Burden and profile of spinal pathology at a major tertiary hospital in the Western Cape, South Africa
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Abstract

Background: Spinal pathology in the Western Cape is managed at three tertiary level hospitals, including Tygerberg Hospital. The Tygerberg Hospital Orthopaedic Spinal Unit is responsible for the management of spinal pathology for the 3.4 million people in the hospital’s catchment area. However, the unit’s overall burden of disease and associated resource use is currently unclear.

Aim: The first aim was to investigate the overall burden and clinical profile of spinal pathology presenting to the Tygerberg Hospital Spine Unit over a one-year period. The second aim was to determine resource use associated with spine pathology admissions.

Methods: Overall burden was investigated by performing a retrospective review of all patients admitted to the Spine Unit between 1 October 2016 and 30 September 2017. Demographic and clinical data was collected, and patients were assigned to one of five spinal pathology sub-groups. Resource use was determined by length of hospital stay, waiting times, advanced imaging and theatre usage.

Results: Overall burden comprised 349 individual patients and 376 admissions, including readmissions. Trauma (51%) and infection (24%) accounted for the majority of admitted pathology with degenerative (10%), deformity (7%) and malignancy (7%) representing fewer admissions. Motor vehicle accidents were the primary mechanism of injury, accounting for 48% of spine trauma. Tuberculosis was the causative organism in 87% of spinal infections with 44% HIV co-infection. Hospital resource use was considerable with 92% of spine patients requiring advanced imaging, a median operating time of 3 h 36 min and a median hospital stay of 19 days. Infection and malignancy sub-groups had the longest waiting times for advanced imaging and theatre with a median wait of 14–16 days, accounting for approximately 62% of the typical total hospital stay.

Conclusions: The Spine Unit experienced a substantial patient burden requiring significant hospital resources. Reduced in-patient waiting times and upskilling of orthopaedic services at secondary hospitals represent key areas for health system strengthening. However, multi-sectoral strategies would be required to effectively address our high burden of largely preventable spinal pathology.
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Introduction

Spinal pathology represents a wide spectrum of disease involving components of the Functional Spinal Unit and contents of the spinal canal. Typical spinal orthopaedic presentations can be broadly classified into trauma, infection, malignancy, degenerative and deformity subgroups, each of which involve a distinct diagnostic and management approach. Nevertheless, all types of spinal pathology can have major implications for functional ability and quality of life, hence access to appropriate treatment is of high importance.

In the Western Cape, specialist spinal services are only available at three tertiary level hospitals, including Tygerberg Hospital. Officially opened in 1976, Tygerberg Hospital is the largest tertiary hospital in the province and the second largest in the country with 1,384 active beds and an annual budget of R2.6 billion. Tygerberg Hospital’s Orthopaedic Spinal Unit is responsible for the management of all spinal column pathology, including acute, non-penetrating spinal cord injuries, for a population of 3.4 million within the hospital's catchment area. However, the unit is staffed by only one permanent and one sessional consultant, a long-term fellow and two orthopaedic registrars.

Anecdotal evidence suggests that the Spine Unit manages a significant volume of patients, many of whom require advanced imaging, considerable theatre time and a lengthy hospital stay. However, this has not been formally investigated, with previous burden of disease studies focusing on specific conditions such as spinal cord injury and spinal tuberculosis (TB). It follows that the overall profile of spinal pathology presenting to a tertiary institution in South Africa and the associated burden on health system resources is currently unclear.

With this in mind, the first aim of the current study was to investigate the overall burden and clinical profile of spinal pathology presenting to the Tygerberg Hospital Spinal Unit over a one-year period, including patient demographics and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) prevalence within each pathology subgroup. The second aim of the study was to determine the resource use associated with spinal pathology admissions, including the length of hospital stay, use of advanced radiological modalities and theatre time. It is envisaged that increased insight into the volume, distribution and resource costs of spinal pathology within our setting will help to identify areas for health system strengthening, including accurate and adequate resource allocation.

