Tumour volume as a predictor of metastases in patients presenting with high-grade conventional osteosarcoma of the extremities
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to compare the initial tumour volume in patients with and without pulmonary and/or skeletal metastases at time of presentation. The secondary aim was to compare the value of tumour volume in the prediction of metastases at time of presentation with known predictive factors, namely serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH).

Materials and methods: A retrospective cross-sectional analysis was performed comparing the primary tumour volume in patients with and without metastases. All patients with histologically confirmed high-grade conventional osteosarcoma over a five-year period were included.

Results: The study comprised 61 patients. The mean age was 21 years (SD: 11.9, range 5–56) with an equal distribution of males and females (51% vs 49%). There was no correlation between tumour volume and age at presentation (p=0.31). There was no evidence of metastases in only 20% (n=12) of patients. Skeletal metastases were present in 28% (n=16) of the patients and pulmonary metastases were present in 44 cases (72%). There was no significant difference in the tumour volume at presentation between patients with and without pulmonary metastases (p=0.11). However, tumour volume did appear to predict the presence of skeletal metastases (p=0.02). A tumour volume of 1 383 cm³ had a negative predictive value (NPV) of 92% and positive predictive value (PPV) of 55% for the presence of skeletal metastases (area under curve [AUC]=0.76; sensitivity 66%; specificity 87%). A tumour volume of 480 cm³ had a 100% NPV for the presence of skeletal metastases (AUC=0.74). A tumour volume ≥1 380 cm³ had an odds ratio (OR) of 13.6 (p<0.01; 95% CI 2.6–72.5) as an independent variable in relation to skeletal metastases. Multivariate analysis (with ALP and LDH) of tumour volume ≥1 380 cm³ yielded an OR of 8.6 (p=0.04; 95% CI 1.1–67) for presence of skeletal metastases.

Conclusion: In this series of conventional high-grade osteosarcoma of the extremities, we found a very high rate of metastases at time of diagnosis. While there was no association with pulmonary metastases, increased tumour volume was associated with an increased risk for the presence of skeletal metastases. More studies in the developing world clinical setting are required to investigate this further; the high rate of metastases seen at time of diagnosis also requires further investigation.

Level of evidence: Level 4
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Introduction

Osteosarcoma is the most common primary malignancy involving bone, excluding myeloma. Nonetheless, it is rare disease, representing less than 1% of all cancers diagnosed annually in the United States of America and as a result has been classified as rare disease by the World Health Organization. Conventional osteosarcoma is largely a disease of the young, with a second peak of incidence in the elderly. Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) program data indicated an annual incidence for patients younger than 25 years and older than 60 of 4.4 and 4.2 per million population, respectively. Osteosarcoma is the fifth most common cancer in adolescents, amounting to more than 10% of all solid cancers in this age group.

Conventional osteosarcoma, representing approximately 80–90% of all osteosarcomas, is a high-grade malignancy with a high rate of reported lung metastases, and has a tendency to recur if not completely excised. In developed countries, less than 25% of patients are diagnosed with metastatic disease at presentation. In South Africa, patients unfortunately present much later, with previous studies finding metastatic disease in 46–66% of patients at presentation. Skeletal metastases at the time of diagnosis (so-called synchronous bone metastases) are rare in osteosarcoma and are associated with a poor prognosis. Furthermore, bones are the first site of subsequent (metachronous) metastases in less than 10% of cases.

Prior to the advent of chemotherapy, the survival rate, with surgery alone, was extremely poor, with a five-year survival rate of only 10%. With current multimodality treatment protocols, which include chemotherapy, survival rates have been found to be in the region of 60–70% in localised and 20–40% in metastatic disease. Several factors have been identified with significant prognostic implications in osteosarcoma including increasing age, the size and site of the primary tumour, serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels, as well as a poor histological response to neo-adjuvant chemotherapy. Metastatic disease is, however, the single most important predictor of a poor outcome.

Risk factor stratification and the detection of metastases are not only important for accurate prognostic purposes, but also allow early identification of high-risk patients who may require a more aggressive treatment strategy. Early detection of metastases is important as all metastases need to be surgically resected to improve survival, and alternative agents or second-line chemotherapy need to be considered. Therefore, this study sets out to investigate tumour size as a predictor of the presence of metastases at time of presentation in patients with conventional osteosarcoma of an extremity.

Materials and methods

A retrospective cross-sectional analytical study was performed with data collected at the time of first presentation. Following approval of the study by the relevant ethics boards, records of all patients with osteosarcoma referred to a tertiary level orthopaedic oncology unit in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, over five years from 2010 to 2014 were obtained.

