Simultaneous ipsilateral femur and tibia lengthening after an iatrogenic nerve injury: Shortening external fixator time
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Abstract
Limb lengthening using distraction osteogenesis is an established technique; however it is often limited by prolonged external fixation times, with their associated difficulties and complications. A case is presented where a leg lengthening was performed with a relatively short external fixation time. This was made possible by the equal distribution of the patient’s leg length discrepancy between the femur and tibia, secondary to an iatrogenic nerve injury while the patient was still growing. The technique of simultaneous ipsilateral femoral and tibial lengthening was shown to be an effective method of shortening external fixator time in this case and opens the door for further application of this technique in other clinical scenarios.

Background
Leg lengthening through distraction histogenesis is a well-established treatment method for congenital, post-infective or post-traumatic shortening of legs.1-6 This is normally achieved with the use of external fixators1,3,6 or implantable lengthening intramedullary nails.4-5,9-11 This procedure, although effective, can be time consuming and fraught with complications related to the device or the lengthening itself.1,3,7,12-13

The time that the external fixator frame is required on the patient to achieve a specified amount of lengthening is quantified using the healing, and more recently, the external fixation index. This is defined as the amount of time in the fixator per amount of lengthening achieved and is normally expressed as either days or months per centimetre of lengthening.2,3,14 This time period includes the lag period (a waiting time of approximately ten days after surgery before distraction is commenced), the distraction time (where the bone is gradually lengthened to its new length) and the consolidation period (where the fixator is locked down to allow bony union). The external fixation index for lengthening in adults is accepted as upward of 1.5 months per centimetre1,14-15 (Figure 1). The implication of this is an extended time of 6-8 months in an external fixation frame to achieve a 4 cm lengthening. This prolonged external fixation time is uncomfortable for the patient and additionally increases the risks of specific complications associated with limb lengthening,1,3,7,12,13 some of which are related to the type of device used to lengthen the limb segment. This includes, for example, pin tract infections with the use of an external fixator1,3,5,14 and uncontrolled lengthening with the use of an intramedullary device.4,11 Furthermore, complications can arise as a result of the lengthening itself and can manifest as adjacent joint stiffness and subluxation.1,3,7,12,13
As most of these complications are related to the extent of the lengthening as well as the time the patient spends in an external fixator, it is desirable to keep external fixation times as short as possible.

Iatrogenic sciatic nerve injuries after intramuscular injections in the gluteal region have been reported in the literature, but the true prevalence is still unknown. Injuries like these can give rise to varying degrees of sciatic nerve deficit and, although not clearly defined in the literature, can conceivably lead to limb shortening if it occurs in a growing child. These types of injuries seem to be more prevalent in the developing world.

Case presentation
Mr VN is a 22-year-old male who was referred from a spinal surgeon with a painful, mobile scoliosis in the lumbar spine as a compensation for a significant leg length discrepancy. The patient’s history includes an iatrogenic sciatic nerve injury after receiving an intramuscular injection in the gluteal region approximately 10 years before, resulting in a right sided drop-foot, as well as growth retardation of the right femur and tibia. The patient subsequently developed a painful compensatory scoliosis due to the significant leg length discrepancy which was aggravated by his poor compliance in wearing a bulky shoe-raise. The patient presented with a normal knee range-of-motion and his clinical examination revealed a pure leg length discrepancy of 4 cm. The Galeazzi test revealed that the femur and tibia both contributed equally to the discrepancy. Further clinical examination revealed no rotational or angular deformities.
The long-leg standing radiological examination revealed no deformities and confirmed a leg-length discrepancy of 4 cm. The X-rays furthermore revealed that the discrepancy was due to a 2 cm femoral and tibial shortening each. Leg lengthening surgery was offered to the patient and written informed consent was obtained.

The subsequent management plan entailed the use of a simultaneous ipsilateral femoral and tibial lengthening motivated by two reasons. First, lengthening both the tibia and femur would result in a correction of the length discrepancy as well as ensuring equal knee levels bilaterally after lengthening; secondly, a simultaneous ipsilateral lengthening should result in a shortened external fixation index, because the total limb lengthening would be broken up into two half segments that would proceed simultaneously.

Consolidation of both the regenerate sites proceeded rapidly and uneventfully until frame removal at just over four months.

A monolateral rail fixator was applied on the lateral side of the femur and anteromedial side of the tibia. A predrilled corticotomy (a low energy osteotomy where maximal preservation of the endosteum and periosteum is attempted) was performed at each lengthening site using a percutaneous approach. The fibula was osteotomised through a limited lateral approach and a distal diastasis screw inserted percutaneously to prevent dissociation of the distal tibiofibular joint during distraction (Figure 2). A waiting period of 10 days was completed before commencing gradual lengthening at both sites. The rate of lengthening was 1 mm per day, divided into four increments of 0.25 mm each at each of the lengthening sites. Standard pin care in the form of occlusive dressings was applied. The patient was followed up during the distraction period at two-weekly intervals and the maintenance of knee movement was emphasised throughout the treatment period. Target length was obtained at about four weeks and distraction stopped. Mobilisation within pain limits was encouraged throughout the treatment period. No additional bone stimulants such as ultrasound or pulsed electromagnetic fields were utilised. Consolidation of both the regenerate sites proceeded rapidly and uneventfully until frame removal at just over four months. No splints or casts were needed after frame removal.

The chosen management plan resulted in an external fixation index of just less than 1.1 months per centimetre. As expected, this is shorter than the established norm of 1.5 months per centimetre. The patient had no complications apart from a minor pin tract infection that responded well to oral antibiotic treatment. At follow-up 7 months after surgery, the patient was mobilising comfortably without aid and had recovered his pre-operative knee range of motion. His neurological condition was unchanged, but his painful scoliosis had disappeared.

**Conclusion**

The nature of the aetiology of this patient’s leg length discrepancy led to equal shortening of the femur and tibia. This afforded the surgeon the opportunity to address this patient’s leg length discrepancy by simultaneously lengthening the femur and tibia. As expected, the application of this technique resulted in a significantly shorter external fixator index with its potential advantages of lower complication rates and patient discomfort. This specific technique may have clinical relevance in other scenarios where a limb length discrepancy exists in both segments of the lower limb, in an effort to shorten external fixator indices.

No benefits of any form have been received from a commercial party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient to use his information and X-rays for the purposes of this article.
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