The effect of lockdown on intentional and non-intentional injury during the COVID-19 pandemic in Cape Town, South Africa: A preliminary report
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Background. In response to the coronavirus pandemic, lockdown restrictions and a ban on alcohol sales were introduced in South Africa. The homicide rate is among the highest in the world, while road injuries are the third and fourth leading cause of deaths among men and women, respectively.

Methods. The period of investigation was from 1 February to 30 June 2020 and was segmented into three intervals: pre-lockdown (February and March 2020), hard lockdown (April and May 2020) and immediately post lockdown (June 2020). The electronic HECTIS health record registry was interrogated for the total number of patients that were seen per month. These were further categorised according to mechanism of injury (stab, gunshot, blunt assault and road traffic injuries). Penetrating (stab and gunshot) and blunt assault victims were collectively grouped as violent trauma.

Results. The mean total number of patients seen decreased by 53% during the hard lockdown period. There was a moderate reduction (15%) in patients with gunshot injuries seen during the hard lockdown phase, but there was an 80% increase in the post-lockdown period. The proportion of patients injured in road traffic collisions pre lockdown, hard lockdown and immediate post lockdown was 16.4%, 8.9% and 11.1%, respectively. Patients injured in road traffic collisions decreased by 74% during the hard lockdown period and maintained a reduction of 32% during the immediate post-lockdown period. The mean total number of patients who visited the trauma unit returned to pre-lockdown levels in June.

Conclusion. There was an overall trend of reduced number of patients who visited the trauma unit during the hard lockdown period; however, these numbers returned to pre-lockdown levels during the immediate post-lockdown period. The number of road traffic injury admissions remained reduced during all three phases of lockdown, while the number of gunshot victims increased substantially during the post-lockdown period.


In keeping with the universal response to the coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic, lockdown measures were introduced in South Africa (SA). The SA government decreed five lockdown alert levels ranging from alert level 5, which entailed drastic measures to contain the spread of the virus to alert level 1, where reintroduction of normal activity was envisioned (Fig. 1). All non-essential activities were suspended. The lockdown included curfews, stay and work from home orders for non-essential workers, and restrictions on gatherings and public transport. Furthermore, the gazetted regulations stipulated an absolute ban on sales of alcohol and tobacco products. In the backdrop of this pandemic, there is the pre-existing quadruple burden of disease that plagues the SA people, aptly referred to as a cocktail of four colliding epidemics: maternal, newborn and child health; HIV/AIDS and tuberculosis (TB); non-communicable diseases; and violence and injury. Injuries are a major contributor to the burden of disease and interpersonal violence accounts for a greater share of the injury burden in SA than most other countries. The homicide rate is among the highest in the world, while road injuries are the third and fourth leading cause of deaths among men and women, respectively. There was a substantial decrease in trauma admissions countrywide and when the police minister, Mr Bheki Cele, was releasing the quarterly crime statistics covering the lockdown period (April - June), he remarked that ‘a never-seen-before rosy picture of a peaceful South Africa experiencing a crime holiday’. Over the period which coincided with lockdown, murder was down 35.8%, attempted murder by 39.7%, rape by 40.4% and assault with intent to cause grievous bodily harm by 41%. The number of drunk driving convictions went down by 85.5% and drug-related crime also dropped by 53% nationwide. Patients with preventable major trauma divert critical resources required to manage the COVID-19 crisis. We investigated the impact of lockdown measures on the number of patients with intentional and non-intentional injuries who visited a tertiary urban trauma centre in the Western Cape Province, SA.
Methods
Groote Schuur Hospital (GSH) is the central referral hospital in the Cape Metro West Health District, which serves an estimated catchment area of 2 300 000 uninsured patients. It has 893 beds of which 50 beds (10 high care and 40 general surgery) are dedicated to trauma patients. The electronic HECTIS health record registry was implemented in the trauma centre at GSH in November 2019. HECTIS is a digital tracking healthcare platform used to monitor movements of patients through emergency units. Demographic data, standard triaging scores, mechanisms of injury, and management and disposal including international classification of disease codes are prospectively recorded in real-time by treating physicians and nursing staff.

Data from the HECTIS registry were supplied on request by the GSH Information Technology Department. Data extracted from the registry included the total number of patients seen per month. These were further categorised according to mechanism of injury: stab, gunshot, blunt assault and road traffic injuries. Penetrating (stab and gunshot) and blunt assault victims were collectively grouped as violent trauma. The period scrutinised was from 1 February 2020 to 30 June 2020 (5 months) and segmented into three periods: pre-lockdown (February and March 2020); hard lockdown (April and May 2020), which coincided with the restrictions on alcohol and tobacco sales; and post-lockdown (June 2020), which started at lockdown alert level 3 when people were allowed to return to work and there was a resumption of alcohol sales.

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the University of Cape Town Human Research Ethics Committee (ref. no. HREC 434/2020).

