An irresistible beauty in 1 Peter

1 Peter 3:1–5 is a paraenesis to wives concerning their identity, as Christ’s followers, to offer up glory to God with their status as wives. In Graeco-Roman marriage, being Christians held the potential for serious problems because of their belief and relationship with the society. In the midst of these challenges, Peter does not admonish wives to reject their Christian faith nor to merge their husbands’ religion(s) with Christianity. Instead, Peter calls them to remain committed completely to their faith and to their husbands (1 Pt 3:1). Therefore, this article attempts to demonstrate the accuracy of the above interpretation of 1 Peter 3:1–5. My thesis is as follows: Peter encourages a unique way to live as wives, that is, seeking an irresistible beauty, which is inward life, as a living testimony to your husband.

Intradisciplinary and/or interdisciplinary implications: This study contributes to gender studies through an exegetical study in 1 Peter.

Keywords: gender; Greek New Testament; 1 Peter; submission; living testimony.

Introduction

In Jewish culture, a woman was the mistress of the home but was not qualified to appear as a witness in the court and was exempt from fulfilling her religious duties. In Roman culture, it is ideal for women to pass from the subjection of their father to their husband (Ferguson 2003:78). In Graeco-Roman society, it was expected that the woman would not have her own friends and would worship the gods of her husband. If this expectation was applied to a Christian wife, it might result in trouble for several reasons. Firstly, the very fact that a woman would adopt any religion other than her husband’s violated the Graeco-Roman ideal of an orderly home. Even more germane to this text is that a wife was expected to worship her husband’s god(s) or face the charge of atheism. Therefore, in early Christianity, we find examples where the man’s conversion to Christianity implies the conversion of the entire household (Jn 4:53; Ac 16:31–34, 18:18; 1 Cor 1:16; Heb 11:7). Christians were frequently blamed for public calamity because they introduced a new god, upsetting the religious status quo of the empire (Frend 1967:137; Oborn 1939:131–148). Secondly, the husband and society would perceive the wife’s worship of Jesus Christ as rebellion, especially if she worshipped Christ exclusively, resulting in embarrassment and criticism amongst husbands for not properly managing their household. This could seriously damage his social standing, even to the point of disqualifying him for certain honours and offices (Jobes 2005:203). Thirdly, those wives who reject the family religion determined by their husbands would be particularly threatening to fathers, because wives were responsible for raising children for the first period of their lives (Davids 2005:226).

In the midst of these challenges, Peter does not admonish wives to reject their Christian faith, nor to merge their husbands’ religion(s) with Christianity (Dinkler 2007:10). Instead, Peter calls them to remain committed completely to their faith and committed completely to their husbands (1 Pt 3:1). 1 Peter 3:2 says that whilst all believers are to respond to unbelievers with a verbal account of their hope, the response of wives towards their unbelieving husbands is to be marked by pure and reverent or chaste conduct alone (Brown 2004:395–403). He also exhorts the wives to have an irresistible beauty by defining it as inward life and explains it in negative (1 Pt 3:3) and positive ways (1 Pt 3:4). At the end, he closes his exhortation to wives with the reasons for their submission by presenting the example of holy women in the past, in particular, the example of Sarah (1 Pt 3:5–6).

In this study, The author attempts to demonstrate the accuracy of the above interpretation of 1 Peter 3:1–5. My thesis is as follows: Peter encourages a unique way to live as wives: seeking an irresistible beauty, which is inward life, as a living testimony to your husband.
1 Peter 3:1–2: Submission as living testimony

3:1: ὁμοίως. Peter opens this section with ‘in the same way’, which is likely referring back to the submission of slaves to their masters from the previous section (1 Pt 2:18–25). Therefore, in the same way that slaves have God-given responsibilities with respect to their masters, wives too have their own responsibilities to their husbands (Dubis 2010:83).

