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Objective. To determine the diagnostic value of haemodynamic contrast-enhanced ultrasound assessment in benign and malignant breast tissue, using histological examination as the reference standard.

Methods. An HDI 5000 Phillips ultrasound scanner with microvascular imaging software and 2.5 ml SonoVue (Bracco spa, Milan) contrast was used to scan 50 consecutive patients (32 malignant and 18 benign, 49 with histologically confirmed breast lesions). Time-intensity curves of the regions of interest (ROI) placed over the lesional and normal breast tissues were acquired using QLAB software. The area under the curve (AUC), time to peak (TTP), in-flow gradient (IFG) and peak enhancement (PE) were determined in a standardised manner for each focal breast lesion and the control/normal breast. IFG and AUC in the periphery, and PE and AUC in the centre of the lesions, differed significantly between malignant and benign tumours. In a logistical regression model, AUC in the periphery and the ratio of PE at the tumour centre to that of normal breast were independently predictive of malignancy ($p<0.001$), achieving a diagnostic sensitivity of 97% and a specificity of 80% in the 41 tumours in which central vessels could be distinguished.

Conclusion. Haemodynamic contrast-enhanced ultrasound assessment can be used to distinguish between benign and malignant breast lesions.

Each patient received 2 intravenous bolus injections of SonoVue (2 boluses of 2.5 ml) via a 20-gauge intravenous catheter placed in the antecubital vein for each lesion to be characterised, followed by 3 ml of saline flush.

**Study design**
Screening (physical examination, pregnancy test whenever applicable, medical history and concomitant medication), the triple assessment and informed written consent were obtained before the contrast-enhanced ultrasound investigation. All patients were monitored for adverse events until 2 hours after the administration of SonoVue.

**Ultrasound investigations**
A Phillips HDI 5000 ultrasound scanner equipped with non-linear imaging capabilities was used in the Department of Surgery at the Glasgow Royal Infirmary. Technical settings such as mechanical index (MI) and frame rate were optimised to obtain images of the best quality (MI 0.06, frame rate 13/second). Contrast-enhanced ultrasound was performed in a standardised manner in a single plane after an IV bolus injection of 2.5 ml SonoVue and 3 ml saline flush.

To establish the location of the lesion, all patients had an unenhanced ultrasound scan using fundamental mode, followed by contrast-enhanced ultrasonography in greyscale, with non-linear imaging modes using continuous real-time imaging. The first enhanced study was over the lump and the second over a similar area of the contralateral breast. The normal breast served as the control. The enhanced sono-graphic examinations were recorded on CD-ROM.

QLAB (Phillips) ultrasound image analysing software transmitted the image to a computer screen. A ROI of 5 mm² was selected over the periphery and over the centre of the focal lesion, using the accumulation image.

A time signal intensity curve was derived for both these areas. The data of these curves were automatically transferred to a Microsoft Excel file, which was used to determine the time to peak (TTP), area under the curve (AUC), in-flow gradient (IFG) and peak enhancement (PE) in a standard manner.

Finally, the results were compared with the initial histological diagnosis.

**Reference standard**
Histological results were based on fine-needle aspirates, core biopsies and excised tumours. Ninety-eight per cent of the patients had a pathology result. One patient had a clinically evident carcinoma, without histological confirmation. This was added to ‘Carcinoma not otherwise specified’ (see Table II).

The final diagnosis was based on the findings of combined clinical examination, mammography/sonography and histology/pathology. Biopsies and aspirates were performed more than 2 weeks before the ultrasound study.

**Definitions**
Definitions are as follows: Region of interest (ROI): 5 mm square – QLAB software; Peripheral: on the edge between the normal and abnormal tissue, selected on the lesion; Central: centre of the lesion (could not be determined in scattered/small lesions); Control breast: contralateral breast without clinical or imaging evidence of breast disease; time to peak (TTP) = (time at peak) – (time at inflow), SI unit: seconds; area under the curve (AUC) = (echo2 + echo1)*(time2 – time1)/2; in-flow gradient (IFG): graph-fit-straight-line over contrast in-flow; peak-enhancement (PE) = (peak echo) – (background echo).