Inclusion criteria included diagnosis of osteosarcoma confirmed on biopsy after formal open incisional biopsy, high-grade conventional osteosarcoma histology and osteosarcoma of the extremities. Patients excluded were those with osteosarcoma of the pelvis and axial skeleton, soft tissue osteosarcoma, osteosarcoma variants and surface lesions, where tumour volume or staging data were not available.

Diagnosis of osteosarcoma was confirmed after formal open incisional biopsy was done and subsequently confirmed at a combined radiology–histology meeting. As part of the initial patient work-up at presentation, the tumour size was measured on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), pulmonary metastases on computerised tomography (CT), and skeletal metastases (skip lesions in same bone and distant bone) on technetium bone scan. Due to the retrospective nature of the study, information regarding body weight and height was unavailable, therefore tumour volume was measured using a previously defined formula for an ellipsoidal mass (width × height × diameter × 0.52). The demographic characteristics (age and sex of patients, and anatomic location of primary tumour) in patients with and without metastases were recorded. The initial tumour volume on MRI in patients with metastases (skeletal and/or pulmonary) was compared to patients without metastases at time of presentation, i.e. prior to any treatment. The strength of association between tumour volume and the presence of metastases at presentation was then evaluated. We also compared the value of tumour volume in the prediction of metastases at time of presentation with other known predictive factors (serum ALP and LDH).

Statistical analysis

Data was processed and analysed using Stata 13.0 SE (StataCorp, 2013. Stata Statistical Software: Release 13. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP) and R statistical package 3.0.3 (R Core Team, 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Spearman’s correlation test was used to assess the association between patient age and tumour volume. Differences in tumour volume by metastases were assessed using the standard two-sample t-test. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves was used to determine the optimal breakpoint for the classification of metastatic cancer based on tumour volume. The discriminatory power was evaluated by the area under the ROC curve (area under curve or AUC). An AUC value of 0.5 indicates no discriminative ability while an AUC exceeding 0.8 suggests good to excellent predictive capability. Sensitivity and specificity based on the optimal identified cut-points were calculated, along with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Logistic regression analysis was employed to estimate the strength of association between tumour volume and metastases. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant for all tests.

Results

Sixty-seven patients were identified with histologically confirmed osteosarcoma involving an extremity. Six patients were excluded from the study. One patient demised prior to completion of systemic staging investigations, and five patients were diagnosed with osteosarcoma variants. Sixty-one patients met the inclusion criteria and their clinical characteristics are provided in Table I. Bone scan was not performed in four patients due to their poor general medical condition which did not allow transfer to the facility where the scan was performed. Ten patients had no tumour volume data available, and were therefore excluded from the tumour-volume analysis.

The mean patient age was 21 years (standard deviation [SD] 11.9 years; range 5–56) and there was an equal distribution between male and female patients (51% vs 49%). There was no correlation between tumour volume and age at presentation (p=0.31). The femur (57%) and tibia (31%) were the most commonly involved sites. There was no evidence of metastases in only 20% (n=12) of the patients. Skeletal metastases were present in 28% (n=16) of the patients and pulmonary metastases were present in 44
cases (72%). Of those with skeletal metastases on presentation, 69% (n=11) had concomitant pulmonary metastases. Five patients with skeletal metastases had more than one bony metastasis, four had single metastases and the number of bony metastases was unknown in seven cases. With respect to pulmonary lesions, 33 patients had multiple lesions, two single metastases, and the number of lesions was unknown in nine cases. The median time to presentation was four months (interquartile range [IQR] 2.5–7, range 1–36 months, n=44).

The overall mean tumour volume at presentation was 1 114 cm³ (SD 1 285 cm³, range 164–6 821 cm³). For patients without any metastases, the mean tumour volume at presentation was 422 cm³ (range 164–1 678 cm³). The mean tumour volume in patients who presented without pulmonary metastases was 1 169 cm³ (95% CI 115–2 224 cm³) compared to 1 093 cm³ (95% CI 745–1 441 cm³) in patients with pulmonary metastases. The mean tumour volume in patients who presented without skeletal metastases was 829 cm³ (95% CI 523–1 136 cm³) compared to 2 016 cm³ (95% CI 487–3 545 cm³) in patients with skeletal metastases. Analysis of the association between tumour volume and metastases showed that there was no significant difference in the tumour volume at presentation between patients with and without pulmonary metastases (p=0.851). However, tumour volume did appear to predict the presence of skeletal metastases (p=0.010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumour volume (cm³)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1 114</td>
<td>164–6 821</td>
<td>1 286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61 (100%)</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>5–56</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31 (51%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30 (49%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur</td>
<td>35 (57%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia</td>
<td>19 (31%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibula</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humerus</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulna</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary metastases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>44 (72%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>17 (28%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal metastases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16 (26%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>41 (67%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4 (7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I: Clinical characteristics of cohort

