Necrotising fasciitis following a supracondylar fracture and an open radius fracture in a child
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Abstract

Background: Necrotising fasciitis is a rare, rapidly progressing soft-tissue infection with a high mortality rate. Historically, necrotising fasciitis has been associated with penetrating injuries, and more recently with immunocompromise and severe comorbidities. This case report highlights the association of necrotising fasciitis in a child with an open distal radius fracture and a supracondylar fracture.

Method and results (case report): A 10-year-old boy was admitted 24 hours after falling from a tree with a Gustilo and Anderson grade II distal radius fracture and a Gartland grade III supracondylar humerus fracture. The wound was debrided and the fractures reduced and stabilised with Kirschner wires. Within 48 hours of admission he developed a necrotising fasciitis that extended onto the chest and eventually resulted in a shoulder disarticulation. The tissue defects were covered with flaps and skin grafts and the patient was discharged home.

Conclusions: This case highlights the importance of having an early and high index of suspicion for necrotising fasciitis in a child with an open contaminated fracture and delay to both antibacterial chemotherapy and surgical debridement. Tissue trauma due to open fractures may obscure the early skin signs of necrotising fasciitis as well as laboratory risk factors. In the South African context, urgent administration of cephaazolin and surgical exploration must be done to prevent the devastating complication of necrotising fasciitis.

Level of evidence: Level 5
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Introduction

Historically, penetrating trauma has commonly been described to precede necrotising fasciitis. This has made the condition synonymous with war field injuries.1 Its incidence is low in children and diagnosis remains a challenge.2 Despite maximum care, the mortality of necrotising fasciitis is as high as 40%, attributed to the failure to recognise the disease early.1 Previous non-orthopaedic surgery and minor trauma has been described as the initiating event for necrotising fasciitis in children.3,4 A recent study reported four cases of necrotising fasciitis following external fixation device implantation for deformity correction or limb lengthening.5 A toddler with a closed Gartland I supracondylar humerus fracture had necrotising fasciitis attributed to the pressure necrosis secondary to a fibreglass cast.6 The second paediatric orthopaedic case complicated by necrotising fasciitis, had an open reduction and internal fixation for a closed ankle fracture.7 We present a unique case of necrotising fasciitis in a child with an open fracture of the distal radius and an ipsilateral supracondylar humerus fracture (floating elbow). This case highlights the diagnostic challenges posed by this open fracture combination in a South African context which delayed the diagnosis of necrotising fasciitis and resulted in a poor outcome.

Case report

A 10-year-old boy was admitted to a tertiary care hospital 24 hours after he fell from a tree. He was delayed in getting to the hospital as he first went to two local clinics before arrival in the emergency department. He had no medical comorbidities, his immunisation schedule was up to date, and he had been tested negative for human immune-deficiency virus (HIV). He presented with a left (non-dominant) open distal radius fracture (Gustilo and Anderson grade II) (Figure 1A) and an ipsilateral closed supracondylar humerus fracture (Gartland III) (Figure 1B). The laceration was transverse over the volar aspect of the distal forearm towards the ulnar side, just proximal to the wrist, about 4 cm long. The wound was contaminated. His radial pulse was not present on the left forearm at presentation, but his fingers were perfusing adequately with a capillary refill time of less than three seconds. He had no neurological deficit at presentation and the injury was isolated to his left upper limb.

The patient received anti-tetanus toxoid vaccine as a booster. In the emergency department a prophylactic dose of intravenous ampicillin was given. After a washout of the distal forearm laceration with normal saline, his fractures were initially stabilised with a backslab. He was taken to the operating room six hours after admission (30 hours after the injury) (Figure 2). At the time of initial surgery, the wound at the distal radius was debrided and both fractures were reduced and internally stabilised with Kirschner wires (K-wires) (Figures 1C, D) and an above-elbow backslab. Prophylactic cephalixin was given peri-operatively (three doses). The radial pulse continued to be absent after the fractures were reduced, but his fingers were well perfused (capillary refill time less than three seconds) and it was decided not to explore the radial artery deficiency but to manage it expectantly. On the first post-operative day, the patient was walking around the ward, but complained about pain in his left forearm in keeping with his injury – the pain was not exacerbated by dorsiflexion of the fingers and responded well to analgesia and elevation. The capillary refill time was less than three seconds, but flexion of the little and ring fingers was noted accompanied by a diminished sensation in the ulnar nerve distribution towards the end of the first post-operative day. This ulnar nerve palsy was thought to be due to an iatrogenic injury from the medial distal humerus K-wire insertion. As a result, it was planned to