**Statistics**
Comparison between the abnormal and normal breast of each patient was performed using Wilcoxon’s signed-rank test. Comparisons between malignant and benign breast lumps were performed using the Mann-Whitney test. The ability of the ultrasound-derived variables to distinguish between malignant and benign lumps was assessed using logistical regression analysis. A $p$-value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Subjects**
A total of 50 women aged 20 - 93 years (mean age 55 years) with a breast lump were referred for clinical evaluation to the Breast Clinic of the Glasgow Royal Infirmary and Western Infirmary, UK, between July and December 2003.

All the patients were examined clinically. Seventy-four per cent had a mammogram, 32% had an unenhanced ultrasound scan, 64% had a fine-needle aspirate, 86% had a core biopsy and 98% had a pathology result.

One malignant and 8 benign tumours were excluded because the central area could not be defined.

**Reference standard**
Histological examination revealed the presence of 32 breast cancers and 18 benign lesions (fibro-adenoma, fibrocystic changes, fat necrosis and fibrosis). The histopathological classification of the malignant and benign lesions is given in Tables I and II.

The mean diameter of all combined lumps was 2.5 cm (range 0.5 - 5 cm). The mean diameter of malignant lesions was 2.9 cm (0.5 - 5 cm), and of benign lesions 1.5 cm (1 - 2 cm).

Twenty-nine of the patients with malignant disease underwent breast surgery and the abnormal lesions were surgically removed. The remaining patients had a histological diagnosis made on core biopsy alone. Two patients were treated with neo-adjuvant chemotherapy before surgery and 3 patients received only hormonal treatment for their breast cancer. All the patients had unilateral breast disease. Of the
In the peripheral vessels of benign lesions, the TTP was significantly reduced ($p<0.001$) and the in-flow gradient significantly increased ($p=0.049$), but there was no significant overall difference between tumour and normal tissue in AUC ($p=0.16$) or peak enhancement ($p=0.87$).

Ultrasound measurements were performed over the central area of the tumour in 31 malignant and 10 benign tumours (Table IV). The other 1 malignant and 8 benign tumours were excluded because the central area could not be defined.

In contrast to the results in peripheral vessels, in central vessels of malignant tumours the TTP, in-flow gradient, AUC and peak enhancement were all significantly reduced ($p<0.001$, $p=0.014$, $p<0.001$ and $p<0.001$ respectively) relative to the contralateral normal breast.

In benign tumours, the TTP in central vessels was reduced ($p=0.008$), but there was no significant change in the other variables relative to normal breast.

**Comparison of malignant and benign tumours**

The in-flow gradient in peripheral vessels was significantly greater in malignant than benign tumours both in absolute terms ($p=0.01$) and relative to the gradient in normal breast ($p=0.02$). Similarly, the AUC in peripheral vessels was higher in malignant than benign tumours in both absolute and relative terms ($p=0.001$).

By contrast, in central vessels, the in-flow gradient tumour-to-normal ratio, the AUC (absolute and ratio), and the peak enhancement (absolute and ratio) were all significantly lower in malignant than benign tumours ($p<0.05$).

The variables that differed significantly between benign and malignant tumours were assessed in a multiple logistical...
regression analysis with forward stepwise selection, to construct a model combining independently significant predictive variables. When the analysis was restricted to measurements in peripheral vessels, so as to include all tumours, only the AUC had significant independent predictive power ($p<0.001$). When the analysis included measurements in both peripheral and central vessels, hence excluding those tumours in which central measurements were not available, both the AUC in peripheral vessels ($p<0.001$) and the tumour-to-normal ratio of peak enhancement in central vessels ($p<0.001$) were independently significant. The logistical regression equation relating the log of the odds of a tumour being malignant to the ultrasound variables was as follows:

$$\text{log odds} = 6.56 \frac{\text{PE}_{tc}}{\text{PE}_{con}} - 0.028 \text{AUC}_{tp} - 0.51,$$

where $\text{AUC}_{tp}$ = area under curve, tumour peripheral vessels, $\text{PE}_{tc}$ = peak enhancement, tumour central vessels, and $\text{PE}_{con}$ = peak enhancement, normal breast.

