Duodenojejunal intussusception due to an inflammatory fibroid polyp: a mimic of acute pancreatitis
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Summary
This report describes an extremely unusual cause of acute pancreatitis. It highlights the value of cross-sectional imaging, in the absence of alcohol and gallstone aetiology, to establish a diagnosis and direct management. Computed tomography (CT) revealed a duodenal jejunal intussusception. A benign inflammatory fibroid tumour, acting as a lead point, was surgically resected and the patient made an unremarkable recovery without further episodes of acute pancreatitis.

Case report
A 37-year-old female presented with symptoms and signs suggestive of mild acute pancreatitis, which included epigastric pain and nausea without vomiting. She had no significant medical or surgical history and denied ethanol abuse. Clinical examination revealed mild epigastric tenderness, with the rest of abdominal and systemic examination being unremarkable.

Laboratory investigations included normal liver function tests, urea, creatinine, electrolytes and full blood count, but the serum lipase level was raised at 1349 U/L. Chest and abdominal plain X-rays revealed no obvious abnormalities. Abdominal ultrasound revealed a dilated common bile duct of 10.8 mm and a main pancreatic duct of 2.3 mm in diameter, with no sonographic features of pancreatitis, no cholelithiasis and no mass lesions. Conservative therapy with intravenous fluids and analgesia did not result in any significant improvement in the patient’s symptoms, leading to further investigation with computed tomography (CT).

CT abdomen revealed duodenojejunal intussusception with the pancreas forming part of the intussusceptum into the receiving proximal jejunum (Figure 1). This resulted in angulation of the biliary and pancreatic ducts to the patient’s left following the direction of the intussusception (Figure 2). Air locules within the duodenum raised concern for pneumatosis intestinalis, later shown to be artefactual by intraoperative findings.

Preoperative endoscopy revealed a stalk originating from the second part of the duodenum, disappearing into the distal duodenum. This area could be passed with the endoscope, revealing a mobile mass in the distal duodenum/upper jejunum. Bile was noted in the duodenum but the relationship of the stalked mass to the Ampulla of Vater could not be determined endoscopically. No mucosal ischaemia was evident.

Figure 1: Cross-sectional CT scan illustrating the classic “target sign” of the duodenojejunal intussusception in the left side of the abdomen

Figure 2: Coronal CT image with mildly dilated tapering bile duct indicated by arrow A angulating to the left following the intussusceptum (small arrowheads) into the proximal jejunum
An upper midline laparotomy was followed by complete Kocherisation of the duodenum. The findings were a palpable mass in the proximal jejunum, distal to the ligament of Treitz and invagination of the second part of the duodenum into itself.

The small bowel mass was manually reduced from distal to proximal with gentle external pressure. With the large mass present in the dilated second part of the duodenum, a longitudinal duodenotomy was performed in the antimesenteric aspect, through which the mass was delivered (Figure 3). The lead point and origin of the mass was in the antimesenteric wall of the second part of the duodenum, just proximal to the level of the ampulla. The mass was situated in a submucosal position and the overlying mucosal surface appeared benign. Three small superficial ulcerations were present. The largest diameter of the mass was approximately 5 cm.

A submucosal excision of the mass was performed, preserving the muscularis propria. The duodenotomy was closed longitudinally without luminal narrowing. She was discharged home on the fifth postoperative day with no immediate postoperative complications. Histopathology reported an inflammatory fibroid polyp of the duodenum with clear resection margins.

Discussion

Intestinal intussusception is uncommon in the adult population. Most cases of intussusception present with intestinal obstruction and up to 30% of cases in adults are associated with malignant pathology. Due to the fixation of the pancreas and associated duodenal C-loop to the retroperitoneum, duodenjejunal intussusception is exceptionally rare, with less than twenty cases reported in the literature. Causes of duodenal intussusception include duodenal ulcers, duplication cysts, adenomatous and other polyps, as well as adenocarcinomas. Gastric outlet obstruction is the most common mode of presentation. In this case, the presentation was that of acute pancreatitis, which is almost unprecedented. One similar case has been reported, which describes a 13-year-old male presenting with recurrent episodes of pancreatitis, later shown to be due to a fenestrated duodenal web in the second part of the duodenum acting as the lead point for the intussusception.

Duodenal intussusception should be considered in the differential diagnosis of gastric outlet obstruction (GOO). GOO due to gastro-jejunal intussusception is more common, with a pathological lead point present in the distal stomach. Gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GISTs) have been known to account for gastro-duodenal intussusception due to increased mobility and tumour weight that result in tumour “drooping”. In our patient the duoden jejunal intussusception was most likely caused by a similar mechanism.

Inflammatory fibroid polyps (IFPs) are benign mesenchymal tumours. The cell of origin is unknown and although they share a common PDGFRA gene mutation, IFPs are clearly distinguished from GIST by their morphology, submucosal origin and clinical behaviour. IFPs accounts for 0.1–3% of all gastric polyps. Most are small lesions diagnosed incidentally, while larger polyps tend to display surface ulceration. A few cases are documented to have presented with upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage, but biliary and/or pancreatic duct (PD) dilatation has not been reported. In this case the presence of biliary and pancreatic duct dilatation raised concern for malignancy prior to surgical exploration. The report of pneumatosis intestinalis on CT scan was also worrisome, but preoperative endoscopy was of benefit in assessing the viability of the bowel as well as noting a possible lead point with a benign appearance. Preoperative biopsies would not have been helpful as the mass was mobile, difficult to visualise on endoscopy and in a submucosal location, resulting in biopsies that were unlikely to be representative of the lesion. We considered a GIST to be the most probable preoperative diagnosis.

Endoscopic treatment of duodenal mass lesions includes snare polypectomy, but was not possible in this case due to the large size of the lesion, as well as the intussusception that required surgical reduction. In children, fluoroscopic reduction of duodenal intussusception is the treatment of choice, while in adults, resection is advised due to the higher risk of malignant lead points that may require oncological resection. Reduction prior to resection should be avoided if the bowel is compromised due to the high risk of perforation.

Our surgical approach was simple and consisted of manual reduction of the intussusception, followed by antimesenteric duodenotomy and organ-sparing resection of the mass, since no malignancy or bowel ischaemia was suspected. The duodenum was re-approximated in a longitudinal fashion without causing narrowing due to the dilated condition of the duodenum.
Conclusion
Duodenal intussusception is rare in adults and may mimic acute pancreatitis. The diagnosis is easily made with CT, and upper gastrointestinal endoscopy may aid in identifying a lead point and the exclusion of ischaemia. Surgery consists of reduction of the intussusception with resection of the lead point tailored to its point of origin and the presence of malignancy.
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