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How front-line healthcare workers respond to stock-outs of essential medicines in the Eastern Cape Province of South Africa
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Shortages of essential medicines are a daily occurrence in many of South Africa (SA)’s public health facilities. This study focuses on the responses of healthcare workers to stock-outs, investigating how actors at the ‘front line’ of public health delivery understand, experience and respond to shortages of essential medicines and equipment in their facilities. Findings are based on focus groups, interviews and observations with healthcare workers and patients at healthcare facilities in the Eastern Cape Province of SA, conducted as part of the Mzantsi Wakho study. The research revealed a discrepancy between ‘informal’ definitions of stock-outs and their reporting through formal stock-out management channels. Front-line healthcare workers had designed their own systems for classifying the severity of stock-outs, based on the product in question, and on their potential to access stocks from other facilities. Beyond formal systems of procurement and supply, healthcare workers had established vast networks of alternative communication and action, often using personal resources to procure medical supplies. Stock-outs were only reported when informal methods of stock-sharing did not secure top-up supplies. These findings have implications for understanding the frequency and severity of stock-outs, and for taking action to prevent and manage stock-outs effectively.


In the decades since South Africa (SA)’s first democratic elections, the policies and programmes that direct the provision of public healthcare have been radically revised.1,2,13 A growing literature reveals gaps between the state’s policy and legislative commitments to improving public healthcare,3,14 and on-the-ground challenges that continue to obstruct public access.1,3,15,16 It focuses on the functioning of health systems1,3,11-13 and on the operational aspects of clinical care.14-19

While the National Department of Health is committed to improving access to medicine, the procurement and distribution of medical supplies remains inadequate in many health districts.20 Research on stock-outs has strived to quantify the extent and severity of shortages of medical supplies. It has identified which drugs and medical products are most commonly depleted, including through research on tracer medicines that represent procurement and provision challenges.21-26 A national audit published by the Health Systems Trust (HST) of healthcare facilities’ compliance with key priorities included a measure on ‘availability of medicines and supplies’. The Eastern Cape Province’s compliance score for this was 54% in 2012. The audit also found an extremely high failure percentage (77%) in clinics for the measure ‘Tracer medicines as per applicable Essential Drugs List or formulary are available in the pharmacy/medicine’.21

Stock-outs arise from an inability to manage medical supplies, report shortages, and act swiftly and effectively to prevent their recurrence. Stock-outs are intertwined with other challenges in the health sector, including shortages of healthcare workers, inadequate training, weak oversight and management, and inadequate monitoring and evaluation of clinic data.21

From research and policy perspectives, it is crucial to understand: (i) whether formal reporting is an accurate measure of available medical supplies; and (ii) how front-line healthcare providers experience and respond to stock-outs at facilities level. Insights from healthcare workers are key to design and implement interventions to reduce stock-outs and limit their adverse effects on patients.

Methods

This study focused on the qualitative dimension of stock-outs, examining how healthcare providers perceived and responded to shortages of medical supplies in their facilities. It was conducted as a sub-study within the Mzantsi Wakho study on the health of adolescents in the Eastern Cape.21,22 Facilities were selected on two bases: range of service level (including two clinics, a community health centre, a district hospital and a tertiary hospital) and willingness of healthcare workers to participate in direct observations and interviews, including within waiting rooms and dispensaries. Ethical approval for this study was provided by research ethics committees at the University of Cape Town (ref. no. UCT/CSSR/1/2014(ii)) and the University of Oxford (ref. no. SSD/CUREC2/12-21).

