Mendelian susceptibility to mycobacterial disease in tuberculosis-hyperendemic South Africa
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Background. Severe infections in the absence of secondary immunodeficiency can alert clinicians to single-gene inborn errors of immunity/primary immunodeficiency disorders (PIDDs). Mendelian susceptibility to mycobacterial disease (MSMD) is characterised by selective susceptibility to mycobacterial infections due to inborn errors in the interleukin 12-interferon gamma pathway. The South African (SA) burden of hyperendemic tuberculosis (TB) infection provides an interesting context for the study of MSMD.

Objectives. To evaluate whether severe, persistent, unusual or recurrent (SPUR) definitions of TB can be applied in the context of MSMD in SA.

Methods. This study is a retrospective review of an SA PIDD cohort. Patients aged 0 - 15 years with SPUR TB infections, assessed between 2013 and 2018, were identified using a proposed algorithm. HIV infection or other secondary causes for immunodeficiency were excluded. Basic investigations, then focused immunophenotyping and next-generation sequencing, were performed.

Results. A total of 20 patients with a clinical diagnosis of MSMD were identified. A further two, forming part of a family cohort, had pathogenic variants but remain asymptomatic. Infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex predominated (64%), while 27% had BCG infection or non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) infection. Molecular analysis revealed pathogenic variants in 41% of patients with SPUR mycobacterial infection, mainly in those with BCG/NTM infection.

Conclusions. In the SA paediatric population, SPUR TB infections, particularly BCG/NTM, in the absence of secondary immunodeficiency, can alert to possible MSMD. The molecular diagnosis is pivotal, guiding disease classification and influencing clinical approach and management. The diagnosis is complex and requires a multidisciplinary approach with close collaboration between clinical immunologists, bioinformaticians, immunologists, clinical geneticists and genetic counsellors.


Immunodeficiency, whether primary or secondary, results in the disruption of normal immune response and function. Typical presentations of immune deficiency are increased susceptibility to infection, usually in a specific class depending on the immune pathway or cell affected. In the context of secondary immunodeficiency, severe infections in otherwise healthy children can alert clinicians to single-gene inborn errors of immunity or primary immunodeficiency disorders (PIDDs).

In tuberculosis (TB)-hyperendemic settings such as South Africa (SA), the risk for infection with TB cumulates with exposure, which provides an interesting context for the study of PIDD, in particular Mendelian susceptibility to mycobacterial disease (MSMD). MSMD is molecularly characterised by errors in the interleukin 12 (IL-12) and interferon gamma (IFN-γ) pathway. IFN-γ is a key cytokine in both innate and adaptive responses against viruses and intracellular bacteria, namely mycobacteria. There is a dynamic balance between the host and the organism that determines the course of TB infection. The risk of progression to active disease is highest in infants aged <12 months and lowest in children aged 5 - 10 years, the ‘wonder years’. Severe disease implies that there is either poor host control of the infection, i.e. dissemination, or a complex disease manifestation, often with severe sequelae such as TB empyema or pericarditis. Non-severe disease implies that the host has managed to control the pathogen, resulting in contained disease.

Impaired response to IFN-γ is caused by mutations in the IFNγR1, IFNγR2, STAT1, CYBB, IRF8 and NEMO genes. Deficient production of IFN-γ is associated with mutations in IL12B, IL12RB1, IL12RB2, TYK2, SPPL2a and ISG15. MSMD is a PIDD characterised by selective predisposition to infections, typically with BCG or poorly pathogenic mycobacteria (e.g. Mycobacterium avium complex, M. kansasii, M. ghodii). Individuals with MSMD are also predisposed to infection with M. tuberculosis complex (MTBC), Salmonella species, Listeria, Candida species, Toxoplasma species, and...
viruses in combination with mycobacteria.\textsuperscript{[10,12]} MSMD is not the only PIDD with increased
risk for TB infection; others include severe combined immunodeficiency, common variable
immunodeficiency, combined immunodeficiency, chronic granulomatous disease
and hyper-IgM syndromes, and must be considered in the differential diagnosis.\textsuperscript{[13,14]}

Hyperendemic selective pressure in SA could result in increased exposure of hosts
with inborn errors of immunity to a particular infection, revealing their phenotype and
resulting in severe, persistent or unusual infection. The study of MSMD in this
case may expand the typically described phenotype.\textsuperscript{[1,2]} The clinical presentation of
MSMD is vast, ranging from severe forms of early-onset disseminated, persistent and
life-threatening mycobacterial disease to the less severe forms with later-onset mild
disease.\textsuperscript{[1,10,12]} The identification of patients
with MSMD remains a clinical challenge, particularly in TB-endemic regions such
as SA.\textsuperscript{[13]} Clinical definitions and guides
to facilitate investigation of patients with possible MSMD in SA are also lacking.

