The blame game

Two articles hit my screen this week, one from the website Medical Express[1] and the second from the Guardian Online.[2] Both are essentially about the same thing – how the food industry is behaving exactly like the tobacco industry and preventing people from understanding the harms of excess sugar intake. The bottom line is that the food industry has climbed onto the dietary guidelines bandwagon and obfuscated the science that has for decades been suggesting that a high intake of sugar is harmful.

In 2007, Cristin Kearns, a postdoctoral fellow at the University of California School of Medicine, heard a speaker at a dental conference endorse a sugar-laden tea. When challenged, he replied that there is no evidence linking sugar to chronic disease. Another speaker shared a dietary pamphlet from the US National Diabetes Education Program, which said nothing about sugar intake. Kearns’ journey began, during which she found that the US Sugar Association had led the way in the sugar industry’s decades-long strategy to downplay the potentially harmful role of sweeteners on health. In studies published in peer-reviewed journals, she showed that the Sugar Association recognised as long ago as 1954 that if Americans adopted a low-fat diet, their per capita consumption of sugar would increase by one-third. When, during the 1960s, researchers started to look at the relationship between sugar consumption and heart disease, industry-sponsored studies promoted fat as the culprit. Kearns also found that the industry withheld vital evidence. When a 1968 study looking at the connection between sugar and heart health found conclusive evidence that sucrose raises blood triglycerides by interacting with gut bacteria, the foundation ended the study. The same study showed a possible link between sucrose and bladder cancer.

Dean Schiller, a diabetes specialist, also probed biases in sugar science, and in a report in the Annals of Internal Medicine, co-authored with Kearns, reviewed 60 studies between 2001 and 2016 that looked at the role of sugary drinks in diabetes and obesity. Of the 26 studies that found no link, all were funded by the sugar-sweetened beverage industry or conducted by people with financial ties to the industry. Of the 34 studies that found a link, only one was industry funded.

And then we have the British Medical Journal article by Susan Greenhalgh,[3] a research professor of Chinese society. US targeting of China’s health policies is up to those of us who have influence in health policy to start looking at the evidence objectively and do what was done with tobacco (in the Western world at least) – stop it in its tracks.
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