Good communication with patients has always been essential in orthopaedic practice. It is the cornerstone of the physician-patient relationship. Open, honest communication builds trust and promotes healing. It favourably impacts patient behaviour, health outcomes and patient satisfaction, and often reduces the incidence of malpractice actions. For physicians, good communication with patients can also increase professional satisfaction, enhance community image and provide a competitive economic advantage for the medical practice.

Increasing demands on orthopaedic surgeons in today’s healthcare environment often leave less time to provide care to a greater number of patients. While time constraints can make it difficult to communicate as effectively as one would like, the quality of time spent with the patient remains very important. For this reason, effective patient-focused communication skills are essential. They can be applied quickly and effectively within the normal patient encounter.

The SAOA urges orthopaedic surgeons to use patient-focused communication skills during their direct patient encounters. These include:

- showing empathy and respect
- listening attentively
- eliciting concerns and calming fears
- answering questions honestly
- informing and educating patients about treatment options and the course of care
- involving patients in decisions concerning their medical care
- demonstrating sensitivity to patients’ cultural and ethnic diversity.

When time counts, it is the quality and not necessarily the quantity of physician-patient communication that is vital. To the patient, quality is often measured by how well the physician listens and acknowledges patient concerns. It is measured by how thoroughly the physician explains and describes the:

- nature of the problem
- alternative treatments
- anticipated benefits of treatments
- risks and side effects of treatments
- consequences of no treatment.

The SAOA believes that orthopaedic surgeons must place an emphasis on good communication with patients and the quality of the interaction, especially when time is limited.

Good communication between the orthopaedic surgeon and the patient can be an effective risk management tool. While poor treatment outcome is one of the primary causes of malpractice actions, poor communication is also a factor in a majority of cases. Patients who sue often cite the failure of the physician to listen or the physician’s unwillingness to answer questions. Patients who are well informed about treatment options, the course of care, expected outcomes and possible complications are more satisfied patients, and are less likely to file malpractice claims.

Informed consent
Components of informed consent
The orthopaedic surgeon should follow the process of obtaining informed consent when he or she communicates with patients regarding treatment alternatives and receives permission to proceed with treatment. Informed consent is the autonomous authorisation obtained from a patient after the surgeon explains and describes the:

- nature of the problem
- alternative treatments
- anticipated benefits of treatments
- risks and side effects of treatments
- consequences of no treatment.

The surgeon is legally bound to disclose any information which the patient needs to know to make an informed decision about a recommended course of treatment.
As long as the adult patient has the capacity to understand the information provided by the surgeon, he or she also has the right to refuse treatment even if it will save life or limb.

Documentation

Documentation of consent is critically important. In addition to the consent form, which is signed by the patient or guardian and a witness, the surgeon should document on the chart that he/she has obtained consent according to the guidelines listed above, that the patient or guardian understands the explanation and all of their questions have been answered, and that they wish to proceed with the recommended treatment. The SAOA recommends that the surgeon “sign the site”, or agree on and mark the planned surgical site together prior to surgery.

In some instances the physician is required to obtain pre-operative consent from the patient for the operating room attendance of people who are not members of the Health Care Team. This may include representatives of biomaterial or implant device manufacturers or other observers. The surgeon should be familiar with any regulations requiring permission for observers in the operating room. Surgeons should also obtain consent for intra-operative medical photography for the purpose of documenting the patient’s condition.

Minors

Minors have greater legal protection than adults, and cannot legally provide consent for treatment until they have reached the age of majority. Instead, consent for minors is provided by their legal guardian, usually the parents.

This does not, however, mean that minors are ignored during the process of obtaining informed consent for elective surgery. Minors fall into three categories regarding their capacity to participate in the informed consent process:

- No capacity to participate (infants, toddlers, children with severe developmental delay)
- Developing capacity (school age children)
- Capable decision-makers (older adolescents).

It is suggested that the surgeon obtain written consent or permission from older adolescents before proceeding with elective surgery. This consent is not binding, and is not valid without the guardian’s consent, but obtaining it shows that the surgeon supports and respects the adolescent’s decision-making abilities, thereby fostering their participation and co-operation with the treatment plan. Children with developing capacity should be involved in the process of informed consent to the extent of their desires and capabilities: for instance they may be allowed to choose the colour of their cast.

One of the additional protections provided by the law to minors is their right to receive limb- or life-saving treatment even when this treatment is refused by the guardian. Thus the surgeon may provide, for example, a blood transfusion to the child of parents who refuse this treatment, if he or she believes that the child’s life is at risk without the transfusion. The surgeon should be familiar with the institutional and legal processes that should be followed when providing limb-or life-saving treatment to a child against the wishes of the child’s parents.

Provide information and education to patients about treatment alternatives, and the course of care, especially expectations of surgical outcomes

Under certain circumstances, minors are legally allowed to provide informed consent for their own treatment. The most common circumstance encountered by physicians is when minors have a condition for which they may fail to seek treatment if parental consent was required such as pregnancy, sexually transmitted disease, substance or alcohol abuse or a psychiatric condition. Minors with these conditions may have concomitant problems, complicating the issue of their capacity to provide consent. Because the conditions for which minors are emancipated vary, the orthopaedic surgeon should familiarise him or herself with the specific requirements of the country in which they practice.

The SAOA urges orthopaedic surgeons to provide information and education to their patients about treatment alternatives, and the course of care, especially expectations of surgical outcomes. Discussing the risks of surgery and possible complications in a kind and compassionate manner can create realistic expectations on the part of the patient, increase patient satisfaction, and minimise the risk of malpractice claims.
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