Intramedullary nail after Masquelet bone graft fracture: A case report
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Abstract

Large bone defects are challenging to reconstruct and often require specialised treatment strategies, multiple surgeries and long treatment periods. When these bone defects are the result of chronic infection the complexity of the reconstructive process increases exponentially. In the subtrochanteric area of the femur, bone defects secondary to infection are especially challenging as skeletal stabilisation is difficult and internal fixation is considered with caution due to the risk of recurrent infection. We report a case of a 24-year-old male who was successfully treated with an intramedullary nail after a fracture through a subtrochanteric Masquelet bone graft site.

Key words: intramedullary nail, bi-Masquelet, Ilizarov, bone defect, reconstruction

Background

Managing large bone defects can be extremely challenging for the orthopaedic surgeon involved. In addition, patients are exposed to significant impairment for extended periods of time, often being exposed to multiple surgeries and prolonged discomfort.14 The critical limit for non-vascularised bone grafting is 4–6 cm, with larger defects requiring alternative reconstructive techniques.1,4,15 Large bone defects can arise as a result of a number of causes; the majority is either post-traumatic or following infection or malignancy.2,16

Bone defects following infection are especially challenging as recurrent infection is always a concern and limits the treatments options. Treatment becomes even more challenging when the defect is located in the femoral subtrochanteric region. Guidelines to the ideal treatment strategy in these situations are limited in the literature and prescriptive management based on established protocols is lacking.

A flexible and individualised approach to the treatment is required.2 The Masquelet technique has been used successfully in the management of bone defects secondary to chronic osteomyelitis and involves the formation of an induced membrane with delayed cancellous bone grafting.4,15 This technique often relies on external fixation for stability. External fixation around the proximal femur is uncomfortable and poorly tolerated by most patients. Complications are common, with pin-site infection being almost universally experienced.16,17 Conversion from external fixation to internal fixation has been suggested to limit patient discomfort and decrease the risk of external fixator-related complications. The timing of this conversion is, however, controversial and the recurrence of infection remains a concern.2,13

We report a case of successful intramedullary femur nailing following a fracture through a subtrochanteric Masquelet bone graft site.
Case report

A 24-year-old man was referred to our limb reconstruction unit with chronic osteomyelitis of the left proximal femur. He had previously been treated at two separate institutions. His initial treatment involved a proximal femoral locked plate for a closed subtrochanteric femur fracture following a motor vehicle accident. He presented to the second hospital with a subtrochanteric non-union and a broken plate. Plate removal revealed a post-operative infection with necrotic bone. Specimens taken during debridement cultured Staphylococcus aureus sensitive to cloxacillin and clindamycin. At this time his erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) was 48 mm/h and C-reactive protein (CRP) was 40 mg/L.

The patient was subsequently referred to our unit for further management of his chronic osteomyelitis. The patient was a non-smoker, HIV negative and had a serum albumin of 41 g/L, classifying him as an A-host according to the Cierny and Mader classification.\textsuperscript{14} The diffuse subtrochanteric osteomyelitis and associated mechanical instability resulted in the patient being classified as anatomic type IV Cierny and Mader chronic osteomyelitis.\textsuperscript{14}

Surgical management was staged according to the prescribed Masquelet induced-membrane technique.\textsuperscript{4,6,9} Debridement revealed a 12 cm necrotic subtrochanteric bone segment (Figure 1). All necrotic bone was debrided. An area of sub-periosteal new bone formation on the medial aspect of the proximal femur was well perfused and thus left intact. Soft tissues were closed over a gentamycin-impregnated form-fitting cement spacer (Figure 2). Stability was maintained using an Ilizarov circular external fixator (Smith and Nephew Inc., Memphis, TN, USA).

The second stage was performed eight weeks after the initial debridement. Infective markers were negative at this time and all clinical signs of infection had resolved. Surgery involved removal of the cement spacer followed by bone grafting into the induced membrane (Figure 3).

The reamer-irrigator-aspirator (RIA) (Synthes Inc, West Chester, PA) autograft, harvested without complication from the contralateral femur, was mixed with allograft bone chips and packed into the induced membrane surrounding the defect (Figure 4).
The external fixator was removed once union was deemed adequate, five months after the bone graft procedure. A subsequent fall nine days after the frame was removed resulted in a fracture through the bone graft area. The soft tissues were not injured during the fall and inflammatory markers were normal. A Trigen InterTan (Smith and Nephew Inc., Memphis, TN, USA) cephalomedullary nail was chosen for stabilisation of the fracture. The nail was inserted without incident and the patient was mobilised immediately post-operatively. At 20-months’ follow-up the patient is able to ambulate without a walking aid and remains infection-free. Radiographic evaluation confirmed that the Masquelet bone graft fracture has healed and remodelled (Figure 5). We are not planning to remove the implant.

