007). The samples were centrifuged and the resulting pellets re-suspended in 200 µL dH2O. The ZR Soil Microbe DNA Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research Corporation, USA) was then used following the recommended protocol. The extracted DNA was sent to the Central Analytical Facility (CAF, Stellenbosch) for amplicon library preparation and next generation sequencing (NGS) process. The variable region V3 to V4 of the bacterial 16S rRNA genes were targeted for PCR amplification using Fusion primers (Huse et al., 2008) to create amplicon libraries (IDT, USA). Barcodes were used to allow multiplexing...
during sequencing (Ion Express barcodes, IDT). After equimolar pooling of amplicons and library templating, sequencing on the 318 chip was performed using the OT2 and Ion Torrent PGM systems (Thermo Fisher, USA).

The sequencing data obtained were analysed using Mothur version 1.3.3 (Schloss et al., 2009). The BAM file format was converted to standard flowgram format (sff), followed by the sort and trimming of the barcodes and adapters. Sequences with quality scores over 20 and length over 250 bp were retained. Sequences were aligned against the ARB-SLIVA reference alignment. Sequences were clustering at 97% sequence similarity and chimeric sequences identified and removed using Uchime. Sequence clusters were classified using the RDP v14 reference taxonomy.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

The treatment of AMD with SDWWS (30 d trial)

The bioreactors showed an average removal of 674.3 mg-SO₄²⁻·L⁻¹ sulphate (96%) (Fig. 1) and 827 mg-COD·L⁻¹ (60.8%) (Fig. 2), after which total removal was reached after 26 d for both. The highest removal of sulphates and COD during the trial period took place during the first 3 days with an average removal of 120 mg-L⁻¹·d⁻¹ and 109 mg-L⁻¹·d⁻¹, respectively, and 56 mg-L⁻¹·d⁻¹ and 45 mg-L⁻¹·d⁻¹ between Days 3 and 7, after which it gradually decreased. The AMD: dH₂O control showed virtually no change in sulphate levels and a 106 mg-COD·L⁻¹ (61%) increase, whereas the SDWWS: dH₂O control had virtually no change in either sulphate or COD levels. The liquid contents in the bioreactors changed from transparent to dark green during the 30 d incubation period and a white precipitate was seen at the top of the bioreactors (Fig. 3). The main components of the precipitated substance were sulphur (207 mg·L⁻¹) and organic carbon (229 mg·L⁻¹). This indicated the possible presence of Chlorobium spp. in the bioreactors as these species precipitate sulphur in the form of globules (Pott and Dahl, 1998).

Vieira and co-workers (2016) treated synthetic AMD in a 6-L bench-scale reactor at 30°C with ethanol as an energy source and used sludge obtained from an up-flow anaerobic sludge bed reactor treating slaughterhouse waste as an inoculum. Sulphate and COD removal were 38.5% and 91.7%, respectively, at an initial age of 905.33 mg-SO₄²⁻·L⁻¹ of the bioreactors. The sulphate and COD levels reduced by an average of 90.53 mg-SO₄²⁻·L⁻¹ (96%) (Fig. 1) and 757 mg-COD·L⁻¹ (58%) (Fig. 2), respectively, after 26 d, after which a plateau was reached. The highest removal of sulphates and COD, respectively, during the trial period also took place during the first 3 days, with an average removal of 120 mg-SO₄²⁻·L⁻¹·d⁻¹ and 190 mg-COD·L⁻¹·d⁻¹, and an average removal of 43 mg-SO₄²⁻·L⁻¹·d⁻¹ and 96 mg-COD·L⁻¹·d⁻¹ between Days 3 and 13, after which both gradually decreased. The results are therefore similar to the results of the 30 d trial. A possible explanation for the results in this study is that only a small percentage of the liquid content in the bioreactors was in contact with the biofilm and there was not a continuous flow of nutrients. The suspended microorganisms were therefore responsible for the sulphate removal. There was no visible difference in the colour of the liquid contents between the 30 d trial and this trial. Both the AMD:dH₂O control and the SDWWS:dH₂O control showed virtually no change in either the sulphate or the COD levels.