[αι] γυναῖκες. Γυναῖκες, noun nominative feminine plural common from γυνή, could be translated as an adult female person (woman), a married woman (wife) and a newly married woman (bride). However, Peter seems to discuss only about wives: firstly, he uses the example of Sarah in terms of her obedience to Abraham (as husband and wife). Secondly, the use of ἰδίοις confines this exhortation to the marriage relationship because it underlines the private and unique relationship by the marriage tie. Although it would be hard to define either those women as brides or wives, the context denotes that those women are wives (3.7). Although the wives are in nominative case, it has a function as vocative (nominative for vocative).

The articular noun more likely serves as a simple substitute for a Semitic noun of address (Metzger 1998–2001:620; Michaels 1988:154), regardless of whether the addressee is inferior or superior (Wallace 1996:68). It is supported by the evidence that the articular for vocative also appears in verse 7 whilst addressing the husbands.

ὑποτασσόμεναι. The meaning of ὑποτάσσω in passive voice is ‘subject oneself, be subordinated, or obey’. This participle has ὑποτάσσομαι in the middle voice functions as reflexive or direct reflexive. It means that the use of the participle is not to be attached to the indicative (in any tense) in the protasis (Wallace 1996:690). In my understanding, the first condition view is more plausible. The occurrences of ἐν ταῖς in the New Testament (NT) could be, and most of all are, translated as ‘if anyone’ (Mt 16:24, Mk 4:23, 8:34, 9:35, Lk 9:23, 14:26; 1 Ti 3:1, 5; 5:4, 8, 16; 1 Cor 12:31). In favour of this view, Beare elucidates that saying ἐν with the indefinite pronoun is not truly a conditional clause but is more likely an example of the indefinite clause. He gives an example from Philippians 4:8, where ἐν with the indefinite pronoun is not from ὧδε (Beare 1947:127). It is supported by the evidence from 1 Peter itself (4:11): ὡς ταῖς appears twice in this verse and it is translated as ‘whoever’. As a result, the translation would be, ‘those who disobey’. The second view of this conjunction is the first-class condition and indicates ‘the assumption of the truth for the sake of argument’. Because this class uses the particle ἐν with the indicative (in any tense) in the protasis (Wallace 1996:690). In my understanding, the first condition view is more plausible. The occurrences of ὡς ταῖς in the New Testament (NT) could be, and most of all are, translated as ‘if anyone’ (Mt 16:24, Mk 4:23, 8:34, 9:35, Lk 9:23, 14:26; Ac 13:15, Rm 13:9, 1 Cor 3:17, 18, 7:12; 8:2, 10:27, 11:34, 14:37, 16:22; 2 Cor 5:17, 10:7, 11:10; Gl 1:9, Philp 3:4; 2 Th 3:10; 1 Tm 3:1; 5:16; 6:3; 1 Tt 1:6; Ja 1:23, 26; 3:2, 2 Jn 1:10; Rv 11:5, 13:9, 10; 14:9, 11; 20:15. Exceptions include 1 Cor 7:13, Eph 4:29, Philp 4:8. Debatable: Philp 2:1). The exception appears when ὡς ταῖς serves as an attributive to the main subject (1 Cor 7:13; Eph 4:29), stands as predicate nominative (Philp 4:8) or a comparative with conjunction ὡς (1 Pt 4:11). Consequently, the translation would be, ‘if those (anyone) who disobey’. This first-class conditional does not mean that, if the wives subject themselves to their husbands, they will certainly win their husbands.

Interestingly, instead of focusing on their lower status or on the established structure as the reason for their submission (Keener 1993:202); in the marriage context, the wives should thus subject themselves to their own husbands with evangelistic intent having faith in God.

In the LXX (Breton 2003), besides the meaning of subdued and think carefully, this word is also used as a substitute for two words, the verb: τινες and the noun: τινί in Hebrew. If there is any relationship in terms of meaning between subjected and stand still, it could be understood that Peter uses this word to encourage the wives. When they subject themselves to their husbands, they might face challenges, especially if their husbands are unbelievers. However, Peter urges them to stand still in their submission with their trust in God (1 Pt 3:5).

Emoji This conjunction has a function as a marker to denote the purpose of their submission (Wallace 1996:571). Although the wives might be under undesirable conditions in which to submit, their submission has an evangelistic function (Hiebert 1984:183).

