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Systems thinking: A turning point for improving respectful obstetric care in South African health districts
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Poorly functioning health systems and local health systems barriers affect many women giving birth in low- and middle-income countries. The district clinical specialist teams in South Africa are uniquely positioned to provide facilitation and mentoring during interventions for improving the weak primary healthcare system. To ensure success, four key principles should be considered during scale-up of interventions: systems thinking and awareness of contexts and barriers; a focus on sustainability; harnessing factors known to enhance scalability; and respect for human rights and equity. Asking the right questions about the responsibilities of health systems at the micro-, meso- and macro-levels will benefit scale-up processes and sustain innovative pathways to high-quality obstetric care in communities.


Every woman’s access to high-quality obstetric care during childbirth is still not assured in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).1,2 More than 70% of maternal deaths are due to complications of pregnancy and childbirth, while more than 85% of newborn deaths are attributed to preterm birth, intrapartum perinatal deaths and neonatal infections.3,4 The Sustainable Developmental Goals set targets at a maternal mortality ratio of <70 per 100 000 live births and a neonatal mortality rate at least as low as 12 per 1 000 live births by 2030.2

Although the basics of high-impact maternal, newborn and child health interventions are known in LMICs, optimal clinical care is still lagging behind and implementation seldom reaches scale.6,7 This is the result of poorly functioning health systems, local health systems barriers,7,8 low and unequitable coverage9 and problematic political leadership.10,11 Low political commitment and poor implementation mean that cost-effective innovations fail to reach all groups or the poorer segments of populations in sub-Saharan Africa and LMICs.12 The effective spread of available strategies for reducing maternal, perinatal, infant and child mortality12,13 should include a collaborative improvement approach14 that incorporates health systems and systems thinking into the pathways of better and sustained care.15-17

A number of studies have reported on the disrespectful care and mistreatment that some birthing women experience in South African (SA) public health facilities.18-21 We developed CLEVER, a district-level labour ward package, with scale-up in mind. It is based on a stages-of-change framework and conditions for sustaining the intervention are embedded in the design.22 All the package components are based on evidence from previously tested interventions in LMICs with positive impact and measured outcomes. The framework of the World Health Organization (WHO) for health systems strengthening and its standards of care and quality statement documents23-25 informed some of the pathways proposed in CLEVER. The interventions in the package can be individually adapted for the context of each labour ward. The CLEVER package includes the following components:22

- Clinical care with obstetric triage and handover rounds with risk assessment
- Labour ward management to resolve withholding of care and teamwork issues
- Elimination of barriers through effective communication practices and meeting basic human needs
- Verifying care through nominated champions and monitoring and evaluation
- Emergency obstetric simulation training with capacity building reaching all shifts
- Respectful care to improve mothers’ childbirth experiences

Health systems gaps are addressed on micro-, meso- and macro-levels, while clinical governance is addressed by nominating advanced midwives as unit champions and by involving the facility managers.

Working CLEVER was a three-phased interventional study with a baseline and end-line assessment. We tested it in five midwife-led obstetric units (MOUs) in one district in SA in 2016. Five other MOUs served as the control group. Over the period 2015 - 2017, outcome measurements showed statistically significant improvements in key perinatal output indicators in the MOUs where the package was implemented. Intrapartum-related stillbirths were reduced from 8.36 to 0.49 per 1 000 births in the intervention MOUs, compared with a reduction from 8.93 to 2.61 in the control group (p=0.003). The incidence of birth asphyxia was reduced from 13.09 to 5.19 per 1 000 live births in the intervention group and increased from 7.41 to 9.70 per 1 000 in the control group (p=0.002). Meconium aspiration decreased from 11.98 to 3.71 per 1 000 live births in the intervention group and from 3.46 to 2.62 in the control group (p=0.003).

The intervention should now be tested in district hospital labour wards. The ideal facilitators for implementing this intervention are district clinical specialist teams (DCSTs). These were appointed in 201226 as one of three streams in the SA national primary healthcare (PHC) re-engineering strategy to address a weak PHC system27 and to ensure effective clinical governance, risk management and quality improvement processes.24 DCST members are integrated in the health system and their salaries are covered. Improvement in health system gaps could be financed from existing budgets if there is appropriate justification for proposed expenses. Doing
an intensive 3-month outreach with the CLEVER intervention may incur additional expenses such as travel costs and the printing of material. However, it could be argued that an improvement in quality of care may lead to substantial savings for the health system, *inter alia* because of a potential drop in litigation cases.