The treatment of AMD with SDWWS at a reduced incubation temperature

The trial conducted at 17–19°C resulted in an average removal of 509 mg-SO₄²⁻·L⁻¹·d⁻¹ (10%) (Fig. 1) and 144 mg-COD·L⁻¹·d⁻¹ (12%) (Fig. 2) and reached a plateau after 17 d. Virtually no change in sulphate and COD concentrations was found in the SDWWS:dH₂O control. However, the AMD:dH₂O control showed a decrease of 109.4 mg-SO₄²⁻·L⁻¹·d⁻¹ (27%) (Fig. 1) and 106.66 mg-COD·L⁻¹·d⁻¹ (30%) (Fig. 2). Therefore, when comparing the results to the trials conducted at 25°C, it is clear that the treatment requires higher temperatures. A study conducted by Poinapen (2012) supports the importance of incubation...
temperature. They investigated the treatment of AMD regarding sulphate removal using primary domestic sewage sludge in an upflow anaerobic sludge bed reactor at 20°C and 35°C. They found a delayed start-up and a reduced COD removal in the 20°C reactor.

Greben et al. (2002) also emphasized the importance of incubation temperature and the reduced sulphate removal rate under conditions of lower temperatures. Greben and co-workers used anaerobic batch bioreactors to test the effect of lower temperatures and carbon source on the rate of sulphate removal. Synthetic AMD and a mixture of technical grade ethanol as carbon and energy source were used. At ≤ 15°C practically no sulphate removal took place whereas a bioreactor operating at 20°C was able to remove 7.80 g∙d⁻¹ sulphate.

**PCR and next-generation sequencing**

**Comparison of the dominant microbial species present at the beginning and end of each trial**

The main contributors to the microbial community composition of the respective trials at the beginning and end of the respective experimental periods were compared based on their relative abundance in the sequencing data and expressed as a percentage (Fig. 4).

With regards to the interpretation of the sequencing data obtained it should be stressed that the number of sequences obtained from each trial does not necessarily reflect the number of microbial organisms present in the particular bioreactor as the gDNA obtained was not quantified. From this point onwards this will be referred to as relative abundance percentage.

A dominance of Chlorobium spp. was detected at the end of the 30 d (35.62%) and 30 d biofilm trial (62.21%) but not in the reduced incubation temperature trial. The importance of incubation temperature on the selection of bacteria has previously been stated (Madigan et al. 1997). The highest relative abundance percentage of Magnetospirillum spp. occurred in the reduced incubation temperature trial (16.58%). This was not unexpected as Magnetospirillum spp. are microaerophilic and use iron as an electron donor (Zhu et al., 2010). This supports the general characteristic of AMD being high in heavy metal concentration (Geremias et al., 2003). The Magnetospirillum spp. abundance in the 30 d trial (4%) was higher than in the 30 d biofilm trial (1.2%). The lower abundance of Magnetospirillum spp. is possibly due to competition between Magnetospirillum spp. and the dominant bacterial genera, Chlorobium spp. (Hibbing et al., 2009). Clostridium spp. was detected in all trials and has also been detected in wastewater treatment processes by Burns and co-workers (2012).

The relative abundance of Turneriella spp. found in the 30 d trial was 1.3%, in the 30 d biofilm trial was 1% and in the reduced incubation temperature trial was 0.8%. The abundance of Turneriella spp. detected at the beginning and end was relatively similar with abundance varying between 0.6% and 1.1%. Desulfovirga spp. was present in all the trials at between 0% and 0.1% at the beginning, and between 0% and 0.5% at the end. Pseudomonas spp. was present at the beginning of all trials, at 2.25% in the case of the reduced incubation temperature trial, 3.43% at the beginning of the 30 d trial and 9.09% in the case of the 30 d biofilm trial, but was undetected at the end of all of the respective trials, except for the reduced incubation temperature trial (7.24%) and the 30 d biocatalyst trial (0.38%).

Azospirillum spp. was detected in the reduced incubation temperature trial (13%) and at the beginning of the 30 d trial (4.7%), despite Azospirillum spp. not being detected at the beginning of the reduced incubation temperature trial. It is possible that Azospirillum spp. was present at the beginning of the reduced incubation temperature trial but was below detectable limits.