κατά. It serves as the intensive adverb and modifies the conditional clause (even if).

καὶ. This conjunction could be interpreted in two ways: Firstly, BADG says καὶ with the indefinite pronoun, καὶ τουκρή τι, could be translated as ‘everyone who’, ‘whoever’, ‘everything that’ or ‘whatever’ (Mt 16:24; 18:28; Mk 4:23; 9:35; Lk 9:23; 14:26; 1 Ti 3:1, 5; 5:4, 8, 16; 1 Cor 12:31). In favour of this view, Beare elucidates that saying καὶ with the indefinite pronoun is not truly a conditional clause but is more likely an example of the indefinite clause. He gives an example from Philippians 4:8, where καὶ with the indefinite pronoun is not from ὧδε (Beare 1947:127). It is supported by the evidence from 1 Peter itself (4:11): καὶ ταῖς appears twice in this verse and it is translated as ‘whoever’. As a result, the translation would be, ‘those who disobey’. The second view of this conjunction is the first-class condition and indicates ‘the assumption of the truth for the sake of argument’. Because this class uses the particle καὶ with the indicative (in any tense) in the protasis (Wallace 1996:690). In my understanding, the first condition view is more plausible. The occurrences of καὶ ταῖς in the New Testament (NT) could be, and most of all are, translated as ‘if anyone’ (Mt 16:24, Mk 4:23, 8:34, 9:35, Lk 9:23, 14:26; Ac 13:15, Rm 13:9, 1 Cor 3:17, 18, 7:12; 8:2, 10:27, 11:34, 14:37, 16:22; 2 Cor 5:17, 10:7, 11:10; Gl 1:9, Philp 3:4; 2 Th 3:10; 1 Tm 3:1; 5:16; 6:3; 1 Tt 1:6; Ja 1:23, 26; 3:2, 2 Jn 1:10; Rv 11:5, 13:9, 10; 14:9, 11; 20:15. Exceptions include 1 Cor 7:13, Eph 4:29, Philp 4:8. Debatable: Philp 2:1). The exception appears when καὶ ταῖς serves as an attributive to the main subject (1 Cor 7:13; Eph 4:29), stands as predicate nominative (Philp 4:8) or a comparative with conjunction καὶ (1 Pt 4:11). Consequently, the translation would be, ‘if those (anyone) who disobey’. This first-class conditional does not mean that, if the wives subject themselves to their husbands, they will certainly win their husbands.
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The word seems to point to the word of God. It appears four times in 1 Peter and refers to the word of God. The occurrences are one in genitive case (1 Pt 1:23) and two in dative case (1 Pt 2:8; 3:1). With ἐποπτεύσαντες, this phrase seems to suggest the thought that refusal to believe the gospel is evidence of disobedience to God.

διὰ. This preposition is followed by τῆς ἀναστροφῆς. It serves as a marker of instrumentality, whereby something is accomplished or effected. In this case, the behaviour (manner) of their wives serves as an instrument to accomplish evangelistic intent. Behaviour is one of the most important topics in 1 Peter – it appears six times in this letter. Again, Peter insists that his readers must have a Christian conduct – an outward life that is formed by Christian faith and love, as this would be the most effective means of overcoming hostility and even of turning opponents into disciples (Beare 1947:128).

ἀνευ λόγου. This is an emphasis that winning their husbands and overcoming hostility can be accomplished through their silent testimony of Christian life and character.

κερδηθήσονται. The basic meanings of this word include ‘to gain’ and ‘to spare’. Nonetheless, figuratively it has a sense of winning their husbands over to a certain point of view, such as conversion to the Christian faith. The concept of ‘winning men to Christ’ is also found in 1 Corinthians 9:19–22. It also appears in Matthew 18:15; Philippians 3:8 and 1 Corinthians 2:35.

