Review of the 2015 Guidelines for Maternity Care with relevance to congenital disorders
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In 2015, the 4th edition of the Guidelines for Maternity Care in South Africa was published by the National Department of Health (NDoH). A manual for clinics, community health centres and district hospitals, these replaced the previous 2007 edition.

The guidelines provide, among other things, a practical approach for primary healthcare to manage pregnancy, labour and delivery in South Africa (SA) with the ultimate aim of reducing maternal mortality (deaths during pregnancy or within 42 days of delivery). With the maternal mortality ratio (MMR) estimated as having quadrupled in SA due to the HIV/AIDS epidemic, the need for such guidelines is clear. At 154 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births in 2011-2013, the MMR was reduced to almost pre-HIV epidemic levels, but the Millennium Development Goal target of 38/100,000 deaths, the contribution of congenital disorders (CDs) must be further reducing childhood deaths. However, for significant further reductions in childhood mortality and morbidity, including neonatal deaths, the contribution of congenital disorders (CDs) must be addressed.

The growing burden of congenital disorders

Data from the Perinatal Problem Identification Program (PPIP) in 2014 indicated that congenital abnormalities have overtaken infection as the third leading cause of early neonatal deaths, after hypoxia and immaturity. Disparate terminology is used for CDs throughout the guidelines, and overall less detail is included on CDs compared with the previous edition. This demonstrates a lack of awareness around the growing health need and contribution of CDs to the disease burden in South Africa (SA). Referrals to medical genetic services in the guidelines for mothers of advanced maternal age and other high-risk categories do not take into account the insufficient capacity available for screening and diagnosis of CDs. This highlights the lack of consultation with the medical genetics sector during the development of the guidelines. To respond to the Sustainable Development Goals by 2030, CDs must be integrated comprehensively at all levels of healthcare in SA.

What is the aim of the Guidelines for Maternity Care?

Prepared by the National Maternity Guidelines Committee at the NDoH, the guidelines are for health workers (doctors and midwives) providing obstetric, surgical and anaesthetic services for pregnant women in primary healthcare facilities where specialist care is not normally available. Clinics, community health centres and district hospitals are encouraged to use the guidelines to develop protocols tailored to their specific needs, for identifying, diagnosing and managing common and serious pregnancy and delivery problems. Both editions of the guidelines respond to report recommendations by the National Committee on the Confidential Enquiry into Maternal Deaths, with the overall aim to improve clinical management and referral to reduce pregnancy-related deaths and ill health.

While the 2015 guidelines follow a similar format to that of the previous edition, they also include some new chapters and omit others. Content of relevance to CDs is included in chapters 2: Levels of care; 4: Antenatal care; 9: Problems in pregnancy; 10: Management of intra-uterine deaths, stillborn babies and neonatal...
infections; rubella and syphilis), and the use of teratogenic medications during pregnancy are mentioned. With the addition of diabetes mellitus in the 2015 edition, teratogens including alcohol, tobacco and recreational drugs, the specific topics including the avoidance of smoking, alcohol, recreational drug use, maternal infections (rubella and syphilis), and use of teratogenic medications during pregnancy are listed under ‘risks for genetic disease’. The risks associated with poorly controlled medical conditions are also listed, but diabetes mellitus is addressed elsewhere in the guidelines and hypothyroidism and iodine deficiency are not mentioned. With teratogens accounting for almost 20% of CDs in SA and affecting 14 000 births annually, these need to be contextualised when CDs are suspected, prior to another pregnancy in case of reoccurrence. Steps outlined to obtain a diagnosis when a CD is suspected as the cause of death include undertaking a history and a basic external examination. When a diagnosis cannot be made, a postmortem or whole body X-ray/digital photography for referral to a geneticist is recommended. This does not take into account the limited capacity available in SA due to there being only 12 practising medical geneticists, clustered around academic centres in urban areas (Table 1).[12] Capacity in the medical genetic services sector is further underestimated in chapter 15: Basic ultrasound at the district level. While acknowledging that routine screening for structural and fetal anomalies is ‘not yet practical in the public sector’, all women of advanced maternal age (specified as over 37 years) are referred to a specialist health facility or a maternal fetal ultrasound unit. This includes referral to a genetics clinic where consenting women should be routinely offered a scan, genetic counselling and invasive testing to rule out Down syndrome. It does not specify that genetic counselling should be undertaken prior to the scan and repeated afterwards in the case of abnormal findings. Women with a previous history or family history of structural, chromosomal or genetic disorders are also referred to specialist hospitals for structural screening and management decision. Analysis of recorded live births in 2013 indicates that 84 260 births (8.5%) were to women over 37 years.[22] As outlined in Table 1, current capacity falls far short of recommended levels, with only 12 practising medical geneticists, fewer than 9 genetic counsellors and compromised laboratory services operating almost entirely from academic medical genetic departments countrywide. This available capacity makes it impossible for this number of referrals of women of advanced maternal age to be implemented. Medical genetic services relating to the care and prevention of CDs are in a state of decline and at a lower base today than prior to the HIV/AIDS epidemic.[11,12]