Results
The average number of patients seen during the hard lockdown period (April - May 2020, alert levels 5 and 4) decreased by 53%. During the post-lockdown period (June 2020, alert level 3), the number of patients who visited the unit increased and returned to almost those numbers seen during the pre-lockdown period (Table 1). The proportion of patients who sustained violent trauma was unchanged over the three periods with pre-lockdown (37%), lockdown (39%) and post-lockdown (38%). There was a slight decrease (15%) in patients with gunshot wounds seen during the hard lockdown; however, there was a drastic increase (80%) immediately post lockdown. The proportion of patients injured in road traffic collisions during pre-lockdown, hard lockdown and post-lockdown was 16.4%, 8.9% and 11.1%, respectively. Patients injured in road traffic collisions decreased by 74% during the hard lockdown period and maintained a reduction of 32% during the immediate post-lockdown period (Table 1, Fig. 2).

Discussion
Our review of trauma presentations at GSH over the various phases of COVID-19 lockdown in SA indicate a more than 50% drop in the average number of patients seen during the hard lockdown period (April - May 2020, alert levels 5 and 4). Despite a significant reduction in the number of interpersonal violence cases during the lockdown, the proportion of the trauma burden attributable to interpersonal violence remained unchanged. The total number of road traffic injuries decreased during the lockdown period. This can be attributed to both the lockdown and the ban on alcohol that reduced mobility and drunkenness, thereby reducing the number of road traffic crashes. Interpersonal

![Fig. 1. Lockdown alert levels and objectives (source https://www.stateofthenation.gov.za/category/infographics).](https://www.stateofthenation.gov.za/gallery/infographics/lockdown-alarm-levels-md-01_jpg)
violence was expected to be reduced due to restricted social interactions in public space; however, this was offset by increased social interactions in the domestic setting. This trend demonstrates that a lot of violence is taking place at home and that people are not safe in their homes.

Internationally, there have been reports of a reduction in trauma presentations to emergency units as a result of lockdown measures. There was a reported 50% reduction in orthopaedic trauma referrals to a central London trauma unit,[6] a 75% reduction in trauma presentations to a level 1 trauma centre in Barcelona,[7] and 43% reduction in all injury-related admissions in New Zealand.[8] The reduction in trauma numbers is thought to be due to lower risks of workplace and traffic collisions, combined with a fear of attending hospitals. It is interesting to note that none of the three countries mentioned above implemented a ban on alcohol sales. However, there are major demographic and aetiological differences in the trauma presentations in these countries when compared with SA. Most injuries in the UK, Spain and New Zealand are caused by road traffic collisions and falls. Furthermore, the patients tend to be older (in their 50s), with a much higher proportion of female patients (52% in Spain and 50% in the UK). These countries do not have high numbers of interpersonal violence and the overall trauma numbers are low. The striking difference in the SA context is the large number of victims of interpersonal violence, a majority (90%) of whom are young men in their 20s.[9] A major barrier to decreasing intentional injury mortality has been poor adherence to gun control with increased firearm availability and relaxation of alcohol access controls.

The homicide rate in SA is among the highest in the world.[10] There has been a year-on-year increase in the number of homicides in men, and the rate of homicides due to guns has doubled from 17 to 35/100 000 people between 2010 and 2018.[11] Firearm-related homicide was the second leading cause of death after HIV/AIDS in the Western Cape Province in people aged 15 - 44 years of age. Homicide and road injuries are a leading cause of premature mortality and accounted for 7.3% and 4.6% of the years of life lost in 2012, respectively.[12] Half of the homicide victims tested positive for alcohol and 45% of them had blood alcohol concentrations higher than the legal driving limit (0.05 g/100 mL).[13] Researchers have highlighted a need for reliable data, and making gunshot injuries notifiable in the trauma unit would certainly assist in targeting prevention efforts.[14]

The absolute number of road injury deaths increased slightly between 2012 and 2018, but the age-standardised road injury mortality rates have remained fairly constant.[15] There has been an increase in passenger deaths as well as a high number of pedestrian deaths.

Alcohol is a prominent risk factor for the high rates of injury. The harmful use of alcohol causes ~3 million (5.3%) deaths per year worldwide.[16,17] In people aged 20 - 39 years, ~13.5% of the total deaths are attributed to alcohol. In fact, alcohol accounted for a considerably higher percentage of injuries in SA in 2000, with 63% of alcohol-related disability adjusted life years compared with the rest of the world (37%).[18,19] These statistics are reflective of the pattern of drinking rather than overall per capita consumption, which is more strongly associated with chronic conditions. SA has a moderate number of drinkers per capita (31% of the population), but the drinking pattern is characterised by very high levels of heavy episodic (binge) drinking (59% of drinkers monthly).[20] Several studies have highlighted the prominent role of alcohol as a risk factor for fatal and non-fatal trauma during weekends in the Western Cape Province.[10,14] It has been estimated that 48% of trauma cases were alcohol-related in our trauma unit in 2012.[15] This mirrors the findings of a study undertaken at the same hospital between October 2010 and September 2011, where 45.3% of trauma patients were clinically assessed as being under the influence of alcohol.[15] Past research conducted in public hospital trauma units in cities (Cape Town, Port Elizabeth and Durban) over an idealised week in three years (1999, 2000, 2001) reported that between 35.8% and 78.9% of patients tested positive for alcohol using a breath alcohol analyser.[10]

