Investigating hepatitis B immunity in patients presenting to a paediatric haematology and oncology unit in South Africa
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Background. Hepatitis B is an important public health concern in South Africa (SA). The hepatitis B virus (HBV) vaccine was introduced into the South African Expanded Programme on Immunisation (EPI-SA) in 1995. There is no ‘catch-up’ programme in place. The duration of protection after hepatitis B vaccination in the SA population is unknown. Waning of vaccine-induced immunity leaves people at risk of acquiring hepatitis B infection in settings where the prevalence of infection is high and horizontal transmission is likely.

Objective. To assess immunity to HBV in patients at presentation to a paediatric haematology and oncology unit.

Methods. An audit of hepatitis profiles was done of all new patients seen in the unit from January 2012 to December 2013. Patients were classified as immune (antibody levels to hepatitis B surface antigen (anti-HBs) >100 mIU/ml), low immune (anti-HBs 10 - 100 mIU/ml) and not immune (anti-HBs <10 mIU/ml).

Results. Of the 210 patients included (median age 6.5 years), 84 (40.0%) had no immunity to hepatitis B despite presumed vaccination as part of the EPI schedule. Six patients tested positive for hepatitis B core antibody (anti-HBc), consistent with previous infection. No patients had active hepatitis B infection (hepatitis B surface antigen-positive). Most human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected patients were not immune to HBV (80.0%).

Conclusion. A significant number of children in SA are not immune to hepatitis B despite vaccination being part of the EPI-SA. Combined passive-active immunisation should be considered for all oncology patients in settings where exposure to HBV is possible. Consideration should also be given to offering booster vaccination to the population as a whole.

through horizontal transmission. The overall aim of the study was to provide a basis on which a further preventive strategy could be developed if deemed necessary.

**Methods**

A hospital-based audit was done using patient records of all children who presented to the paediatric haematology and oncology unit at Steve Biko Academic Hospital (SBAH), a tertiary academic hospital in Pretoria, SA, during the period 1 January 2012 - 31 December 2013. Demographic data (age and gender) and diagnosis of each patient were documented on presentation. HBV serology results for all patients (irrespective of the diagnosis) were reviewed.

Serological screening for hepatitis A, B and C is routinely done on all new patients on presentation to the unit. Screening for HBV includes serological testing for HBsAg, anti-HBs and antibodies to hepatitis B core antigen (anti-HBc). Hepatitis B e-antigen (HBeAg) and antibodies to hepatitis B e-antigen (anti-HBe) are only tested for when HBsAg is positive (in patients with hepatitis B infection). Immunoassays using Abbott ARCHITECT i2000 (Abbott Diagnostics, Germany) were used for HBV serological testing.

Anti-HBs levels of >100 mIU/ml were defined as complete protection against hepatitis B infection, levels of 10 - 100 mIU/ml as partial protection and levels of <10 mIU/ml as no protection. Antibody levels of >100 mIU/ml are recommended for ensuring protection against hepatitis B infection in immunocompromised patients.14-17

Data were analysed with SPSS version 21. All proportions are reported as percentages with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Differences between proportions were compared using the χ² test. A threshold of significance of p=0.05 was used for all analyses.

**Results**

A total of 210 patients who presented to our unit between 1 January 2012 and 31 December 2013 were included. None had received previous immunosuppressive therapy. Table 1 summarises the diagnoses and anthropometric data. Diagnoses were as follows: 41 (19.5%) leukaemia, 31 (14.8%) lymphoma (21 non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, 10 Hodgkin’s lymphoma), 92 (43.8%) solid tumours, and 46 (21.9%) benign or non-malignant haematological conditions. The solid tumour group did not include any patients with primary liver tumours (hepatoma, hepatoblastoma or hepatocellular carcinoma). Of the 210 patients, 25 (11.9%) were HIV-infected, and of these eight (32.0%) were newly diagnosed on presentation to the unit. There were 130 boys and 80 girls in the study group. The median age of the study group as a whole was 6.5 years (range 3 weeks - 17.6 years), that of the leukaemia/lymphoma group 7.3 years (range 4 weeks - 16.7 years), that of the solid tumour group 4.9 years (range 3 weeks - 17.6 years), and that of the group with benign or non-malignant haematological conditions 7.9 years (range 3 weeks - 15.7 years).

