Intramedullary nailing of tibial non-unions using the suprapatellar approach: a case series
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Abstract

Background: A number of treatment options are available for diaphyseal non-unions of the tibia, including intramedullary (IM) nailing. An infrapatellar entry point with the knee in deep flexion can make this procedure challenging, especially with associated deformity or an obliterated canal. The suprapatellar approach allows nail insertion with the knee extended, which facilitates correction of malalignment in the sagittal and coronal planes. The aim of our study was to review the outcome of diaphyseal tibial non-unions, treated with an intramedullary nail, using the suprapatellar approach.

Method: We retrospectively reviewed consecutive cases with non-union of the tibial shaft, treated with a suprapatellar entry nail between May 2016 and January 2018. Patients who were previously managed with a nail or who had active sepsis were excluded. The rate and time to union, as well as complications were assessed.

Results: Thirteen cases were included and followed up until union at a mean of 5.8 months. All were performed percutaneously, without opening of the non-union site. Two patients developed complications, although bony union was still achieved.

Conclusion: A suprapatellar entry tibial nail is an acceptable treatment option for tibial non-unions not previously treated with a nail.

Level of evidence: Level 4
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Introduction

The reported incidence of tibial shaft non-union ranges from 4 to 48%.1-3 Non-unions are costly to treat and add a large financial burden to healthcare services worldwide.4 Various options are available to treat tibial shaft non-unions. For aseptic tibial non-unions with an intramedullary nail (IMN) in situ, an exchange nail is an excellent treatment option.5 With other failed initial treatment modalities, the use of a circular external fixator with or without bone grafting and a fibular osteotomy is a popular and successful modality, the use of a circular external fixator with or without this technique to treat non-unions of the tibia.

The suprapatellar portal is a recent variation of the traditional infrapatellar approach for the insertion of a tibial nail. The suprapatellar approach allows insertion of the nail in an extended knee, which aids correction of malalignment in the sagittal and coronal planes.10-13 It also creates a straight working channel, allowing the passage of rigid, straight reamers to cross the non-union site, facilitating IMN for shaft non-unions not previously treated with IMN. Currently there is no literature available on the use of this technique to treat non-unions of the tibia.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the outcome in the form of rate and time of union and complications of a series of non-unions treated with suprapatellar entry IMN.

Patients and methods

Patients with an aseptic tibial non-union, who were treated with a suprapatellar entry IMN from May 2016 to April 2018, were reviewed retrospectively.

We included non-unions of diaphyseal tibial fractures initially treated with a cast, external fixator or plate. Patients initially treated with an IM tibial nail, non-union of peri-articular tibial fractures and non-unions with signs of active sepsis were excluded. Active infection was defined as the presence of a draining sinus or local clinical signs of infection. Inflammatory markers were not routinely used to exclude infection. Suspected active infection, using these parameters, was diagnosed by an experienced limb reconstruction surgeon. Patients younger than 18 years of age were also excluded.

Patients in this series were referred to a tertiary care limb reconstruction unit in Cape Town, South Africa. Ten (out of 13) patients had their index treatment for the tibia fracture at referral units in district or secondary care facilities.

Demographic data such as age and sex, as well as risk factors for non-union such as smoking, vitamin D deficiency and open fractures, were recorded. Open fractures were graded according to the Gustilo-Anderson classification.14 Modifiable risk factors, such as smoking and vitamin D deficiency, were addressed as per our unit protocol.

Definition and classification

A non-union was defined as a fracture which has not healed within six months of treatment and is unlikely to heal without further intervention.15 The diagnosis of a non-union was based on the clinical and radiological assessment by two orthopaedic surgeons.

Radiological union was graded using the radiographic union score for tibia fractures (RUST) score on post-operative radiographic films.16,17 According to Whelan et al.,18 a score is assigned to each cortex on an anteroposterior and lateral X-ray, based on the assessment of healing at each cortex (Table I). The individual scores are added. A minimum of 4 indicates a definite non-union and a maximum of 12 indicates a definite union.

Functional union was defined by the ability of the patient to weight bear on the treated leg without the use of an assistive device and without experiencing pain. ‘Stiff’ and ‘mobile’ non-unions were identified on a clinical basis according to the Ilizarov classification.

Procedure

Treatment with a tibial nail was offered to patients with a mobile non-union without a significant bone defect or in stiff non-unions without a significant deformity. If an external fixation device was used to treat the initial fracture, it was removed prior to the index procedure. No exchange from external fixation to intramedullary fixation was performed in a single sitting. The mean time from removal of external fixator to insertion of an intramedullary nail was 3 months (range 1–7).

A reamed suprapatellar entry IMN was used (Metanail, Smith & Nephew, Memphis, Tennessee).18 For this, the patient was positioned supine on a radiolucent table with the knee flexed at 10–20°. A 3–5cm midline incision was used extending from the superior pole of the patella proximally. The quadriceps muscle was divided or mobilised to gain access to the patellofemoral joint, thus establishing the suprapatellar portal.

