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Abstract
The natural resources of the Okavango Delta, a large wetland in semi-arid Botswana, form the basis of livelihoods of the local population and support economically important high-end tourism. The hydro-ecological system is dynamic at various time scales, responding to climate variability, and both flood and drought conditions have in the past put pressure on the system’s users. Human-induced climate change can potentially exacerbate the effects of existing climate variability. In this paper, we present simulated future hydro-ecological conditions in the Okavango Delta generated by a step-wise modelling procedure. The outputs of three different global climate models are used to drive a suite of hydrological models. Lastly, a rule-based dynamic model relates hydroperiod conditions to vegetation assemblages. The simulated future conditions vary from much drier to much wetter than those recorded in the past. Models indicate that climatic change would result in change in both extent and distribution of the major ecotopes of the Okavango Delta. Importantly, the different ecotopes will be affected to varying degrees. The projected changes will have consequences for the wildlife-based management of the system. They will affect, for example, available grazing and migration/movement patterns of large herbivores, as well as fish. Such consequences can have rapid up-trophic level effects, ultimately leading to potentially substantial impacts on the economy. The main conclusion to be drawn is that management planning and land-use systems should be as flexible as possible.
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Introduction
The Okavango Delta is a large wetland located in semi-arid north-western Botswana. It is a largely pristine ecosystem with a very small anthropogenic footprint, and is recognised as a Ramsar site. The system is naturally variable: dry and wet conditions of duration from a year to 30 to 40 years have been recorded in the past (Mazvimavi and Wolski, 2006). Additionally, the system is subject to shifts in distribution of inundation resulting from active geomorphological processes: channel aggradation and avulsion, occurring at a variety of scales (McCarthy et al., 1993; McCarthy et al., 1992; Wolski and Murray-Hudson, 2007). Observations indicate that any change in the hydrological regime within any part of the system brings about transformation of the ecosystem. Vegetation change can be subtle, such as from sedge-dominated floodplains to grass-dominated ones, or drastic: from papyrus plains to dry-land. Changes in vegetation propagate up trophic levels with the consequence of wildlife relocating to find suitable vegetation and environmental conditions.

Greenhouse gas emission-driven climate change is widely accepted as happening, and recognised as a factor of prominent importance for the future well-being of the global environment (IPCC, 2007). With the goal of stimulating thought and debate about its implications for wetland management, this paper presents preliminary results of modelling of the potential effects of future climate change resulting from anthropogenic global warming on the ecosystem of the Okavango Delta. It focuses on linking the hydrological regime under changing climate with ecosystem vegetation characteristics.

Okavango Delta
The Delta is a large, flood-pulsed wetland. Its hydrology has been frequently described in the literature (e.g. Wolski et al., 2006; McCarthy et al., 1998a; Dinçer et al., 1987), and therefore only the major characteristics are given here. The Delta is subject to a discrete annual flood pulse. The flood is primarily caused by the seasonal flood wave of the Okavango River, and local rainfall plays a lesser role: flooding is asynchronous with the local rainy season. As a result of inter-annual differences in local and upstream rainfall, as well as longer-term effects of surface-groundwater interactions within the Delta, the area of inundation displays strong inter-annual variability: over the period of record 1932-2000, annual minima varied between 3 500 and 6 000 km², while the annual maxima were recorded at 6 000 to 14 000 km² (McCarthy et al., 2004; Gumbricht et al., 2004). These dynamics cause spatial differences in hydroperiod (frequency, duration and depth of inundation), which are in turn associated with vegetation assemblages adjusted to the prevalent hydrological conditions. This association is traditionally expressed by distinguishing broad hydro-ecological units: permanent swamp, seasonal regularly inundated floodplains, seasonal occasionally inundated floodplains and dry-land (McCarthy et al., 2005).