**Objectives**

To evaluate the SA phenotype and assess
whether severe, persistent, unusual or recurrent (SPUR) definitions of TB can be
applied in the context of MSMD in SA, with the aim of providing clinical guides to facilitate identification and diagnosis of such patients.

**Methods**

A retrospective review of the SA PIDD
registry was done to identify relevant patients
for inclusion in this study. Entry onto the SA
PIDD registry follows International Union of
Immunological Societies (IUIS) and European
Society for Immunodeficiencies (ESID)
definitions for PIDD, requiring rigorous
assessment.\textsuperscript{[1,2]} Patients included in this study
were assessed between 2013 and 2018 using
the clinical algorithm summarised in Fig. 1,
were aged between 0 and 15 years, and had
SPUR TB infections in the absence of HIV or
other causes of secondary immunodeficiency
or anatomical risk. Investigations into a
possible diagnosis of MSMD included the use
of clinical assessment, basic immune assays,
immune phenotyping and next-generation
sequencing (NGS).

Baseline investigations included confirmatory HIV enzyme-linked immunosassay
(ELISA)/polymerase chain reaction depending on age, full blood count (FBC) and
differential count, lymphocyte phenotyping, vaccine antibody responses and a neutrophil
burst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspected MSMD: SPUR TB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV-negative AND other secondary cause excluded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical flagging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory flagging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All culture-positive BCG, NTM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First line: Basic laboratory screening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full blood count and differential count, CD4, HIV-negative confirmatory test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second line: Expanded immune functional screening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunoglobulins (G, M, A, E), lymphocyte subset, neutrophil burst, QFT-Plus (IFN-γ pathway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third line: Targeted functional immune phenotyping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12-IFN-γ pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth line (may be third line): Selection for next-generation sequencing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focused PID panel/WES/WGS with functional verification if required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig 1. Algorithm for the identification and management of suspected MSMD. (MSMD = Mendelian susceptibility to mycobacterial disease; SPUR = severe, persistent, unusual or recurrent; TB = tuberculosis; MTB = Mycobacterium tuberculosis; NTM = non-tuberculous mycobacteria; QFT-Plus = QuantiFERON-TB Gold Plus test; IFN-γ = interferon gamma; IL-12 = interleukin 12; PID = primary immunodeficiency; WES = whole-exome sequencing; WGS = whole-genome sequencing; INH = isoniazid; MDR = multidrug resistant.)**
Definitions for SPUR infections were primarily obtained through expert opinion as well as from definitions of severe TB in children: 

- **Severe.** Uncontrolled or complicated infection, e.g. TB empyema, TB pericarditis, TB meningitis, TB spine, multiple osteoarticular sites of TB, disseminated (miliary) TB.

- **Persistent.** Longer duration of therapy or not responding to correct regimen and adherent to therapy >2 months intensive phase.

- **Unusual.** Infection – BCG and non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM). Site – unusual or extensive disease with dissemination to abnormal TB sites (ears, brain), severe TB >1 site, osteoarticular TB at an unexpected site (e.g. skull, facial bones).

- **Recurrent.** (5 - 15 years). More than 1 episode, at least 1 year apart (absence of obvious secondary cause or not responding to therapy).

**Immunophenotyping**

Where sufficient peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) could be isolated from whole blood, phenotyping and functional profiling were performed to assess the integrity of the IL-12-IFN-γ pathway. Cytokine production was measured using ELISA of supernatants from stimulation experiments, with both IFN-γ-induced IL-12 production and IL-12-induced IFN-γ production being assessed. Where feasible, the QuantiFERON-TB Gold Plus test (QFT-Plus; Qiagen, Germany) was performed using standard laboratory protocols. This test is an IFN-γ release assay measuring a functional IFN-γ secretory pathway in response to *in vitro* stimulation by peptide antigens associated with *M. tuberculosis* but not BCG strains and most NTM, with the exception of *M. kansaii*, *M. szulgai* and *M. marinum*.

