

Eligibility for co-trimoxazole prophylaxis among adult HIV-infected patients in South Africa

To the Editor: Co-trimoxazole (fixed-dose trimethoprim-sulfa-methoxazole) is a broad-spectrum antibiotic used to prevent opportunistic infections in patients with HIV infection. Primary prophylaxis with co-trimoxazole has been shown to decrease hospitalisation, morbidity and mortality among people living with HIV, primarily by decreasing rates of malaria, pneumonia, diarrhoea, *Pneumocystis* pneumonia, toxoplasmosis and severe bacterial infections.^[1-4] Co-trimoxazole is inexpensive and widely available. In standard adult treatment guidelines and essential medicine lists in South Africa (SA), the current recommendation is that co-trimoxazole should be provided for HIV-infected patients with a CD4+ count <200 cells/ μ L, HIV/tuberculosis (TB) co-infection and/or advanced HIV disease (World Health Organization (WHO) stage 3 or 4).

Because of expanded access and progression towards initiation of antiretroviral treatment (ART), the WHO issued updated guidelines for co-trimoxazole prophylaxis in 2014.^[5] These guidelines recommend co-trimoxazole prophylaxis for adults (including pregnant women) with severe or advanced HIV clinical disease (WHO stage 3 or 4) and/or with a CD4+ count \leq 350 cells/ μ L. In settings with a high prevalence of malaria and/or severe bacterial infections, prophylaxis is recommended for all patients regardless of WHO clinical stage or CD4+ cell count. However, the timing of discontinuation of co-trimoxazole prophylaxis may vary and is dependent on the malarial/bacterial infection burden in different settings.^[5] Therefore, the current WHO guidance should be adapted in the context of a country-specific epidemiological profile and priorities.

The impact and benefit of co-trimoxazole prophylaxis on morbidity and mortality among HIV-infected patients with a CD4+ count \leq 350 cells/ μ L in regions with high infectious disease burdens (irrespective of CD4+ count) have been shown in a good-quality systematic review and meta-analysis that included both randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and observational cohort studies.^[6] This extensive systematic review by Suthar *et al.*^[6] showed that co-trimoxazole prophylaxis reduced the rate of death when initiated at CD4+ counts \leq 350 cells/ μ L with ART in populations in Africa and Asia. Co-trimoxazole prophylaxis in ART-naive patients with CD4+ counts >350 cells/ μ L reduced the rate of death and malaria, and continuation of prophylaxis after ART-induced recovery with CD4+ counts >350 cells/ μ L reduced hospital admission, pneumonia, malaria and diarrhoea in African populations (SA, Zimbabwe, Uganda, Malawi, Mozambique and Ethiopia).^[6] While this review largely informed the 2014 WHO guideline update, the findings need to be interpreted in the context of studies included and the varied epidemiological profile across middle- and low-income countries. There were only 2 relatively small RCTs with very few events of key endpoints; therefore, the finding of non-significance was likely (e.g. total of ~5 deaths in both arms from both trials).^[7,8] One of the 2 studies was unblinded, and the follow-up in the other study was only 4 months. Ongoing co-trimoxazole prophylaxis was better than discontinuation of the drug at CD4+ counts >200 cells/ μ L for 3 endpoints with an adequate number of events (pneumonia, diarrhoea and malaria). Furthermore, 8 of 9 studies were conducted in countries with a high burden of malaria and bacterial and parasitic diseases, which is generalisable to the SA context.^[9] Although seasonal malaria occurs in the north-eastern parts of SA, the incidence of malaria mortality and morbidity has declined remarkably over time (<10 000 cases annually for the past 10 years).^[10] In contrast, in Uganda, >9 million confirmed cases of malaria were reported in the public health sector in 2015.^[9] In this review, further stratification of the impact of co-trimoxazole prophylaxis at CD4+ counts <200 cells/ μ L v. 200 - 350 cells/ μ L was not available. Lower bacterial resistance to co-trimoxazole is possible among popu-

lations included in this review, while resistance to co-trimoxazole in SA is common in patients with community-acquired bacterial infections.^[11-13] This potential risk of resistance compounded by the lack of long-term toxicity data needs to be weighed against recommending prophylaxis in populations where benefit has not been established.