3:2: ἐποπτεύσαντες. The aorist here may be intended, as it is frequently, to indicate time before the main verb (καταδεικνύονται). It means that the husbands should be observed before they come to Christ. As this aorist participle identifies the ‘observing’ as antecedent action, it suits a causal interpretation. Peter reiterates the importance of having a godly life. As their husbands pay close attention to their conduct (ἐποπτεύσαντες), they will conquer their verbal hostility and even win their husbands’ souls for Christ by their silent demonstration of its transforming power in their daily conduct. Their society would also struggle to find any wrongdoing. This has the same connotation as in the story of Daniel. The people in Babel sought to find any grounds to raise a complaint against Daniel; however, they could not find any complaint or fault because he was faithful (Dn 6:4). The example from the Old Testament (OT) makes it clear that, by faithfulness and good conduct, the society would be hard-pressed to accuse or slander God’s people.

τὴν ἄγνην ἀναστροφήν. This phrase is an accusative direct object of ἐποπτεύσαντες in the first attributive position. In this construction, the adjective receives greater emphasis than the substantive (Robertson 1914:776). Hence, the ‘holy’ receives a greater emphasis. ἁγίος, closely related to ἁγιός, is a distinctively religious word. It suggests a purity charged with piety and commanding reverence. ἐν φόβῳ. This is a dative of manner and modifies ἀναστροφήν φόβο to refer to reverence or respect (LXX: Gn 31:42, 53; Ex 20:20; NT: Eph 5:21). The implicit object of ‘fearing’ is not the unbelieving husbands but God (Dubis 2010:85). This is made clear in verse 4, where the focus is on what is precious in God’s sight, and in verse 6 by the exhortation not to be overcome by fear (Forbes 2014:84).

1 Peter 3:3–4: The exhortation to pursue an irresistible beauty

3:3: ἀν. It serves as a ‘hinge word’. However, this genitive relative pronoun introduces the syntactically difficult verses 3–4, which hinges on how to interpret ἀν itself. Achtemeier apparently reads this relative pronoun as a predicate of ἔστο, the subject of which is ὁ ἐξοθηθεὶς ἐμπλοκῆς τριχῶν καὶ περιθέσεως χρυσίων ἢ ἐνδύσεως ἱματίων κόσμος, with the genitive case of the relative pronoun being possessive (Achtemeier 1996:213; Elliot 2000:561). This would yield the sense ‘[I]et not external adornment . . . be yours, but let the unseen person of the heart . . . be yours’. This understanding becomes difficult to carry through in verse 4. More likely, the predicate is not ἀν, but rather an implied repetition of κόσμος, which ἀν modifies as an objective genitive. Verse 4 parallels the same construction, which once again implies ἐνδύσεως κόσμος and the predicate κόσμος from verse 3. The Greek text of verses 3–4, with implied elements supplied, would thus be ἄν ἐκοθηθεὶς ὁ ἐξοθηθεὶς ἐμπλοκῆς τριχῶν καὶ περιθέσεως χρυσίων ἢ ἐνδύσεως ἱματίων κόσμος. This yields the translation (Dubis 2010):

[I]et not the external adornment that consists in hairstyles, jewellery, and clothes be that which beautifies you, but let the unseen person of the heart be that which beautifies you. (p. 86)

More likely, this ἀν modifies as an objective genitive.

ἔστο. This is a command. With the present, the force generally is to command the action as an ongoing process. This is in keeping with the present aspect, which portrays an internal perspective (Wallace 1996:485). Applying this concept, it means that Peter exhorts the wives not to focus on external beauty.

οὐχ . . . ἀλλ᾽. Dubis states that this correlative pair functions as a negative–positive construction. It means that this pair serves as a negative clause in verse 3 and a positive clause introduced by ἀλλ᾽ in verse 4 (1 Pt 1:12; Dubis 2010:87).

ἐξοθηθεὶς. This adverb modifies the nominative ‘κόσμος’. It explains the kind of beauty that Peter discusses in this verse. ἐξοθηθεὶς is related to a position on a surface or having to do with the outside.

ὁ . . . κόσμος. The noun κόσμος, derived from cosmetics, is used in its original sense of an orderly arrangement, and
hence, adornment or decoration (elsewhere in the NT, the term signifies ‘the world’ as an orderly system). The article goes with κόσμος. The reason the article is separated from its subject is because it seems that Peter wants to state specific examples that are parts of larger categories named by κόσμος. It is frequently used when the head noun is ambiguous. Consequently, Peter puts the adverb and the three substantial phrases between ὁ and κόσμος to define the inherent quality of that adornment.