Materials and methods

Overall burden

A retrospective review was performed of all patients admitted to the Spine Unit at Tygerberg Hospital during the period 1 October 2016 to 31 September 2017. Patients were initially identified from the admission files of the Unit’s primary admitting wards, after which this list was cross-referenced with the principal investigator’s personal surgical logbook to ensure that no surgical cases were unaccounted for. All duplicate cases were identified and removed.

Demographic and clinical characteristics

Patient case records, radiological and biochemical investigations were reviewed, and clinical and demographic information collected for each patient included age, sex, residential area, region of pathology and HIV status. Patients were also assigned to one of five spinal pathology subgroups based on clinical notes: trauma, deformity, degenerative disease, infection and malignancy.

Further information pertaining to the two most prevalent subgroups, trauma and infection, was also collected. Trauma data included the mechanism of injury (MOI), presence of polytrauma, and American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) score on admission. Among patients with infection, the causative organisms were categorised as TB or ‘other’ and Frankel grade on admission was recorded.

Resource use

To determine the resource use per patient, the length of hospital stay, use of advanced radiological investigations, total theatre time, and waiting times for surgery and for advanced imaging were recorded. Theatre time was obtained from intra-operative records of the anaesthetic start and end times as recorded by a member of the nursing team.

Figure 1. Absolute burden of patients admitted to Tygerberg Hospital Spinal Unit within a one-year period, in total and by pathology. VA = vehicle accident, IPV = inter-personal violence. *Mechanism of trauma, missing data (n=1), #Infection causative organism unknown (n=1)
Data analysis

Categorical data was presented as counts and percentages whereas continuous data was tested for normal distribution and presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR) as appropriate. When continuous data was normally distributed within some pathology groups but not others, median (IQR) was presented for all groups. Statistical analysis was conducted using Microsoft Excel 2013 (© 2012 Microsoft Corporation, Impressa Systems, Santa Rosa, California) and Graphpad Prism (GraphPad Prism version 6.00, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, California).

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Stellenbosch University and by the management of Tygerberg Hospital.

Results

Overall burden and clinical profile

A total of 349 individual patients were admitted to the Spine Unit over the one-year study period (Figure 1). In addition to the initial admission, 21 (6%) patients required one re-admission and three (<1%) patients required two re-admissions, amounting to 376 admissions in total.

Trauma and infection made up the majority of admitted pathology, accounting for 75% of the overall burden (Figures 1 and 2). Among trauma admissions, a motor vehicle accident (MVA) was the primary MOI, accounting for 48% of spinal trauma, with falls contributing a further 26%. Polytrauma was noted in 39% of trauma patients with an MVA or pedestrian vehicle accident (PVA) recorded as the MOI in 65% and 24% of polytrauma cases, respectively. TB was the dominant causative organism among patients with infection, accounting for 87% of admissions in this subgroup.

Demographic and clinical characteristics

Patient clinical and demographic characteristics are shown in Table I. Overall, most spine patients were from the Cape Metro (65%) or the Cape Winelands (18%) with a much smaller contribution from the hospital’s other referral districts.

While 17% of all spine patients were confirmed HIV positive, a further 58% had an unknown HIV status. Nevertheless, there was some variation in HIV testing between subgroups with HIV status known in 86% of the infection subgroup and only 17–46% of the other subgroups. Of the 72 patients with spinal TB, 32 (44%) were HIV positive, 33 (46%) HIV negative and seven (10%) of unknown status.

Neurology was intact in 74% and 61% of spine trauma and infection patients, respectively, with only 4–5% presenting with complete paralysis. Notably, the majority (45 of 68) of patients with polytrauma presented as ASIA E.
Resource use

Hospital resource use associated with each initial Spine Unit admission is shown in Table II. Overall, 92% of spine patients required some form of advanced imaging, with 26% receiving both a computed tomography (CT) and a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan. In contrast to other subgroups, trauma patients were most likely to have an isolated CT scan (53%) and accounted for 94% of patients receiving a CT scan only. The majority of patients in the deformity, degenerative and infection subgroups received an MRI scan only (57–82%), whereas patients with malignancy required both CT and MRI imaging in 54% of cases.