The aim of this discussion is to stimulate thinking on how the findings from the implementation of an evidence-informed intervention package could inform policy-making with regard to scaling up the intervention to other districts in SA. This discussion draws on the 2012 series on systems thinking published in *Health and Policy Planning*,16,20-21 and the WHO work on health systems building blocks.21 Four key principles proposed by scaling-up strategies21 and criteria for quality standards.24

**Four key principles for scaling up the CLEVER package**

For scaling up the CLEVER package, we identified four key principles proposed by the WHO to be included in any planning and roll-out: systems thinking; a focus on sustainability; harnessing factors known to enhance scalability; and respect for human rights and equity.25

1. Systems thinking implies working with a dynamic complexity of networks, interactions and relationships. This interconnectedness and interdependence is also illustrated by the facility environment of MOUs. Midwifery teams have to find a balance between different actions that could create networks and relationships. These interactions produce either a favourable or a negative response.26 The same would apply to district hospitals.
2. Focus on sustainability refers to the collaborative way27 in which policy, programme development and the available budget can constrain or support a process.28 Sufficiently skilled midwives, and adequate supplies of drugs, equipment and support services, are essential for programme expansion and sustainability.
3. Any review of the scalability of an intervention and ways to enhance it should be based on the previous determinants of success during the implementation phase of an innovation.25 The strategy of nominating leader midwives or champions as role models to roll out CLEVER in participating labour wards could enhance the scalability of the intervention,22 while facility manager support provides accountability.
4. Lastly, the promotion and upholding of human rights and equity for all should be the common goal in the scaling up of interventions.32 In our feedback processes to improve caring practices, we must advocate for patient-centred approaches in health facilities, with attention to respecting cultural differences and equitable access and care for minority groups.31 Midwife-led teams need to share the vision of respectful care and should be enabled to develop capacities for co-ordinated action and change.31

**Complex adaptive systems in scale-up initiatives**

To be able to scale up ‘working CLEVER’, it is important to understand how the SA health system functions as a complex adaptive system. Any discussion on scale-up should acknowledge that health systems are embedded in a bigger system and are influenced by social, political and economic systems. Community characteristics determine health needs, and the health system should respond with appropriate resources in order to create equal access to healthcare.34 These aspects would therefore also influence interventions and their scale-up. We illustrate the complexity of the health system and the interactions at play during the implementation of an intervention in one MOU in two diagrams. In Fig. 1 we start with an overview of the different levels of the SA health system involved in the scale-up of any new intervention. There are bidirectional interactive pathways from the national macro-level to the subdistrict micro-level to the level of individual MOUs and the district hospital.

