It is an unfortunate sign of the times that complaints and litigation against the medical profession are increasing, even going as far as doctors being accused of assault and culpable homicide. Orthopaedic surgery is not exempt from this, and the SAOA has received a number of complaints from patients over the last year regarding surgeons. In other cases, patients have gone directly down the legal route and/or to the HPCSA. Complaints can result in extreme stress, affecting the mental health of the surgeon, and cases can take years to resolve. An example is a case in the last few years which went to court 11 years after the initial complaint. The surgeon endured extreme stress for all those years and in the end, the case was dismissed in court.

The reasons for increasing complaints include commercialisation of medical practice, patients being more aware of their rights, the emergence of legal firms targeting the medical profession (with some openly offering litigation services against the medical profession), and the existence of expert witnesses acting as ‘hired guns’ for the litigation attorneys.

It is very unfortunate that there are colleagues who, as expert witnesses, act as advocates for the plaintiff and the litigation attorneys. An expert witness should be of high standing in the medical profession, be an expert in their field of medicine, and have a reputation for being independent and unbiased in their views. An expert witness is appointed to be impartial. Medical indemnifiers will appoint a medical expert whose role is not to defend the case but rather to do an assessment and to judge whether the surgeon acted ‘as what would be expected of the reasonable orthopaedic surgeon’. In other countries, court rulings have redefined the role in ensuring the quality of expert witnesses and some require expert witness to have training and accreditation. An expert witness appointed by the indemnifier, may find that the surgeon had acted in an unreasonable way and find the surgeon at fault. In such a case the surgeon’s indemnifier will settle the case without going through an extensive legal process, thereby preventing a prolonged dispute. No offence should be taken when the expert finds the surgeon at fault in such a case as they have acted independently and impartially.

Although we are fortunate to have indemnity insurance, it is preferable to prevent or to avoid such situations. There are three pillars that will help to protect against complaints:

• Communication
• Collaboration
• Documentation

Communication

Communication is the hallmark of preventing complaints. It has been shown that a happy and satisfied patient is far less likely to make a complaint even where there has been a complication. Complications themselves are not necessarily due to negligence, and are part and parcel of surgical practice. It is the manner in which surgeons deal with the patient who has a complication which is crucial.

Communication starts with the initial assessment of the patient. Patients often just want to be heard, and allowing them time to explain their condition will often result in revealing the diagnosis, explaining the patient’s character, and establishing a rapport and relationship with the patient. There is an inverse correlation between time spent with the patient and the likelihood of being sued. Even though it may not be necessary to examine the patient fully, it is always good practice to do an examination, even if this just involves checking the neurovascular status in a patient who has a fracture. This simple ‘laying of hands’ establishes a contact between surgeon and patient and enhances the relationship and rapport between them. Too often a patient will state that the surgeon did not even examine them but just looked at the X-ray. A clear explanation to the patient of their condition in layman’s terms will again reassure the patient of the doctor’s attention and care. The simple tasks of taking a history and giving the patient time, examination and clear explanation will go a long way towards ensuring a happy patient.

If the patient has a complication, it is important to keep a clear line of communication, communicating regularly and explaining the situation clearly. One must not try to avoid the patient or avoid discussing the complication. Regularly seeing the patient will make the patient feel that their surgeon is concerned and that they are being looked after.

Consent

Communication involves consent, and under South African law, it is a requirement to take informed consent (National Health Act 61 of 2003). There seem to be differing opinions on what this entails and who is required to take the consent. There are guidelines for informed consent laid down by the HPCSA. To make sure that you protect yourself, you as the surgeon should take the consent and the patient should be informed of all the major complications, alternative treatments, and consequences of the complications. This should be well documented. The MediClinic group has their own consent form which surgeons themselves are required to complete, and the patient is not allowed to go to theatre unless this consent form has been completed. In the event of a complication described in the consent form, this goes a long way towards negating an issue. The SAOA does have a prescribed consent form, but surgeons can also make their own specific consent forms. Consent regarding the financial aspects in the practice is essential. A number of complaints arise because of mis- or non-communication regarding the fees and how they
relate to reimbursement by the medical aid. One should have in place details of the charges for consultations, with explanation that this will not necessarily be covered by the medical aid and it is the patient’s responsibility. This is particularly important when it comes to surgery, and it should be made clear prior to the surgery that even if authorisation is obtained, the medical aid may not honour the fees and the shortfall will be the responsibility of the patient.