Previous work on modelling of ecological consequences of climate change in the Okavango Delta
Since the Delta is a wetland ecosystem in an arid environment, it is clear that the ecological effects of climate change have to be looked at through changes in hydrological regime, as caused by rainfall and evaporation, rather than through changes in temperature only. Hydrological change was assessed by Wolski et al. (2002), who expressed climate-change effects through...
spatially distributed change in hydroperiod. In a more recent study (ODMP, 2006) climate-change effects were expressed in terms of change in the lower envelope of flooding. Both those studies used outputs of the HadCM3 (for explanation of model acronyms, see Table 1) global climate model (GCM), and simulated considerably drier conditions that those observed in the past. Murray-Hudson et al. (2006) used outputs of three GCMs: HadCM3, CCCma CGCM2 and GFDL R30 (Table 1) routed through a set of hydrological sub-models to determine changes in hydroperiod, and consequently change in distribution of major ecosystem units. Future climate conditions as simulated by several GCMs were consistent in terms of future temperature fields, but were very different in terms of future rainfall. These differences resulted in the simulation of Okavango basin conditions which ranged from much drier, through similar, to much wetter than those observed in the past, depending on which GCM model was used. Consequently, major ecosystem changes were projected for the Delta corresponding to the extreme GCM outputs. To assess the ecosystem changes, Murray-Hudson et al. (2006) used a simple, static hydroperiod-ecosystem model to simulate the distribution of floodplain vegetation ecotopes. In this model, computational elements of the hydrological model were classified into different floodplain ecotopes based on average hydrological conditions (frequency and duration of inundation) simulated during a period of 15 years. Such an approach was based on earlier interpretive work by SMEC (1989), and was considered useful for indicative purposes. It did not, however, reflect the shorter-term dynamics of the hydro-ecological system. Field observations suggest that successional trajectories in floodplain vegetation assemblages respond relatively fast to change in hydroperiod conditions. Under seasonal flooding, dry savannah areas develop a flooded grassland assemblage in 1 to 2 flood seasons. If seasonal flooding continues (3 or more consecutive seasons) succession to a sedge-dominated assemblage, with different functions in the ecosystem, can occur. The 15-year averaging period used in the static model is therefore considered inappropriate for investigating hydrology-driven ecological trajectories in this system. A dynamic model is therefore being developed, a rule-based version of which is presented in this paper.

**Dynamic ecotope model**

In a subsequent ongoing study (Murray-Hudson, 2008), a structured, quantitative vegetation survey was performed, covering over 30 sites characterised by different hydroperiod. Hydroperiod was determined on the basis of a reconstruction of inundation history from a series of Landsat imagery (Wolski and Murray-Hudson, 2006). Analysis of the vegetation data is not yet complete. However, qualitative information and experience from the field permitted an initial coarse classification of distinct vegetation assemblages, presented in Table 2. These assemblages have geographically specific type areas which have been used to define their hydroperiod characteristics from the satellite image time series. Hydroperiod conditions which would result in a successional shift were defined based on field observations from the past 5 years, in which there have been increasing flood extents in the Delta. Based on these, a rule-based dynamic model of hydroperiod-vegetation relationships was developed. This model has the character of an expert system (a series of IF...THEN... statements), and is presented in Fig. 1. Calculations are performed on the basis of pixel-by-pixel characteristics of hydroperiod (number of months inundated) determined from the output of the suite of hydrological models.

In this paper we use the procedure and results of hydrological and climate-change modelling presented earlier by Murray-Hudson et al. (2006), Wolski et al. (2006), Hughes et al. (2006) and Andersson et al. (2006). The procedure is summarised in Fig. 2. Future conditions are not simulated explicitly. Instead, a historical series of monthly rainfall and mean monthly tempera-

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HadCM3</td>
<td>Hadley Centre, UK</td>
<td>Gordon et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCCma CGCM2</td>
<td>Canadian Centre for Climate Research, Canada</td>
<td>Flato and Boer (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL R30</td>
<td>Geophysical and Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, USA</td>
<td>Knutson et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

