The medial tibial stress syndrome score: item generation for a new patient reported outcome measure
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Background: There is no valid and reliable instrument that evaluates injury severity and treatment effects for medial tibial stress syndrome (MTSS) patients.

Objective: The aim was to generate items for the MTSS score, a new patient-reported outcome measure for patients with MTSS.

Methods: The authors consulted experts in the field of MTSS to generate items that measure the severity of MTSS and to reach consensus on the relevance of items for the MTSS score. This research consisted of a pilot study and two Delphi rounds. The Delphi approach entails the consultation of experts about a topic for which no evidence is available during which consensus is sought on this topic. Additionally, 20 MTSS patients appraised the MTSS score on readability and comprehension.

Results: Nineteen experts consented to participate, 13 of whom reached consensus. Generated items address the following domains: ‘limitation in sporting activities’, ‘pain while performing sporting activities’, ‘pain while performing activities of daily living’ and ‘pain at rest’. Patients with MTSS confirmed the good readability and comprehension of the items.

Conclusion: This study supports the importance of items in the aforementioned domains while evaluating treatment effects in patients with MTSS.
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Medial tibial stress syndrome (MTSS) is one of the most common lower leg injuries in athletes and military personnel.[1,2] It is an overuse injury with pain along the distal medial border of the tibia that is thought to be due to overloading of the bone.[3] A recent systematic review highlighted a lack of good studies on the treatment of MTSS.[4] One commonly used definition for MTSS is provided by Yates and White:[5] ‘the presence of exercise-induced pain along the posteromedial border of the tibia over five or more consecutive centimetres that is elicited by palpation.’[6] In previous research, numerous outcome variables have been used to assess treatment effects on MTSS patients; e.g. visual analogue scales, global perceived effect scales, and time to recovery.[7] Over the past two decades, the opinion of the patient has received increasing attention when determining treatment effects in clinical trials and practice. Hence, the use of Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs) has been recommended to quantify the effect of interventions in randomised controlled trials and clinical settings.[8] A recent systematic review on MTSS showed there is a need for a standardised outcome measure as no validated outcome measures have yet been developed.[9] Item generation is the first step in creating a new PROM. Therefore the aim of this study was to generate items for a new PROM for MTSS patients and have these items’ relevance and comprehension subsequently appraised by patients with MTSS. This PROM should evaluate severity and treatment effects, and also incorporate the perception of the patient.

Methods and materials

The authors used a Delphi consensus study to combine expert opinions and reach consensus. A Delphi approach entails the consultation of experts about a topic for which no evidence is available. These experts are blind to the other experts involved in the study; thus their opinion are not influenced by other expert opinions. In a Delphi study a consensus of opinion is sought from those regarded as experts in their fields. These expert opinions are solicited “blind”.[10] For this study the authors received permission from the local medical ethics committees of the provinces of Utrecht (12-542/C) and Zuid-Holland (12-092).

Identifying and inviting MTSS experts

The authors aimed to include experts in the field of MTSS who were currently actively involved in MTSS research and who also had clinical experience with MTSS patients. Therefore they firstly identified experts in the field of MTSS by contacting national sports medicine associations, (the American College of Sports Medicine, the American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine, the Australian College of Sports Physicians, the British Association of Sport and Exercise Medicine, the Canadian Academy of Sport and Exercise Medicine, the Danish Association of Sports Medicine, German Federation for Sports Medicine and Prevention, and the Swedish Society of Exercise and Sports Medicine) and requested they provide the contact information of their key experts in the field of MTSS. In addition, those who had published studies in the field of MTSS were contacted. Based on their network of clinical experts, the authors also approached a number of people in the Netherlands. All experts were invited to participate by email.