Local observational studies suggest no benefit of co-trimoxazole prophylaxis with a CD4+ count >200 cells/ μ L or in patients who were not WHO clinical stage 3 or 4.^[14,15] In an observational cohort of patients attending the adult HIV clinics at the University of Cape Town, SA, the effect of prophylactic low-dose co-trimoxazole on survival and morbidity was examined over a 5-year follow-up period. Co-trimoxazole reduced the hazards of mortality by ~44% and the incidence of severe HIV-related illnesses by ~48% in patients with evidence of advanced immunosuppression (WHO stage 3 or 4) or laboratory measurement of total lymphocyte count <1 250 \times 10⁶/L or CD4+ count <200 cells/ μ L. However, no beneficial effect was seen in patients with WHO clinical stage 2 or CD4+ count 200 - 500 cells/ μ L. A potential limitation of this study was that the sample size of patients with a CD4+ count 200 - 500 cells/ μ L receiving co-trimoxazole was small and may have been underpowered to observe a significant benefit. In this study, patients on ART were excluded.^[14] In another SA cohort study by Hoffmann *et al.*,^[15] examining co-trimoxazole effectiveness in reducing mortality risk during ART among persons with a CD4+ count >200 cells/ μ L and varying WHO clinical stages, overall co-trimoxazole prophylaxis reduced mortality by 36% across all CD4+ count strata. Analysis stratified by baseline CD4+ count showed a similar reduction in mortality risk among persons with a CD4+ count <200 cells/ μ L, but no statistically significant association was found between co-trimoxazole prophylaxis and survival in the subgroup of persons with a CD4+ count >200 - 350 cells/ μ L, CD4+ count >350 cells/ μ L and WHO stage 1 or 2 disease. However, the findings of this study need to be interpreted cautiously for the following reasons: the group with a CD4+ count >350 cells/ μ L was small ($n=917$) and might not have had enough events to draw inferences; the study population was a cohort of miners and might not have been potentially representative of the SA population; and, being a non-randomised study, residual confounding might have been a potential limitation.

An earlier Cochrane review established the benefit of initiating prophylaxis at a CD4+ count <200 cells/ μ L in those with stage 2, 3 or 4 HIV disease (including TB), and discontinuation once the CD4+ count was >200 cells/ μ L for >6 months.^[16] There was a reduction of ~31% in mortality, 27% in morbid events and 55% in hospitalisation. Significant reductions were also detected for bacterial and parasitic infections and for *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia.

Considering the above-mentioned evidence gaps and lack of generalisability of studies to SA, the current National Essential Medicines List Committee and Adult Hospital-Level Technical Sub-committee do not support the implementation of the updated guidance by the WHO for co-trimoxazole prophylaxis among adult HIV-infected patients. Efforts should be directed towards exploring several research gaps. The impact of co-trimoxazole prophylaxis on morbidity and mortality at higher CD4+ counts in low-malaria-burden areas needs to be investigated further. More data are needed on timing of co-trimoxazole cessation in HIV and TB co-infection in our context.

Simbarashe Takuva

Perinatal HIV Research Unit, Faculty of Health Sciences,
University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg; and School of Health
Systems and Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences,
University of Pretoria, South Africa
simbataks1@gmail.com

Johnson M Nabyoma

Department of Pharmacy, Lehurutshe Hospital, North West Province
Department of Health, Zeerust, South Africa

Halima Dawood

Centre for the AIDS Programme of Research in South Africa
(CAPRISA), University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban; and Department
of Internal Medicine, Grey's Hospital, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa

Andrew Black

Department of Internal Medicine, School of Clinical Medicine,
Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand,
Johannesburg, South Africa

Gary Maartens

Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Medicine,
Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, South Africa

Andy Parrish

Department of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Walter Sisulu
University, Mthatha; and Department of Internal Medicine, Frere and
Cecilia Makiwane hospitals, East London, South Africa