Overall, 52% of spine patients received operative management, with the trauma and infection subgroups requiring surgery in 41% and 78% of patients, respectively (Table II). Although patients with malignancy utilised the largest percentage of combined imaging out of any subgroup while admitted to the unit, only 25% underwent surgery. Of the 346 patients for whom operative data was available, the median (IQR) operating time was 3 h 36 min (1 h 48 min to 5 h 27 min). However operative time varied by subgroup with the shortest median (IQR) operative times recorded for malignancy (1 h 33 min, 1 h 09 min to 2 h 05 min) and infection (1 h 43 min, 1 h 20 min to 3 h 17 min), and the longest for deformity (7 h 06 min, 6 h 18 min to 8 h 18 min) (Figure 4).

Median hospital stay varied by pathology, with the degenerative subgroup showing the shortest median stay (eight days) and the deformity subgroup the longest (38 days). Among patients with infection and malignancy, there was a median 14–16 day waiting time between admission and surgery, of which a median of 8–10 days was spent waiting for an MRI scan. It follows that waiting time for MRI and surgery typically accounted for more than 50% of the median total hospital stay of 23–25 days for these subgroups.

While the majority of patients from other subgroups were discharged home, 79% of patients with malignancy were transferred to another department within Tygerberg Hospital. Furthermore 17% of patient with infection were discharged to the Western Cape Rehabilitation Centre (WCRC), representing the most common discharge pathway for TB spine patients with neurological fallout. Only 3% of patients required referral to the Acute Spinal Cord Injury (ASCI) Unit at Groote Schuur Hospital with a further 3% recorded as ‘Other’ discharge pathways such as deaths, patient refusal of hospital treatment and absconsions.

Discussion

Burden and clinical profile

The first finding of the study was that the Orthopaedic Spinal Unit at Tygerberg Hospital experienced a substantial patient burden over the one-year study period. 349 patients and 376 separate admissions were recorded. The clinical profile of spine patients was dominated by trauma and infection, with these subgroups accounting for 51% and 24% of all spine pathology, respectively. Spinal orthopaedic surgery is a highly specialised branch of Orthopaedics and its scope of practice in our centre is not limited...
by age or pathology type. Our varied clinical profile supports this, especially if one considers the admission of 29 paediatric patients which in itself is a significant burden given the added demands of this population group. The discipline is also predominantly consultant-driven with regard to decision-making and surgical management and includes the teaching of registrars and medical students. The high burden of spinal pathology in the state sector lacks adequate specialist cover and this is made even more apparent when compared to the private healthcare sector; a total of 26 private spinal orthopaedic surgeons currently listed within the Tygerberg catchment area, meaning that this massive burden is largely preventable.15

To our knowledge, the current study was the first to report the clinical profile of spinal pathology presenting at a tertiary hospital in South Africa, including the major contribution of spinal trauma. Nevertheless, the high volume of trauma admissions is in keeping with the overall high trauma burden seen in South Africa. For example, there were over 50 000 trauma-related deaths reported in South Africa, including the major contribution of spinal trauma. The discipline is also predominantly consultant-driven with regard to decision-making and surgical management and includes the teaching of registrars and medical students. The high burden of spinal pathology in the state sector lacks adequate specialist cover and this is made even more apparent when compared to the private healthcare sector; a total of 26 private spinal orthopaedic surgeons currently listed within the Tygerberg catchment area, meaning that this massive burden is largely preventable.15

The majority (60.5%) of spine trauma was caused by road traffic collisions, with 80% due to MVAs and the remainder to PVAs. The majority of MVA-related trauma illustrates the high-energy, acceleration/deceleration mechanisms required for spinal pathology. In contrast, a previous multicentre study assessing the burden of spine fractures in India reported that falls were the primary cause of injury in 72% of the patient group. Furthermore, traffic accidents accounted for only 23% of all spine fractures despite India having twice as many reported non-fatal road traffic injuries as South Africa.12,13 This contrast suggests that MVAs within our setting are particularly severe, a premise supported by a 2016 report ranking the Western Cape as the province with the third highest road traffic collision fatalities.14 Of concern is that causal analysis of fatal crashes shows that 74% are due to human factors, meaning that this massive burden is largely preventable.15

While the second largest subgroup of spinal pathology was broadly described as infection, 87% of these patients were individuals with spinal TB. It is well established that the Western Cape has one of the highest burdens of TB worldwide, with a reported incidence of 681 cases per 100 00016 and a true incidence that is almost certainly higher. Within the Western Cape, the Cape Metro is the district with the highest absolute burden of TB,16 and this was also the district from which the majority (77%) of our spinal infection patients presented. A higher burden of spinal TB in urban areas is in keeping with previous findings from KwaZulu-Natal17 and is likely explained by adverse living conditions.