**Preliminary classification of main vegetation classes (or ecotopes) in the areas susceptible to flooding in the Okavango Delta**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class (ecotope)</th>
<th>Characteristic species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Savannah</td>
<td>Grasses: Schmitia pappophorooides, Aristida meridionalis, Enneapogon cenchroides; Herbs: Pesciue-loeschia leunntiziae, Hermannia spp. Woody spp (as shrubs): Combreutum imberbe, Acacia erioloba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatics</td>
<td>Grasses: Oryza longistaminata, Leersia hexandra, Brachiaria humidicola, Pasiphalium obtusifolium; Miscanthus junceus Sedges: Oxycarium cubense, Eleocharis dulcis; Pycreus nitidus. Herbs: Nymphaea nouchalli, Nymphoides indica, Potamogoton thunbergii</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tations is modified so as to reflect relative change simulated by the GCMs considered. Modification is applied to monthly values only – these are multiplied by a change factor obtained from a given GCM under a given greenhouse scenario and for a given future period. No effects on rainfall intensities at shorter times are considered. Eventual changes in persistence of rainfall and temperature conditions (duration and frequency of occurrence of dry/wet or cold/hot spells) are not taken into account. In this analysis three GCMs were used: HadCM3, CCCma CGCM2, GFDL R30 (Table 1). For hydrological modelling two models were used: the Okavango River basin model (Andersson et al., 2006), the output of which was used as input to the Okavango Delta model (Wolski et al., 2006). The latter model consists of two sub-models. The first is a semi-distributed sub-model representing the Delta using 8 large interconnected units, each simulated as a non-linear reservoir. The output of this sub-model consists of a time series of inundated area in each of the units. The second is a GIS sub-model which schematises each of the units by a grid of 1 by 1 km blocks. For each of the blocks a relationship between unit inundated area and inundation status is determined based on a time series of observed, satellite-derived inundation maps. This relationship is then used to translate simulated inundated area to inundation distribution. For the sake of brevity, we do not describe the models more extensively here, but refer the interested reader to the original sources.

Results and interpretation

The simulations were done for a combination of prognoses from 3 GCMs, for 2 greenhouse-gas scenarios and 3 different periods – in total 18 combinations. Analyses revealed that results for various GCMs suggest change in different directions (towards drier or wetter conditions), while differences between greenhouse gas scenarios are only in terms of magnitude of change, as are differences between various future time frames. Choice of a GCM is therefore of higher importance in assessing possible future hydro-ecological conditions in the Okavango Delta than the choice of greenhouse-gas scenario or time frame. Thus (and for the sake of brevity), we do not present the results of all combinations here. Instead we present results for one greenhouse-gas scenario (SRES B1), for one future period: 2020-2050, and for all 3 GCMs considered. The results of simulation are presented in Fig. 3 in the form of temporal variations in the areas of the ecotopes, while Fig. 4 presents spatial distributions of ecotopes.
The results of simulations do not explicitly represent simulated future conditions. By the nature of the applied procedure the results represent conditions that would occur if the time series of rainfall and temperature observed in the past repeated itself, changed only in terms of monthly rainfall totals and mean monthly temperature. Thus, the results obtained for each GCM have to be analysed by relating them to the conditions observed in the past (1968-2002, shown as ‘baseline’ in Figs. 3 and 4).

Baseline conditions

Baseline simulation results presented in Fig. 3 show changes in the area of the various ecotopes. In general the pattern is such that ‘dry’ ecotopes such as savannah expand during drier periods of the simulated time series, at the expense of ‘wet’ ecotopes such as aquatic and sedge-land. In the wetter periods an opposite pattern occurs. Baseline simulation shows the disappearance of savannah and grassland ecotopes during the very wet phase between the 10th and 13th year of simulation (corresponding to the period of 1978-1981). This is an effect associated with the expansion of hydrological conditions supporting aquatic and sedge-land ecotopes to cover the entire modelled domain. Whether such a transformation of the ecosystem has occurred in the past is uncertain; however, there is some evidence that similar hydrological conditions have actually occurred – flows in the distal channels were perennial in the 1970s.

