The effect of vegetation harvest on the operation of a surface flow constructed wetland
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Abstract

Constructed wetlands represent a low-cost and highly efficient municipal wastewater treatment alternative, due to their low technological and energy demands. Wetland vegetation releases an amount of carbon to the system, when it is decomposed (winter period). Part of this organic matter could remain in the system, and will be decomposed at very low rates during winter and spring. In this research, a constructed superficial-flow wetland was divided into two equal parts and vegetation (Typha latifolia) was harvested in one of them. The organic load applied to the system was 11.2 gBOD/m²·d. The control of the organic matter was carried out during 141 d (111 d in winter and 30 d in spring). Differences in efficiencies (TSS, BOD and COD), were observed between both types of the wetland, with an important increase in these differences during spring. Vegetation released organic matter to the system, specially suspended and biodegradable matter. BOD and TSS released per dry gram of Typha were 4.24 mgBOD/g and 4.36 mgTSS/g Typha, respectively. Harvest is a recommended practice in systems treating diluted wastewater, especially in productive areas like the Mediterranean. The recommendations can also be applied to a broader geographic area.
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Introduction

Constructed wetlands are low-cost systems requiring a low input of energy, which makes them particularly appealing for the treatment of wastewater in small to medium-sized communities, and in developing countries (Brix, 1994a).

It has been widely demonstrated that vegetation is involved in almost every major function within constructed wetland treatment systems (Wrigley and Toerien, 1988; Brix, 1997; Greenway and Woolley, 2000). Specifically, vegetation in treatment wetlands acts as physical filters (Brix, 1994b); takes up nutrients and other constituents (Tanner, 1996; Greenway and Woolley, 1999; Liu et al., 2000); provides a substrate for microbiota and macroinvertebrates (Kadlee and Knight, 1996; Wetzel, 2000); contributes carbon and creates anaerobic zones for denitrification (Wrigley and Toerien, 1988; Mann and Wetzel, 1996); adds oxygen to sediment zones where mineralisation and nitrification occur (Reddy et al., 1989); and enhances denitrification by pulling nitrates from the water column into anaerobic zones within the sediments as the roots actively absorb water needed for transpiration (Martin et al., 2003).

Numerous studies have confirmed that water treatment is improved in vegetated systems compared with systems containing no plants (Wrigley and Toerien, 1988; Tanner and Sukias, 1995; Tanner, 1996; Matheson et al., 2002, etc.). However, most of these studies were done when the vegetation was new and actively growing, absorbing abundant amounts of nutrients, minerals, and water as the plants transpired and produced large amounts of biomass. As vegetation biomass increases, the role of the vegetation in water treatment function shifts. When senescence begins, this mature vegetation dominates water treatment function by driving the carbon cycling and denitrification processes, while processes that are driven by active vegetation production are reduced. When vegetation biomass builds up to high levels, it can cause numerous problems, as documented in several studies (Gray et al., 1999; de Szalay and Resh, 2000; Pinney et al., 2000; Prior, 2000; Sartoris et al., 2000; Thullen et al., 2002). Some of the negative effects in constructed wetlands with high density of vegetation are:

• Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations are consistently lower than 1.0 mg/l, which can severely restrict nitrification and reduce the survival of larvivorous fish and invertebrate mosquito predators, as well as the microbes and macroinvertebrates that decompose senesced vegetation
• Dense vegetation can contribute significantly to internal nutrient loading as the plants decompose
• Both mature and standing dead plants shade algal and autotrophic microbial communities, thus reducing the nutrient-retention capacities (even if small and of short duration) of those communities. Shading also limits photolysis, which is necessary to kill pathogens, and to detoxify many organic contaminants.

In addition to managing vegetation expansion to avoid high density of vegetation, it is also important to manage plant litter accumulation and decomposition for the successful management and sustainability of constructed wastewater-treatment wetlands. In fact, eliminating excess litter is often necessary to restore positive functions important in constructed wetlands (Prior, 2000; Sartoris et al., 2000). In a particular constructed wetland, vegetation grows and emerges during spring and summer, but at the beginning of autumn, vegetation starts to decay and is decomposed during the rest of the year, contributing organic matter...
and nutrients to the system, and the effluent flow. For all these reasons, harvesting wetland vegetation in constructed wastewater-treatment wetlands has been a subject of intense debate (e.g. Wrigley and Toerien, 1988; Brix, 1994a; Wieder et al., 1994; Reed et al., 1994; Crites, 1994, Thullen et al., 2005).