Tibia alignment was achieved with the use of blocking or Poller screws if necessary. Three patients required a fibula osteotomy at the same sitting for which a 10 mm section of fibula was excised using an oscillating saw. A fibular osteotomy was only performed if the fibula was united.

The non-union site was not opened and bone graft was not added in any cases. A set of solid, elastic reamers was used to cross the non-union site.

In all cases the fracture site was compressed. This controlled compression was achieved by performing distal locking first, followed by utilising the dynamic compression tool of the nail19 (Figure 1).

Medullary tissue samples were routinely collected from the intramedullary reaming and sent for microscopy, culture and sensitivity (MCS) in all cases.

Post-operative management

Patients were mobilised with partial weight bearing as tolerated from day 1 post-surgery. Physiotherapy was initiated to maintain knee and ankle range of motion.

Cases with subclinical infection confirmed with intra-operative tissue cultures were treated with at least six weeks of culture-specific antibiotics. This included an agent active against biofilm-based infections (rifampicin in Gram-positive infections if sensitive; ciprofloxacin in Gram-negative infection if sensitive). Of note is that these cases did not meet the exclusion criteria of this study, as the infection was not active at time of surgery, but subclinical.

Patients were routinely followed up with radiographs every six weeks until union (Figure 2).

Table I: RUST scoring system16,17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score per cortex</th>
<th>Callus</th>
<th>Fracture line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Present (bridging)</td>
<td>Invisible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Radiological union was graded using the radiographic union score for tibia fractures (RUST) score on post-operative radiographic films.16,17 According to Whelan et al.,18 a score is assigned to each cortex on an anteroposterior and lateral X-ray, based on the assessment of healing at each cortex (Table I). The individual scores are added. A minimum of 4 indicates a definite non-union and a maximum of 12 indicates a definite union.

Functional union was defined by the ability of the patient to weight bear on the treated leg without the use of an assistive device and without experiencing pain. ‘Stiff’ and ‘mobile’ non-unions were identified on a clinical basis according to the Ilizarov classification.
Results

Thirteen cases were included for review. Twenty-six tibial non-unions were excluded because of treatment using other treatment modalities. Characteristics and treatment of the study group are listed in Table II. All patients achieved functional and radiological union without further intervention. The mean time to radiological union was 5.8 months. All patients were followed up until union was achieved. The median length of follow-up was 7 months (inter-quartile range 6.5 months) (Table III).

Complications/sepsis

Three patients grew a positive bacterial culture on tissue taken at time of surgery. In two this was a methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus species (MSSA), and one culture result was positive for a Morganella species as well as an MSSA species. All three patients were treated with culture-specific antibiotics for at least six weeks. Of these three patients, two developed implant sepsis, evidenced by a draining sinus. The third patient did not develop wound complications, nor signs of implant sepsis and was therefore not considered a complication. The implant sepsis resolved in one of the two patients after completion of an antibiotic course, and his implant was removed following union. This patient had no signs of chronic osteitis at his last follow-up. The other patient developed chronic osteitis. This was treated with intermittent suppressive antibiotics until union, after which the implant was removed, followed by reaming and an antibiotic cement nail implantation. Of note is this patient previously had chronic osteitis and the non-union was the result of a pathological fracture through

**Figure 1.** Controlled compression. Fluoroscopic picture a) before and, b) after compression. Note the obliteration of the fracture gap.

**Figure 2.** A 30-year-old male sustained an open tibia fracture and was initially managed with a biplanar external fixation. Other risk factors for his tibia non-union included substance abuse, smoking and a low vitamin D level. He had positive intra-operative cultures but responded well to culture-specific antibiotics. Films taken a) before suprapatellar tibial nail; b) day 1 post-operatively; and c) final films, indicating bony union.
the site of chronic osteitis. Consideration was given to treat this non-union with a circular external fixator, but the patient refused the application of an external fixator. At this patient's last follow-up (18 months) the chronic osteitis was quiescent and there were no signs of recurrence of infection (Figure 3).

No patients developed implant failure, hardware irritation or any other complication in the follow-up period.

Discussion

In this series we achieved union in all cases, using a suprapatellar entry IMN.

Limited recent literature is available regarding the use of interlocking nails for the treatment of tibial non-unions not previously treated with a nail.\textsuperscript{2,3} A reamed exchange nail is an excellent treatment option for aseptic tibia non-unions with a nail in situ.\textsuperscript{5} Reamed nailing with use of larger nails creates greater stability and is believed to provide local bone graft at the fracture or non-union site that may stimulate healing.\textsuperscript{3,20} According to Tsang \textit{et al.}, the union rate with exchange nailing ranges from 63% (after the first non-union procedure) to 100% following subsequent non-union procedures.\textsuperscript{21}