Differences between GCMs

The most striking feature of the results shown in Fig. 3 is the divergence of conditions simulated based on the predictions of various GCMs. Predictions by the CGCM2 model give conditions dramatically wetter than those observed in the past, the predictions of the HadCM3 model give conditions considerably drier that in the past, while those of the GFDL model give conditions similar to those observed in the past. The wetter conditions manifest themselves consistently as a larger area covered by aquatic and sedge-land vegetation communities, and a smaller area of savannah. The drier conditions are manifested by a larger area of savannah, and smaller areas of aquatic and sedge-land communities. The effects on flooded grassland community seem erratic. This can be explained by the fact that the intermittently inundated zone (with which the flooded grassland community is associated) is confined between the outer (un-floodable) boundary of the system and the zone of aquatics and sedge-lands, and as a consequence it is not proportional to the wetness of the system.

Dynamics of the size of ecotopes

The hydroperiod-vegetation model simulates different short and long-term dynamics of the areal extent of various ecotopes. The driest, savannah, changes little on a year-to-year basis, but displays a gradual increase in size during the dry phase of the simulated time series. Similarly, the areal extent of the aquatic ecotope (permanent swamp) changes relatively little on a year-to-year basis. Its gradual decline is, however, simulated for the drier part of the time series. These effects are consistent between the GCM scenarios. The sedge-land and grassland ecotopes, however, display strong year-to-year variation, with relatively minor longer-term effects, and there are differences in magnitude and direction of change between various GCM scenarios.

Change in spatial distribution of ecotopes

Maps showing distribution of ecotopes in the system under future climatic conditions simulated by the GCMs (Fig. 4) indicate that there is a change in position of ecotopes. The general pattern is that for drier conditions there is a shift of ecotope boundaries towards the Delta inlet, while for wetter conditions, ecotope boundaries shift towards distal parts of the Delta.

Discussion

The divergence of results based on future conditions predicted by the three GCMs is a striking feature of the results presented. There is large uncertainty with respect to the direction of possible climate change and its effects. This, undoubtedly, makes accounting for climate change in the management process and preparation of climate change adaptation strategies and action plans very difficult. The current tendency in assessment of future conditions is to look for convergence of the many available GCMs (IPCC, 2007). Preliminary analysis of results presented by the IPCC (2007) indicates that there is little convergence between the 21 GCMs in the area of the Okavango Delta:
less than 66% of models simulate drier conditions. The GCMs are more consistent in terms of future conditions in the Okavango River catchment: 66 to 76% of the IPCC models predict an increase in rainfall north of 15° S, which is where the sources of the Okavango River are. The effects of increased rainfall can be offset by an increase in evapotranspiration accompanying an increase in air temperatures. Work is on-going to assess convergence of the hydrological effect arising from outputs of the 21 GCMs available from the US Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison multi-model data archive (www-pcmdi.llnl.gov), using an uncertainty analysis framework (Wolski, 2008). At this stage, however, the low level of certainty still confounds the inclusion of climate-change effects in management and planning work.

As mentioned, the results presented in this paper are preliminary and indicative only. The hydroperiod-ecotope model has not been subject to rigorous scrutiny and verification. The results cannot, therefore, be analysed in terms of absolute values of areas of various ecotopes, or their spatial distribution. The modelling, however, indicates two important effects that are directly relevant to the ecological condition of the entire Delta ecosystem, and have implications for the management of the system. Firstly, change in climatic conditions affects all ecotopes, and not only areas defined by change in ‘flooding envelope’. For example, drier climatic conditions will result in a reduction in area of all inundation-dependent ecotopes: aquatics, sedge-land and flooded grassland (savannah will obviously increase in extent, as it corresponds to the area that is not subject to inundation), and not just the aquatics. Additionally, the proportion of change may not be consistent across ecotopes; that is, the reduction in extent of some ecotopes may be substantial, while the extent of other ecotopes might change less.

