Assessment of undergraduate orthopaedic training at medical schools in South Africa
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Abstract
Background:
A familiarity with basic musculoskeletal disorders is of vital importance for medical school graduates. The purpose of this study was to assess a group of newly qualified South African medical school graduates commencing internship at Groote Schuur and Tygerberg Hospitals for competency in musculoskeletal injury and disease.

Methods:
A internationally validated competency examination in musculoskeletal medicine was used as the assessment tool. The examination consisted of 25 short-answer questions and was marked using a validated answer key and scoring system. Topics included fractures and dislocations, back pain, arthritis, basic anatomical knowledge and emergencies that require urgent referral to an orthopaedic surgeon.

The study group comprised 79 interns who were in their first postgraduate year at Groote Schuur or Tygerberg Hospitals. The examination was administered during the orientation programme on their first day at work. The examination was also administered to all registrars in orthopaedic surgery at the University of Cape Town. Data was analysed using Stata 11 to estimate percentages and their binomial exact 95% confidence intervals.

Results:
The recommended mean passing score for the examination was 73.1 ± 6.8 per cent. The mean score for the 17 orthopaedic registrars was 96.0 per cent, and that for the 79 interns in their first postgraduate year was 45.3 per cent (95% CI 42.3-48.4). Seventy-two (91 per cent) of the 79 interns failed to demonstrate basic competency in the examination.
Introduction

Musculoskeletal symptoms account for 23% to 27.8% of primary care, and approximately 20% of accident and emergency attendances internationally.1,2,3 A solid knowledge base in orthopaedic medicine should be acquired in medical school and refined during post-graduate training. However, only a small percentage of the undergraduate curriculum at South African medical schools is allocated to teaching orthopaedic medicine. The national average is six weeks of time allocated to training, and in some institutions this time is combined with training in other specialties, thereby effectively reducing the exposure to orthopaedic medicine even further. One-third of medical schools do not have on-call duties for their medical students.

The provision of musculoskeletal care comes from a broad spectrum of practitioners, including family practitioners, emergency physicians, rheumatologists, paediatricians and internists. Orthopaedic surgeons currently provide only 6% of musculoskeletal care in many developed countries.4 Good knowledge of the basics in musculoskeletal disorders is therefore essential for all medical school graduates.

In addition community service officers and medical officers in South Africa are exposed to a considerable load of musculoskeletal illness and injury, often without readily accessible specialist support.

Freedman and Bernstein designed a basic competency examination in musculoskeletal medicine.5 The examination was validated by 124 chairs of Orthopaedic residency programmes in the United States. The chairs weighted the questions according to importance and recommended a pass mark of 73.1%.

In their original study from Philadelphia in 1997, Freedman and Bernstein used their examination to assess 85 residents at the start of their first post-graduate year. Seventy (82 per cent) of the residents failed the basic-competency examination in musculoskeletal medicine.

Al-Nammari, James and Ramachandran from Barts in London assessed 112 interns at the end of their two-year foundation programme. One-hundred-and-two of 112 (91.1%) failed the same musculoskeletal assessment.6

We wanted to investigate the magnitude of this problem in a South Africa context. We applied Freedman and Bernstein’s assessment tool to a group of recently graduated doctors during their intern orientation programme.

Materials and methods

We enrolled interns who were in their first post-graduate year in 2010 at Groote Schuur and Tygerberg Hospitals and asked them to complete the Freedman and Bernstein musculoskeletal examination (Table 1).7 The test was administered on the first day of the intern orientation programme at the two respective hospitals.

Testing was performed with the co-operation of the intern curators. Verbal informed consent was obtained and the examination was anonymous. The participants were asked to record only the medical school from which they obtained their medical degree. No time limit was applied.

All interns who were approached agreed to participate. In total 79 interns completed the examination, 53 from Groote Schuur Hospital and 26 from Tygerberg Hospital.