Another well-known risk factor for TB is HIV infection and in the current study, 44% of patients with spinal TB were HIV-infected with a further 10% of unknown HIV status. This HIV prevalence is approximately twice as high as the 20% HIV prevalence reported among patients with spinal TB treated at Groote Schuur Hospital, a discrepancy that could possibly be explained by an increase in HIV prevalence in the Western Cape between the study periods (2013–2014 vs 2016–2017),17 more areas with high HIV prevalence within the Tygerberg catchment area,18 and differences in the number of individuals with unknown status (10% vs 16%). More importantly, the current HIV prevalence was approximately four times the estimated national HIV prevalence of 12.6% and almost

Table II: Imaging, treatment, hospital stay and discharge pathway for Tygerberg Hospital Spinal Unit patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advanced imaging, n (%)</th>
<th>Trauma (n=180)</th>
<th>Deformity (n=23)</th>
<th>Degenerative (n=38)</th>
<th>Infection (n=83)</th>
<th>Malignancy (n=24)</th>
<th>Total (n=346)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No advanced imaging</td>
<td>15 (8)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>6 (7)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>27 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT only</td>
<td>95 (53)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
<td>101 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI only</td>
<td>13 (7)</td>
<td>13 (57)</td>
<td>27 (75)</td>
<td>68 (82)</td>
<td>6 (25)</td>
<td>127 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT and MRI</td>
<td>57 (32)</td>
<td>9 (39)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>8 (10)</td>
<td>13 (54)</td>
<td>91 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operative</td>
<td>107 (59)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
<td>18 (50)</td>
<td>18 (22)</td>
<td>18 (75)</td>
<td>165 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative</td>
<td>73 (41)</td>
<td>19 (83)</td>
<td>18 (50)</td>
<td>65 (78)</td>
<td>6 (25)</td>
<td>181 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiting time and hospital stay, days median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission to MRI*</td>
<td>2 (1–10)</td>
<td>8 (1–24)</td>
<td>2 (1–9)</td>
<td>8 (3–12)</td>
<td>10 (5–14)</td>
<td>6 (1–12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission to surgery</td>
<td>6 (3–11)</td>
<td>3 (3–17)</td>
<td>3 (3–8)</td>
<td>14 (9–22)</td>
<td>16 (8–23)</td>
<td>8 (3–17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hospital days</td>
<td>15 (9–27)</td>
<td>38 (18–60)</td>
<td>8 (4–16)</td>
<td>25 (19–36)</td>
<td>23 (18–30)</td>
<td>19 (10–31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged home</td>
<td>114 (63)</td>
<td>19 (83)</td>
<td>32 (89)</td>
<td>43 (52)</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>211 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged to WCRC</td>
<td>15 (8)</td>
<td>3 (13)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14 (17)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred to ASCI</td>
<td>11 (6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred to another TBH department</td>
<td>11 (6)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>7 (8)</td>
<td>19 (79)</td>
<td>41 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred to another hospital</td>
<td>26 (14)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15 (18)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>42 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>9 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT = computed tomography, MRI = magnetic resonance imaging, WCRC = Western Cape Rehabilitation Centre, ASCI = Acute Spinal Cord Injury Unit (Groote Schuur Hospital), TBH = Tygerberg Hospital. *Data available for patients receiving in-patient MRIs: trauma (n=64), deformity (n=7), degenerative (n=9), infection (n=65), malignancy (n=17), total (n=162)
seven times the HIV prevalence in the Western Cape.\textsuperscript{19} While this appears to suggest an association between HIV-infection and spinal TB, evidence from prospective studies is required to confirm this link.

