Potential pitfalls in the anorectal region during CT colonography: A discussion and pictorial overview of common pitfalls

Common potential anorectal pitfalls are presented with accompanying CT colonography images. Although most lesions are benign, care must be taken in not missing an underlying cancer.

Introduction

It is highly likely that requests for computer tomographic colonography (CTC) will increase because it was very recently added to the colorectal cancer screening tests in the United States. A steep learning curve exists for CTC. There are many entities in the anorectal region, which may cause interpretation problems for those with limited CTC experience. Most findings do not require further investigation and may require just a digital rectal examination or anoscopy without the need for an optical colonoscopy (OC). CTC is a minimally invasive, fast, safe, low-dose and accurate study. An adequately prepared bowel with good distension of the colon is essential to achieve a successful study.

Based on the author’s experience, having performed > 6000 CTC examinations, the most common technical-related potential pitfalls (placement of the rectal catheter, stool and artefacts) and pathology-related pitfalls (internal haemorrhoids, polyps, cancer, hypertrophied anal papilla, perforation because of OC complications, and extrinsic impressions) are described with CTC images. In female patients, it is essential to always check that the catheter is in the rectum and not in the vagina before commencing insufflation.

Rectum anatomy

The rectum is mostly a retroperitoneal organ commencing at the mid-sacral level and ending in the anal canal; its average length is 15 cm. It does not have hastral markings. The longitudinal taenia coli end at the rectosigmoid junction and continue only as a smooth muscle layer in the rectum. The three valves of Houston (superior, middle and inferior) encircle about a third to a half of the rectal circumference. In a CTC study, the middle valve may serve as a landmark for the location of lesions in the rectum (Figure 1). It indicates the level of the abdomino-peritoneal reflection anteriorly; it is typically 8 cm from the anal verge and demarcates the lower and middle third of the rectum. The dentate line (Figure 2) represents the anatomic anorectal line, which is identified by an undulating demarcation in the rectal mucosa about 3 cm above the anal verge.

Technical-related pitfalls

A rectal catheter can cause interpretation problems. It is preferable to use a small gauge (25 Fr or smaller) disposable flexible latex-free catheter, with an inflatable balloon, which can be distended up to 50 cc without the risk of the balloon rupturing. The catheter may obscure pathology in some patients. To minimise this potential pitfall, it is important to deflate the balloon in the prone view: (1) to obtain a full scan series without an inflated balloon, to ensure good visualisation of the distal rectum, and (2) to better visualise internal haemorrhoids, if present.

Figures 4 to 6 illustrate several catheter-related pitfalls. The catheter may impinge on the valve of Houston causing an extrinsic impression. If CO₂ flow is obstructed by any cause, deflate the balloon, pull back on the catheter until flow resumes and then re-inflate the balloon. An inflated balloon may cause a defect called the meniscus sign; this is a normal artefact which
2D and 3D views are complementary, and if available translucent display (TD) shows what lies beneath the surface. This software displays different colour attenuation values: red indicates soft tissue; white indicates high attenuation values, such as barium; green indicates negative values in the fat attenuation range; and blue indicates negative values, such as air.\textsuperscript{14}

Occasionally, the rectal tube itself may obscure a sessile polyp in the rectum. The author’s standard technique is to perform a 360° fly around the rectal catheter to ensure adequate visualisation of all surrounding features. Incomplete collapse of the balloon may cause artefacts that appear as polypoidal lesions.

Artefacts are an unwanted feature on a CTC image that may obscure or simulate pathology.\textsuperscript{15} The presence of residual stool remaining after a laxative preparation may present interpretation challenges. Fluid and stool tagging reduces such challenges.\textsuperscript{6,16} Untagged luminal fluid will not appear white on the 2D views, which means that if a lesion is present it will not be apparent. Tagging with iodinated contrast (e.g. gastrografin or iohexol) enables lesions in fluid to be easily identified using windowing (W:2000 HU, L: 0 HU). A two-view series allows evaluation of the anterior and posterior walls because of shifting of fluid in these positions.

Figure 8 illustrates some stool-related pitfalls. Stool may present as a polypoidal lesion on 3D endoluminal flythrough. It may move to the dependant bowel surface between the two-view study (supine and prone), which differentiates it...
from a sessile polyp. On 2D views, foci of air may be present in the polypoidal lesion.

It is important to be familiar with the appearance of artefacts; they can obscure lesions or be mistaken for pathology. Hip prosthesis-related artefacts are because of beam hardening and scatter, which cause dark streak artefacts. Software is available to reduce beam hardening effects. These streaks are between two high attenuation objects, for example, metal or bone, with surrounding bright streaks. Poor patient positioning could also produce artefacts. Figure 9 shows these types of artefacts. Artefact-related pitfalls are fairly common. Figure 10 illustrates that an air bubble artefact may appear to be a lesion. The ‘dense waterfall’ sign (Figure 11) is an artefact that is related to actively flowing opacified luminal fluid. Although this appearance is rarely seen in the rectum, it is fairly commonly seen in the other five colon segments.