Trudy D Leong

Essential Drugs Programme, National Department of Health, Pretoria,
South Africa

1. Wiktor SZ, Sassin-Morokro M, Grant AD, et al. Efficacy of trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole prophylaxis to decrease morbidity and mortality in HIV-1-infected patients with tuberculosis in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire: A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 1999;353(9163):1469-1475. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(99\)03465-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(99)03465-0)

2. Mermin J, Ekwaru JP, Liechty CA, et al. Effect of co-trimoxazole prophylaxis, antiretroviral therapy, and insecticide-treated bednets on the frequency of malaria in HIV-1-infected adults in Uganda: A prospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2006;367(9518):1256-1261. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(06\)68541-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(06)68541-3)
3. Bwakura-Dangarembizi M, Kendall L, Bakeera-Kitaka S, et al. A randomized trial of prolonged co-trimoxazole in HIV-infected children in Africa. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370(1):41-53. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1214901>
4. Walker AS, Ford D, Gilks CF, et al. Daily co-trimoxazole prophylaxis in severely immunosuppressed HIV-infected adults in Africa started on combination antiretroviral therapy: An observational analysis of the DART cohort. *Lancet* 2010;375(9722):1278-1286. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(10\)60057-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(10)60057-8)
5. World Health Organization. Guidelines on Post-Exposure Prophylaxis for HIV and the Use of Co-trimoxazole Prophylaxis for HIV-Related Infections Among Adults, Adolescents and Children: Recommendations for a Public Health Approach. Geneva: WHO, 2014.
6. Suthar AB, Vitoria MA, Nagata JM, et al. Co-trimoxazole prophylaxis in adults, including pregnant women, with HIV: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet HIV* 2015;2(4):e137-e150. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2352-3018\(15\)00005-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2352-3018(15)00005-3)
7. Polyak CS, Yuhua K, Singa B, et al. Cotrimoxazole prophylaxis discontinuation among antiretroviral-treated HIV-1-infected adults in Kenya: A randomized non-inferiority trial. *PLoS Med* 2016;13(1):1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001934>
8. Campbell JD, Moore D, Degerman R, et al. HIV-infected Ugandan adults taking antiretroviral therapy with CD4 counts >200 cells/ μ L who discontinue cotrimoxazole prophylaxis have increased risk of malaria and diarrhea. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012;54(8):1204-1211. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis013>
9. Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380(9859):2095-2128. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(12\)61728-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61728-0)
10. Maharaj R, Raman J, Morris N, et al. Epidemiology of malaria in South Africa: From control to elimination. *S Afr Med J* 2013;103(10):779-783. <https://doi.org/10.7196/samj.7441>
11. Hamel MJ, Greene C, Chiller T, et al. Does cotrimoxazole prophylaxis for the prevention of HIV-associated opportunistic infections select for resistant pathogens in Kenyan adults? *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2008;79(3):320-330. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2008.79.320>
12. Pemba L, Charalambous S, von Gottberg A, et al. Impact of cotrimoxazole on non-susceptibility to antibiotics in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* carriage isolates among HIV-infected mineworkers in South Africa. *J Infect* 2008;56(3):171-178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2007.12.003>
13. Iroh Tam P-Y, Sadoh AE, Obaro SK. A meta-analysis of antimicrobial susceptibility profiles for pneumococcal pneumonia in sub-Saharan Africa. *Paediatr Int Child Health* 2018;38(1):7-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20469047.2017.1298700>
14. Badri M, Ehrlich R, Wood R, Maartens G. Initiating co-trimoxazole prophylaxis in HIV-infected patients in Africa: An evaluation of the provisional WHO/UNAIDS recommendations. *AIDS* 2001;15(9):1143-1148. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00002030-200106150-00009>
15. Hoffmann CJ, Fielding KL, Charalambous S, et al. Reducing mortality with cotrimoxazole preventive therapy at initiation of antiretroviral therapy in South Africa. *AIDS* 2010;24(11):1709-1716. <https://doi.org/10.1097/qad.0b013e32833ac6bc>
16. Grimwade K, Swingle G. Cotrimoxazole prophylaxis for opportunistic infections in adults with HIV. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003;(3):CD003108. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.cd003108>

S Afr Med J 2019;109(4):198-199. DOI:10.7196/SAMJ.2019.v109i4.13877