Between December 2013 and May 2016, researchers conducted over 1 000 hours of observation at public healthcare facilities. We interviewed 15 healthcare workers, including senior staff (hospital CEOs, doctors, nurse managers, nurses and community health workers), administrative staff (receptionists and data managers) and ground staff (including cleaners, gardeners and security guards). In April 2016, we conducted five interviews with healthcare workers involved specifically in providing and monitoring medical supplies at five facilities. We conducted a focus group with 19 research staff
of the Mzantsi Wakho study, which included nurses and community healthcare workers. The focus group was recorded and transcribed, translated and coded by two qualitative researchers and the study’s senior clinicians researcher. To ensure the confidentiality of research participants at clinics, interviews at healthcare facilities were not audio-recorded. Findings are based on the themes that emerged from these multiple qualitative methods and data sources, developed iteratively, over the course of 31 months of observations, interviews and participatory research. This primary research was supplemented by a review of public health research, policy plans and guidelines on stock-outs in SA.

Findings
The management of medical stocks, including ordering, receiving, dispensing and reporting, depended on the structure and staffing of facilities. In better-resourced facilities, such as hospitals, these roles were performed by dedicated pharmacists and pharmacy assistants. In smaller facilities, including those serving large patient populations, nurses performed these roles alongside other duties as healthcare providers and administrators. Of the 3 074 clinics surveyed in the HST’s National Healthcare Facilities Baseline Audit, ‘a high 84% had no input from a Pharmacist or Pharmacy Assistant Post-Basic’[2] At one clinic within this dedicated study, a nurse who also served as clinic manager laughed when asked if her facility had a pharmacist. ‘You are looking at her,’ she replied.

Stock-outs and supply-sharing networks
Definitions and understandings of stock-outs varied considerably between different facilities, and in relation to different drugs. All five facilities in this study reported frequent stock-outs of numerous products listed on the Essential Medicines List.[7] At a rural clinic, the dispensary was run by a nurse who served as the facility’s operations manager. The clinic had no pharmacist or pharmacy assistant, and at the time of the interview had stocked out of Kaletra. At a larger urban clinic, the pharmacy assistant reported having no stock of folic acid, simvastatin, electrolyte solution or gauze on the day of the interview.

The nurse at the first clinic contacted other clinics in the district and borrowed Kaletra to avoid turning patients away without their medicines. However, because she did not capture and report the shortage, no stock-out had officially occurred. At the second facility, items reported as stock-outs were those that the pharmacy assistant was not able to borrow from nearby clinics – either because they were experiencing the same shortages or because they lacked sufficient ‘buffer stock’ to share. The front-line healthcare workers interviewed did not generally report drug shortages using official channels if they could access top-up supplies from a neighbouring facility. Only stock-outs of supplies that were ‘unborrowable’ were reported.

The ‘borrowing’ phenomenon is a crucial component of practical monitoring and oversight of medical supplies in the Eastern Cape. While this is the phrase commonly used by healthcare workers, ‘borrowing’ is not strictly an accurate description of this practice. As the head pharmacist at a public hospital explained, ‘We say “borrowed”, but no-one ever returns.’ Nurses, pharmacists and pharmacy assistants within the province had established networks of communication and exchange to manage this alternative supply system. These networks were informal and internal, established by healthcare workers as a means of dealing directly with stock-outs themselves. Their boundaries did not correspond with the official zoning of health districts and municipalities.

This study explored how these networks functioned. When a clinic was running low on a particular drug or piece of equipment, the staff member tasked with managing medical supplies would contact a staff member from another clinic – often via WhatsApp – and ask if they could ‘lend’ supply. Healthcare workers used personal resources to tap into these networks, including personal cell phones (mobile phones) and ‘airtime’[27] This practice of sharing essential medicines happened ‘all the time’, according to the head pharmacist at a district hospital, and was recounted by staff members within all facilities in the study. Staff reported that, through this exchange, they were ‘almost always’ able to deal with the shortages they faced.

This thriving parallel economy in medicines supply is far from the ideal of robust procurement and regulation. All facilities within this study, with the exception of the hospitals, struggled to provide sustained, uninterrupted access to essential medical supplies. But this study also demonstrated that informal economies of medicines exchange, established by healthcare workers, often had the potential to address stock-outs quickly and effectively, providing healthcare workers with direct, rapid access to ‘buffer stocks’ from neighbouring facilities. The successes of these informal networks were premised on high degrees of personal motivation among staff, their ability to design, implement and adapt contingency plans, their collegiality, and their investment in providing continuous, reliable treatment.