The Freedman and Bernstein musculoskeletal examination was developed to test how well medical school graduates understood basic musculoskeletal problems. It was produced and later validated by those chairing residency programmes in both orthopaedic and internal medicine.4,5

The pass mark was set at 73.1% by the orthopaedic surgeons and 70% by the physicians. The examination consists of 25 short-answer questions with an open response format. The questions were also weighted according to importance from 0 to 10 by the orthopaedic chairs.

Topics include fractures and dislocations, back pain, arthritis, basic anatomical knowledge and emergencies that require immediate referral to an orthopaedic surgeon. Details on treatment and outcome were omitted from the examination.

The examination was scored anonymously according to the validated scoring system and answer key. In our study, the overall unweighted score was calculated as described in the original paper and the recommended pass mark set at 73.1% as recommended by the 124 chairpersons of orthopaedic residency programmes in the United States. Each question was worth a maximum of 1 point. In order to obtain a score from 0 to 100, raw scores were multiplied by 4.

Conclusion:

In summary, 91 per cent of medical school graduates in our study failed a valid musculoskeletal competency examination. We therefore believe that medical school preparation in musculoskeletal injury and disease in South Africa is inadequate and that undergraduate training programmes should be reassessed throughout the country.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Interns' score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>What common problem must all newborns be examined for?</td>
<td>Congenital dislocation of the hip (CDH, dislocation, subluxation also accepted): 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>What is a compartment syndrome?</td>
<td>Increased pressure in a closed fascial space: 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Acute septic arthritis of the knee may be differentiated from inflammatory arthritis by which laboratory test?</td>
<td>Any analysis of fluid from aspiration (cell count, Gram stain, culture): 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>A patient dislocates his knee in a car accident. What structure(s) is/are at risk for injury and therefore must be evaluated?</td>
<td>Must mention popliteal artery: 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>A patient punches his companion in the face and sustains a fracture of the 5th metacarpal and a 3 mm break in the skin over the fracture. What is the correct treatment, and why?</td>
<td>Irrigation and debridement; risk of infection: 1/2 point each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>A patient comes to the office complaining of low back pain that wakes him up from sleep. What two diagnoses are you concerned about?</td>
<td>Hip dislocation: 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>How is compartment syndrome treated?</td>
<td>Fasciotomy (surgery also accepted): 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>A patient lands on his hand and is tender to palpation with the arm at the side? cuff): 1 point</td>
<td>Scaphoid fracture (carpal bone fracture also accepted): 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>A 25-year-old man is involved in a motor vehicle accident. His left limb is in a position of flexion at the knee and the hip, with internal rotation and adduction of the hip. What is the most likely diagnosis?</td>
<td>Hip dislocation: 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>What nerve is compressed in carpal tunnel syndrome?</td>
<td>Median nerve: 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>A patient had a disc herniation pressing on the 5th lumbar nerve root. How is motor function of the 5th lumbar nerve root tested?</td>
<td>Dorsiflexion of the great toe (toe extenders also accepted): 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>How is motor function of the median nerve tested in the hand?</td>
<td>Any median function (metacarpophalangeal finger flexion; thumb opposition, flexion, or abduction): 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>A 12-year-old boy severely twists his ankle. Radiographs show only soft-tissue swelling. He is tender at the distal aspect of the fibula. What are 2 possible diagnoses?</td>
<td>Ligament sprain and Sailer-Harris I fracture (sprain, fracture also accepted): 1/2 point each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>A patient presents with new-onset low back pain. Under what conditions are plain radiographs indicated? Please name 5 (example: history of trauma).</td>
<td>Age &gt; 50; neurological deficit; bowel or bladder changes; history of cancer, pregnancy, drug use, or steroid use; systemic symptoms (night pain, fever); paediatric population: 1/4 point each, full credit for 4 correct responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>A patient has a displaced fracture near the fibular neck. What structure is at risk for injury?</td>
<td>Common peroneal nerve (peroneal nerve also accepted): 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>A 20-year-old injured his knee while playing football. You see him on the same day, and he has a knee effusion. An aspiration shows frank blood. What are the three most common diagnoses?</td>
<td>Ligament tear, fracture, peroneal meniscal tear (capsular tear, patellar dislocation also accepted): 1/2 point each, full credit for 2 correct responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>What are the five most common sources of cancer metastases to bone?</td>
<td>Breast, prostate, lung, kidney, thyroid: 1/4 point each, full credit for 4 correct responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Name two differences between rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis.</td>
<td>Any two correct statements (i.e. inflammatory vs degenerative, proximal interphalangeal joint vs distal interphalangeal joint, etc): 1/2 point each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Which malignancy may be present in bone yet typically is not detected with a bone scan?</td>
<td>Myeloma (full credit for haematological malignancies - leukaemia, lymphoma): 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>What is the function of the normal anterior cruciate ligament at the knee?</td>
<td>To prevent anterior displacement of the tibia on the femur: 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>What is the difference between osteoporosis and osteomalacia?</td>
<td>Osteoporosis: decreased bone density; osteomalacia; decreased bone mineralisation (any true statement about epidemiology, pathophysiology, e.g. oestrogen vs vitamin D, also accepted): 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>In elderly patients, displaced fractures of the femoral neck are typically treated with joint replacement, whereas fractures near the trochanter are treated with plates and screws. Why?</td>
<td>Blood supply to femoral head (vascular necrosis, non-union also accepted): 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>What muscle(s) is/are involved in lateral epicondylitis (tennis elbow)?</td>
<td>Wrist extensors (full credit for any wrist extensor – extensor carpi radialis brevis, extensor carpi radialis longus, extensor digitorum communis): 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Rupture of the biceps at the elbow results in weakness of both elbow flexion and ________?</td>
<td>Supination: 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>What muscle(s) control(s) external rotation of the humerus with the arm at the side?</td>
<td>Infraspinatus or teres minor accepted (full credit for rotator cuff): 1 point</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Weighted scores were also calculated in order to examine the hypothesis that the overall score may inadequately reflect the participants’ level of competence in orthopaedic medicine because interns may perform better on the most important questions and worse on the least important ones. For example, question 2: ‘What is a compartment syndrome?’ was weighted twice as important as question 25: ‘What muscle(s) control(s) external rotation of the humerus with the arm at the side?’

