Lymph node harvest in breast cancer patients with and without preoperative scintigraphy
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Background: Sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) is the standard of care for women with node negative breast cancer. Tc99 nanocolloid is a popular tracer, facilitating preoperative lymphoscintigraphy to identify sentinel nodes. This study compares the number of sentinel lymph nodes identified at the time of surgery in women who had lymphoscintigraphy with those who did not.

Method: All women with primary breast cancer undergoing a SLNB from 2003–2017 who were node negative and did not have neoadjuvant chemotherapy were retrospectively reviewed in this study.

Results: 576 women who had Tc99 preoperative injection were included in the study. Three hundred patients (Group 1) underwent lymphoscintigraphy preoperatively and 276 (Group 2) had no lymphoscintigraphy. The mean age at diagnosis for Groups 1 and 2 were 56 and 58 years, respectively. Both groups were also found to be similar in terms of histological subtype, tumour location, size, and HER2- and Estrogen receptor (ER) status. The surgery for the breast primary was similar in groups 1 and 2. While both groups had a median number of 2 nodes identified, the mean number of nodes identified for Group 2 (2.12) was 10% higher than for Group 1 (1.92) (p = 0.0026).

Conclusion: This is the largest series to show that a preoperative lymphoscintigram (scan) can safely be omitted when performing a SLNB. As newer tracers are being used (e.g. Iron Oxide), it is important to know that accuracy of SLNB is not compromised by omission of a scan.
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Methods

The historical cohort (Group 1) had a preoperative lymphoscintigram. The number of nodes identified is compared with a subsequent group (Group 2) who did not have a scan. The Tc 99 nanocolloid was injected by the same nuclear medicine team throughout the study period using a consistent technique. In total, 3 ml was injected, 1ml into each of 3 areas: periareolar, intratumourly and subcutaneously. Intraoperatively, nodes from the axilla were sequentially removed until the background count recorded was < 5% of the initial node count.

When a preoperative scan was performed, the number of nodes removed was compared with the number and location seen on the scan to ensure all identified axillary nodes were removed. Identified intramammary nodes were not removed.

All breast cancer patients had their details captured electronically. The patient information was gathered from the histology notes held by the principle investigator. The number of nodes identified at the time of surgery was taken as the recorded number.

All women who had a SLNB performed at Christiaan Barnard Memorial Hospital between 2003 and 2016 were included in the study. After 2012, a routine preoperative scan was no longer requested. Patients treated before this time (Group 1) were compared to those who had their operation after 2012 (Group 2). Between 2003 and 2016, the indications for SLNB and the use of intraoperative assessment changed. Patients with positive nodes, those who had neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT), a second breast primary and more than one primary in the breast were excluded. Before 2011 (Group 1), every patient had intraoperative assessment of the SLN. When a positive node was identified, no further nodes were removed. As no further nodes were removed after identification of a positive node, there may have been fewer nodes removed in patients operated on before 2011. To remove the bias, all patients with positive nodes were excluded from the study. To further minimise bias, patients who received NACT were excluded from the study as the technique for performing a SLNB following NACT is different.

The following data were collected: age, histological subtype of breast cancer, primary breast procedure, side, tumour size, receptors status and number of nodes identified. The location of the tumour was not recorded as it does not affect drainage to the axilla.

All analyses were performed on GraphPad Prism software v6.05 (GraphPad Software, Inc. San Diego, California). Patient demographics and clinical characteristics were analysed using descriptive statistics. Chi-square analysis and Mann Whitney U test were used, where applicable, for comparison of groups. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

No financial assistance was received. Ethics committee approval was from University Cape Town: HREC 424/2107.

Results

A total of 875 women had a SLNB in the study period. A preoperative scan was routinely performed before 2012 for 459 women. Of those, 159 (34.6%) had a positive node so were excluded from the study. 416 women had a scan after 2012. Of those 136 (32.4%) had a positive node and 4 had a scan as they had NACT or a second primary (Figure 1). A group of 576 women were therefore included in the study. Three hundred patients (Group 1) underwent lymphoscintigraphy preoperatively and 276 (Group 2) had no lymphoscintigraphy. The patient and tumour characteristics were comparable (Table 1). The mean age at diagnosis for Groups 1 and 2 were 56 and 58 years, respectively. Groups were also found to be similar in terms of histological subtype, size and HER2- and Estrogen receptor (ER) status. There was a significant difference in progesterone receptor (PR) status (p < 0.001).

The surgery for the breast primary was similar in Groups 1 and 2. A wide local excision was performed 61% and 57.7% respectively. However, with increasing use of primary

---

**Figure 1: Number of patients undergoing SLNB in study period**

*patients receiving primary chemotherapy before SLNB

*Other excluded patients in node positive group
systemic therapy there were more sentinel node biopsies performed as a sole procedure in the second group (0% vs 7%) (Table 1).