The data presented in this study clearly demonstrate that the easing of stricter lockdown restrictions resulted in an increase in trauma presentations. This coincided with the unbanning of alcohol sales and the relaxation on restrictions on movement. The number of patient visits due to road traffic injuries decreased by 74% and 32% during the hard lockdown and post-lockdown period, respectively. This sustained reduction can be explained by the significant drop in traffic counts for the N1, the N2, a section of the N7 and M5 freeways during a weekday since the start of the lockdown. However, the volumes increased significantly in May 2020 from ~20% to 40%, and the traffic volumes increased further to about 65% of the normal weekday levels as more people returned to work with the easing of the regulations in June 2020.[21]

What caused the trauma rebound effect, particularly the 107% increase in violent assaults and in particular, gunshots is unclear. Although the misuse of firearms is necessary for the occurrence of firearm violence, there are other contributing factors beyond simply firearms themselves that might also be modified to prevent firearm violence. Alcohol is one such key modifiable factor. A recent systematic review with meta-analysis (1975 - 2014) explored the role of alcohol and firearm violence. A large group of studies showed that over one-third of firearm violence decedents had acutely consumed alcohol and over a quarter had heavily consumed alcohol prior to their deaths.[22] A small group of studies investigated the intersection of alcohol, firearm laws, alcohol outlets and firearm violence. One of these controlled studies found that off-premise outlets selling takeout alcohol were significantly associated with
firearm assault. Policies that rezone off-premise alcohol outlets, prescribe blood alcohol levels, enhance penalties for carrying or using firearms while intoxicated and considering prior drunk driving convictions as a more precise criterion for disqualifying persons from the purchase or possession of firearms deserve further study.

A ban on alcohol sales results in unemployment, which is a major factor in promoting violent behaviour. This results in a difficult balancing act of lives v. livelihood. When alcohol sales resume, we allowed in an on-consumption outlet at one time. It also included being only permitted until 6pm and no more than 50 patrons were prescribe blood alcohol levels, enhance penalties for carrying or using firearms while intoxicated and considering prior drunk driving convictions as a more precise criterion for disqualifying persons from the purchase or possession of firearms deserves further study.

During health emergencies such as the current COVID-19 pandemic, violence against women increased. Violence against women is highly prevalent and intimate partner violence is the most common form of violence. While data are scarce, reports from across the world including China, the United Kingdom, the United States of America, and other countries show a significant increase in domestic violence cases related to the COVID-19 pandemic. Reports from other countries suggest a reduction in survivors who seek services due to a combination of lockdown measures and a fear of infection at healthcare centres. Similarly, cases of abuse of women and children have also dramatically increased in SA since alert level 3 took effect on 1 June 2020. We were not able to show this trend in our unit because the registry did not make provisions for recording gender-based violence. This has since been rectified and included in the Hectis registry.

Study limitations

The month of March included the period of ‘lockdown light’ from 18 to 26 March, where there were limits on the number of hours and days in an off-consumption sales, and on-consumption sales were only permitted until 6pm and no more than 50 patrons were allowed in an on-consumption outlet at one time. It also included the period 27 - 31 March when no sales of alcohol were permitted. This might have deflated the trauma numbers for the pre-lockdown period. We have had to infer the effect of the alcohol ban and the lockdown due to the strong correlation between alcohol and social interactions as a risk for injuries. Our findings pertain to one large teaching hospital in the legislative capital of the country and might not necessarily directly reflect trauma units in other parts of the country.

Conclusion

SA imposed a two-month long hard lockdown that was effective at slowing the spread of the virus. The current study findings show that interventions like a ban on liquor sales together with restrictions on movement can have a dramatic and rapid impact on the number of trauma presentations in SA and that such an impact is rapidly reversed when such interventions are lifted. However, the impact is not the same on all types of trauma injuries and it appeared to be greatest for violence-related trauma. While a ban of liquor sales is not a viable option, other regulatory inventions need to be explored which might have an impact on the culture of heavy drinking in the country. The reduction in violent crime was probably not only due to the alcohol ban, but also to people staying in their homes and high-visibility policing, including the presence of the army. There is certainly a need for more reliable data, and making gunshot injuries and alcohol-related trauma notifiable and making alcohol testing in all emergency units mandatory would certainly assist in future prevention efforts.
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