Gordonia spp. was detected on the first day of the 30 d trial (2.29%) and the 30 d biofilm trial (0.88%). Elizabethkingia spp. was detected at the beginning of the reduced incubation

---

**Figure 3**

a) Photograph showing the colour change of the liquid contents in the bioreactors during the 30 d treatment trial. Left: control Right: bioreactor after the 30 d trial.

b) Photograph showing the white precipitate that formed in the bioreactors.
temperature trial (7.84%) and at the beginning of the 30 d biofilm trial (1.73%). In the remainder of the trials species from this genus were undetected. In the case of all but the reduced incubation temperature trial Haliscomenobacter spp. was undetected (at a relative abundance percentage of 0.5%) except for being detected at the beginning of the 30 d trial (5.86%). At the beginning of the reduced incubation temperature trial Sulfurospirillum spp. was detected at 1.78% but was not detected at the end of the trial. At the beginning of the 30 d trial Sulfurospirillum spp. was undetected but at the end of this trial was detected at a relative amount of 0.57%. Similarly, Sulfurospirillum spp. was detected at a relative abundance percentage of 0.19% at the end of the 30 d biofilm trial but was undetected at the beginning of the trial.

Description of sequence analyses for each trial

Sequence analyses of the 30 d and 30 d biofilm trials

Chlorobium spp. was dominant in the 30 d trial, followed by Magneto spirillum spp. and Ornithobacterium spp. (Fig. 5). Chlorobium spp. is a genus of green sulphur bacteria that occurs in dimly-lit anoxic environments (Van Gemerden and Mas, 1995). They contain bacteriochlorophyll as a light-harvesting pigment, which explains the green colour of the liquid contents of the bioreactors (Figuera et al., 1997) (Fig. 3). They are also present in domestic wastewater treatment plants (Valle et al., 2004; Hesham et al., 2011). The presence of Magneto spirillum spp. can be explained by the high concentrations of heavy metals present in AMD (Geremias et al., 2003; Zhu et al., 2010). Sulphate reducers (Desulfovibrio spp.) were detected at low relative levels. These results coincide with the finding that phototrophic bacterial wastewater treatment systems offer an alternative to conventional treatment options (Almasi and Pescod, 1996). A low number of Flavobacterium spp. relative to the number of Chlorobium spp. was detected. As many phototrophic bacteria are known to produce iron-sulphur proteins (Renger, 2008), the available sulphur would likely be utilized for the production of such proteins, thereby partially removing the involved sulphur from the environment.

Bacteria also detected in the 30 d trial included Sphingobacteria spp., Lentisphaerales and Victivallales, Alphaproteobacteria including Caulobacteraceae spp., Rhizobiales spp., Rhodopseudomonas, Salinarmonas, Rhizobium, Rhodospirillales (Fodicinivurata and Magnetospirillum), and Epsilonproteobacteria including Campylobacterales spp., Spirochaetes spp., Firmicutes spp., and Clostridia spp. including Ruminococcaceae, Sporobacterium and Lutispora.

The 30 d biofilm trial had a higher presence of Chlorobium spp. when compared to the 30 d trial (Fig. 5). This was expected as the treatment process already indicated a selection for Chlorobium spp. In both trials, the sulphate was probably metabolically converted to sulphones by SRB, although NGS showed a low relative abundance percentage of SRB. Chlorobium spp. then oxidised the sulphones to elemental sulphur and removed the sulphur from the system by depositing it externally in globules (Pott and Dahl, 1998). However, there were not large differences in the sulphate removal efficiency between the two trials. It was proposed that either the sulphate content was depleted or the conditions were not optimal after a time period for the SRB to function metabolically. Therefore the greater presence of Chlorobium spp. did not make a difference to removal efficiency.

Hurse and Keller (2004) simulated a biological sulphide removal (BSR) process by using biofilms dominated by green sulphur bacteria (GSB) in perspex vessels to remove sulphones from wastewater. The green biofilm that developed within a week was identified by electron-micrographs as Chlorobium spp. Sulphide removal was calculated at 2.23 g∙m⁻² d⁻¹ at a bulk sulphide concentration of 16.5 mg∙L⁻¹. This study was similar to the research of Hurse and Keller (2004) with regards to the use of transparent bioreactors, synthetic wastewater, an inoculum from the anaerobic digester and incubation temperature. Although the treatment did not involve irradiation it is proposed that the exposure of the bioreactors to dim light provided an optimum energy band for the selection of Chlorobium spp. However, specifically sulphones were removed from the wastewater by the Chlorobium spp. This confirms that the sulphones in the SDWWS were probably reduced to sulphones by the present SRB and that Chlorobium spp. oxidised the sulphones to elemental sulphur.