Figure 1(a). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve optimal cut-point analysis of tumour volume for prediction of skeletal metastases

Figure 1(b). Optimal cut-point ROC analysis, optimised for 100% sensitivity of tumour volume as predictor of skeletal metastases

Figure 2. Optimal cut-point ROC analysis optimised for 100% sensitivity of tumour volume as predictor of skeletal metastases
ROC analysis (Figure 1) was then used to identify the optimal cut-off volumes to predict the presence of metastases. A tumour volume of $1,383 \text{ cm}^3$ had a negative predictive value (NPV) of 92% and positive predictive value (PPV) of 55% for the presence of skeletal metastases (AUC=0.76; sensitivity 66%; specificity 87%). When optimising to achieve a 100% sensitivity (Figure 2), a tumour volume of $480 \text{ cm}^3$ had a 100% NPV for the presence of skeletal metastases (AUC=0.74).

Using univariate logistic regression to assess the strength of association of the tumour volume $\geq 1,380 \text{ cm}^3$ as an independent variable in relation to skeletal metastases, an odds ratio (OR) of 13.6 (p<0.01; 95% CI 2.6–72.5) was identified. Multivariate analysis (with ALP and LDH) of tumour volume $\geq 1,380 \text{ cm}^3$ yielded an OR of 8.6 (p=0.04; 95% CI 1.1–67) for presence of skeletal metastases, (Table II).

### Discussion

Osteosarcoma is the most frequent malignant bone tumour in paediatric patients. Several prognostic factors have been proposed including detectable metastases, advanced age, non-extremity locations, large tumour volume, elevated LDH or ALP, and poor histological response to chemotherapy. Of these, metastatic disease, large tumour sizes and poor response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy have consistently been associated with a poor outcome. Tumour size may reflect the tumour burden and/or the extent of disease. A large primary tumour is more likely to be associated with distant metastases.

Furthermore, tumour size has been associated with an increase in risk of mortality. Patients with tumours of diameter of $>15 \text{ cm}$ have a three-fold higher risk of death, whereas with tumour diameters of $<15 \text{ cm}$ survival is better.

There is a paucity of literature relating to tumour volume as a prognostic indicator from low- to middle-income countries. In addition, few studies have previously studied the relationship between tumour volume and the presence of lung and skeletal metastases, independently. Furthermore, there is a lack of data relating to the cut-off values, in terms of tumour volume, for presence of metastases. A previous study, for example, only looked at cut-off values for tumour volume in predicting lung metastases and excluded skeletal metastases. With this study we aimed to assess the association of tumour volume with pulmonary and skeletal metastases in a developing world setting.

In our study, the majority of patients presented with relatively large tumours, with a mean tumour volume of $1,144 \text{ cm}^3$. Tumour size $>10 \text{ cm}$ in length has previously been shown as an adverse prognostic factor for overall survival. Another multivariate model incorporating factors predicting treatment failure also found patients with large tumour size ($>12 \text{ cm}$ in length) to have a statistically significant worse prognosis for survival. In South Africa, patients often present with advanced disease, with previous studies reporting metastatic disease in 46% to 66% of patients at time of diagnosis. A previous study from South Africa also found advanced stage of disease at presentation, with 48% of cases having detectable metastases at time of presentation. In their series, only 28% of tumours were $<10 \text{ cm}$ in length. The authors concluded that the presence of metastases at diagnosis and size $>10 \text{ cm}$ in length were associated with a poor prognosis.

Our study found a considerably higher incidence of clinically detectable metastatic disease at initial presentation when compared to expected rates in developed countries (10–20%). In particular, the high rate (28%) of skeletal metastases at time of presentation is noteworthy. It remains unclear if the high rate of metastases seen in our series is related to a delay in diagnosis or if it might be related to a more aggressive phenotype of osteosarcoma. A pooled analysis by Marko et al. found the highest prevalence of metastases at diagnosis of osteosarcoma in countries with a medium or low Human Development Index (HDI). The prevalence of metastatic osteosarcoma at diagnosis in very high HDI, high HDI, and medium or low HDI groups was found to be 15%, 20% and 31%, respectively. They suggested that socioeconomic status, educational levels, as well as healthcare system and resource constraints in countries with medium/low HDI may result in a treatment delay resulting in increase in the rate of metastases at diagnosis. Irrespective of the cause, these findings are particularly relevant in the South African clinical setting and again highlight the need for early referral of cases to specialised orthopaedic oncology units.