Active hepatitis B infection (HBsAg) was not detected in any of the patients during screening at the time of presentation to the unit. Six patients (2.9%) had evidence of previous infection with anti-HBc detected in serum, but no current active infection (HBsAg-negative). One of the six patients with evidence of previous infection was HIV-positive and not yet on antiretroviral treatment.

Of the 210 patients in the study, 84 (40.0%; 95% CI 33.3 - 47.0) were seronegative for HBV, and 78 (37.1%; 95% CI 30.6 - 44.0) had anti-HBs titres of 10 - 100 mIU/ml, considered to be insufficient in a population of immune-compromised patients. Only 48 patients (22.9%; 95% CI 17.4 - 29.2%) had anti-HBs titres >100 mIU/ml and were therefore protected against acquiring HBV (Fig. 1). Of the 25 HIV-positive patients, 20 (80.0%; 95% CI 59.3 - 93.2) had no immunity to HBV (anti-HBs titres <10 mIU/ml) (Fig. 2). There was a significant difference in immunity against HBV between the 1-5 years age group and the over-12 age group.

![Fig. 1. Hepatitis B immunity in the study group. (Protected = antibody levels to hepatitis B surface antigen (anti-HBs) >100 mIU/ml; insufficient immunity = anti-HBs 10 - 100 mIU/ml; not immune = anti-HBs <10 mIU/ml.)](image-url)

**Table 1. Diagnoses, gender and ages of children presenting to the paediatric haematology and oncology unit at SBAH (N=210)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Leukaemia</th>
<th>Lymphoma</th>
<th>Solid tumours</th>
<th>Haematological conditions*</th>
<th>Other†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>41 (19.5)</td>
<td>31 (14.8)</td>
<td>92 (43.8)</td>
<td>24 (11.4)</td>
<td>22 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males, n (%)</td>
<td>24 (58.5)</td>
<td>26 (83.9)</td>
<td>51 (55.4)</td>
<td>17 (70.8)</td>
<td>12 (54.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.1 - 16.7</td>
<td>2.3 - 15.3</td>
<td>0.1 - 17.6</td>
<td>0.6 - 13.9</td>
<td>0.1 - 15.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBAH = Steve Biko Academic Hospital.

*Non-malignant haematological conditions include severe aplastic anaemia and sickle cell anaemia.

†Include benign eye conditions and healthy sibling donors.
In the age group 1 - 5 years, 26 of 71 patients (36.6%; 95% CI 25.5 - 48.9) had sufficient immunity (anti-HBs titres >100 mIU/ml), while in the over-12 age group only four of 40 (10.0%; 95% CI 2.8 - 23.7) did so. In the <1-year age group, five infants were <8 weeks of age and had therefore not received the first dose of HBV vaccine and had no protective immunity.

In the group with benign or non-malignant haematological conditions, 12 of the 46 patients (26%; 95% CI 14.2 - 41.0) had anti-HBs levels of >100 mIU/ml, and in the leukaemia/lymphoma group only nine of 72 patients (12.5%; 95% CI 5.9 - 22.4) did so (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

None of the patients in this study had active hepatitis B infection at initial screening and only six had evidence of previous infection. This rate of exposure to HBV is in keeping with the prevalence in SA (range 0 - 2.7%) and reflects the protective effect of the immunisation received as part of the EPI-SA.