Pathology-related pitfalls

Internal haemorrhoids are the most frequently seen and diagnosed condition affecting the anorectal region. They may appear polypoid or mass-like when thrombosed or advanced. Haemorrhoids are the result of dilated vascular channels above the dentate line (Figure 12). Rectal varices, in contrast to haemorrhoids, have a tubular, serpiginous appearance. Haemorrhoids may be identified on both the prone and supine studies, but in the supine study the inflated rectal balloon may compress internal haemorrhoids, which may make them difficult to diagnose. When the patient is in the prone position, the catheter’s balloon should routinely be deflated to decompress internal haemorrhoids, if present, in order to visualise them. Some radiologists do not deflate the balloon for fear that the rectal tube may not remain in situ. The author has hardly ever experienced this happening. The balloon can be re-inflated should there be a need for further views (e.g. lateral decubitus) which require a patient to turn from the

FIGURE 4: (a) 3D image shows the tip of catheter (white arrow) extending beyond the superior valve of Houston; (b) 3D image shows tip of catheter projecting beyond the superior valve of Houston causing simulation of polyp appearance (white arrows).

FIGURE 5: (a) 3D endoluminal view showing tube in direct contact with valve of Houston (black circle). (b) 3D endoluminal view showing tube causing a bulge on rectal mucosa (black arrows). (c) 2D sagittal view shows tube impinging on fold (white circle).
**FIGURE 6:** (a) 3D view shows kinking of tube (black arrows); (b) 2D view shows tip of rectal tube bent to 90° (white circle). This obstructs flow of CO₂.

**FIGURE 7:** (a) 3D view showing meniscal defect (black arrows) due to balloon; (b) 3D view showing meniscal defect (black arrows) from inflated balloon; (c) 3D view showing polypoidal lesion (black arrows). White arrows show margin of meniscal sign; (d) TD shows mainly barium (black arrows) indicating stool.
prone position. Figure 13 presents examples of the importance of deflating the balloon in the prone study for visualisation of internal haemorrhoids.

Internal haemorrhoids may be confused with a hypertrophied anal papilla, a benign condition occurring in response to chronic irritation or anal fissuring. It is essentially an internal skin tag, which represents focal fibrous prominence of tissue at the dentate line. Anal papillae are small, usually < 6 mm in size. They are usually single, but multiple papillae have been reported; occasionally, they may be polypoidal in appearance. The diagnosis of an anal papilla is made by its consistent anatomic position at the anorectal junction. In the vast majority of cases, the papilla is in contact with the rectal tube at its lowest visualised point. When considering whether a polypoidal mass is an anal papilla or a rectal polyp, the clue is their respective location in relation to the catheter (Figure 14). A polyp would be a short distance from the catheter.

Polyps may be sessile or pedunculated. On 3D, they present as polypoid lesions, and they are homogeneous on 2D. Sessile polyps do not move and usually have a thin covering of barium on their surface. The shape and form of flat lesions and carpet lesions are potential pitfalls. A study is considered positive when a lesion ≥ 6 mm is detected. Polyps ≥ 10 mm are routinely removed. The chance of malignancy is < 1% in

![Figure 8: (a) 2D supine axial view showing density on posterior wall with lucency within it (black arrow). White circle = rectal catheter; (b) Prone axial study shows the density has shifted to anterior wall indicating stool (black arrow). White circle = rectal catheter; (c) 3D endoluminal view showing polypoidal lesion (black circle); (d) 2D coronal view shows air (red arrow) which indicates the lesion is stool.](http://www.sajr.org.za)
Figure 9: (a) 3D endoluminal view showing artefacts (black arrows) obscuring visualisation of the rectum; (b) 2D axial of the same patient shows streak artefacts limiting visualisation of colon (red arrow). This is a typical example of artefacts caused by hip prostheses; (c) 3D view shows ‘black hole’ artefact. It represents an absence of data due to poor patient positioning, which caused cut-off related to incomplete scanning; (d) Coronal view shows incorrect positioning of the patient as the symphysis pubis is not included. Correct patient positioning must include 3 cm below symphysis pubis and 3 cm above the diaphragm.