When considering that trauma and infection account for 75\% of the burden on spine services at Tygerberg Hospital, it is pertinent to note that these pathology types are to some extent preventable. For example, stricter road traffic laws and harsher penalties for infringements may help to reduce the incidence of high velocity MVAs in the province, and ongoing efforts to reduce TB transmission may reduce the incidence of spinal TB. When excluding spine pathology due to MVAs, PVsas, inter-personal violence and spinal TB, the current patient burden is reduced by 56\% from 349 to 153 patients – highlighting the extent of the preventable burden. While such drastic reductions are unrealistic, the current study could serve as a useful baseline with which to audit relevant societal interventions in the future.

**Resource use**

The second finding of the current study was that spine pathology was a significant consumer of hospital resources with 92\% of patients requiring advanced imaging, a median operating time of 3 h 36 min and a median hospital stay of 19 days. While relatively high resource consumption for managing spine pathology may be well known anecdotally, to our knowledge the current study is one of the first to formally quantify this.

High utilisation of key resources such as scanners, operating theatres and hospital beds has implications not only for hospital services but also on the expenses incurred. For example, according to current cash prices in the private sector, the average cost of a regional spinal CT and MRI is R3 600 and R6 400, respectively.

Using the aforementioned estimates, the total cost of diagnostic imaging for isolated CTs in 53\% of the trauma subgroup was R342 000. The infection subgroup required the greatest number of isolated MRIs due to the modality’s value with management, and incurred a total cost of R1 305 600 for 24\% of all spine patients. Exact costing for imaging modalities in the state sector was difficult to obtain and while the cost analysis of the different subgroups incurred a total cost of R1 305 600 for 24\% of all spine patients. Exact costing for imaging modalities in the state sector was difficult to obtain and while the cost analysis of the different subgroups is R3 600 and R6 400, respectively.

Further key expenses associated with managing spine pathologies is theatre time. Theatre time is one of the most expensive modalities of all inpatient waiting time. Although trauma waiting times were impressive considering the overall burden of trauma presenting to Tygerberg Hospital, typical waiting time from admission to surgery was approximately two weeks in the infection and malignancy subgroups. As a result, waiting times contributed substantially to the longer total hospital stay of 23–25 days in the infection and malignancy subgroups.

The average cost of a tertiary level general ward hospital stay is R1 640 per day for the facility alone,\textsuperscript{23} resulting in a total cost of R31 160 for the average spine patient, with the longer hospital stays averaging R62 320. When one considers the average waiting time of 14 days from admission to surgery for the infection patient, a total facility cost of R22 960 is incurred per patient purely from ‘waiting’ for advanced imaging and theatre. This is more expensive than a whole spine MRI and stresses the need for not only increased, but efficient MRI and surgical theatre services to meet this enormous burden.

Just as most of the burden of spine pathology seen at the Tygerberg Hospital Spinal Unit is preventable, so too could the high costs of treating these preventable conditions be theoretically averted. With a minimum total cost for a surgically treated trauma or infective patient ranging from R62 000 to R68 000, it is clear that both policymakers and society need to be held more accountable before the monetary impact of the disease burden becomes unbearable.

While long-term goals of reduced trauma and infection will require time and multi-sector cooperation to achieve, short- and medium-term goals for saving costs and strengthening health systems could include innovative strategies to reduce the cost of inpatient waiting times at tertiary level and upskilling of basic spinal services at the district level. Given the consultant-driven nature of spinal surgery, it is inferred that further training and employment of sub-specialists will improve service delivery and lower overall costs, especially when faced with the high burden of spinal pathology demonstrated in our study.

**Conclusion**

Our study is the first to describe admissions to a tertiary spinal unit in the South African setting and demonstrated a large patient burden and a clinical profile dominated by preventable pathologies such as MVAs and spinal TB. The study was also one of the first to quantify resource use between spine pathologies and to confirm the high resource cost of spine pathology management. The high burden of preventable, costly spine pathology within our resource-limited environment highlights a need for urgent, multi-sectoral interventions. However, health system interventions such as reduced inpatient waiting time and upskilling of orthopaedic services at secondary hospitals would also be very beneficial. Future research could focus on the effectiveness of such strategies on the burden, clinical profile and resource use associated with spinal pathology.
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