It has been noted that patients who present with metastases have a shorter interval between onset of symptoms and diagnosis. This finding is somewhat counter-intuitive and suggests that aggressive biologic behaviour may be more important in the pathogenesis of metastases than delay in diagnosis. Tumour volume at time of presentation may also be similarly difficult to interpret and patients with smaller tumours do not necessarily have a lower rate of metastases. Initial tumour volume has, however, been shown to be of high prognostic value, and $150 \text{ cm}^3$ tumour volume has been proposed as the cut-off point in predicting relapse and the development of metastases. Kaste et al. found a median tumour volume of 717 cm$^3$ in patients with lung nodules at diagnosis. Due to the wide range of values (63–3,520 cm$^3$) in the 28 patients with metastases at diagnosis, the authors were, however, unable to correlate the primary tumour volume with the presence of metastases or overall survival. Bacci et al. found the incidence of metastases to be 20% and 12% in patients with tumour volumes $>150 \text{ ml}$ and $<150 \text{ ml}$, respectively. Tumour volume $>150 \text{ ml}$, however, remained a significant predictor of the presence of metastases following multivariate logistic regression analysis. In our series, tumour volume was not a significant predictor for the presence of pulmonary metastases at diagnosis. Munajat et al. previously looked at the association between tumour volume and lung metastases; 47% of their patients had evidence of lung metastases at presentation. They found a significant difference in primary tumour volumes in patients with and without metastases. Their cut-off value of tumour volume was at $371 \text{ cm}^3$. Munajat et al. did not report on skeletal metastases. While we found no association with pulmonary metastases, we found that tumour volume was associated with the presence of skeletal metastases in our series.

The lung remains the most common site for metastases in osteosarcoma, with only about 10% of cases reported to develop skeletal metastases. Skeletal metastases have also been associated with a particularly poor survival. The 2014 European Society of Musculoskeletal Oncology (ESMO) guidelines recommend that all patients undergo a technetium bone scan during staging to search for the presence of skeletal metastases. In general, the aim is to conclude all staging investigations as soon as possible so as to not delay the treatment of the malignancy. In resource-poor developing countries like South Africa, however, it might be difficult to obtain nuclear imaging studies, or in some cases, waiting times may be exceedingly long. Thus, it may be useful to identify other markers

### Table II: Multivariate analysis of risk factors for the presence of skeletal metastasis at time of diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDH $\geq 850 \text{ IU/L}$</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.36–20.04</td>
<td>0.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP $\geq 280 \text{ IU/L}$</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.35–70.87</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour volume $\geq 1,380 \text{ cm}^3$</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.11–67.18</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that can be used to confirm or exclude the presence of skeletal metastases in patients with osteosarcoma.

In this cohort, univariate analysis showed that patients with a tumour volume ≥1 380 cm³ had a 13 times higher risk of having skeletal metastases at the time of diagnosis. In a multivariate model with ALP and LDH, the OR decreased to 8.6 but the association remained significant. ROC analysis revealed that a tumour volume cut-off value of 1 383 cm³ yielded a 92% NPV for skeletal metastases. The absence of skeletal metastases could be predicted with a sensitivity of 100% by reducing this value to 480 cm³.

Our findings suggest that, in this series, patients with a tumour volume below 480 cm³ were highly unlikely to have clinically
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to correlate tumour volume not only with presence of metastases but also overall survival. A large number of patients were, however, lost to follow-up, which precluded longitudinal prognostication. The major confounding factor is that there are numerous factors that have an influence on the prognosis of osteosarcoma and our multivariate model may not have been sufficiently robust to exclude the association of other factors with the presence of skeletal metastases at time of diagnosis. Due to the retrospective nature of the study, data on the time to presentation and the specific histological subtype were not uniformly available. These measurements should be included in future studies as they have important bearing on the rate of metastases and overall patient survival. Larger, well-designed studies with long-term follow-up are thus needed to determine the association of tumour volume with the risk of pulmonary and skeletal metastases in the developing world setting. Further research is also needed to investigate the high incidence of metastases, and skeletal metastases in particular, at time of presentation.

Conclusion

In this series of conventional high-grade osteosarcoma of the extremities, we found a very high rate of metastases at time of diagnosis. While there was no association with pulmonary metastases, increased tumour volume was associated with an increased risk for the presence of skeletal metastases. More studies in the developing world clinical setting are required to investigate this further; the high rate of metastases seen at time of diagnosis also requires further investigation.
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