As an additional test of validity, the examination was administered to all registrars in orthopaedic surgery at the University of Cape Town. This step was performed to ascertain whether a high score would be attained given an appropriate knowledge of orthopaedics.

Data were analysed using Stata 11 to estimate percentages and their binomial exact 95% confidence intervals.

**Results**

**Overall unweighted score**

The mean score for the 17 orthopaedic registrars was 96.0 per cent, and that for the 79 interns in their first postgraduate year was 45.3 per cent (95% CI 42.3-48.4), with a range of 8.0 to 77.0 per cent. Seventy-two interns (91 per cent) had a score of less than 73.1 ± 6.8 per cent and thus failed to demonstrate basic competency on the examination. The scores for the individual questions ranged from as high as 92.3 per cent to as low as 9.0 per cent (Table I).

**Weighted score**

In order to examine the hypothesis that the interns may have scored well on the most important questions and poorly on the least important questions, a weighted score was calculated. The overall weighted score for all interns was 47.0 per cent (95% CI 43.9-50.1). Seventy-one (90 per cent) of the 79 interns failed the examination when the questions were weighted according to their attributed importance.

**Individual component scores**

Anatomy-based questions (questions 8, 10, 11, 12, 15, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25) were answered poorly, with an average score of 37.9 per cent (95% CI 33.6-42.3) compared to the overall average score of 45.3 per cent. ‘Red flag’ questions (questions 2, 4, 5, 6, 7) were better answered, with an average score of 55.3 per cent (95% CI 50.7-59.8), compared to the overall average score of 45.3 per cent.

**Discussion**

Our study suggests that the majority of newly qualified South African doctors do not have a basic level of competence in orthopaedic medicine. At the start of their internship, with their final undergraduate medical exams just recently completed, only 9 per cent (7 out of 79) passed the basic Freedman and Bernstein musculoskeletal assessment. This is extremely alarming given the high prevalence of orthopaedic conditions in trauma and general medicine in an environment where a large number of interns, community service officers, medical officers and general practitioners work without specialist support.