Fig. 1 explains how the DCSTs as stewards35 and integrators32 of the implementation framework should follow...
### Table 1. Questions to address the responsibilities of health systems at the micro-, meso- and macro-levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Micro-level</th>
<th>Meso-level</th>
<th>Macro-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policies, guidelines and protocols</td>
<td>- Is evidence-based routine care rendered with management of complications during labour, childbirth and the early postnatal period, according to SA maternity guidelines and policies?</td>
<td>- Are protocols evident and flow charts displayed?</td>
<td>- All national indicators and updates after 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Use of guidelines on site a copy to be supplied to each healthcare professional</td>
<td>- Dissemination of policies, guidelines and protocols from meso- and macro-levels of health departments</td>
<td>- Updating of policies, guidelines and protocols with involvement of clinicians</td>
<td>- PPH, Confidential Enquiry into Maternal Deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring and evaluation</td>
<td>- Do key perinatal indicators prompt early actions to improve childbirth care?</td>
<td>- Weekly audits of care by facility managers</td>
<td>- Provincial assistance to get call centres functional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Are maternity registers and files with adequate clinical note-keeping available?</td>
<td>- Are pathways of data collection and verification followed?</td>
<td>- Serious adverse event meetings documented in minutes</td>
<td>- Feedback system to improve response times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Are risk assessments with treatment plans in place?</td>
<td>- Are referral routes operational and are ambulance response times followed and contained?</td>
<td>- National indicator data set collected and reviewed monthly</td>
<td>- Training of paramedic health personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk assessment and referrals</td>
<td>- Are referrals to correct levels of care for efficient care in place?</td>
<td>- Provincial assistance to get call centres functional</td>
<td>- Assistance with dedicated obstetric ambulance services and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Are referrals to correct levels of care for efficient care in place?</td>
<td>- Are numbers of sub-district managers of EMS supplied</td>
<td>- Feedback system to improve response times</td>
<td>- Multidisciplinary teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Are pathways of data collection and verification followed?</td>
<td>- Are flow charts with information available on admission desk?</td>
<td>- National indicator data set collected and reviewed monthly</td>
<td>- Training of paramedic health personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Are maternity registers and files with adequate clinical note-keeping available?</td>
<td>- Are referral routes operational and are ambulance response times followed and contained?</td>
<td>- Feedback given to communities</td>
<td>- MCWH programme and managers assist with institutionalisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication and client preferences</td>
<td>- Are communication with women and families aligned to cultural preferences?</td>
<td>- Is birthing care rendered with respect and preservation of dignity?</td>
<td>- All stakeholders involvement of birth companions in facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Are communication with women and families aligned to cultural preferences?</td>
<td>- Are needs met during childbirth with birthing partner of choice, and are informed choice and consent sought during treatment and procedures?</td>
<td>- Is birthing care provided by identifiable healthcare professionals?</td>
<td>- Advocacy and policy documents assist with institutionalisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Are communication with women and families aligned to cultural preferences?</td>
<td>- Are needs met during childbirth with birthing partner of choice, and are informed choice and consent sought during treatment and procedures?</td>
<td>- Is birthing care provided by identifiable healthcare professionals?</td>
<td>- MCWH programme and managers assist with institutionalisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Are communication with women and families aligned to cultural preferences?</td>
<td>- Are needs met during childbirth with birthing partner of choice, and are informed choice and consent sought during treatment and procedures?</td>
<td>- Is birthing care provided by identifiable healthcare professionals?</td>
<td>- MCWH programme and managers assist with institutionalisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Are communication with women and families aligned to cultural preferences?</td>
<td>- Are needs met during childbirth with birthing partner of choice, and are informed choice and consent sought during treatment and procedures?</td>
<td>- Is birthing care provided by identifiable healthcare professionals?</td>
<td>- MCWH programme and managers assist with institutionalisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Are communication with women and families aligned to cultural preferences?</td>
<td>- Are needs met during childbirth with birthing partner of choice, and are informed choice and consent sought during treatment and procedures?</td>
<td>- Is birthing care provided by identifiable healthcare professionals?</td>
<td>- MCWH programme and managers assist with institutionalisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Are communication with women and families aligned to cultural preferences?</td>
<td>- Are needs met during childbirth with birthing partner of choice, and are informed choice and consent sought during treatment and procedures?</td>
<td>- Is birthing care provided by identifiable healthcare professionals?</td>
<td>- MCWH programme and managers assist with institutionalisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Are communication with women and families aligned to cultural preferences?</td>
<td>- Are needs met during childbirth with birthing partner of choice, and are informed choice and consent sought during treatment and procedures?</td>
<td>- Is birthing care provided by identifiable healthcare professionals?</td>
<td>- MCWH programme and managers assist with institutionalisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Are communication with women and families aligned to cultural preferences?</td>
<td>- Are needs met during childbirth with birthing partner of choice, and are informed choice and consent sought during treatment and procedures?</td>
<td>- Is birthing care provided by identifiable healthcare professionals?</td>
<td>- MCWH programme and managers assist with institutionalisation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a health systems thinking pathway that includes positive feedback[30,34] and champions[35]. Collaboration and the acknowledgement of interdependence could break down existing barriers and resistance to change at local sites and assist with the integration of services in a larger network. These interactions can have a domino effect and produce change in the bidirectional pathways[36]. The scale-up of CLEVER should take place from the micro-levels upwards and should not be imposed from above. This should be accompanied by clinical governance and feedback structures through all levels of the health system[31,34,35]. This framework can address causes of poor performance and includes coalition building, tools for implementation and accountability[32].

During the ‘working CLEVER’ study, the MOUs provided insight into collaboration, networking and the implementation of the intervention. Fig. 2 illustrates how MOUs and the district hospitals act as ‘scale-free hubs’[39]. This means that they do not only liaise with each other through collaboration and networking, but also with elements in the community and the social, political and economic systems.

### Asking the right questions

The issues highlighted in the WHO standards for maternal and newborn care in health facilities[40] and in its scale-up strategy[41,42] should be applied to all the interdependent parts in the pathway and all stakeholders should be included. This entails asking the right questions that could enhance the scale-up strategy embedded in the CLEVER package. In Table 1 we give an overview of a set of focused questions and scale-up strategies[42,43]. In addition, we include information on what should be done where and by whom at the micro-, meso- and macro-levels of the health system. These questions and the breakdown according to the different levels of care could provide guidance on strategies and policies needed to assist in the implementation and scaling up of CLEVER in SA’s district-level health facilities. To enhance policy-making, questions based on the desired background in district hospital labour wards and referral MOUs should identify the barriers in these wards. This would assist in tailoring context-specific health systems strengthening as part of the start-up to implement the intervention. In a complex intervention we need to address gaps in multiple health systems building blocks[23] and sub-level changes simultaneously to facilitate implementation and improve reports on the important effects on the system. The questions asked would relate to the functioning of complex adaptive health systems and could lead to improvements in perinatal mortality and morbidity and of women’s experiences of childbirth. A reduction in mortality rates reflects timely, high-quality care during childbirth and progress in the responsiveness of the health system to take appropriate action[31].

