Office-based sperm concentration: A simplified method for intrauterine insemination therapy
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Background. Intrauterine insemination (IUI) could become preferred to more invasive and expensive techniques of assisted reproduction therapy (ART) and should be offered as the first choice in cases with no female factors and mild male factor subfertility. However, developing countries and especially their rural areas often lack the necessary equipment and laboratory facilities.

Objective. To describe a simplified one-step method to determine the sperm concentration range for IUI therapy.

Methods. Semen samples from 51 sperm donors were used. Following swim-up separation, the sperm concentration of the retrieved motile fraction was counted, as well as progressive motile sperm using a standardised wet preparation. The number of sperm in a 10 µL droplet covered with a 22 × 22 mm coverslip was counted under 400 × total magnification. The observed numbers of retrieved motile sperm were divided into three groups: <40, 40 - 100 and >101 spermatozoa as recorded per initial estimation on the wet preparation.

Results. The mean (standard deviation) estimated sperm concentration for each group compared with actual counts per Neubauer counting chamber were: estimated <40 sperm (n=14), mean 20 (8), Neubauer count 2.5 × 10^6/mL; estimated 40 - 100 sperm (n=14), mean 71 (15), Neubauer count 16 × 10^6/mL; and estimated >100 sperm (n=23), Neubauer count 48.3 (21.7) × 10^6/mL.

Conclusion. The results with IUI in male subfertility cases reported by Ombelet et al. in 1995 support the concept of first-line treatment of infertility by three to four cycles of IUI therapy in selected cases.

an office-based infertility counselling service. In the rural regions the consulting clinician often has only the most basic equipment available to assist with diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. As part of a ‘basic fertility’ work-up, a simple semen analysis is therefore mandatory for couples seeking fertility treatment in a developing country.[2] Many cases can be resolved with intrauterine insemination (IUI), as the infertility is often due to treatable reproductive tract infections.[3-5] The interesting question arises as to whether it is possible to simplify the laboratory environment and procedures without substantially compromising the results. [2]

In cases where IUI therapy is advised as a first line of treatment, the consulting clinician can determine its feasibility by obtaining the sperm concentration and progressive motility values of the semen sample after a sperm preparation process. Ombelet et al.[6] concluded that >300 × 10⁵ sperm with 10% progressive motility after preparation is sufficient to be used successfully in IUI cycles. The percentage of normal sperm did not play a role during their observations.

This study aimed to develop a simplified method to evaluate the sperm concentration using an office-based microscope to record sperm concentration by estimating the number of sperm on a standardised wet preparation.

**Methods**

Semen samples from 51 sperm donors were used in the study. Institutional review board approval was obtained. Following liquefaction, all samples were analysed according to the 2010 World Health Organization (WHO) semen analysis manual.[7]

Motile fractions were retrieved from all samples using the direct swim-up technique. One ml of semen was placed in a sterile 15 ml conical centrifuge tube and gently layered with 1 ml Han’s FI-10 culture medium (supplemented with 0.3% g bovine serum albumin). The tube was placed at an angle of 45° at 37°C for 1 hour, after which the uppermost 1 ml of medium, which contains the motile fraction, was removed.

Wet preparations of the motile fractions were prepared by removing a 10 µl aliquot, which was placed onto a clean glass slide and covered with a 22 × 22 mm coverslip. The semen drop was allowed to settle out of suspension for 5 minutes. Motility was recorded as follows: progressive motility – spermatozoa moving actively, either linearly or in a large circle, regardless of speed; non-progressive motility – all other patterns of motility with an absence of progression, e.g. swimming in small circles, the flagellar force hardly displacing the head, or if only a flagellar beat could be observed; and immotile – no movement.

Following the motility evaluation, the sperm concentration was estimated on the same slide under 400 × total magnification according to the WHO guidelines to determine the dilution factor before counting with a Neubauer counting chamber. The semen samples were then diluted and loaded into the Neubauer counting chamber. Both chambers were counted according to WHO guidelines.

The estimated sperm counts and motility values of each sample were subdivided into three groups: <40 sperm (group 1), 40 - 100 sperm (group 2), and >100 sperm (group 3). The estimated counts were compared with the counts recorded with the Neubauer counting chamber.