**Molecular diagnosis**

Molecular diagnosis in patients with suspected MSMD was performed using research-based whole-exome sequencing (WES). Initially WES was done via international collaboration, but as of 2013 both WES and immunophenotyping were performed in house. All samples were sequenced on the Ion Proton at the Stellenbosch University Central Analytical Facility using existing protocols. Variants were prioritised using an in-house method, TAPER. Variants identified through WES were validated via Sanger sequencing and classified using American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and Association for Molecular Pathology guidelines for variant classification.

Results were interpreted through the PIDDGEN group, a multidisciplinary team consisting of a clinical immunologist, clinicians, immunologists, bioinformaticians, molecular geneticists, a clinical geneticist and a genetic counsellor. Relevant genetic results were then returned to the patients through a genetic counsellor. Where applicable, family cascade testing was offered.

**Results**

A summary of the clinical, genetic and immunophenotypical information of this cohort of MSMD patients is presented in Table 1.

A total of 22 candidates were entered on the SA PIDD registry with a molecular or clinical diagnosis of MSMD using ESID and IUIS definitions. 

Two of these, forming part of a family cohort of carrier identification, were asymptomatic. The results of baseline laboratory investigations were normal in the majority of patients. Patient 22 was the only patient with a non-responsive neutrophil burst. The majority of the patients presented at <5 years of age (59%), and 59% were male and 41% female. Infection with MTBC predominated, in 64% of patients, while 27% had BCG or NTM infection. Most (82%) presented with severe infection, and 50% with unusual infection. Those with persistent infection (64%) required a longer duration of treatment to clear disease. Recurrent episodes were seen in 55%, with some patients having >5 episodes of TB. Pulmonary presentation predominated (41%), with central nervous system and gastrointestinal presentation also common.

Functional immunophenotyping of the IL-12-IFN-γ pathway was done for 13 patients. All pathogenic variants showed impaired response and no IFN-γ autoantibodies. Impaired function was also seen in the 36% who had either a variant of unknown significance (VUS) or no significant variant found. QFT-Plus was only performed for 6 patients. Patient 22 had a negative QFT result with a suspected BCG infection.

Molecular diagnosis using WES identified possible candidate variants in genes that have previously been associated with MSMD. 

Variants were classified as pathogenic in 41% of cases. In 9%, no candidate variant was identified and in 50% a candidate variant classified as a VUS was identified. VUSs require further functional validation and scientific evidence to define their role in disease. The sensitivity of SPUR definitions for identifying a molecular diagnosis of MSMD was 78%. The accuracy of MSMD diagnosis was 35%; however, if a BCG/NTM infection was identified with a SPUR presentation, the accuracy for a pathogenic variant was 57%.

Clinical management was influenced by both pathogenic and VUS identification. Molecular diagnosis allowed haematopoietic stem cell transplantation in patient 21, but unfortunately he died. Pathogenic variant identification in patient 14 allowed close monitoring and early identification and management of her daughter. Carrier testing in patient 22 identified the mother as a carrier, influencing family planning and decisions on sibling testing. Pathogenic variant identification in patient 22 immediately resulted in an extended individualised treatment regimen and closer monitoring. Of particular importance in pathogenic variants was increased close monitoring and surveillance.

**Discussion**

Diagnosis of MSMD requires careful clinical evaluation, functional immunophenotyping, and molecular diagnosis where possible.

Often the findings on standard laboratory work-up are normal and not suggestive of a PIDD, with normal lymphocyte subsets and normal immunoglobulin levels. A high index of clinical suspicion is therefore important. The phenotypic spectrum of MSMD is wide. In our cohort, the clinical presentation ranged from some patients having early-onset severe disease to others having persistent, difficult-to-manage infections and two identified through genetic testing but clinically asymptomatic. Unusual presentation or unusual mycobacterial isolates, such as BCG/NTM, were particular flags for MSMD in this cohort, which is in keeping with typically described MSMD presentations. Infection with MTBC predominated, in contrast to the developed-world setting, suggesting that in a hyperendemic TB setting, MTBC are the predominant infecting organisms in MSMD.