---

**Figure 1.** Pre- and post-operative X-rays of the fracture
remove the medial distal humeral wire and replace it with a second lateral wire the following day during a second surgery (48 hours after the initial surgery) that was planned for debridement of wounds. The patient was still walking around the ward on the second post-operative day, but his pain had intensified overnight, and his arm had become more swollen. The radial pulse was still absent, with normal capillary refill time. The ulnar nerve palsy remained unchanged. The symptoms of pain and swelling increased very rapidly in the morning and did not respond to analgesia before the patient was taken to the operating room 78 hours after the initial injury (Figure 2). As a result of his very rapid deterioration, no further investigations (angiography, magnetic resonance imaging or computed tomography) were performed. By the time the patient reached the operating room, surgical emphysema had extended onto the left chest (Figure 3A), accompanied by erythema of the left arm with extensive skin necrosis (Figure 3B). The soft tissue and muscles within the arm were necrotic (Figure 3C). At the second surgery, an above-elbow amputation was performed through the supracondylar fracture. At that time, the muscles of the upper arm still looked viable, so the orthopaedic surgeon on call felt that an extension of the debridement to the chest was not necessary. The patient was then admitted to the paediatric intensive care unit, required inotropic support, was started on empiric antibiotic treatment with a combination of intravenous flucloxacillin, piperacillin/tazobactam and clindamycin. Blood tests were ordered for the first time in this case after the admission to the paediatric intensive care unit, around 80 hours after the initial injury: The C-reactive protein was 308 ng/L. His pro-calcitonin level was markedly raised at 275.30 μmol/L. The initial white cell count was $5.65 \times 10^9/L$, haemoglobin was 12.5 g/dL, platelets were $151 \times 10^9/L$ and sodium was 140 mmol/L after the second surgery. Acute kidney injury had also developed rapidly with an increased anion gap of 21 mmol/L, a urea of 16.4 mmol/L and a creatinine of 191 μmol/L (AKIN 2). Blood cultures grew Enterobacter cloacae which was resistant to ampicillin and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid but sensitive to ertapenem and cefepime. Enterobacter faecium was also grown and sensitive to ampicillin. Appropriate antibiotic cover was
Discussion

The diagnosis of necrotising fasciitis remains a challenge as skin changes vary widely on presentation. In a retrospective case series of 39 paediatric cases of necrotising fasciitis, the majority had localised pain, indurated skin, erythema, local warmth and splitting of the affected body region. While the classic hallmarks of skin signs of necrotising fasciitis (bullae and skin necrosis) were highly prevalent in the former, they were absent in the latter. The absence of these hallmark signs at presentation was noted to be the most relevant factor in missing the diagnosis. The subtle skin changes indicative of an infectious process have been described to be useful to raise diagnostic suspicion for necrotising fasciitis in non-orthopaedic surgery. In our case the early skin changes could be attributed both to the initial and surgical tissue trauma and therefore be perceived as normal until red flags appear. One of them is pain out of proportion: the patient experiences pain the intensity of which exceeds the amount of pain that one would expect from the skin signs present. When it appeared, conjointly with the increased swelling of the arm, the necessity for an urgent second surgery became obvious. As the initial clinical findings were inconclusive in our case until the red flag of pain out of proportion appeared, imaging might have been helpful to aid the diagnosis: The World Society of Emergency Surgery's guidelines mention computed tomography as well as magnetic resonance imaging as a weak recommendation, but due to the rapid progression oversight, a further validation of the diagnosis would have further delayed the surgical source control. Early diagnosis is the key to halt disease progression and limit its extension to previously unaffected body parts. The presence of subcutaneous gas on plain X-rays is often described to be of diagnostic value, but due to its insensitivity and occurrence in only a minority of patients, plain X-rays were not considered useful. Based on the rapid progression of necrotising fasciitis and the time-consuming nature of imaging, surgical exploration remains the gold standard for both diagnosis and treatment of necrotising fasciitis.