While both groups had a median number of 2 nodes identified, the mean number of nodes identified for Group 2 (2.12) was 10% higher than for Group 1 (1.92) (p = 0.0026). Table 2 shows that the majority of patients (≥70%) in either group had 1 or 2 nodes identified. However, fewer patients in Group 2 (27%) had a single node identified than in Group 1 (44%) (p < 0.001) (Table 2).

Discussion
In 1977, Cabanas first described the technique of lymph node mapping for the management of penile cancer. He determined the SLN using anatomical landmarks. However, the technique was not reproducible so was not widely used. In 1992, Morton used blue dye to trace the sentinel node for melanoma. The following year, use of a gamma probe was added to the procedure and the first study was published using the technique to identify the SLN in women with breast cancer.

The accuracy of a SLNB in the management of women with breast cancer is dependent on many factors. One is the number of nodes identified at the time of surgery. For the patients in Group 1, the preoperative scan was checked preoperatively and then again intraoperatively after nodes had been removed to ensure all identified on the scan had been removed. Intramammary nodes were not routinely removed.

After a thorough literature review and a period of not routinely seeing the preoperative scan before the procedure, a single breast institution stopped requesting a routine preoperative scan during 2012. The number of nodes removed after the change in practice was recorded and compared to the historical cohort.

Over the years, a number of changes in SLNB protocol were made. Initially, every patient had intraoperative assessment of the SLN. When a positive node was identified, no further nodes were removed. This practice was changed after the publication of The American College of Surgeons Oncology Group ZOO11 study. Subsequently, only patients who had a mastectomy had intraoperative assessment. As the surgeon did not remove any further nodes after identification of a positive node, there may have been fewer nodes removed in patients.
operated on before 2011. To remove the bias, all patients with positive nodes were excluded from the study.

Node positive patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy and became node negative were traditionally treated with an axillary clearance. With increasing evidence about the safety of performing a SLNB, this practice was gradually changed. However, the technique used for these patients is different: a preoperative scan is performed, a dual tracer used and the procedure is converted to an axillary clearance if the nodes are not clearly found. To minimise bias, patients who had a SLNB after primary chemotherapy were excluded.

On average, more nodes were identified in Group 2, where the preoperative scan was omitted. However, the small (10%) difference, may not be considered clinically relevant and we therefore maintain that omission of lymphoscintigraphy does not practically influence intraoperative node assessment. That the number of nodes removed without the use of lymphoscintigraphy was slightly higher suggests that this approach is not inferior.

It is possible that, the slight difference between groups could be explained by a shorter time between injection of the nanocolloid and the operative procedure in Group 2. In Group 1, the lymphoscintigrams had to be done at a neighbouring hospital so, although all the patients had surgery within 24 hours after injection, many patients had their nanocolloid the afternoon before surgery. In the second group, all patients had their surgery within 8 hours of being injected. Although the technique used remained the same throughout the study period, the probe used to identify the nodes was changed in 2008. Therefore, the counts recorded intraoperatively cannot be accurately compared.

Alternatives to Tc nanocolloid are being used more frequently. The majority of these techniques do not allow any preoperative scanning. It is important to ascertain that the accuracy of the procedure is not affected.

The limitation of the study is that it does not give any indication of longterm outcome of patients not managed with a preoperative scan.

**Conclusion**

Our study is the largest to date to show that a preoperative scan in an uncomplicated patient with breast cancer makes little difference to the number of lymph nodes identified at the time of surgery. This is consistent with other audits that have shown that lymphoscintigraphy adds little value to intraoperative node identification.
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Most of the patients have gunshot wounds

“Most of the patients we see have gunshot wounds in the legs. I soon realized that I was not skilled in treating the patients with ‘ex-fix’ (external fixators) in their legs. I humbly asked the team to teach me how to do this specific wound care procedure. I became the student and my colleagues Subbah and Asad became my teachers.

The faces of the regular patients are becoming familiar to me. Most days I am the only international team member around and they have started to ask me questions. The patients want to know where I am from and why I came to Gaza. It is very nice to be able to introduce myself in Arabic and explain that I am a nurse from South Africa.

Patients like Fadi, who suffered tibia fractures when a bullet passed through both his legs and now has external fixators to keep the bone fragments in place to heal properly. Fadi is married with one son. ‘The care is excellent and MSF is just fantastic,’ he tells me.”

Since 2018, 303 reconstructive surgeries have been completed, with MSF taking care of more than 900 people in Gaza with gunshot wounds.