In patients with suspected MSMD who had PBMCs available, functional immunophenotyping showed an impaired IL-12-IFN-γ pathway, giving an overview of the functionality of this pathway. It facilitated functional confirmation of pathogenic variants and proved particularly useful in patients with a VUS. Although the VUSs require further functional phenotyping to confirm a pathogenic variant, the impaired IL-12-IFN-γ pathway with SPUR infection was considered highly suggestive of MSMD. Such cases were identified as requiring heightened surveillance and long-term follow-up, facilitating earlier diagnosis of mycobacterial or other significant infection. In combination with clinical assessment, immunophenotyping can therefore be an important tool in interpreting molecular data as well as deciding on whether to offer IFN-γ supplementation and recall for suspected dissemination.
Table 1. Clinical presentation, basic laboratory work-up and management in a South African cohort of MSMD patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Molecular diagnosis</th>
<th>Routine lab results*</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Persistent</th>
<th>Unusual</th>
<th>Recurrent</th>
<th>Clinical management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>VUS</td>
<td>High IgA, low lymphocyte subsets</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Sensitive, developed MDR</td>
<td>Pulmonary GIT</td>
<td>Milliary TB abdomen (psoas abscess)</td>
<td>Required extended duration of treatment</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>9 × infections (2 × MDR)</td>
<td>Surveillance with follow-up and earliest treatment of at least one TB episode before dissemination INH chronic prophylaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>No significant variant found</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Chest</td>
<td>Cold TB abscesses chest wall</td>
<td>Required prolonged duration of treatment</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Close monitoring and surveillance Impaired, no IFN-γ autoantibodies QFT-Plus positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5 months</td>
<td>VUS</td>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>GIT</td>
<td>Cutaneous and splenic BCG</td>
<td>Required extended duration of treatment</td>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Long-term surveillance for gradual resolution of splenic nodules Not performed QFT-Plus not done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>VUS in MSMD pathway</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Lymph nodes</td>
<td>Caseating lymphadenitis needing &gt;1 year treatment</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Close monitoring and surveillance Impaired, no IFN-γ autoantibodies QFT-Plus positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Pathogenic MSMD variant</td>
<td>Mild anaemia, low IgA</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Pulmonary</td>
<td>Disseminated</td>
<td>Cutaneous and lung</td>
<td>Immunoglobulin replacement Ongoing surveillance for serious infections and TB, dental follow-up for malformed incisors Chronic BCG extended regimen Immunoglobulin replacement therapy Not performed QFT-Plus not done</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Likely pathogenic MSMD variant</td>
<td>Low IgA and IgM, low CD19</td>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>Disseminated BCG</td>
<td>Required extended duration of treatment</td>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>5 episodes</td>
<td>Not performed QFT-Plus not done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>VUS in MSMD pathway</td>
<td>High IgA and high IgM (raised &lt;2 SD)</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Developed MDR</td>
<td>Pulmonary Lymph nodes</td>
<td>Required extended duration of treatment</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>3 episodes</td>
<td>Close monitoring and surveillance Impaired, no IFN-γ autoantibodies QFT-Plus intermediate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>VUS in MSMD pathway</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>TBM disseminated, developed quadriplegia</td>
<td>Required extended duration of treatment</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Assisted home care and family screening ongoing Impaired, no IFN-γ autoantibodies QFT-Plus not done</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued...
Table 1. (continued) Clinical presentation, basic laboratory work-up and management in a South African cohort of MSMD patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Molecular diagnosis</th>
<th>Routine lab results</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Persistent</th>
<th>Unusual</th>
<th>Recurrent</th>
<th>Clinical management</th>
<th>IL-12-IFN-γ pathway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10 months</td>
<td>Pathogenic MSMD variant</td>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Spinal</td>
<td>Disseminated</td>
<td>Extended treatment regimen</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1 documented</td>
<td>Increased surveillance</td>
<td>Not performed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>Pathogenic MSMD variant</td>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Pulmonary</td>
<td>Disseminated</td>
<td>Required extended duration of treatment</td>
<td>Anterior chest wall</td>
<td>3 episodes</td>
<td>Increased surveillance</td>
<td>Not performed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>VUS in MSMD pathway</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>M. abscessus (NTM)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Pulmonary</td>
<td>Extensive lung disease</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1 documented</td>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
<td>Not performed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>VUS</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>TBM disseminated</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>3 episodes (2 × TBM)</td>
<td>Long-term follow-up</td>
<td>Impaired, no IFN-γ autoantibodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11 years</td>
<td>VUS in MSMD pathway</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Spine</td>
<td>TB spine</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Skull abscesses</td>
<td>Surveillance for further bone lesions and complete healing</td>
<td>Impaired, no IFN-γ autoantibodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14†</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Pathogenic MSMD variant</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>M. intracellulare (NTM)</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>Osteoarticular disseminated</td>
<td>NTM</td>
<td>2 episodes</td>
<td>Not suitable candidate for IFN-γ therapy owing to lack of compliance, even though partial defect, earliest screening of infant</td>
<td>Impaired, no IFN-γ autoantibodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7 months</td>
<td>Pathogenic MSMD variant</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Disseminated</td>
<td>Disseminated</td>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>1 episode documented</td>
<td>Early diagnosis of probands affected child with BCG dissemination</td>
<td>Impaired, no IFN-γ autoantibodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16†</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Pathogenic MSMD variant</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17†</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Pathogenic MSMD variant</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued...
Table 1. (continued) Clinical presentation, basic laboratory work-up and management in a South African cohort of MSMD patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Molecular diagnosis</th>
<th>Routine lab results*</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Palmonary</td>
<td>Impaired, no IFN-γ</td>
<td>Surveillance autoantibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>High IgA</td>
<td>M. avium</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Not performed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Pathogenic variant</td>
<td>high IgA</td>
<td>Extensive lung disease</td>
<td>Marked neutrophil burst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Pathogenic variant</td>
<td>High IgA</td>
<td>Not performed</td>
<td>Not performed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>Suspected variant of unknown significance</td>
<td>MTBC</td>
<td>High IgA</td>
<td>Not performed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- **Site:** GIT (liver) for patient 18.
- **Sensitivity:** Sensitive for patient 19.
- **M. avium:** Palatal MTBC for patient 20.
- **IL-12-IFN-γ:** Impaired, no IFN-γ for patient 21.
- **IL12RB2:** M. avium variant found.
- **High IgA:** Bacteria and lymphaditis.
- **BCG regimen:** Required for patient 22.