Secondly, change in climatic conditions results in ‘migration’ of ecotopes within the system: ecotopes and their boundaries may shift towards the Delta inlet, or towards the distal parts of the Delta, depending on whether respectively drier or wetter conditions are simulated. Such effects could be associated with only limited change in the extent of flooded grassland and sedge-land ecotopes.

The effect of climate change on absolute and relative extents of ecotopes is significant because while each ecotope has a distinct ecosystem function, they are all ecologically linked through material or energy flows, or the provision of ecosystem services.

For example, the aquatic ecotope is either perennially submerged or submerged for more than 11 months of every year. Within this unit, anaerobic substrate conditions result in slow nutrient cycling. The stability conferred by perennial inundation, however, permits the development of more complex trophic structure, and this ecotope constitutes an important low-water refuge for many of the fish species (Merron and Bruton, 1995), including the commercially important cichlids. It is also a critical habitat for ecologically important fauna, such as the hippopotamus (Hippopotamus amphibius), an ecosystem engineer (McCarthy et al., 1998b) and the crocodile (Crocodylus niloticus), a major carnivore. Changes in extent of this ecotope will have direct effects on the populations of these species, and their ability to utilise and affect the seasonally flooded parts of the Delta.

The flooded grassland and the sedge-land ecotopes, on the other hand, are characterised by high productivity, primarily as a result of switching redox conditions in the soils with the sequestration of nutrients and their consequent release to the vegetation resulting in very high vegetative biomass production (Murray-Hudson, 2008). Much of this biomass sustains a very rapid growth of microbes and detritivorous consumers in the early stages of the incoming flood (Hoberg et al., 2002), enabling an up-trophic level movement of these nutrients and ultimately the export of a portion to other ecotopes. The pattern of usage of the seasonally flooded areas by fish for breeding and forage (Merron and Bruton, 1995) indicates that there is likely to be a significant return flow of nutrients from the seasonally inundated sedge-lands and flooded grasslands to the permanently flooded areas. The same seasonally flooded ecotopes are extensively utilised by grazing ungulates during the low-flood period, contributing to the extraordinarily high densities of wildlife which characterise the system during high-flood periods (SMEC, 1989).

Savannah represents floodplains that are infrequently flooded, either as a result of redistribution of flows on a medium time scale, resulting in a successional trend towards woodland, or simply because of their topographic position in relation to sources of flooding. These old floodplains form the hinterland for the large fauna which are the resource base of the tourism industry. The relative proportions of dry and wetland ecotopes control the balance of the diversity of this fauna.

The second effect, namely that of migration of ecotopes, has more immediately apparent implications, however, for the human economy. There has been, in the past few decades, a large capital investment in physical infrastructure within the Delta: up-market lodges and the necessary infrastructure (including more than 50 airstrips) to service the US$350m/a tourism industry are dispersed across the landscape. Tourist activities are dependent on the particular ecotopes accessible. Migration of ecotopes clearly has the potential to render much of this investment wasted.

Summary

In an attempt to assess effects of future climate change on the hydro-ecology of the Okavango Delta, a simple hydroperiod-ecotope model has been created, and linked to the existing suite of hydrological models of that system. Future climatic conditions predicted by 3 GCM models were used as an input to that suite of hydrological models and the hydroperiod-ecotope model. It was revealed that predictions of the analysed models differ not only in magnitude, but also in direction of hydrological and ecological change. Irrespective of the direction, change in climatic conditions will result in change in the extent of the Delta ecotopes, and change in their spatial distribution. Importantly, different ecotopes will be affected to varying degrees. The projected changes will have consequences for the wildlife-based management of the system. They will affect, for example, available grazing and migration/movement patterns of large herbivores, as well as fish. Such consequences can have rapid up-trophic level effects, ultimately leading to potentially substantial impacts on the economy. At this stage, however, given the uncertainty of each step in the complex process of translating modelled climate change into possible ecological change at an ecotope level, the main conclusion to be drawn is that management planning and systems should be as flexible as possible. Change will occur, that is certain, and modelling helps us to understand its potential magnitude.
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