Delphi study

A pilot study among the experts in the authors’ own network (N=9) was conducted prior to starting the study in order to generate preliminary items. This network consisted of sports physicians and sports physiotherapists in the field of MTSS with whom collaboration had taken place in previous research projects in The Netherlands. In the first round of the Delphi study, all experts were requested to comment on the preliminary items and asked to suggest new items.
In consecutive rounds, these new items were included. These experts were asked to indicate their level of agreement with regard to the inclusion of the preliminary items in the MTSS score on a five-point scale: strongly disagree, disagree, no opinion, agree, strongly agree. They were also requested to suggest additional items. Consensus was reached upon an item when 67% of the experts voted for its inclusion or exclusion.[6] The Delphi study was completed when consensus was reached upon all items and no further items were proposed. No maximum number of rounds was set. After consensus was reached, all items were translated into Dutch by a native Dutch speaker with a medical background who was also proficient in English.

Appraisal by patients
A sports medicine physician diagnosed MTSS if exercise-induced pain along the posteromedial border of the tibia was elicited by palpation on the posteromedial border of the tibia over a length of five or more consecutive centimeters.[4] Patients were eligible for participation when they were ≥ 16 years of age and had had symptoms for ≥ 3 weeks. When focal tibial pain, indicative of a stress fracture, or a medical history with a cruris fracture was present, subjects were excluded.[10]

After item generation, the patients appraised the items in two rounds. In the first round, the authors asked 15 MTSS patients to provide feedback on readability and comprehension using a semi-structured interview. They subsequently modified the items according to their feedback. In the second round, an additional five patients with MTSS were requested to appraise the items.

Cross-cultural translation
All the generated items for the MTSS score were translated from Dutch to English. Steps One to Four from the cross-cultural validation process, as described by Sousa and Rojanasrirat[11] and Beaton et al.[12] were performed. The translation contained forward and back-translations. A steering committee, in which the translators and all authors (except MF), were represented, reviewed both the forward and back-translations and decided on the final English version. The decision making process was based on consensus, which was reached when 67% of the committee members present agreed. In case consensus could not be reached for all items, the authors planned to have them translated again using different translators.[11,12]

Results
Twenty-one international and eleven Dutch experts were invited to participate, 19 of whom consented to participate: four Americans, four Australians, one Canadian, nine Dutch and one from England. There were eight sports physiotherapists, six sports physicians, one podiatrist, one surgeon in sports medicine, one podiatric surgeon, one exercise and sports specialist and one biomedical engineer. Figure 1 is the study’s flow diagram. One expert withdrew his participation during the pilot study and five were lost to follow-up during the second round of the Delphi study. Those experts (N=13: 8 Dutch, 3 Australian, 2 American) with whom consensus was reached are named in the Acknowledgements section, except for one expert (MF) who co-wrote this manuscript. The supplementary online material presents all the items generated.

Pilot study
The pilot study included 16 items on the limitations of activities in daily life (ADL) (N=10) and sporting activities (N=6). These items were scored from 0 to 4, with 0 indicating ‘no problem’ to 4 indicating an ‘extreme problem’. The remaining items: 3, 5, 9, 10, 11, 13 and 15 were developed during the pilot study.

Round 1
In Round 1, the main feedback provided was that there were too many items related specifically to running and sporting activities. Furthermore, participants proposed that each outcome should have a descriptor, which was accordingly included for all items. Items on sprinting, uphill running, and sudden accelerations and decelerations when running were removed as suggested by the majority of the experts, as these items were irrelevant to MTSS patients that do not usually run. Items 1, 2, 7, 8, 12 and 14 were produced in Round 1 (see Appendices 1 and 2 for items in Dutch and English).
Round 2

In this round, consensus was reached on all but two items. One item was proposed in the second round but did not reach the pre-validation stage. This item looked at provoking pain during hopping. This item was considered irrelevant for the study's objective as most patients do not usually hop. Two items (4 and 6) were suggested in Round 2; however, these items were not included in the additional round of the Delphi study. Items 4 and 6 both cover pain during sporting activities. Table 1 provides an overview of the final level of consensus reached for each item. Consensus was reached on all items formulated in English.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>% of experts that agree with inclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Current sporting activities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Current amount of sporting activities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Current content of sporting activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Pain while performing sporting activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not assessed in Delphi study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Time to onset of pain during sporting activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Pain throughout sporting activities 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not assessed in Delphi study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Pain throughout sporting activities 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Pain after sporting activities</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Pain while standing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Pain while walking</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Pain while walking up or down stairs</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Pain while performing common daily activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Pain at rest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Pain at night</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Pain to touch</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contribution of experts who stopped participating