**Table 1. Comparison between estimated sperm count and Neubauer counting chamber recordings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1, estimated count</th>
<th>Group 2, estimated count</th>
<th>Group 3, estimated count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40 sperm (N=14)</td>
<td>40 - 100 sperm (N=14)</td>
<td>&gt;101 sperm (N=23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated count Neubauer count, × 10⁶/mL</td>
<td>Estimated count Neubauer count, × 10⁶/mL</td>
<td>Estimated count Neubauer count, × 10⁶/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 20.0 2.5</td>
<td>71 16.0 100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD 8.0 1.2</td>
<td>15.3 10.4 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range 8.0 - 34 1 - 4</td>
<td>15 - 90 6 - 34 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Semen parameters of andrology referrals classified according to the initial estimated sperm count**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated count</th>
<th>Neubauer count × 10⁶/mL</th>
<th>Progressive motility</th>
<th>Morphology, % normal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1: Estimated count &lt;40 sperm</td>
<td>20.0 2.5</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.0 1.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range 8 - 34 1 - 4</td>
<td>40 - 89 6 - 34</td>
<td>40 - 70 3 - 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2: Estimated count 40 - 100 sperm</td>
<td>69.6 14.2</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>14.9 9.4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range 40 - 89 6 - 34</td>
<td>40 - 70 3 - 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3: Estimated count &gt;100 sperm</td>
<td>99.6 48.0</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.1 22.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range 90 - 100 21 - 98</td>
<td>40 - 80 7 - 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results**

The mean values (standard deviation (SD)) of the estimated sperm concentration as determined on the wet preparation, and the actual
sperm concentration per Neubauer counting chamber, are presented in Table 1. The mean values (SD) of the estimated Neubauer counts, progressive motility and morphology are set out in Table 2. In all three estimated categories, the progressive motility was >30% with mean concentrations >1 × 10⁶/mL.

Discussion

The success and usefulness of ART techniques in treating infertility are well established, but the high cost of ART and lack of health insurance subsidies place a heavy burden on the couple seeking treatment for infertility. The cost of in vitro fertilisation (IVF) and intracytoplasmic sperm injection is significantly higher than the cost of a single ovarian stimulation/IUI cycle. Affordability low-cost office-based infertility treatment has become a field of interest among clinicians. Recent results from a pilot study reported the first pregnancies using a simplified laboratory method for human IVF. In the case of unexplained moderate male factor infertility, provided tubal patency has been documented, IUI with the husband's semen in natural cycles or after domiphene citrate (CC) stimulation can be promoted as a first-line treatment without major costs or expensive infrastructure. The results of IUI in male subfertility cases reported by Ombelet et al support the concept of first-line treatment, namely three to four cycles of IUI therapy.

The method described allows the consulting clinician to establish the concentration and motility of semen to ascertain whether the sample is adequate to be used in IUI. He or she does not need expensive laboratory equipment, as a microscope, microscope glass slides, coverslips and a micropipette suffice. However, this only holds true in cases where no female factors are present. Estimating the sperm concentration should never be regarded as replacement of the standardised method prescribed in the 2010 WHO manual.

The results of this study underline that cost-effective semen analysis is possible. The mean sperm concentrations per Neubauer counting chamber were >1 × 10⁶/mL with progressive motility of >30% in all three estimated categories, indicating that these samples could theoretically be suitable for three to four IUI cycles. In a large retrospective analysis of patients with normal ovarian response to CC stimulation, Ombelet et al showed overall cycle fecundity and a baby take-home rate of 14.6% and 9.9%, respectively, provided the insemination motile concentration of sperm was >1 million. IUI remains successful in cases with <1 million motile spermatozoa, provided the sperm morphology score using strict criteria is 24% (cumulative pregnancy rate of 21.9% after three IUI cycles).

The development of low-cost ART which is associated with a low complication rate is needed if institutions in developing countries are to be convinced to fund infertility clinics. IVF procedures can be modified to make them affordable. Studies on simplified, low-cost diagnostic procedures and ART techniques are urgently required in a low-cost setting.
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