Fig. 1 shows the receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curves associated with $\text{AUC}_{tp}$ alone and $\text{AUC}_{tp}/\text{PE}_{con}$, in combination with $\text{PE}_{tc}/\text{PE}_{con}$. Table V shows the sensitivity and specificity achieved for each of these predictive models at thresholds that maximised the number of tumours correctly classified in this cohort. Measurements in peripheral vessels alone had poor specificity in identifying malignant tumours, and addition of the measurements in central vessels greatly improved accuracy in the subset of tumours in which these measurements were available.

**Discussion**

SonoVue is currently the most widely available ultrasound contrast agent in Europe for radiology applications and has replaced Levovist as the latter is no longer available or manufactured for Europe.

The advent of the improved nonlinear imaging modes combined with low mechanical index imaging has been a significant advance for the use of SonoVue clinically, as it enables continued real-time imaging with minimal microbubble destruction.

The real-time demonstration of the contrast enhancement of the lesion relative to the control breast is the key to improving lesion characterisation, as shown in this study.
The contralateral breast was used as the control in this study; it has been proved that malignancy in a breast causes a generalised increase in flow over the entire affected breast. All the patients in this study had unilateral breast disease, without known metastases and there was no significant difference in the blood flow between the various groups of patients concerning the control breast. Previous studies on blood flow assessment in focal lesions have led to inconsistent results and it may well have been because the lesions were compared with the surrounding tissue, where the flow was increased because of the malignant lesion.

Two specific areas in the tumour were haemodynamically assessed, as previous studies have indicated that an increased vessel count and flow could be demonstrated over the periphery of the cancer and that the centre of the cancer was associated with a decrease in number of vessels and flow. This study demonstrated that this was true when both malignant and benign lumps were compared with the control breast.

Quantitative analyses on a ROI including the entire tumour volume led to inconsistent results, possibly because of the ill-defined margins in some tumours. The majority of benign lumps assessed in this study were fibrocystic changes, which occur because of hormonal influences in the breast. The increased flow to these cysts may be a result of inflammation associated with this condition. Similarly, there was an increased flow but decreased AUC in fat necrosis.

The flow pattern of fibro-adenomas was exactly the opposite of the malignant tumours. Where malignant tumours had an increased flow and AUC over the periphery of the lump, the fibro-adenomas demonstrated an increased flow and AUC over the central aspect of the lump; this may indicate the mechanism of growth. The relative avascular state of fibrosis may explain the decrease in the AUC.

There were limitations in the design of the study. Analysis of the contrast-enhanced ultrasound scans was always done after triple assessment of the lesion and the diagnosis was available at the time of the assessment. The breast biopsies could have had an effect on the vascularity. We have not been able to demonstrate the effect. Ideally it should have been a blinded study and the analyses should have been done before breast biopsy, but the current set-up was meant to mirror clinical practice. In addition, the majority of malignant lumps were surgically removed for pathological examination and not all the benign lumps, which could potentially have led to misdiagnosis of a few benign lumps. However there is proof that once a benign lesion has been diagnosed by triple assessment, it can safely be left behind. Furthermore, enhancement was not always adequate to determine the central aspect of the lesion at 2.5 ml of SonoVue and pulse inversion; it may improve with 5 ml of contrast.

Nevertheless, in this study we have shown an improvement in the characterisation of focal breast lesions based on real-time assessment of the differential tumour SonoVue enhancement characteristics with regard to the normal breast. The results have shown that SonoVue-enhanced ultrasound significantly increased both the sensitivity and specificity in the characterisation of focal breast lesions. There was also a significant reduction in the number of indeterminate diagnoses following SonoVue-enhanced ultrasound.

Differentiation between the benign and malignant lesions may be difficult in some instances, e.g. fat necrosis with calcification or fibrosis post lumpectomy, more so when the lesion is small, when the characteristic features may lead to confusion and the biopsy can be difficult. In these cases contrast-enhanced ultrasound may be of benefit as it is non-invasive and can provide real-time imaging.

No adverse effects were experienced by the patients in this study. SonoVue is considered to be a safe contrast for ultrasound of the breast.

**Conclusion**

The results suggest that SonoVue-enhanced ultrasound with non-linear imaging modes improves the characterisation of focal breast lesions and could be implemented in clinical practice to limit unnecessary referral for further invasive and/or costly imaging scans. However further studies are needed to confirm the results and reproducibility of this study.
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