*Routine laboratory investigations: full blood count and differential count, immunoglobulin, lymphocyte subsets, neutrophil burst where indicated.

**Abbreviations:**
- **MSMD:** Mendelian susceptibility to mycobacterial disease
- **IgA:** Immunoglobulin A
- **IgM:** Immunoglobulin M
- **SD:** Standard deviation
- **n/a:** Not applicable (no information)
- **MTBC:** Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex
- **IL-12:** Interleukin 12
- **IFN-γ:** Interferon gamma
- **QFT-Plus:** QuantiFERON-TB Gold Plus test

**References:**
- [2,17,18]
- [2,18]
- [2,19,20]
- [2,21,22]

**Laboratory diagnosis of BCG disease remains a challenge, emphasising the importance of clinical suspicion and close laboratory collaboration in such cases.**

A negative QTF-Plus may be useful in this setting, as is highlighted by patient 22, whose QTF-Plus was negative despite cultured MTBC. With the clinical suspicion of BCG dissemination and impaired IL-12-IFN-γ response on immune assays as well as an impaired neutrophil burst response and molecular diagnosis confirming chronic granulomatous disease, the patient was managed for BCG infection and responded well.

Genomic testing is becoming the gold standard for diagnosis in PIDD. The application of WES in this cohort of patients confirmed a molecular diagnosis in just over one-third of patients, in keeping with international and local identification of a molecular diagnosis with the application of NGS, 40% and 30%, respectively. Sensitivity for NGS in undiagnosed PIDD has been reported to range from 83% to 100%, while specificity ranges from 45% to 99%. The diagnostic yield depends largely on the population as well as the technical skill with which and the circumstances under which NGS is employed. Using WES and whole-genome sequencing (WGS) to diagnose rare and understudied diseases remains a challenge that is further complicated when done in a population with a poorly defined reference genome, such as South Africans. The large amounts of data generated by WGS and WES that require highly skilled interpretation complicate their use in routine clinical practice, so clinically guided PIDD panels are generally preferred in the initial diagnostic work-up. An MSMD-targeted gene panel should focus on mutations in the IFNGR1, IFNGR2, STAT1, CYBB, IRF8, NEMO, IL12B, IL12RB1 and IL12RB2 genes. In SA, WES and WGS are generally only available on a research basis owing to limited funding for genomic medicine. This said, patients at Tygerberg Hospital do have access to state-funded gene panels. Bioinformaticians, clinical and molecular geneticists/genetic counsellors and clinical immunologists are key in variant interpretation and deciding whether variants are pathogenic or likely to be pathogenic and therefore carrying the validity that is needed in deciding on a definitive treatment regimen, carrier tracing and prognosis.