Consent to use patient’s notes, radiographs and intraoperative pictures for teaching, research and presentations should be obtained. This should be on your agreement with the patient when they attend for consultation and should be on the operation consent form.

**Colleagues**

Communication with colleagues is important. If one sees a patient for a second opinion, it is courtesy to send a letter to the first surgeon unless the patient specifically requests that you do not, in which case this should be recorded in the notes. One should be very cautious when seeing a patient for a second opinion to not pass comments or judgements on the first surgeon’s treatment. It is unfortunate that comments made by the second opinion surgeon may be construed as criticism and this has resulted in patients pursuing a legal case against the primary surgeon. Patients who are unhappy with the initial treating surgeon may be very quick to pounce on a comment which may be made innocently regarding the initial treatment and construe this as criticism, using it to make a case against the initial doctor. Red flags should be raised when patients seek opinions from multiple surgeons and are critical of the previous surgeons. We all have cases in which we have made an error of judgement. We would certainly not like a surgeon who sees the patient for a second opinion to criticise us and potentially put us in a precarious legal position. As the saying goes, ‘do unto others as you would have them do unto you’.

**Collaboration**

’No man is an island’ (John Donne: Dean of St. Paul’s Cathedral, 17th century). Get advice and assistance. When faced with a difficult condition or operation, it is wise to consult other surgeons. In this age of technology, communication is very easy and a colleague or group of colleagues is available for consultation (e.g. Shoulder Society WhatsApp group). When one is faced with either a difficult condition, a difficult patient or a postoperative complication, bringing such a patient to a meeting for advice will often defuse a potentially litigious situation. Patients often appreciate surgeons who get a second opinion from colleagues. It is wise to nurture relationships with other surgeons and work in collaboration. When faced with an operation with which you may not be totally familiar, it is wise to seek the assistance of another orthopaedic surgeon. Even experienced surgeons will obtain the assistance of another specialist for difficult cases. This provides shared responsibility and reassurance that one is making correct decisions, and is often very much appreciated by the patient.

**Documentation**

In cases in which complaints have been made, documentation is often very poor. This not only reflects poorly on the surgeon, but means that the surgeon’s side of the story cannot be totally substantiated. A clinical note will be accepted as clear evidence in these situations. In this age of technology, clinical notes should be made in digital form. Although it is acceptable to have written notes, these are often illegible and have to be deciphered when there is a query. Digital notes provide clear evidence of what has been said and done. One should make an effort to record everything that is discussed, including phone calls. This will go a long way towards protecting the surgeon if a complaint is made. Patients will often not hear or remember what the surgeon has told them, and will dispute that the surgeon informed them of the facts. If the surgeon has documented the details of the consultation, this provides clear evidence. The operation notes should be comprehensive.

**Photography**

Photographic and video footage of surgical procedures is the norm in arthroscopic surgery. This provides evidence in cases of complaints. In one such case, this showed clearly what the surgeon had seen and done, although the patient disputed this. It is prudent to take photographs in open surgery so that one can show evidence of what was done. In cases in which a nerve has been released, it is good practice to take a photograph or a video showing that the nerve is intact at the end of the surgery. This gives peace of mind to the surgeon if the patient has a neurapraxia following surgery, and the patient can be reassured that the nerve was intact.

**Confidentiality**

It goes without saying that confidentiality is of utmost importance, and in South Africa it is legislated by the POPI Act.7

**Summary**

Communicating well with patients, collaborating with colleagues and being meticulous with documentation are essential to good practice and an effective means of mitigating complaints.
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