### Genetic counselling

In addition to referring high-risk women to regional and tertiary hospitals, genetic screening and counselling services are specified as a function of district hospitals in chapter 2: Levels of care. A significant contribution to the care of newborns is undertaken by nurses in these low-resourced primary healthcare settings, particularly in rural areas. These nurses, and general medical officers, are in the main not equipped with genetic counselling skills, and the nurses who are trained are in short supply. SA is also experiencing a severe shortage of doctors, with only 60 per 100 000

---

**Table 1. A comparison of medical genetics services capacity in 2001 and 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (ratio) (N=46.13m)</td>
<td>n(10^6)</td>
<td>N=44.82m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical geneticists</td>
<td>20 (1 per 2m)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 per 11.2m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic counsellors</td>
<td>80 (1 per 580 000)</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>1 per 2.2m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical scientists/technologists</td>
<td>100 (1 per 450 000)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1 per 900 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No medical geneticists are employed by the state in Gauteng. Personal communication, A Krause, 11 February 2016.
†This figure increased to 9 in April 2016, plus 6 in private practice. Personal communication, T Wessels, 25 February 2016.
‡NHLS academic medical scientists only. Personal communication, H Soodyall, 27 July 2015.

---

population in 2013 compared with the global average of 152/100,000, and even fewer specialists (including medical geneticists). This places a huge strain upon the system and medical practitioners are overworked and often unsupported. This general lack of capacity of healthcare professionals at all levels must be rectified before such an under-resourced system can respond to additional demands.

Conclusion

As management guidelines, the 2015 edition responds to the policy directive to reduce maternal mortality by offering principles from which detailed institutional protocols can be developed. However, the guidelines are not cognisant of the limited infrastructure, capacity and resources available in the medical genetic services sector. The lack of investment in medical genetic services, largely due to competing health priorities, makes it impossible for referrals in the guidelines to be implemented.

Consultation with the medical genetics community during the development of the 2015 edition could have prevented this disjoint and would have benefited from the ongoing review of the 2001 Policy Guidelines for the Management and Prevention of Genetic Disorders, Birth Defects and Disabilities.

With SA once again in positive epidemiological transition, the proportion of neonatal, infant and child deaths from CDs will continue to increase as the country develops and communicable diseases are better controlled. Relevant, accessible and effective medical genetic services can prevent, cure and ameliorate CDs by up to 70% and may be the only way to significantly reduce child mortality further.

If SA is to respond to Sustainable Development Goal 3 to end preventable deaths in newborns and reduce premature mortality from non-communicable diseases by two-thirds by 2030, CDs must be addressed comprehensively and funding allocated to build capacity and infrastructure in the sector. This response must permeate every level of implementation, to ensure no child is left behind.
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