Intraoperative examination of sentinel lymph nodes using scrape cytology
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Background. In breast cancer, sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) is widely used to assess the axilla when the nodes appear normal on palpation and ultrasonography. When the sentinel lymph nodes (SLNs) are negative, no further dissection is required. Surgical dissection or radiotherapy of the axilla is indicated for macrometastases, as well as adjuvant therapy for macrometastases and micrometastatic spread.

Aim. To determine the accuracy of scrape cytology (SC) for intraoperative examination of the SLNs.

Methods. SLNB was performed in 100 patients with early breast cancer in whom the axillary nodes appeared normal on clinical examination and ultrasonography. None of the patients with negative SLNs or SLNs with micrometastases only (N\textsuperscript{1mic}, 0.2 - 2 mm) had further axillary dissection. Patients with SLNs containing macrometastases (N\textsuperscript{1}, >2 mm) underwent axillary lymph node dissection. The results of intraoperative cytology were compared with the histopathological findings on examination of serial paraffin-embedded sections of the SLNs.

Results. Intraoperative SC identified SLN metastases in 20 patients: 17 had macrometastases and 3 micrometastases. Histopathological examination of the SLNs found macrometastases in 18 patients and micrometastases in 6. SC had a sensitivity of 94% and specificity of 100% for the detection of macrometastases. For micrometastatic spread, the sensitivity and specificity were 50% and 100%, respectively.

Conclusion. SC is a rapid, sensitive technique for detection of macrometastases in the SLNs of women with breast cancer. The overall accuracy in identification of any metastatic spread (N\textsuperscript{1mac}, N\textsuperscript{1}) to the SLNs was 85%, rising to 94% in SLNs with macrometastases.

In patients with breast cancer, the presence of lymphatic metastases is one of the most significant factors affecting prognosis and indicates the need for adjuvant therapy to reduce risk of locoregional recurrence and distant disease.\(^\text{[1]}\) Sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) is a widely used technique for the intraoperative examination of axillary lymph nodes when these appear normal on clinical examination and on ultrasonography but may still contain metastases.\(^\text{[2,3]}\) The finding of lymph node metastases can be followed by axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) for local control at the same time as definitive breast surgery.\(^\text{[3-5]}\) Conversely, when the sentinel lymph nodes (SLNs) are free of cancer, ALND is not indicated and the risk of complications such as seroma, infection, pain and lymphedema is significantly reduced.

Various methods have been used for intraoperative analysis of SLNs, including frozen section (FS), touch imprint cytology (TIC), scrape/scrimp cytology (SC) and immunohistochemistry (IHC). FS is a well-known method but requires an expensive fixed cryostat, a microtome and cylinders of carbon dioxide for freezing. This equipment is not easily portable and may not be available in small centres. Both TIC and SC need only glass slides, bottles of haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stains, alcohol fixative and a microscope. Where FS equipment is available in the operating theatre complex, FS analysis can be performed in 15 minutes. TIC and SC are even more rapid methods, completed in 5 - 6 minutes, and since the cellular yield by scraping is higher than that with touch imprints, SC\(^\text{6-8}\) has been the preferred method for intraoperative examination of the SLNs. IHC has the major disadvantage of requiring at least an hour for incubation of tissue and the antibody complex.

**Aim**

To assess the sensitivity, specificity\(^\text{[9]}\) and accuracy of SC in intraoperative examination of the SLNs. The intraoperative results were compared with the definitive histopathological evaluation of paraffin-embedded serial sections of the SLNs.

**Methods**

This prospective study comprised 101 breast cancer patients with clinically normal and ultrasonographically negative axillae treated from 2001 to 2006. All had early breast cancer (stages 0, I or II) of ductal origin (Table 1). No patient had received neoadjuvant hormonal treatment or chemotherapy. The SLNs were identified using radioscintigraphy and Patent Blue V dye. On the morning of the operation, one millicurie of \(^{99}\)Tc-labelled nanocolloid was routinely given as an areolar intradermal and peritumoural injection in the nuclear medicine facilities at Linksfield Park or Rosebank private clinics in Johannesburg, where the operative procedures were also performed. At operation 2 ml of reconstituted Patent Blue V dye was injected into the areolar dermis after induction of anaesthesia. The results of the radioscintigraphic study were scrutinised and the ipsilateral axilla then explored through an appropriately placed small incision before the definitive breast surgery. A lymph node was recognised as an SLN when it was stained blue, had a blue afferent lymphatic and/or had a radioactive count measured by the gamma probe to be ≥10% of the highest count at the radiocolloid injection site. The SLNs were excised and bivalved along their long axes. The cut surfaces were gently scraped with a scalpel blade onto glass slides which were fixed with 95% alcohol and stained with H&E. Smear preparations were made, which were then fixed in 95% alcohol and stained with H&E.

None of the patients with negative SLNs or SLNs with micrometastases only (N\(_1\)mic, 0.2 - 2 mm diameter)\(^\text{[10]}\) underwent a completion ALND. Patients with SLNs containing macrometastases (N\(_1\), >2 mm diameter)\(^\text{[10]}\) underwent ALND. The one patient with macrometastases in the SLN and in whom SC was falsely negative underwent delayed ALND.