The utility of harvesting for the operation of the process depends on many factors (e.g. weather, type of species, wastewater characteristics). A widely-held view is that harvest does not have a significant effect on nutrient removal (Brix, 1994a; Wieder et al., 1994), and some authors (e.g. Reed et al., 1994; Crites, 1994) do not recommend the technique because the amount of nutrients removed by harvesting is insignificant with respect to other processes involved (Brix, 1994b). Other disadvantages of harvesting are cost of maintenance, reduction of ecological value, effect of sediment re-suspension during harvest, and low buffer effect at low temperatures (Kadlec et al., 2000).

However, some authors recommend harvesting to improve hydraulic conductivity and mosquito control (Bendorichio et al., 2000), especially in warm areas where biomass production is high (Kootatep and Polprasert, 2000). Wrigley and Toerien (1988) indicated that removal of N and P from the reed bed studied (planted with Phragmites) by harvesting the above-ground biomass material (70 t/ha per year), amounted to 750 and 76 kg/ha per year, respectively. The performance of cattail (Typha spp.) systems may be improved by harvesting the plants at the end of the growing season, thus reducing additional BOD and TSS inputs from decaying biomass litter (Karathanasis et al., 2003).

On the other hand, an effective tool to manage and sustain healthy vegetation is the use of hummocks, which are shallow ground biomass material (70 t/ha per year), amounted to 750

A horizontal surface-flow wetland with a total area of 88 m², a substrate comprising 0.3 m of 6 to 8 mm diameter gravel, 0.4 m of water, and planted with Typha latifolia (3 007.7 g DM/m²), was divided into two equal completely separate parts. Each wetland therefore had an area of 44 m² (length: 11 m, width: 4 m). During the middle of the autumn, one part of the wetland was harvested and the other part was maintained with plants. The plants were harvested 2 cm above the water level to preserve the effect of stems on biofilm and hydraulics of the harvested wetland. The wetland was placed in the treatment plant of Cubillas de los Oteros, a small village of 150 inhabitants situated in León (North-west Spain). Overall, the facility consisted of a septic tank as pre-treatment, a stabilisation pond, a surface flow wetland, and non-harvested wetlands (8.9 and 8.3 units, respectively).

During winter (111 d of operation) and spring (30 d of operation), weekly samples of wetland influent, which is the same in both harvested and non-harvested wetlands, and of the effluents of each part of the wetland (harvested and non-harvested) were taken. Total and volatile suspended solids, (TSS, VSS), total and soluble chemical oxygen demand (TCOD, SCOD), and biological oxygen demand (BOD) were analyzed. Following standard methods (Standard Methods, 1995); pH, temperature (T) and dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration were also measured in the wetlands. Student’s t-test was used for statistical analyses.

The flow was 11.23 m³/d until Day 79 of operation, and from Day 79 until the end of the operation, the flow was 8.64 m³/d. The flow was controlled in this manner to maintain the organic load rate at below 12 gBOM/d. The average HRT (hydraulic retention time) applied to each part of the wetland was 44.4 h. The organic load was maintained at about 11.4 g/m²-d.

Results and discussion

Physico-chemical parameters

Influent pH was about 9.0 units, a typical value for a facultative lagoon effluent (Henze et al., 2000) (Fig. 1). Effluent pH was significantly different (Student’s t-test, p<0.05) between harvested and non-harvested wetlands (8.9 and 8.3 units, respectively).

The average temperatures were 6.9, 5.4 and 6.1°C in influent, non-harvested wetland and harvested wetland, respectively. These differences also being statistically significant. Mean differences with respect to DO concentration were observed between influent and non-harvested wetland (13.1, 8.1 and 12.5 mg/l in influent, non-harvested and harvested wetland, respectively).

Vegetation clearly influenced the physico-chemical environment of the wetland. Presumably, higher organic matter in the non-harvested wetland would be responsible for a higher oxida-
The performance of the systems planted with cattails may be improved by harvesting the plants at the end of the growing season, thus reducing additional BOD and TSS inputs from decaying biomass litter. Similar to our findings, Karathanasis et al. (2003) observed that the decline in BOD removal efficiency in cattail systems occurred mainly in the spring, probably due to the large biomass production during winter. This caused an increase in effluent BOD in spring, when increasing temperatures contribute to more rapid decay of plant material.

From laboratory experiments on *Typha* spp. decomposition at different HRTs (from 1.6 to 7.4 d), Pinney et al. (2000) showed that at higher HRTs, the *Typha* released a large amount of organic carbon. However, of the carbon released, 5 to 8% was transformed to dissolved organic carbon; 45 to 60% accumulated as biomass, and 35 to 60% was used in bacterial growth or was decomposed by fermentation to CO₂ and CH₄. Despite the fact that dissolved organic carbon released by the plants is low, the large amount of vegetation biomass produced could have an important effect on the BOD of the final effluent.