During the study, five of the 19 experts did not respond to the authors' attempts to seek contact and contributions from the project. The expert who stopped participating during the pilot study suggested, together with other experts, to use an item on the current content of sporting activity (Item 3). In the second round of the Delphi study, five experts discontinued responding to the authors' emails. The first of these experts stated that the questionnaire was complete in the first round and therefore did not respond to the authors' further emails. The second expert suggested including items on the current content of sporting activities (Item 3) and current sporting activity (Item 1). One expert suggested including an item on night pain (Item 14), and on pain experienced after sporting activities (Item 8). The importance of the latter was supported by one of the other experts who also withdrew their participation. The fifth expert suggested including an item that differentiated between the various types of pathophysiology (e.g. stress fracture, compartment syndrome, MTSS) of shin pain. However, it was decided not to include this item in the Delphi study as it discriminates between types of lower leg pain instead of the severity of perceived complaints.

Appraisal by patients (Figure 1)

Fourteen patients (seven women and seven men) commented on the newly developed MTSS score. They completed the questionnaire and provided feedback on the questionnaire's readability, comprehension and ease of use. The first concept of the questionnaire was shaped according to the example of the VISA-A questionnaire with a guide to continue or skip to the next item. To continue or to skip an item depended on whether the patient was still involved in their usual sporting activity, was involved in alternative sporting activities only, or was not involved in any sporting activity at all.[13] However, some of the patients did not understand this structure. Therefore the preliminary MTSS score was modified so that every patient had to complete all the items. Item 15 was not well understood. This item was aimed at the measurement of pain on touch. It started with descriptors for three different degrees of touch followed by statements of when pain was induced at touch. This was changed by using the various degrees of touch (e.g. bumping, pressing, rubbing) in the response options. Other patients' suggestions concerned alternative words for pain. Changes were made based on the feedback provided. In addition, six patients (three women and three men) provided comments on the updated MTSS score. No further comments were made and the MTSS score was considered ready for validation.

The MTSS score

The MTSS score consists of 15 items: current sporting activities, current amount of sporting activities, current content of sporting activities, pain while performing sporting activities, time to onset of pain during sporting activities, pain throughout sporting activities (Item 6 of the total set, see Appendices 1 and 2), pain throughout sporting activities (Item 7 of the total set, see Appendices 1 and 2), pain after sporting activities, pain while standing, pain while walking, pain while walking up or down stairs, pain while performing common daily activities, pain at rest, pain at night and pain to touch (Table 1).
Cross-cultural translation

The MTSS score was translated from Dutch to English according to the appropriate guidelines. All minor discrepancies between translators of the forward and back-translations were resolved at consensus meetings. The forward and back-translations of the MTSS score were critically reviewed by a steering committee comprising of all authors and translators. The back-translation highlighted a few minor discrepancies between the forward translation and the original version: “I feel...” instead of “I have...” (Items 9, 10 and 11). Other discrepancies were seen in Item 5 where ‘sporting activities’ was included in the response options. All discrepancies were resolved so that the English version was a correct cross-cultural translation of the original Dutch version.

Discussion

This study provides expert-generated and patient-appraised items for a new patient reported outcome measure for MTSS. Consensus was reached on all generated items that were included during the Delphi study. Items generated relate to limitation in sporting activities, pain while performing sporting activities, pain while performing activities of daily living and pain at rest. Patients appraised the generated items as to their ease of understanding and relevance to the injury. In previous research, a great variety of pain scales were used and definitions of when patients have recovered differ greatly between studies. This hampers comparison of results across studies. This present study aimed to generate items for a new standardised instrument to evaluate treatment effects in MTSS patients. Furthermore, the MTSS score meets the need for an instrument that evaluates effects and incorporates the perceptions of the patient.