**Results**

SLNs were located in 100 of the 101 patients (identification rate 99.0%). The single patient in whom both radioscintigraphy and blue dye failed to identify the SLN underwent ALND. The mean age of the study group was 53 years (Table 1). Of the patients, 92 had invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast and 8 an area of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS).

Intraoperative SC identified SLN metastases in 20 patients, 17 of whom had macrometastases and 3 micrometastases. Histopathological examination of the SLN paraffin-embedded sections revealed that 18 patients had macrometastatic spread to the SLNs and 6 had micrometastases in the SLNs (Table 2). The intraoperative diagnoses of lymph node metastases were correct in 96 patients, giving SC a sensitivity and specificity of 83% and 100%, respectively. The positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were 100% and 95%, respectively. SC for macrometastatic spread had a sensitivity of 94% and a specificity of 100% (PPV 100%, NPV 99%). For micrometastases, sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were 50%, 100%, 100% and 96%, respectively (Table 3).

**Table 1. Characteristics of the study group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SLNs located, N</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (range)</td>
<td>53 (28 - 87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(_1) N(_0)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(_1) N(_1)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(_2) N(_0)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLN = sentinel lymph node.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. SC v. HP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients, n</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SLNs</strong></td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SC = scrape cytology; HP = histopathology; SLN = sentinel lymph node.
varies considerably, ranging from 47% to 75%. [11] A recent series the ease and rapidity of TIC with an improved cellular yield. [6] This results in radiation to axillary levels I and part of II. [3] It is likely that radiation to the axilla contributed to the results of the second operation. [3,15] Breast surgery spares the patient the expense and anxiety of a shoulder stiffness, are minimised. However, when macro metastases are found in the SLNs, additional treatment for the axilla must be considered. The standard method of management of the positive axilla is ALND to remove all macroscopic disease. [3] This helps to achieve local control and when done at the same time as definitive breast surgery spares the patient the expense and anxiety of a second operation. [3,14] To avoid the complications of ALND, other methods of management of the positive axilla have evolved. These include radiation to the axilla as part of breast-conserving surgery (BCS) or following mastectomy. BCS entails wide local excision of the cancer followed by whole-breast irradiation, for which tangential fields are commonly used. This results in radiation to axillary levels I and part of II. [23] It is likely that radiation to the axilla contributed to the results of the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z0011 randomised controlled trial. [17] In this study women with small breast cancers and clinically negative axillae underwent BCS. When only one or two SLNs were found to be positive, the patients were then randomised to receive ALND or no further surgery to the axilla. Almost all women in both groups received adjuvant chemotherapy and/or hormonal manipulation. Not surprisingly, there was no significant difference in locoregional recurrence rates or overall survival between the groups after a 5-year follow-up. [17,18] The results of long-term follow-up of these patients are awaited, as are the results of similar studies which include patients with a low volume of axillary disease undergoing mastectomy without radiation. The After the Mapping of the Axilla: Radiotherapy or Surgery (AMAROS) trial [19] has compared ALND and axillary radiation (AR) when the SLNs were positive. The most recent analysis of the AMAROS trial has shown that both modalities were effective for local control of the axilla with a small volume of metastatic disease. [19] AR resulted in fewer cases of lymphoedema than in patients undergoing ALND, although patients in the ALND group suffered less restriction of shoulder movement. There is little debate over whether women with clinically suspect or matted axillary nodes and those with macrometastases present in three or more SLNs require definitive treatment of the axilla. This necessitates ALND and/or complete AR to achieve local control, as well as adjuvant systemic therapy to reduce the risk of distant relapse. However, management of the axilla when only micrometastases are identified in the SLNs remains controversial. Several studies have evaluated the outcome in patients who did not undergo completion ALND for micrometastatic spread, and found that none of them suffered an axillary recurrence. [20,21] SC in the current per-patient analysis of SLN was found to have a reduced sensitivity for micrometastases (N1mic) (Table 3) of 50%, in keeping with that of other methods of intraoperative SLN examination. In this series, ALND was not performed either at the initial operation or as a delayed procedure when SLNs were found to be N1mic only. Although it is unlikely that the axilla requires local treatment for N1mic several series [21,22] have reported worse overall survival in patients with micrometastatic disease [21] if no adjuvant systemic treatment is given. In a population of over 10 000 breast cancer patients with long-term follow-up, Kuijt et al. [23] identified 4.1% of cases with micrometastases. The 10-year survival of this group was 56.1% compared with 69.7% in patients with node-negative breast cancer. These findings support those of Tan et al., [24] who demonstrated that micrometastases to the axillary nodes had a significant effect on 15-year disease-free survival. In the current study there were 20 patients with SLN micrometastases, all of whom received adjuvant systemic therapy. Follow-up data are incomplete, but longer-term studies [21,22] suggest that, irrespective of the size of the lymph node metastasis, [22,24] when lymphatic spread has occurred the patient is at increased risk of distant disease and systemic adjuvant therapy is mandatory.

Conclusion

SC is a rapid, simple and sensitive technique for intraoperative examination of the SLNs. Requiring no expensive fixed
Promt referral[25,26] of patients for adjuvant systemic therapy is the method selected to manage the positive axilla. In addition, the in SLNs with macrometastases. This allows the surgeon to proceed facilitated.
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