The seemingly low detection rates reflected both internationally and locally illustrate the complexity and heterogeneity of PIDD. Although the accuracy of SPUR definitions for MSMD is low, it is a non-invasive, cheap bedside approach and its true performance can only be assessed.
after it is put into use with molecular screening. In cases with a confirmed molecular diagnosis, treatment regimens were altered by increasing clinical surveillance, using drug-resistant regimen combinations, extending duration of treatment, giving prophylaxis and discussing supplementation with IFN-γ.

Owing to the burden of TB in SA, teasing out patients with MSMD is difficult. Many patients are probably missed and their infections presumed to be due to an environment of hyper-exposure, socioeconomic circumstances and poor compliance. The present study highlights that even in such contexts, patients do exist and awareness of MSMD and appropriate suspicion are needed on the part of healthcare professionals to ensure that patients are receiving appropriate care. The use of an algorithm may facilitate identification of potential MSMD patients, both clinically and in the laboratory.

Recommendations put forward to clinicians and laboratory personnel to improve the diagnostic yield of patients with underlying MSMD include (Fig. 1):

- **In the microbiology laboratory**, positive cultures for BCG or NTM in the absence of HIV or another secondary cause for immunodeficiency should be brought to the attention of clinicians to investigate further for the possibility of PIDD.
- **In the context of SPUR TB**, HIV status must be confirmed, and other causes of secondary immunodeficiency or underlying anatomical pathology (e.g. lung disease) must be excluded by in-depth investigation.
- **A tiered investigation approach to PIDD has been proposed and is widely applied**.\(^2,3\)
  - **First-line investigation.** An FBC and differential count will highlight any cytopenias that may suggest an alternative PIDD diagnosis or other underlying pathology. The CD4 count is widely available and may serve as a screening test in the primary healthcare setting for low T-lymphocytes in the absence of HIV or other secondary immunodeficiency.
  - **Second-line testing.** Immunoglobulin and lymphocyte subsets assist in excluding other PIDD. The QFT-Plus, although unvalidated, could be used as a screening test of the functional IFN-γ pathway.
  - **In SPUR TB**, when secondary immunodeficiency or anatomical pathology is absent and other PIDD has been excluded, third- and fourth-line investigations are then recommended in close consultation with the immunology service.
  - **Focused immunophenotyping** can be done to assess pathway function (usually in a research setting).

- **Genetic testing** should ideally be done in the context of genetic counselling. It should include either targeted gene panels (focusing on mutations in the IFNGR1, IFNGR2, STAT1, CYBB, IRF8, NEMO, IL12B, IL12RB1 and IL12RB2 genes) or preferably more expanded NGS technologies such as diagnostic-based WES or WGS.

**Study limitations**
The PIDD registry does not represent all PIDD patients in SA owing to presumed under-reporting. The SPUR TB definitions used, although guided by expert opinion, have not been validated in MSMD, and further study is needed. Owing to the nature of the study and the rarity of the disease there is selection bias, and this algorithm needs to be applied to the paediatric population as a whole to assess the true sensitivity and specificity; however, this also highlights the importance of publishing these data. Although a small cohort of patients is a limitation in this rare disease, it is still considered important and relevant.

**Conclusions**
The SA context of hyperendemic TB provides both an ideal and a challenging setting to review MSMD. SPUR TB infections in otherwise healthy children should be investigated further for possible PIDD, in particular MSMD. In such patient populations, the results of baseline immunological investigations are usually normal, and a PIDD could be missed without focused immunophenotyping and molecular diagnosis. The molecular diagnosis is pivotal to the diagnosis, as it not only guides classification and diagnosis but also influences clinical approach and management. With the dawn of WES and WGS, variant interpretation is complex and needs to be addressed with caution, requiring a multidisciplinary approach and close collaboration between clinical immunologists, bioinformaticians, immunologists, clinical geneticists and genetic counsellors.
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