The microbial diversity of the 30 d biofilm trial also appeared to be higher than the 30 d trial, which may be attributed to the established biofilm. This included species from the Bacteroidetes division (mainly Prolixibacter), Bacteroidia including Alkaliflexus, Pululibacter and Petrimonas, Meniscus and Sphingobacteriaceae from the Sphingobacteria division, the Flavobacteria division including Ornithobacterium, Chlorobi, Lentisphaerales and Victivallales, Alphaproteobacteria including Caulobacteraceae spp., Rhodospirillales spp., Betaproteobacteria including Burkholderiales spp. and Rhodocyclales spp., Deltaproteobacteria including Desulfo bacterales spp., Desulfovibionales spp. and Geobacter, Epsilonproteobacteria divisions including Sulfurospirillum and Thioreductor, Gammaproteobacteria including Chelonobacter, Acinetobacter, Azomonas, Pseudomonas and Stenotrophomonas. The Spirochaetes spp. present included Brevinema and Turnerella and other present micro-organisms included Opitutus, Firmicutes, Clostridia spp. including Sedimentibacter, Anaerovorax, Johnsonella, Moryella, Sporobacterium, Peptococcus, Ruminococcaceae spp. and Negativicutes spp.

Sequence analyses of the trial conducted at reduced incubation temperatures

The microbial analyses of the trial conducted at 17–19°C revealed more diversity compared to the 30 d trials (Figs 4 and 6). Species from the bacterial divisions Alphaproteobacteria
(Caulobacterales, Rhizobiales, Rhodobacterales, Rhodospirillales and Magnetospirillum), Betaproteobacteria (Burkholderiales and Rhodocyclales), Deltaproteobacteria (including Desulfovibrionales and Desulphuromonadales), Epsilonproteobacteria (including species from the genera Campylobacterales and Nautiliales), Gammaproteobacteria (including Enterobacteriales spp., Xanthomonadales spp., Pseudomonas spp., Spirochaetes spp. and Flavobacteria spp. (including Ornithobacterium spp.) were detected (Fig. 6). *Chlorobium* spp. was detected at 1.61% indicating that the incubation temperature was not optimum for *Chlorobium* spp. growth that presumably led to the low removal in sulphates and COD.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Treatment of AMD with synthetic domestic wastewater sludge, inoculated with sludge from an anaerobic digester, was successful in the removal of sulphates and COD in bioreactors incubated for 30 d at 25°C in a dimly-lit environment. The conditions in these bioreactors favoured the growth of a microbial community that removed sulphates and subsequently led to the relative abundance percentage of *Chlorobium* spp. at the end of these trials. The green colour of the liquid contents and the white precipitate consisting of sulphur found on the inside of the bioreactors after each trial confirmed the presence of *Chlorobium* spp. Although a higher relative abundance percentage of *Chlorobium* spp. was found in the 30 d biofilm trial, the sulphate removal efficiencies in the two trials were similar. Therefore it can be concluded that the suspended microorganisms were responsible for the sulphate removal. It was proposed that these microorganisms involved SRB that reduced sulphates to sulphides and that *Chlorobium* spp. oxidised the sulphides to elemental sulphur as sulphur precipitates.

**Figure 5**
Sequence analysis of the 30 d trial on Day 0 (a) and Day 30 (b) and the 30 d biofilm trial on Day 0 (c) and Day 30 (d) presented as relative abundance (%)

**Figure 6**
Sequence analysis of samples taken on Day 0 and Day 20 of the reduced incubation temperature trial presented as relative abundance (%)
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Bioreactors incubated at 17–19°C showed a low removal of sulphates and COD in AMD treatment. The highest relative increase in abundance was that of *Magnetospirillum* spp. after 20 d. A lower incubation temperature is therefore not optimum for microorganisms involved in sulphate and COD removal. Incubation temperature should therefore be considered when using domestic wastewater sludge for the treatment of AMD especially across different seasons.

A few microbial species were not detected at the beginning of a trial although they were detected at the end of the treatment. This emphasises the importance of perspective when dealing with next-generation sequencing technology, as also stated by Shendure and Ji (2008).

This study showed that AMD can potentially be treated with anaerobic domestic wastewater sludge when conditions are optimised to select for the microbial community involved in sulphate removal. The conditions proposed are the use of transparent bioreactors kept in a dimly-lit environment at 25°C. Future studies will involve upscaling of the volume treated and replacement of SDWWS with fresh anaerobic domestic wastewater sludge.
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