Besides imaging modalities, laboratory markers have also been shown to assist in the diagnosis of necrotising fasciitis. The Laboratory Risk Indicator for Necrotising Fasciitis (LRINEC) has been described to aid diagnosis with a positive predictive value of 92% for the cut-off, using the routine laboratory parameters C-reactive protein, white cell count, haemoglobin, sodium, creatinine, and blood glucose. However, this score has not been validated for paediatric patients and only one report describes its successful use in a paediatric patient. Hence, the LRINEC in a paediatric case-control study of 20 cases of necrotising fasciitis and 20 controls diagnosed with non-necrotising soft tissue infections was found to be inferior to a modification that takes only sodium <135 mmol/L and CRP>20 mg/L into account. In our case, we conducted the first blood analysis after the second surgery on admission to the paediatric intensive care unit, which was after the initially missed diagnosis of necrotising fasciitis had already been established in atra-operatively. The applicability of a score that strongly relies on levels of C-reactive protein remains uncertain in post-operative patients and patients with fractures. Fractures cause elevations of C-reactive protein levels. Moreover, the levels of the C-reactive protein experience a steep rise following surgery that renders them unhelpful as a post-operative diagnostic score.

In this case report the diagnosis of necrotising fasciitis was missed, as is the case in almost 75% of paediatric cases. Missed diagnosis results in delayed surgical intervention, which correlates with mortality if it exceeds 24 hours. Our case had the second surgery within 24 hours following the onset of symptoms. Necrotising fasciitis has been shown to result in high mortality compared to controls with other serious infectious diseases. This high mortality has been observed in other serious infectious diseases too, but the rapid onset of symptoms is not rivalled in cases of necrotising fasciitis. Although the current case survived this devastating disease, despite a delay in diagnosis and intervention, he suffered a severe morbidity, with the loss of his left arm.

On reviewing this case, we noticed several points that might have contributed to the devastating outcome of our patient. The first was the poor choice of initial antibiotic: he received ampicillin in the emergency department, which was against our standard operating procedure of cephalosporin based on the guidelines of prophylactic antibacterial chemotherapy in open fractures. The inadequate antibacterial cover might have given the causative organisms the chance to reach deeper structures. Moreover, the 'Eagle effect' has been described for penicillins: a time delay in administration of penicillins reduced their bactericidal effect and may have also contributed to the initiation of necrotising fasciitis in our patient as 24 hours had already passed from the initial injury and wound contamination. Initial surgical debridement within six hours after the injury has been considered the best treatment of open paediatric fractures, but has been challenged by a systematic review with meta-analysis, which found no difference between surgical debridement within six hours and after 24 hours. However, immediate antibacterial chemotherapy is regarded as key to successful treatment of open paediatric fractures, especially when they are managed non-operatively. Our case presented to our hospital 24 hours after the initial injury and after being seen at two smaller clinics, neither of which initiated antibacterial chemotherapy.

The causative pathogens define the classification of necrotising fasciitis: a synergism of several pathogens is described to cause polymicrobial type I necrotising fasciitis that is associated with immunocompromise in children as well as adults, and often has no preceding trauma but a history of comorbidities. In contrast, monomicrobial, usually Gram-positive bacteria, type II necrotising fasciitis tends to occur in otherwise healthy individuals, usually with a preceding trauma or a skin defect. The classification also lists a type III necrotising fasciitis caused by monomicrobial Gram-negative bacteria and a type IV due to fungi. Our patient had a type II necrotising fasciitis as he had an initiating trauma, and only methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus was isolated from the initial intra-operative tissue cultures. The final factor that may have contributed to his poor outcome was the absent rash, ruling thus indicating possible hypoperfusion of the area. His capillary refill time was within normal limits, which prompted us to consider the vascular supply sufficient and therefore to refrain from further diagnostic procedures.
Conclusions
This case highlights the importance of having an early and high index of suspicion for necrotising fasciitis in a child with an open contaminated fracture and delay to both antibacterial chemotherapy and surgical debridement. Tissue trauma due to open fractures may obscure the early skin signs of necrotising fasciitis as well as laboratory risk factors. In the South African context urgent administration of cephalazin and surgical exploration must be done to prevent the devastating complication of necrotising fasciitis.

Ethics statement
Informed consent for publication of the case report including the depicted photos was been obtained from the patient's parents. Approval from the Ethics Committee of the University of Witwatersrand (permission number: M1511111) was granted.

References