However, our findings were comparable with similar studies in other centres. In their original study from Philadelphia in 1997, Freedman and Bernstein assessed 85 residents at the start of their first postgraduate year. Seventy (82 per cent) of the residents failed the same musculoskeletal assessment. Al-Nammari, James and Ramachandran (London, 2008) assessed 112 interns at the end of their two-year foundation programme. One hundred and two of 112 (91.1%) failed the Freedman and Bernstein musculoskeletal assessment. These studies signal similar concern, internationally, about the adequacy of training among medical practitioners.

The interns performed particularly poorly in the anatomy-based questions, with an average score of 37.9 per cent. While the ‘red flag’ group of questions was better answered, this is misleading as only half the candidates knew to look for a vascular injury in a patient with a dislocated knee, and only 13 per cent knew the basic management of an open fracture. In addition, only 6 per cent of the study group considered both tumour and infection in someone with lower back pain which woke them from sleep.

We corresponded with our fellow medical schools and collected data relating to the nature of their undergraduate orthopaedic programmes. While our study population was too small to allow any statistically significant sub-analysis, we were able to demonstrate a trend, with the interns who scored higher in the assessment coming from programmes with more time allocated to orthopaedic training and from programmes which included on-call duties for the students. These findings are supported by Freedman and Bernstein’s original study, which found that residents who had taken an elective course in orthopaedic surgery in medical school had a significantly higher mean score in the assessment.

These findings suggest that the undergraduate medical curriculum in South Africa should allow for more exposure to training in orthopaedic medicine. Currently the average length of time set aside for undergraduate orthopaedic teaching in South African medical schools is six weeks, a disproportionate amount of time given the orthopaedic load experienced in our hospitals.

It is also worth considering that the content of the current curriculum may need to be reassessed. In a later study, Freedman and Bernstein asked 240 programme directors of residency programmes in internal medicine to rate the importance of various topics in orthopaedic medicine. They suggested the current curriculum in the United States probably over-emphasised surgical practice, and that the ‘ideal course in musculoskeletal medicine should concentrate on common outpatient orthopaedic problems, orthopaedic emergencies, and the musculoskeletal physical examination’.

Data were analysed using Stata 11 to estimate percentages and their binomial exact 95% confidence intervals.
The particularly poor knowledge of anatomy demonstrated by the interns in the assessment suggests that this component should receive increased emphasis in the undergraduate curriculum. A good knowledge of anatomy is essential, not only to understanding any injury or disease process but also to safe practice in any clinical medical field. Our study group scored lowest in the anatomy-based questions, with an average score of 37.9 per cent, compared to the overall average score of 45.3 per cent.

Further study to define why our medical students perform poorly is required. A review of the curriculum including aspects like time allocation and content, as well as teaching methods and teacher skills is essential if we are to ensure that medical students, during the course of their undergraduate training, obtain the knowledge and skills which will enable them to go out into the community and practise good medicine.

There are several limitations to this study. Freedman and Bernstein’s musculoskeletal examination is the only validated assessment tool currently available. The authors of the questionnaire accept its weaknesses and acknowledge that its validity may be limited by ‘the distribution of the topics, the open response format, the wording of the questions, and the accepted answers’.

We used the pass mark of ≥73.1% established by 124 orthopaedic programme directors instead of that of ≥70% established by 240 internal medicine programme directors. Selecting the lower pass mark may have changed some of our findings. Our study may have been limited by sample bias, with participants coming exclusively from two large tertiary hospitals in Cape Town. Our intern group did however include graduates from all of the South African medical schools.
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Prof Cas Motala

Char Publications was deeply saddened to learn of the sudden death of Prof Cas Motala in early November, while attending the Asia-Pacific Society of Allergy and Clinical Immunology conference in Singapore. Prof Motala was a highly respected, loved and admired paediatrician and allergologist, husband, father, son and dear friend. Our condolences to all those who knew and loved him.