There were, however, a large number of patients (77.1%) in the study with sub-optimal anti-HBs titres of <100 mIU/ml. While there is no consensus about what level of antibodies against HBV is protective in immune-compromised patients, it is accepted that a level of >10 mIU/ml after immunisation decreases the risk of a child with a normal immune response becoming a chronic carrier, despite the declining antibody level.[14-17] The immune memory capable of protecting against chronic or symptomatic hepatitis B infection persists even after antibody levels decline to <10 mIU/ml.[12] This immune memory has been shown to be protective in a large percentage of healthy children, but has not been assessed in patients on immunosuppressive therapy. The defects in immunological functioning caused by intensive chemotherapy may adversely affect the immune memory so that it may not be protective in childhood cancer patients.[18] Despite being vaccinated as part of the EPI-SA, patients have acquired HBV in the paediatric oncology unit at SBAH.[7]

Previous studies have shown that HBV immunity declines with age.[6,8,12,13] We were able to demonstrate a significant difference in levels of immunity to HBV in our patients. However, when comparing the 1 - 5-year age group with the over-12 group in this study, this difference in immunity may be overestimated when the size of the study population (N=210) is taken into account. It is not possible to comment on the decline of HBV immunity in the study patients, because their HBV serology was not repeated.

Factors that increase susceptibility to HBV infection and reactivation in paediatric oncology patients may include frequent prolonged hospital admissions, severe immune-compromised states, a need for frequent blood product administration, and destruction of mucous membranes following cytotoxic chemotherapy.[6,12] Active immunisation against HBV has been shown to be effective in patients with cancer.[11] The role of passive immunisation using a specific immune globulin containing a high titre of anti-HBs (HBIG) in combination with hepatitis B vaccine has been well described in the setting of post-exposure prophylaxis following perinatal exposure for infants born to HBsAg-positive mothers.[19] HBIG is also used for protection against severe recurrent HBV infection in liver transplant patients.[20] The combination of intravenous HBIG with HBV vaccination in children with malignant diseases has been studied in Poland[20] and India.[21] In both these studies,
combined passive-active immunisation offered better protection against nosocomial HBV infection than active immunisation alone. The cost implication of offering solely passive prophylaxis during intensive chemotherapy of patients with leukaemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma led to discontinuation of this protocol and a recommendation for simultaneous passive and active immunoprophylaxis from the start of such therapy.[20]

HIV-positive patients in this study had very low levels of immunity to HBV. We could find no published reports on immunity to HBV of HIV-infected children compared with HIV-negative children. Among unimmunised adults, patients with AIDS were reported to have significantly decreased anti-HBs titres compared with a control group of HIV-negative adults.[21] Adults with HBV/HIV co-infection have significantly higher HBV viral loads and for this reason are highly infectious, with an increased risk of transmitting HBV to close contacts and susceptible health workers.[22] This is especially relevant and dangerous in a paediatric haematology and oncology unit where patients are continuously in close contact with each other and where there have been previous reports of HBV transmission.[23] Patients with underlying HIV disease at the time of cancer diagnosis should be given combined passive-active immunisation to offer the best possible protection against HBV infection.[24]

Acute hepatitis infection caused by HBV could lead to delays in chemotherapy and for this reason worsen the patient’s cancer-related prognosis.[25] Most children infected with HBV develop chronic hepatitis and therefore have an increased risk of developing cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma.[26] The complications of chronic hepatitis could potentially have a detrimental effect on the long-term morbidity of this group of patients. Conclusion

A large group of patients attending our paediatric haematology and oncology unit did not have sufficient protective antibodies against HBV at first presentation, despite being vaccinated as part of the EPI-SA. These patients are at risk of hepatitis B infection. Active surveillance and continued screening for HBV must be done at first presentation of all patients attending a paediatric haematology and oncology unit, and regularly during treatment and follow-up. A programme to immunise all seronegative patients against HBV should be implemented, and the response to immunisation documented. The use of combined passive-active immunisation should be encouraged, especially in children with haematological malignancies and HIV-infected children. Implementation of an effective screening and vaccination programme in the haematology and oncology unit should protect all patients from contracting HBV.
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