The MTSS score was developed using the Delphi technique, a widely used method to reach consensus among experts in fields for which no evidence is available. One of the most important advantages is that experts are unaware as to who their co-participants are. Therefore the experts opinions are free from the influence of other panel members. The strong aspects in this Delphi study include the size of the expert panel and the wide variety of experts with different backgrounds. In addition, the items have been appraised by a total of 20 patients with MTSS, in two rounds. Although five experts stopped participating during course of the Delphi study, all experts contributed to the development of the MTSS score’s items. Furthermore, the quality of the contributions were considered as more important than the quantity of the contributions. There were also some limitations in the current study. Consensus was not sought on two items (Items 4 and 6). These items were proposed in Round 2. As five experts did not respond to the authors’ emails after Round 1, there was concern that more experts may drop out in additional rounds, thus leaving little or no consensus on the items. These two items were appraised by the authors’ group and were found to be useful. The content validity for Items 4 and 6 is acknowledged and therefore less supported by expert consultation. The authors are confident that their decision to not seek consensus on these two items enabled a broad consensus on all other items. In the Delphi method, there is no widely accepted threshold for when consensus among experts is met. Previous reports suggested using thresholds between 50% and 70%. In this research project, it was decided to set the threshold at 67%; however, there was >75% agreement for all but one item. A report on the validation study, in which items for the MTSS score were selected and its reliability, validity and responsiveness is assessed elsewhere.

Conclusion

This study reports on the item generation process for the MTSS score, a new patient-reported outcome measure for patients with MTSS. The results support the importance of items in the domains of pain, limitations in activities of daily living and sporting activities while measuring the severity of MTSS from the patient’s perspective. The items generated in this study cover all these domains.
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APPENDIX 1: Item set in Dutch as generated by the Delphi Study

Sportactiviteiten:
Voor militairen: Marsen en marcheren zijn sportactiviteiten.

1) Momenteel:
Beoeven ik al mijn gebruikelijke sportactiviteiten ☐
Kan ik, door mijn scheenbeenklachten, _minder_ dan mijn gebruikelijke sportactiviteiten doen ☐
Kan ik, door mijn scheenbeenklachten, _alleen alternatieve_ sportactiviteiten doen ☐
Kan ik, door mijn scheenbeenklachten, _geen enkele_ sportactiviteit doen ☐

2) Deze vraag gaat over de _hoeveelheid_ van uw sportactiviteiten:
Ik heb het aantal keer dat ik sport per week _niet_ aangepast ☐
Ik heb het aantal keer dat ik sport per week teruggebracht met 1-25% ☐
Ik heb het aantal keer dat ik sport per week teruggebracht met 26-50% ☐
Ik heb het aantal keer dat ik sport per week teruggebracht met 51-75% ☐
Ik heb het aantal keer dat ik sport per week teruggebracht met 76-100% ☐

3) Deze vraag gaat over de _inhoud_ van uw sportactiviteiten:
Ik heb mijn sportactiviteiten _niet_ aangepast ☐
Ik heb mijn sportactiviteiten _een beetje_ aangepast (±25%), bijvoorbeeld een beetje _minder_ sprintwerk/sprongwerk, een beetje _minder_ lang _sporten_ ☐
Ik heb mijn sportactiviteiten _behoorlijk_ (±50%) aangepast, ik sport _minder intensief_; bijvoorbeeld _veel minder_ sprintwerk/sprongwerk, _minder lang__ achter elkaar hardlopen ☐
Ik heb het _merendeel_ (±75%) van mijn training aangepast, ik sport _veel minder_ intensief; bijvoorbeeld _geen_ sprintwerk/sprongwerk, _niet lang__ achter elkaar hardlopen, _alleen_ kort durende lichte belasting ☐
Ik kan _geen enkele_ sportactiviteit doen vanwege mijn scheenbeenklachten ☐

4) Tijdens het sporten:
Hep ik _geen pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Hep ik _enige pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Hep ik _veel pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Ik _kun niet_ sporten vanwege de pijn in mijn scheenbeen ☐