έμπλοκής τριχῶν καὶ περιθέσεως χρυσίων ἢ ἐνδύσεως ἵματιων. Interestingly enough, all of the words are in noun. Achtemeier (1996:212–213) suggested that the lack of an adjective in the Greek implies that the command regarding hairstyles, jewellery and clothing is not an absolute one but one of emphasis, stressing the importance of inner adornment whilst not completely prohibiting external adornment. In these three genitival phrases, the first genitive in each phrase is likely a subjective genitive because it involves the verbal idea (verbal noun). In support of this, BDAG also translates this first genitive in each phrase as a verbal noun: ἔμπλοκής: braiding; περιθέσεως: putting on or around as an act of wearing or putting on; ἐνδύσεως: (1) the act of putting on, and (2) that which is put on, clothing. Therefore, these first genitives have the function of converting the verbal noun, to which the genitive is related, into a verbal form and turn the genitive into its subject (Wallace 1996:113). The second genitives are objective because, semantically, they serve as the direct object of the verbal idea implicit in the head noun.

έμπλοκής is used only here in the NT and denotes an action, so not the use of braids but the elaborate process of braiding that involves the services of a professional hairdresser. In Peter’s Day, the hairstyles of fashionable Roman ladies consumed much time and attention, and were highly artificial and ostentatious (Hiebert 1984:186).

καί continues the portrayal ‘the wearing of jewels of gold’. The towering hairdo was secured with costly combs and hairnets of gold. However, περιθέσεως [putting around] is literally broader, as it includes the common practice of placing various golden objects, such as chains, rings and bracelets around the neck, ankles, arms, and fingers, and suspending glittering ornaments from the ears.

The use of ἢ before the third item implies an alternative method of extravagant display ‘or of putting on apparel’.

ἐνδύσεως is a term not used elsewhere in the NT, and is another noun of action which apparently indicates the practice of appearing in a great variety of dresses, ‘the frequent changing of frocks’ (Wand 1934:90). The Greek term refers particularly to the outer garments worn. Although no direct reference is made to the costly nature of those garments (1 Tm 2:9), the description indicates their sumptuous nature. They were put on for show, that is, for a display of personal vanity. It goes without saying that the design of the passage is not to encourage slovenliness or sordid indifference towards female attire. Nor does it constitute an absolute prohibition of braids or the use of any items of jewellery any more than it forbids the actual putting on of clothes. It is a warning against extravagance and self-centred display. It is possible to be guilty of the evil Peter rebuked by wearing too little, as well as wearing too much (Lillie 1978:188).

3:4: Positive way

3:4: ἀλλ᾽. Verse 4 commences with a contrastive conjunction. It suggests a contrast or opposing thought to the idea in verse 3, κρυπτὸς. This word pertains to something that is unknown because of its being hidden. κρυπτὸς is probably used to contrast with ἔξωθεν in the first attributive position. As a result, κρυπτὸς receives greater emphasis than ἀφθάρτῳ. Interestingly, the real adornment has been described both in verse 3 by the absence of adjective in genitive phrase construction, which places the emphasis not on external adornment, and the article before the adjective in verse 4, which puts a greater focus on the hidden. In short, the importance of internal adornment is described by two negative conjunctions (οὐ and ἀλλ᾽), the nouns in the genitive phrase (3:3) and the article before the adjective (3:4).

tῆς καρδίας. The genitive is located between ὁ κρυπτὸς and ἀφθάρτῳ or in a sandwiched construction. Therefore, τῆς καρδίας stands as appositive genitive to describe that inner being, the renewed personality, as the seat of life.