Discussion

Osteomyelitis causes bone necrosis, soft tissue compromise, functional impairment, systemic illness and considerable morbidity. Chronic osteomyelitis may begin as acute haematogenous or contiguous disease, the latter typically being introduced by open fracture or surgery. In 1983 Cierny and Mader classified chronic osteomyelitis based on four anatomical types and three physiological groups of patients (A, B & C).14

Chronic osteomyelitis, as is the case with musculoskeletal tumours, can only be eradicated through complete resection of all necrotic tissue. Chemotherapy, in the form of antibiotics, only plays an adjuvant role.15

A curative approach resulted in a 12 cm subtrochanteric bone defect in our case. Bone defects greater than 4-6 cm are clinically challenging to treat and require specialised reconstructive techniques.1,2,16 Bone transport according to the Ilizarov method and bone graft into an induced membrane as described by Masquelet are two of the common techniques used.4,9,17,18 In very large defects, greater than 12 cm, literature suggests that free vascularised fibular grafts provide the best results.19

The Masquelet technique is based on two principles or operative stages.4,9 The first stage relies on provoking a foreign body reaction around a cement spacer that is left in the osseous void for 4-8 weeks. This results in the formation of an induced membrane into which cancellous bone graft is placed at a second stage. During this period an external fixator usually provides stability but internal fixation may also be used. The induced membrane acts as a resilient receptacle for the graft as well as preventing graft resorption.4,9,20 The membrane also exhibits histological characteristics and biological properties that facilitate bone healing and revascularisation.6,21-23

The use of a solid form-fitting cement spacer provides additional advantages beyond the formation of the induced membrane. Local adjuvant antibiotics (usually vancomycin, gentamycin and or tobramycin) are aimed at eradicating persistent bacterial contamination. The solid spacer prevents involution of muscle, nerves and vascular structures into the defect, preventing them from becoming incorporated in the scar tissue that forms.24 Wound closure after bone grafting is also facilitated as soft tissues heal in an expanded position after the initial debridement thereby preventing contraction into the defect.24

The Masquelet procedure results in the formation of large ‘induced membranes’ that require cancellous bone grafting. The RIA autograft system (Synthes Inc. West Chester, PA) allows intramedullary, non-structural autologous bone graft harvesting.25 Analyses of harvested graft demonstrate high concentrations of various growth factors including FGF-alpha, PDGF, IGF-I, BMP-2 as well as osteogenic differentiation of bone marrow progenitor cells.26-29 This suggests a tremendous therapeutic potential while maintaining the biological properties of the donor bone.20

Figure 4. RIA bone graft mixed with allograft bone chips

Figure 5. AP and lateral radiographs at last follow-up
Donor sites include the femur and tibia, and harvesting can be performed both antegrade or retrograde. In a recent study by Qvick et al., the mean volume of bone graft harvested was 25 ml–69 ml, with a complication rate of 1.96%.

As previously mentioned, one of the major challenges faced in managing bone defects is the provision of adequate bony stability. Adequate stability promotes revascularisation and maximises the host’s immune response. Although there is currently a variety of fixation options available, external fixation is generally preferred. The advantage over open reduction and internal fixation or intramedullary nailing includes modularity of the construct, decreased deep infection risk and increased access for wound management after fixation is achieved.

The most common reasons for conversion to internal fixation include patient discomfort, persistent non-union and refracture. Conversion can be done acutely or delayed. Acute conversions involve the removal of the external fixator and insertion of internal fixation during the same surgery; this includes debridement of external fixation pin tracks and careful placement of the internal fixation device. Delayed conversion involves separate procedures for the removal of the external fixation and placement of the internal device, a so-called ‘pin-holiday’. Debridement of the pin tracks is done during the first procedure. Stability in the interval between the procedures relies on various methods including traction, plaster of Paris and functional braces. In our case, the patient had managed the external fixator well and did not experience any pin track complications. The conversion from external to internal fixation was delayed following a refracture secondary to a fall.

In this case report we present the findings and experiences of a single case, and we feel that this case confirms that the Masquelet technique can be used successfully to manage large subtrochanteric bone defects. It also confirms that one can successfully use intramedullary nailing through a Masquelet bone-graft site. We learnt that correct timing of the external fixator removal is essential as in our case union was not sufficient, which resulted in fracture through the bone graft site following minor trauma.

### Conclusion

The Masquelet procedure is effective for the management of bone defects resulting from chronic osteomyelitis. It is labour-intensive and requires meticulous technique, lengthy hospitalisation and prolonged periods of external fixation. Internal fixation is a safe alternative to repeat external fixation in the setting of a fracture through a Masquelet bone-graft site.
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