5) Hoe lang, nadat u gestart bent met sporten, voelt u pijn aan het scheenbeen?
Ik heb _geen pijn_ tijdens het sporten ☐
Langer dan 15 minuten nadat ik gestart ben ☐
Binnen 15 minuten nadat ik gestart ben ☐
Direct nadat ik gestart ben ☐
Ik kan _niet_ sporten vanwege de pijn aan mijn scheenbeen ☐

6) Als u pijn _heeft_ tijdens het sporten, en u gaat door met sporten, _wat gebeurt er dan met de pijn_?
Ik heb _geen pijn_ tijdens het sporten ☐
De pijn neemt af ☐
De pijn blijft _hetzelfde_ ☐
De pijn neemt toe ☐
Ik kan _niet_ sporten vanwege de pijn aan mijn scheenbeen ☐

7) Als de pijn _aanwezig is_ wanneer u _begint_ met sporten, en u gaat door met sporten, _wat gebeurt er dan met de pijn_?
Ik heb _geen pijn_ tijdens het sporten ☐
De pijn verdwijnt binnen 10 minuten ☐
De pijn _verdwijnt na 10 minuten_ ☐
De pijn _verdwijnt niet_ ☐
Ik _kan niet sporten_ vanwege de pijn aan mijn scheenbeen ☐

8) Na het sporten:
Hep ik _geen pijn_ ☐
Verdwijnt de pijn binnen 12 uur ☐
Verdwijnt de pijn tussen de 12 uur en 2 dagen ☐
Blijft de pijn langer dan 2 dagen aanwezig ☐
Ik _kan niet sporten_ vanwege de pijn aan mijn scheenbeen ☐

9) Tijden staan:
Hep ik _geen pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Hep ik _enige pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Hep ik _veel pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Ik _kun niet_ staan vanwege de pijn in mijn scheenbeen ☐

10) Tijdens lopen:
Hep ik _geen pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Hep ik _enige pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Hep ik _veel pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Ik _kun niet_ lopen vanwege de pijn in mijn scheenbeen ☐

11) Tijdens trap op- of aflopen:
Hep ik _geen pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Hep ik _enige pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Hep ik _veel pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Ik _kun niet_ traplopen vanwege de pijn in mijn scheenbeen ☐

_Gewone dagelijkse activiteiten:_ bijvoorbeeld staan, wandelen, lopen, traplopen of fietsen.

12) Tijdens gewone dagelijkse activiteiten:
Hep ik _geen pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Hep ik _enige pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Hep ik _veel pijn_ in mijn scheenbeen ☐
Ik _kun geen enkele_ dagelijkse activiteit doen vanwege de pijn in mijn scheenbeen ☐

_Pijn in rust:_ bijvoorbeeld zitten of liggen.

13) In rust is mijn scheenbeen:
_Niet pijnlijk_ ☐
_Gevolgd_ ☐
_Pijnlijk_ ☐
_Heel pijnlijk_ ☐

14) ’s Nachts:
Hep ik _geen pijn_ ☐
_Is mijn scheenbeen soms gevoelig_ ☐
Word ik wakker van de pijn in mijn scheenbeen maar ik val _niet_ weer in slaap ☐
Ik kan niet door de pijn in mijn scheenbeen _delen_ van de nacht _niet_ slapen ☐

