Renal cell carcinoma is the most common cancer involving the kidneys. It is reported to occur in 3% of all malignancies in adults and accounts for 90–95% of all cancers involving the kidneys. The peak age of diagnosis is between 50 and 70 years; in recent years the median age has been given as 64. There is also a slight predominance in males, with a ratio of 2:1. Renal cell carcinoma has been attributed primarily to smoking, but other risk factors include conditions such as acquired cystic diseases of the kidneys, tuberous sclerosis and von Hippel Lindau (VHL) syndrome. Approximately 35% of patients with VHL disease develop clear renal cell carcinoma.

Only 10% of patients present with the classic triad of flank pain, haematuria and a flank mass. The 5-year survival rate for stage 4 renal cell carcinoma is between 0% and 20%.

We present a rare case of dual pathologies, renal cell carcinoma in the right kidney and angiomyolipoma in the left, which is still more unusual because the patient was a 17-year-old girl. Verbal consent for the study and publication of material was obtained on initial presentation from the patient as well as the parent.

Case report
A 17-year-old girl of mixed race was referred to Potchefstroom Provincial Hospital, North West Province, South Africa, from the local clinic with what was thought to be splenomegaly. On arrival at the secondary hospital, the abdominal mass was confirmed and was reported probably to be of splenic origin. The history confirmed that the mass had been present for 5 months but had not caused problems until now. She had left-sided flank pain and dysuria, with no frank haematuria. Urine dipsticks showed microscopic haematuria (blood 4+, protein 1+). She also complained of arthralgia, especially in the lower region of the back. There was intermittent nausea but rarely vomiting. She had developed a rash/freckles (pale reddish-brown soft small papules) on the face 8 months prior to initial presentation and was referred from the clinic with a query of angiofibroma (Fig. 1). Subsequent work-up for systemic lupus erythematosus was reported to be negative. The rash was reviewed and labelled as papulosa nigra, a normal variant of pigmented skin without any pathological features. No biopsy was done. The patient had no vaginal bleeding or discharge and reported normal bowel function; urine output was normal. She was not coughing and had no complaints of any neurological or cardiovascular symptoms, and reported no smoking or alcohol use. She reported not being sexually active, and a pregnancy test confirmed that she was not pregnant. Menstruation was reported as normal. She had no travel history, medical comorbidities or allergies. She was not using any form of contraception. There was a family history of malignancy in her brother, but the family did not know what type it was. She had no history of tuberculosis and had tested negative for HIV in the weeks preceding presentation.

Physical findings
The physical findings were unremarkable considering the underlying pathology. The patient was slightly underweight with a body mass index of 19 kg/m². She was not pale or oedematous and no rash was seen. The findings on cardiovascular, respiratory and neurological examination were essentially normal apart from a slightly elevated blood pressure of 145/89 mmHg and a persistent mild tachycardia of 106 bpm. She had a slight fever of 37.8°C and maintained saturation of 98% on room air. Abdominal examination revealed an abdominal mass on the left side measuring ~10 cm below the costal margin that moved inferriorly on inspiration. A notch was felt which at that time led the examiner to believe it was a massive spleen. The abdomen was soft and only mildly tender over the mass with no guarding or rebound tenderness. Bowel sounds were present and the liver was not palpable.
Side-room investigations showed microscopic haematuria (++) and proteinuria (+)+. The haemoglobin concentration was 11.5 g/dL and a pregnancy test was negative. Blood samples were taken for splanenogally work-up at the time and revealed nothing further to indicate the origin of the mass. Kidney function (urea 2.4 mmol/L, creatinine 51 μmol/L, estimated glomerular filtration rate >60 mL/min/1.73 m² and electrolyte levels were normal, as was liver function (alkaline phosphatase 96 U/L, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase 16 U/L, alanine aminotransferase 10 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase 18 U/L, total bilirubin 4 μmol/L, albumin 42 g/L, total protein 85 g/L). A full blood count (white cell count 6.31 × 10⁹/L, haemoglobin 11.6 g/dL, platelets 264 × 10⁹/L) was normal. A malaria screen, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for HIV and antinuclear antibody were negative. The C-reactive protein level was 32 mg/L. The erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) was raised at 105 mm/h. The high ESR together with the presence of the mass alerted the clinician to serious pathology. The patient was sent for an urgent ultrasound scan, which revealed kidneys of normal size but with increased echogenicity. No hydronephrosis was observed, but a hypoechoic mass of 5 × 5 cm in the midpole of the right kidney and a tiny cyst on the lower pole of the left kidney were seen. The bladder, liver, gallbladder, spleen, pancreas and uterus were reported to be normal. The ovaries were not visualised owing to gas dilating the bowel. The patient was sent for an urgent computed tomography (CT) scan of the abdomen with contrast. The following day, the clinician was called to assess the CT scan together with the radiologist. The radiologist reported a hypodense mass with hyperdense vessels inside the lower part of the left kidney; it also consisted of fatty density and appeared hypervascularised after administration of contrast medium. On first glance, the mass measured 63 × 126 mm but on review it was 150 × 90 mm (Fig. 2). This mass was compressing the collecting system of the upper part of the left kidney as well as the aorta and some small bowel loops. Another enhancing solid mass was present in the middle part of the right kidney, measuring 51 × 58 mm in diameter (Fig. 3). Neither ureter was dilated and there was good excretion of the contrast medium by both kidneys. No enlarged retroperitoneal lymph nodes were seen. There were some small sclerotic lesions in the thoracic and lumbosacral vertebral bodies and both iliac bones, suggestive of bone metastases.

The patient was referred to a tertiary setting for a nephrologist to perform a confirmative biopsy of the renal masses and provide further management.

Discussion

A search of the literature revealed articles describing these dual pathologies in one individual.2-4 One of them had a unifying diagnosis of tuberous sclerosis.3,4 These articles suggest that one should look for renal involvement in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC).3,4 Could this patient have TSC, or could the angiomyolipoma be unusual renal metastases presenting radiologically as angiomyolipoma?3,4 Could her freckles be mistaken for angiofibromas, which would support the diagnosis of tuberous sclerosis? These are important considerations in this case that may offer some treatment options. For example, she could receive treatment with everolimus (Afinitor), which is used for TSC and has been proven to have a 42% response rate in double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial studies.6,7

Conclusion

This is an uncommon case of dual renal malignancies in a young girl, with a suspicion of TSC as the underlying cause.

Fig. 2. Angiomyolipoma in the left kidney (arrow).

Fig. 3. Right kidney showing clear solid mass (black arrow) and left kidney deformed due to angiomyolipoma (white arrow).

The patient was referred to a tertiary setting for biopsy and further management. In the referral letter it was suggested that a diagnosis of TSC be considered.
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