Successful scale-up actions should include health systems strengthening across all building blocks and should apply to service delivery, the health workforce, health information systems, access to essential medicines, financing, and leadership and governance[40]. To be able to scale innovations, health systems governance needs the complex and multidimensional approach of systems thinking. The characteristics of complex systems and human behaviour should undergo a process of adaptation during implementation, with a focus on local barriers and attention to any unintended outcomes[43]. We can bring respectful, high-quality obstetric care back into communities through health systems strengthening and the improvement of midwifery skill and capabilities. This should be matched with leadership, clinical governance and accountability[42] at the micro-, meso- and macro-levels to bring about the turning point.

### Conclusion

The CLEVER intervention should now be rolled out to other MOUs and tested in district hospitals in SA. Following the bidirectional pathways in the health system and strengthening the system could make the long-term vision of high-quality respectful obstetric care to birthing women attainable and could sustain the innovation.

The three key messages related to health systems thinking that emerged from the CLEVER intervention are the following:

1. Human resources at the right skills level
   - Are competent and motivated midwives consistently available?
   - Are midwives skilled in providing routine care and managing complications at the level of their facility?
   - Are ESMOE boxes repacked after each use and labour ward routines reviewed to ensure an efficiently functioning unit?
   - Are ESOMIE boxes repacked after each use and expired drugs replaced?

2. Environment
   - Are facilities and equipment available on site?
   - Are EDS/EDP conducted on site?
   - Are facilities safe and clean?
   - Are environment, drugs and supplies in place to manage routine and emergency care?
   - Are ESOMIE boxes repacked after each use and expired drugs replaced?

3. Focus
   - Are staff incorporated and involved to form part of the team, working towards matching values of service delivery and quality respectful obstetric care to birthing women attainable and could sustain the innovation.
   - Are EOST drills conducted weekly?
   - Is a training log available on site?
   - Is the environment safe and clean?
   - Are ESOMIE boxes repacked after each use and expired drugs replaced?

4. Table 1. (continued) Questions to address the responsibilities of health systems at the micro-, meso- and macro-levels[30]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus on the micro-level</th>
<th>Focus on the meso-level</th>
<th>Focus on the macro-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A number of antenatal and postnatal care visits are conducted weekly?</td>
<td>Strategic planning and district health plans are in line with the service delivery needs in communities</td>
<td>Strategic planning and district health plans are in line with the service delivery needs in communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are all pregnant women enrolled in the antenatal care programme?</td>
<td>Policies, monitoring of quality and improvement, and structuring of operations</td>
<td>Policies, monitoring of quality and improvement, and structuring of operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are all women with complications of pregnancy managed at the facility level?</td>
<td>Updating of policies, guidelines and protocols in line with WHO guidelines and policies, with involvement of clinicians</td>
<td>Updating of policies, guidelines and protocols in line with WHO guidelines and policies, with involvement of clinicians</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion
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   The three key messages related to health systems thinking that emerged from the CLEVER intervention are the following:

<table>
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</tr>
</thead>
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<td>A number of antenatal and postnatal care visits are conducted weekly?</td>
<td>Strategic planning and district health plans are in line with the service delivery needs in communities</td>
<td>Strategic planning and district health plans are in line with the service delivery needs in communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are all pregnant women enrolled in the antenatal care programme?</td>
<td>Policies, monitoring of quality and improvement, and structuring of operations</td>
<td>Policies, monitoring of quality and improvement, and structuring of operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are all women with complications of pregnancy managed at the facility level?</td>
<td>Updating of policies, guidelines and protocols in line with WHO guidelines and policies, with involvement of clinicians</td>
<td>Updating of policies, monitoring of quality and improvement, and structuring of operations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Table 1. (continued) Questions to address the responsibilities of health systems at the micro-, meso- and macro-levels[30]
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<td>Policies, monitoring of quality and improvement, and structuring of operations</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are all women with complications of pregnancy managed at the facility level?</td>
<td>Updating of policies, guidelines and protocols in line with WHO guidelines and policies, with involvement of clinicians</td>
<td>Updating of policies, monitoring of quality and improvement, and structuring of operations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Conclusion

   The CLEVER intervention should now be rolled out to other MOUs and tested in district hospitals in SA. Following the bidirectional pathways in the health system and strengthening the system could make the long-term vision of high-quality respectful obstetric care to birthing women attainable and could sustain the innovation.

   The three key messages related to health systems thinking that emerged from the CLEVER intervention are the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus on the micro-level</th>
<th>Focus on the meso-level</th>
<th>Focus on the macro-level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A number of antenatal and postnatal care visits are conducted weekly?</td>
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9. Conclusion
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Collaboration with feedback should ensure that the kind of care that birthing women expect during labour matches the care that midwives provide.

• Interprofessional teamwork linking different levels of the health system should provide one standard of care, regardless of where women give birth.

• Promoting strong clinical governance and accountability and ensuring dedicated, motivated and skilled birth attendants will create the turning point.
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