ἐν τῷ ἀφθάρτῳ ἄφθαρτος appears seven times in the Bible. Three occurrences refer to God: the glory of God (Rm 1:23), the word of God (1 Pt 1:23) and God himself (1 Tm 1:17). The other three belong to the eschatological things for believers: a resurrected body (1 Cor 15:52), imperishable wreath (1 Cor 9:25) and future inheritance (1 Pt 1:4). Based on a lexical analysis, it is quite interesting to note that Peter does not apply ἄφθαρτός to God or use it in an eschatological sense. However, Peter encourages the wives that they could have imperishable beauty, which does not belong to God or to the future, but which is their godly character in this life. Robertson (1914:758) pointed out that the article could emphasise the quality. Furthermore, ἄφθαρτός is abstract noun by their nature and with the article, it focusses on quality not being subject to corruption. However, the preposition ἐν has the function of reinforcing the instrument (Smyth & Messing 1984:377). The proper translation would be ‘with the imperishable quality’.

tοῦ πραέως καὶ ἀπεχθῆναι πνεύματος. πνεύματος stands as an attributed genitive to the τῷ ἄφθαρτῳ because τῷ ἄφθαρτῳ is functioning (in a sense) as an attributive adjective. The imperishable quality modifies the spirit. The article goes with two adjectives to modify πνεύματος by giving two characteristics of it. Therefore, the primary focus is πνεύματος. The
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definite article with spirit, ‘the spirit’, points to the well-known spirit that characterises the saintly believer’s relations to others. Nonetheless, if the τοῦ goes πνεύματος, it means that these two adjectives seem to be slightly more emphatic than the noun. This construction, however, is in attributive position. The spiritual functions as a subjective genitive of these two genitives as πνεύματος functions semantically as the subject of the verbal idea implicit in the head noun. Πρακτικός describes her bearing as gentle, considerate and unassuming in its relation to others. However, ἰσχύος is more general in scope. It pictures a quiet disposition as contrasted to a noisy and boisterous attitude. It is a spirit which calmly bears the disturbances created by others and which itself does not create disturbances (Forbes 2014:112).

6. Hiebert (1984:129) mentioned that this relative pronoun could be interpreted in two ways: it could point back to πνεύματος as its antecedent or ἰσχύος, or to the entire preceding clause. I believe that it would be better to take it as attaching to the entire preceding clause for several reasons: firstly, contextual argument. Peter exhorts the wives to have true adornment, and he demonstrates it in two ways, both negative and positive, as the whole thought of κόσμος. Thus, it would be an unconvincing view if someone takes only one way as something which is precious before God. Secondly, this construction also appears in verse 1 Peter 1:6 and goes back to the whole thought. Therefore, that which is precious in the sight of God is the immortal, imperishable beauty of the inward life, not the richness of outward adornment.

πολυτελές. It is pertaining to being a great value or worth. It appears only three times in the NT and one in Septuagint (Is 28:16). In the NT, two of them (Mk 14:3; 1 Tm 2:9) refer to outside adornments, such as ointment and garments. However, Peter uses this word ‘πολυτελές’ to exclusively refer to the inward life. Consequently, Peter encourages them that God himself appraises their inward adornment at high value and as an irresistible beauty.

1 Peter 3:5: The reason for submission

3:5: οὗτος. This adverb has a function to demonstrate in terms of manner, and this form generally refers to what precedes (Smyth & Messing 1984:307). As a result, Peter points back to the attitude mentioned in previous verses.

γάρ. This conjunction is used to provide the background (a marker of cause) of his exhortation.

ποτέ. This adverb is pertaining to the time of the past. Peter employs this word to emphasise the wives pursuing inward beauty which is not something new, but it has been performed since the early times by them.

Καί. It is a marker to indicate an additive relation that is not coordinated to connect clauses or sentences and functions as an adverbial to αἱ ἅγιαι γυναῖκες. Applying this idea, Peter reminds the readers that the saintly wives of the OT themselves did what he is asking them to do.