Megas \textit{et al.} demonstrated that reamed infrapatellar entry IMN resulted in union in all included patients within a period of six weeks.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Characteristics and treatment of the study group}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
No. & Age (years) & Sex & Initial treatment & Classification & Risk factors for non-union & Operation \\
\hline
1 & 40 & Male & Circular frame & Mobile & Gr 3 open fracture Vit D deficient & SP IM nail \\
2 & 32 & Male & Circular frame & Stiff & Gr 3 open & SP IM nail \\
3 & 29 & Male & Cast & Stiff & Gr 3 open fracture Smoker & SP IM nail \\
4 & 43 & Female & Circular frame & Mobile & Vit D deficient & SP IM nail \\
5 & 29 & Male & Circular frame & Stiff & Vit D deficient & SP IM nail \\
6 & 43 & Female & Cast & Stiff & Smoker Previous chronic osteomyelitis Non-compliance & Fibular osteotomy & SP IM nail \\
7 & 36 & Male & Circular frame & Mobile & Gr 3 open fracture Failed Masquelet technique & SP IM nail \\
8 & 30 & Male & Biplanar ex-fix & Stiff & Gr 3 open fracture Vit D deficient Smoker & Fibular osteotomy & SP IM nail \\
9 & 50 & Male & Circular frame & Mobile & Gr 3 open fracture Smoker & Fibular osteotomy & SP IM nail \\
10 & 34 & Male & Biplanar ex-fix & Mobile & Gr 3 open Smoker & SP IM nail \\
11 & 30 & Male & Cast & Mobile & GSW injury with large zone of comminution & SP IM nail \\
12 & 29 & Male & Circular frame & Mobile & Gr 3 open fracture & SP IM nail \\
13 & 40 & Male & Circular frame & Mobile & None & SP IM nail \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Results and outcomes of study group}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
No. & Time to union (months) & Length of follow-up (months) & Complication (Y–Yes/N–No) & Details & Further surgery \\
\hline
1 & 3 & 4 & N & None & \\
2 & 5 & 5 & N & None & \\
3 & 5 & 5 & N & None & \\
4 & 8 & 8 & N & None & \\
5 & 7 & 7 & N & None & \\
6 & 4 & 17 & Y & Chronic osteomyelitis quiescent after treatment Removal of infected nail at union, reaming and antibiotic cement nail & \\
7 & 8 & 11 & N & None & \\
8 & 6 & 13 & N & Positive intra-operative cultures No signs of implant sepsis Implant removal & \\
9 & 5 & 5 & N & None & \\
10 & 4 & 12 & Y & Positive intra-operative cultures Implant sepsis Implant removal & \\
11 & 5 & 6 & N & None & \\
12 & 7 & 7 & N & None & \\
13 & 3 & 3 & N & None & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
and all patients with treated non-unions had united. Non-union and intervention. At the final follow-up all infections were quiescent (15%) developed signs of implant sepsis which persisted in one patient. This was subsequently successfully treated with further months, with a low infection rate (2%). Yet, in 16 of 50 cases, opening the non-union site was necessary to enable insertion of the nail.

The suprapatellar approach is a variation on the standard infrapatellar nail. The advantages of the suprapatellar nail above the standard nail include easier and improved tibial alignment, improved post-operative knee range of motion and a decrease in the incidence of anterior knee pain. No additional complications with the use of the suprapatellar approach had been proven in the literature. 10-13 Crossing the non-union site is difficult in some cases. It is necessary to have specialised equipment available in the form of solid flexible reamers. We managed closed insertion of the nail in all cases which was facilitated by the suprapatellar nail entry, enabling a straight working channel for reamers in knee extension and facilitating access for intra-operative fluoroscopy.

A potential advantage of avoiding extensive debridement of the non-union site by opening it, might be that the ‘biology’ remains undisturbed and, especially with local bone grafting caused by reaming the medullary canal, this might assist healing.

By adding controlled compression at the non-union site, the stability is further increased and as such, union was achieved in both hypertrophic and atrophic/oligotrophic non-unions alike by addressing the stability at the non-union site.15,22,23

Complications

Of the three patients with positive intra-operative cultures, two (15%) developed signs of implant sepsis which persisted in one patient. This was subsequently successfully treated with further intervention. At the final follow-up all infections were quiescent and all patients with treated non-unions had united. Non-union and chronic sepsis often co-exist in a similar environment and these complications were not specific to the suprapatellar approach.

We acknowledge the limitations of this study. This was a retrospective single centre study with a small sample size. Due to the novel nature of this treatment option and heterogeneity of cases, a large prospective study was not feasible in our setting but could be considered as a multicentre trial in future.

Conclusion

In cases of tibial shaft non-union, without signs of active sepsis, not previous managed with a nail, suprapatellar entry IMN is a safe and reliable treatment option. The use of the suprapatellar approach makes the surgery technically easier, achieving a high union rate with an acceptable low complication rate.
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Figure 3. A 43-year-old female’s tibia fracture was managed in a plaster cast. She had positive intra-operative cultures and proceeded to develop active osteitis. She required removal of her implant and the subsequent insertion of an antibiotic-impregnated cement nail and culture-specific antibiotics. The osteitis resolved without further sequelae.

Radiographic images taken a) before surgery, b) at the first follow-up consultation, c) after insertion of antibiotic impregnated cement nail and, d) at the final consultation.
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