Nipple-areolar complex: commonest site of breast leiomyoma
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Summary

Breast leiomyomas are extremely rare and commonly seen in the nipple-areolar complex due to the presence of smooth muscle. Identification of this rare benign tumour is based on its location and the presence of spindle cells on histology. We report a case of a breast leiomyoma in a female patient who had a six-year history of a unilateral breast mass. Breast ultrasound and mammography demonstrated a sub-areolar mass and an ultrasound-guided core biopsy revealed a benign spindle cell neoplasm with smooth muscle differentiation. The lesion was excised and final histology confirmed a leiomyoma with clear margins.
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Case report

A 59-year-old female with hypertension and type 2 diabetes, well-controlled on oral medications, presented to our breast clinic with a six-year history of a right breast mass. She described the mass as painless with no significant growth. There was no family history of breast cancer.

On clinical examination, a non-tender, immobile, 10 mm x 10 mm sub-areola lump was present in the right breast. No nipple discharge, skin or lymph node involvement were noted.

Mammography demonstrated a right sub-areolar mass measuring 10.8 mm x 6.4 mm, characterised as a Breast Imaging-Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS) 4 lesion (suspicious for malignancy). No suspicious axillary adenopathy was noted. The skin and nipple appeared normal (Figure 1A). Breast ultrasound reported an oval, well-defined, hypoechoic right sub-areolar nodule.

A core needle biopsy of the lesion showed a neoplasm consisting of spindle cells with smooth muscle differentiation and no mitotic activity, atypia or areas of necrosis, in keeping with a benign leiomyoma.

An excision biopsy of the mass without a margin was performed (Figure 1B). Histology showed a moderately cellular spindle cell proliferation with a fascicular growth pattern (Figure 2A). The neoplasm was unencapsulated, with a well-circumscribed border. The cells had abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm and central elongated, uniform nuclei. The spindle cells showed positive cytoplasmic staining with smooth muscle actin (SMA) (Figure 2B), which is present in myoepithelial cells, smooth muscle cells and myofibroblasts.

Figure 1: (A) Mammogram image showing hypoechoic nodule on the sub-areolar region; (B) intraoperative image showing the leiomyoma tumour in situ
In light of the histological findings, the presence of SMA may have suggested smooth muscle proliferation (i.e., leiomyoma) or a reactive myofibroblastic proliferation. The presence of h-caldesmon staining, which identifies muscle specific contractile proteins specific to smooth muscle cells, not present in myofibroblasts, confirmed a smooth muscle proliferation rather than a myofibroblastic proliferation. This, together with the histological findings, is consistent with a benign leiomyoma.

Discussion

Leiomyomas are benign mesenchymal tumours composed of smooth muscle tissue and account for the majority of mesenchymal neoplasms of the gastrointestinal tract and uterus. 1 Breast leiomyomas are extremely rare and represent less than 1% of all breast neoplasms. Only a few cases have been reported in the literature. 1-3 Most breast leiomyomas occur sub-areola in both males and females, and present as small to medium-sized painless lumps. 2, 4, 5 Breast leiomyomas have also been described on the breast skin and intra-parenchymal in the breast. 6

In addition to the history and clinical examination, diagnosis of breast leiomyomas requires radiological, histopathological and immunohistochemical studies to identify lesions as well as to guide management. 1 The patients are usually asymptomatic; however, symptoms such as pruritus, increased breast volume, pain and hardening of the nipple or nodule have been reported. 7 Our patient developed a small nodule in the sub-areolar area which remained unchanged for six years.

Mammography and ultrasound provide an important radiological assessment tool, whereas tissue biopsy analysis is required to make a definitive diagnosis. Mammography typically demonstrates homogenous dense lesions with well-defined margins without micro-calcifications. 6 Of note, in our patient the mammographic features suggested a suspicious lesion. On ultrasound, lesions appear as solid, non-cystic homogenous circumscribed nodules. 8 Magnetic resonance imaging can also be used to aid diagnosis. 9

Breast leiomyomas share similar histologic and immunophenotypic features as leiomyomas found at other sites. 10 Using immunohistochemical staining, leiomyomas are positive for desmin, SMA and h-caldesmon. 3 Although myofibroblastic proliferations often show positivity with SMA, the presence of h-caldesmon staining confirms smooth muscle origin rather than a myofibroblastic proliferation.

Management of breast leiomyomas is usually surgical and involves simple enucleation of the lump. Notwithstanding the fact that the biological behaviour of these neoplasms has not been fully elucidated, recurrence is rare. 5, 7 These rare breast lesions tend to be non-aggressive and indolent. We wish to highlight their existence in order to permit proper assessment and management when they are encountered in surgical practice.
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