Αἱ ἅγιαι γυναῖκες. This is the fourth occurrence of the attributive position (one in 3:2 and two in 3:4). Therefore, he focusses his exhortation on these traits using adjective. Peter grounds his exhortation to the holy women. If the wives do what Peter asks, they are in the same category of women as these holy ones. They were holy because they were members of God’s people and set apart as belonging to the God of Israel. Enshrined in the OT records, they possessed a personal dignity and spiritual importance for the Christian women whom Peter counselled. As in Hebrews 2, the men and women of Israel’s sacred literature are regarded as the heroic predecessors, the famed spiritual ancestry of the house of faith, supplanting in the imagination of the faithful the heroes of Greek and Roman history and legend whom they had been taught to honour and to emulate in the days of their pagan upbringing. Frommuller (1941:52) mentioned that these exemplary women are identified as the holy women – a specific, unnamed group, ‘those women of blessed memory’. Then, Peter refers to OT women to motivate the nascent church to emulate them in the sense of historic continuity with the ancient people of God. Because the Christian women whom Peter addressed placed their hope in God, they and the OT women had a similar perspective. Some of these wives were being treated unfairly by their husbands; however, they were nonetheless instructed to be models of deference through their submission to those husbands (3:1–2).

αἱ ἐλπίζουσαι. It is an attributive participle form, which modifies αἱ ἅγιαι γυναῖκες and has an article. The articular present active participle describes them as a group with the characteristic activity of hoping in God, that is, having a hope that reached up and was resting in God. They lived their daily lives with the expectancy that God would accomplish his promise (Hiebert 1984:189). Furthermore, the participle in the present tense is a habitual (customary) present. It means that holy women regularly place their hope in God in the OT. The present participle could be read as an antecedent or contemporaneous of the main verb ‘ἐκόσμουν’. If it is read as antecedent, the translation would be ‘after hoping in God, they used to adorn themselves’. However, if it is present, it would be, ‘while hoping in God, they used to adorn themselves’. Nevertheless, it seems that this present participle is read as an antecedent of ἐκόσμουν. Wallace (1996:622) argued that the participle can be broadly antecedent to the time of the main verb, especially if it is articular. In this case, the participle is articular. Before the OT women adorn themselves, they had their hope in God. In other words, their hope in Christ drives them to adorn themselves in submission. Although hoping in God thus comes before adorning, this hope continues as their habit.

ζιξ θεόν. This phrase occurs four times in 1 Peter – 1:21 (twice), 3:5 and 3:21. Two of them are going with the word hope, in
either noun or verb, and imply location (putting their hope in God). According to 1 Peter, such a hope should be the true attitude of God’s people (1:3; 13, 21; 3:15). Hiebert (1984:189) argued that such a living hope would enable wives to be submissive under difficult and hostile circumstances and would free them from the snare of being preoccupied with excessive adornments.

ἐκόσμουν. The word adorn appears twice in this passage. In verse 3:3, the adornment appears as a noun. In this verse (3:5), it occurs as a verb. As beauty is the most important topic for women in those days, Peter employs the word beauty not only to get his readers’ attention but also to expose an irresistible beauty. The OT women adorned themselves to have an attractive appearance from their submission. Their inward life made them attractive. The imperfect is a customary (habitual) imperfect. Peter views their behaviour to adorn themselves as a regularly recurring activity in past times and as an ongoing state (continually).

ἐαυτάς is a reflexive pronoun and has the function to indicate that the subject (holy women) is also the object of adorning. Also, this pronoun is used to highlight the participation of the subject in the verbal action as object (Wallace 1996:350).

υποτασσόμενι. It occurs twice in this passage (3:1, 5). However, this is a manner participle because it indicates the manner (attitude) in which the action of ἐκόσμουν is carried out. Therefore, being in subjection to their own husbands should remind the readers of the time when these holy women used to adorn themselves.

Conclusion

1 Peter 3:1–5 is an exhortation to all Christian wives to submit to their husbands, whether they are believers, to share the gospel through their submission. Although most women had to submit to their husbands because of their status in marriage as institution, Peter encourages them to submit because it is God’s will. Whilst most women adorned themselves outwardly to please their husbands, the apostle emphasises the importance of having the true adornment before God – an inward life of faith and hope. Therefore, Peter’s instruction was not only practical but radical as well. As Campbell (1998) asserted:

Undoubtedly, the Christian wives to whom Peter writes have virtually no chance for an egalitarian marital arrangement. Yet for them to remain faithful Christians, maintaining their own religious identity is quite revolutionary. (p. 151)
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