A 34-year-old man presented with a fragment of glass protruding from his scalp after having been struck with a beer bottle that had broken on impact. The glass was visible on plain film radiographs, but its degree of penetration could not be determined. A computed tomography (CT) scan showed a fragment of glass penetrating the calvarium, glass shards in the scalp and intracranially, and tiny intracranial bone fragments and small air loculations (Fig. 1). A flap containing the embedded glass fragment was removed and the wound debrided.

The medical literature frequently fails to distinguish between different types of glass as a foreign body, and often states that all glass is visible on X-rays.¹ However, small shards of glass may not be visible on plain film radiographs because the radiodensities of different types of glass differ qualitatively.² Beer bottle glass is usually visible on plain radiographs, but is much less dense than on a CT scan (Fig. 2). Shattered windshield glass is clearly visible on plain radiographs, as its manufacture renders it significantly radio-opaque (Fig. 3). Windowpane glass appears to be the least radio-opaque and can be difficult to visualise in the soft tissues on plain radiographs.

To demonstrate the advantage of CT over plain film X-rays, a saline bag simulating soft tissue was placed over a sample of...
windowpane glass and a plain film radiograph was obtained (Fig. 4). This glass, which is faintly visible on either side of the bag, merges in density with the saline and can only be differentiated from the bag at its lateral edges. On a CT scan the thin layer of glass is clearly visible underneath the saline bag (Fig. 5), illustrating that the CT scan is much more sensitive than plain films in differentiating ranges of density. CT also has superior spatial resolution within soft tissue, and within bone (as shown in Fig. 1). CT is therefore the preferred imaging modality for detecting shards of glass in the soft tissues when these are not clearly visible or inadequately anatomically defined on plain film radiographs.

The greater sensitivity of CT is useful in clinical conditions that previously presented diagnostic dilemmas, e.g. ureteric calculi of insufficient density to be visible on plain films and impacted fish bones in the hypopharynx and cervical oesophagus, which may not be visible on plain films (Fig. 6).³
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