Another aspect to take into account in a wetland is the role of the plankton community within the system. Luyiga et al. (2003) observed that the plankton community increased dissolved oxygen levels and pH, improving BOD and NH₃ removal. They also observed that BOD removal was mainly carried out in the proximal portion of the wetland (first 8 m), whereas an increase in BOD as a consequence of plant decay and plankton activity was observed in the distal part of the wetland. In addition, Baptista et al. (2003), studying two laboratory-scale wetlands, with and without vegetation, observed that carbon removal was higher in the unplanted part (63% vs. 51%). In our study, Table 2 shows that BOD removal in the harvested and non-harvested system was 55.8% and 8.9%, respectively, during late winter/early spring (Days 70 to 141).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Influent</th>
<th>Non-harvested effluent</th>
<th>Harvested effluent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TSS (mg l⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TCOD (mg l⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCOD (mg l⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOD (mg l⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-harvested (%)</th>
<th>Harvested (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TSS (%)</strong></td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TCOD (%)</strong></td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCOD (%)</strong></td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>-23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BOD (%)</strong></td>
<td>-9.1</td>
<td>8.9*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Organic matter production from *Typha latifolia*

The organic matter released from the wetland vegetation during the second part of the experiment, (final part of winter and initial part of spring, operational days between 70 and 141), can be calculated. In this period, the BOD difference between harvested and non-harvested wetland was 34 mg/l (67 minus 33, Table 1). Taking into account that each wetland has an area of 44 m², a water volume of 16.5 m³ and a vegetation density of 3007.7 ± 1120.2 gDW/Typha/m², BOD released per dry gram of *Typha* was 4.24 mgBOD/g *Typha*.

The BOD background concentration produced in the biological process can be calculated according to Kadlec and Knight (1996) using the following equation:

\[ C_{BOD}^* = 3.5 + 0.053C_i \]

where:

- \( C_{BOD}^* \) is BOD background concentration
- \( C_i \) is the influent BOD concentration

The equation \( R^2=0.67 \) is applied to surface-flow and sub-surface-flow wetlands with \( C_i \) lower than 200 mg/l. With an influent BOD of 74 mg/l (Table 1), \( C_{BOD}^* \) is of 7.4 mg/l. Comparison of this value with Table 1 reflects that BOD background concentration in our system (34 mg/l) is 4.6 times the background concentration estimated according to Kadlec and Knight (1996).

This means that autochthonous organic matter which originated as a result of vegetation decomposition is much higher than the expected background concentration according to Kadlec and Knight (1996).

With respect to TSS produced per dry gram of *Typha*, and taking into account data from Table 1 (77 minus 42 = 35 mg/l of difference between each wetland studied), wetland area, water volume and *Typha* density of the wetland, a value of 4.36 mgTSS/g*Typha* is obtained. TSS background concentration can be also estimated according to Kadlec and Knight (1996), with the following equation:

\[ C_{TSS}^* = 5.1 + 0.016C_i \]

where:

- \( C_{TSS}^* \) is TSS background concentration
- \( C_i \) is the influent TSS concentration

The equation \( R^2=0.23 \) is applied to surface-flow wetlands with \( C_i \) lower than 807 mg/l. In this case, TSS concentration released from the vegetation was 2 times the background concentration calculated according to Kadlec and Knight (1996). In this case, organic matter supplied by vegetation decomposition measured as TSS is lower than BOD; this supports the evidence that the autochthonous organic matter is mainly formed by soluble biodegradable matter.

Kadlec and Knight (1996) found *Typha* spp. litter decomposition rates in wetland ecosystems in the range from 0.0013 to 0.0031 d⁻¹. Álvarez and Bécares (2006) found a mean summer value of \( k_b \) of 0.0043 d⁻¹, similar to the mean estimates found in other studies (e.g., Nelson et al., 1990; Vymazal, 1995). These results suggest that *Typha latifolia* is one of toughest and most resistant emergent macrophytes in wetlands. The low *Typha* decay rate means detritus will remain in the system for a long time and could clog or deepen sediment layers in the wetland. Nevertheless, estimation of terrestrialisation rates in surface-flow wetlands (Álvarez and Bécares, 2006) suggests that sediment accumulation is much lower than the life-span of the wastewater treatment system.

Conclusions

Our results support the claim of Soto et al. (1999) that, when treating diluted wastewater, vegetation has a significant effect on the efficiency of the system, but also contributes significantly to the supply of autochthonous organic matter to the system. Our study demonstrates that vegetation harvest within created wastewater treatment wetlands has a significant effect on pH, dissolved oxygen, and temperature, as the absence of vegetation will improve algae photosynthesis and decrease thermal inertia. Furthermore, the absence of vegetation decomposition allows a reduction in effluent TSS and BOD concentration by 45.4% and 50.7%, respectively, relative to a non-harvested wetland, for the late winter/early spring period. The data show that harvest is a recommended operation and management strategy in the climatic setting described.
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