15) Pijn bij aanraking:
Hep ik _geen pijn_ bij aanraking van mijn scheenbeen ☐
Hep ik _enige pijn_ wanneer ik de scheen _toest_ ☐
Hep ik _veel pijn_ wanneer ik de scheen _toest_ ☐
Ik _kun niet_ zien dat ik de scheen _toest_ ☐
Ik heb _veel pijn_ wanneer ik over de scheen _stoort_ ☐
Ik _kun niet_ zien dat ik de scheen _stoort_ ☐
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If you feel pain in your shin when starting your sporting activity, and you continue the activity, what happens to your pain?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have <strong>no pain</strong> during sporting activities</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The pain disappears within 10 minutes</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The pain disappears after 10 minutes</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The pain does not disappear</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I <strong>cannot</strong> do any sporting activity due to my shinbone pain</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After sporting activities:</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have <strong>no pain</strong></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The pain disappears within 12 hours</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The pain disappears between 12 hours to 2 days</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The pain remains present for longer than 2 days</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I <strong>cannot</strong> do any sporting activity due to my shinbone pain</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>While standing:</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have <strong>no pain</strong> while standing</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have <strong>some pain</strong> while standing</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have a <strong>lot of pain</strong> while standing</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I <strong>cannot</strong> stand due to the pain</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>While walking:</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have <strong>no pain</strong> in my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have <strong>some pain</strong> in my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have a <strong>lot of pain</strong> in my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I <strong>cannot</strong> walk due to pain in my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>While going up or down stairs:</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have <strong>no pain</strong> in my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have <strong>some pain</strong> in my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have a <strong>lot of pain</strong> in my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I <strong>cannot</strong> do any common daily activity due to pain in my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usual daily activities: e.g. standing, walking (up or down stairs) or cycling.</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain at rest:</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have <strong>no pain</strong></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have <strong>some pain</strong> in my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have a <strong>lot of pain</strong> in my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I <strong>cannot</strong> do any common daily activity due to pain in my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At rest, my shin is:</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not painful</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painful</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very painful</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At night:</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have <strong>no pain</strong></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My shin is sometimes sensitive</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I wake up sometimes because of the pain in my shin, but I can fall back asleep soon</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I cannot sleep due to the pain in my shin for parts of the night</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain while touching:</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have <strong>no pain</strong> when touching my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have pain when I <strong>bump</strong> my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have pain when I <strong>press and when I bump</strong> my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have pain when I <strong>rub, press on and when I bump</strong> my shin</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**APPENDIX 2: English cross-cultural translated item set as generated by the Delphi study**

**Sportactiviteiten:**

*For military:* Marching is considered to be a sporting activity.

1) **Presently:**
   - I perform all of my usual sporting activities
   - I am forced to do less of my usual sporting activities due to pain in my shin
   - I am forced to do alternative sporting activities only due to pain in my shin
   - I **cannot** do any sporting activity due to pain in my shin

2) **This question concerns the frequency of your sporting activities:**
   - I have **not** reduced the frequency of my sporting activities
   - I have reduced the frequency of my sporting activities by 1-25% a week
   - I have reduced the frequency of my sporting activities by 26-50% a week
   - I have reduced the frequency of my sporting activities by 51-75% a week
   - I have reduced the frequency of my sporting activities by 76-100% a week

3) **This question concerns the content of your sporting activities:**
   - I have **not** adjusted my sporting activities
   - I have adjusted my sporting activities slightly (±25%), e.g. slightly less sprinting and jumping, slightly decreasing the duration of my sporting activities
   - I have adjusted my sporting activities substantially (±50%), my sporting activities are less intense, e.g. substantially less sprinting and jumping, decreasing the duration of running
   - I have adjusted the majority (±75%) of my sporting activities, my sporting activities are substantially less intense, e.g. avoiding sprinting and jumping altogether, running for short periods of time, only short and light loads
   - I **cannot** do any sporting activity due to my shinbone pain

4) **While performing sporting activities:**
   - I have **no pain** in my shin
   - I have **some pain** in my shin
   - I have a **lot of pain** in my shin
   - I **cannot** do any sporting activity due to my shin pain

5) **How long, after you have started a sporting activity, do you feel the pain in your shin?**
   - I have **no pain** during sporting activities
   - After 15 minutes, after I have started
   - Within the first 15 minutes after I have started
   - Immediately after I have started
   - I **cannot** do any sporting activity due to my shinbone pain

6) **In the case of pain being present during your sporting activity, and you continue the activity, what happens to your pain?**
   - I have **no pain** during sporting activities
   - The pain decreases
   - The pain remains unchanged
   - The pain increases
   - I **cannot** do any sporting activity due to my shinbone pain