While some stock-outs may have been dealt with effectively by ‘borrowing and sharing’, ‘full-blown’ stock-outs were also reported among healthcare workers and patients in this study. A full-blown stock-out was when borrowing medical supply in advance of a total depletion, or as an interim short-term strategy, had failed. This resulted in patients being turned away from facilities without prescribed medicines. At times, patients were advised by nurses or community healthcare workers to travel to other facilities presumed to have better stocks. Through trial and error, patients had themselves ascertained which facilities reliably stocked the chronic medicines they needed, and sought healthcare there despite greater travel and time costs.

At a day hospital, a community health worker recounted common ‘full-blown’ stock-outs of paracetamol and vitamin B complex. While she acknowledged that these medicines were unavailable at the facility, she maintained that shortages were minor in comparison with primary facilities in urban townships and rural areas in the district:

‘There are clinics in the location – yoh, people are moaning. They are running out of things. Then the patients flock here … [My patient’s] grandmother is staying in a rural area. She [the grandmother] and grandfather didn’t get their medication for two months. And another lady … she was supposed to get 10 of her [blood pressure] medicines. But she only got two of the 10.’

This healthcare worker described another strategy used by front-line providers to limit the negative health impacts of stock-outs on patients. In cases of diminishing supply, healthcare workers might provide patients with a partial prescription, advising them to return to the clinic for the rest of their regimen in the coming days. The effects of this practice on patient retention require further research, particularly in the context of chronic conditions that require consistent, reliable access to medicines.

Discussion
The government has recognised the urgency of stock-outs, and committed to improving monitoring and accountability in the health sector. A proliferation of plans and circulars captures attempts to improve procurement and oversight of medical supplies, and to systematise how these are reported and resolved. A proposed digital stock-monitoring system has the potential to improve how stock-outs are detected, but its success depends on investments in
technology and infrastructure at facility level. If proposed initiatives for improving medical supplies are to have an optimal impact, they must be accompanied by attendant investments in human resources. For the front-line healthcare workers in this study, reporting on medicines supply was already a distraction from primary care. A shortage of data capturers in facilities meant that nurses were often tasked with completing paperwork for monitoring and evaluation, with the risk that reporting on healthcare delivery took priority over delivery itself.

Different definitions of stock-outs must be considered in designing accurate monitoring mechanisms, and in conducting future research with healthcare providers. The impact of stock-outs on patients and staff would be far more severe were it not for the existence of collaborative and resourceful informal networks of healthcare workers and facilities who share and redistribute medical supplies. The number of ‘full-blown’ stock-outs was considerably smaller than the number of ‘minor’ stock-outs, that were managed through borrowing, and often went unreported. Moreover, healthcare workers were more likely to report shortages or absences of ‘high-profile’ drugs, for conditions that attracted greater clinical and public scrutiny. Shortages of ‘routine’ medical supplies, such as surgical scissors, and ‘nice-to-haves’, such as pain medications and vitamins, were accepted as an everyday reality and feature of the constrained public health system.

Conclusion

Informal networks of communication and exchange enable front-line healthcare workers to respond quickly and effectively to stock-outs of essential medical supplies. Interviews and observations with these healthcare workers, conducted within a longitudinal study on healthcare in the Eastern Cape, reveal how these networks function outside of formal supply chains and management structures. Interventions to improve the monitoring of medical supplies should not be accompanied by attendant investments in human resources. The number of ‘full-blown’ stock-outs was considerably smaller than the number of ‘minor’ stock-outs, that were managed through borrowing, and often went unreported. Moreover, healthcare workers were more likely to report shortages or absences of ‘high-profile’ drugs, for conditions that attracted greater clinical and public scrutiny. Shortages of ‘routine’ medical supplies, such as surgical scissors, and ‘nice-to-haves’, such as pain medications and vitamins, were accepted as an everyday reality and feature of the constrained public health system.
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