Figure 10: (a) 3D view shows curvilinear density indicating an artefact (black arrows); (b) TD shows thin blue line (black arrows) with a small blob of barium (white arrow) inferiorly indicating barium and air artefact.
FIGURE 11: (a) Dense ‘waterfall sign’ in the rectosigmoid region, caused by flowing fluid (red arrow) during the scan process; (b) 3D endoluminal view showing artefact from fluid movement; (c) Dense waterfall sign in descending colon showing classical streak artefact because of fluid movement.


FIGURE 12: (a) Internal haemorrhoid above the dentate line and (b) external haemorrhoids.

FIGURE 13: (a) Supine 3D view with inflated balloon showing internal haemorrhoid (black arrow); (b) Prone 3D view with deflated balloon showing polypoidal haemorrhoids (black arrows); (c) Prone 2D axial view with deflated balloon showing large haemorrhoids (*); (d) 3D supine view of inflated balloon. Internal haemorrhoid (white arrow); (e) 3D prone view with deflated balloon shows internal haemorrhoids more prominently (black arrows); (f) 2D axial view with deflated balloon (white arrow) showing internal haemorrhoids (*). White arrow indicates the placement of the catheter.
an asymptomatic low-risk individual (Figure 15).\(^3\) Large advanced adenomas (>10 mm, large polyp) represent the key target sign for CRC screening and prevention; they are at higher risk for cancer progression.\(^3,20\) Between 90% and 95% of advanced adenomas are 10 mm or larger in size, but only adenomas and serrated polyps have the possibility of future transformation into cancers.\(^21\)

Flat lesions are usually <30 mm in size and are elevated approximately 3 mm above the colonic mucosa. They are a
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**Figure 14:** (a) 3D image showing linear internal haemorrhoids (black arrows) and anal papilla (circle) in close proximity to the catheter; (b) 3D image of a polyp (circle) away from the catheter.

![Figure 15](image2.png)

**Figure 15:** (a) 3D view showing 7 mm sessile polyp (black arrow); (b) TD showing predominantly red = soft tissue (black arrow) indicating polyp; (c) 2D axial supine view shows homogenous polypoidal density in keeping with a polyp (white arrow); (d) 3D endoluminal view showing an 11 mm polypoid lesion (black arrow); (e) 2D axial view showing homogenous lesion (black arrow); (f) TD showing predominantly red = soft tissue (black arrow) compatible with an advanced adenoma.
Source: Courtesy of Prof. D. Kim, Wisconsin University.

**FIGURE 16:** (a) 3D endoluminal view of rectum showing rectal catheter and carpet lesion extending for 40 mm (white arrows). Histology confirmed tubulovillous adenoma; (b) TD view showing rectal catheter and lobulated high intensity regions (black arrows) covered with a thin layer of barium (white); (c) 2D axial view of rectum with rectal catheter (white circle). Polyp view showing flat soft tissue lesion (white arrows). Note the etching of positive contrast material on the surface of the lesion; (d) Optical colonoscopy view confirms CTC finding of a minimally raised somewhat lobulated carpet lesion in the rectum (black arrows).

**FIGURE 17:** (a) Prone colon-map showing left rectal wall lesion (black arrow). Rectal catheter (red arrow); (b) 3D view of an irregular polypoidal lesion in rectum in keeping with cancer (black arrows); (c) 2D axial image shows catheter (white circle) and soft tissue mass with thickening of the left rectal wall in keeping with cancer (white arrow).
subset of sessile polyps that do not have a polypoidal appearance\(^2\) and occur most commonly in the rectum and caecum. If they are \(\geq 30\) mm in size, they are termed carpet lesions (Figure 16) or laterally spreading tumours.\(^{23}\) Despite their large size, carpet lesion histology is usually a villous adenoma or tubulovillous adenoma. High-grade dysplasia may also be present. Malignancy is not a common finding in carpet lesions.\(^{19,23}\)

When evaluating anorectal CTC images, we need to consider the possibility of a malignant lesion. A cancer has an irregular polypoid appearance with thickening of the bowel wall. Figure 17 shows rectal cancer. Rectal tumours may be aggressive in immunocompromised patients, particularly those who have the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome.\(^3\)

We need to be mindful of possible perforations caused by diagnostic and therapeutic procedures at OC.\(^{7,24,25}\) Direct mechanical trauma may be caused by injury to the colon by the end of the endoscope, or from the abrasive effect of the side of the scope as it is advanced or withdrawn.\(^{24}\) In the rectum, the colonoscope may be retroflexed; this may

---

**FIGURE 18:** (a) 3D view of a ‘contained’ perforation of rectum (black arrows). Calcified enterolith (white arrow); (b) 2D axial view shows a ‘contained’ perforation and calcified faecalith (white arrow) and rectal catheter (white circle).

**FIGURE 19:** (a) 3D view showing an extrinsic impression from a pedunculated uterine fibroid (arrows); (b) 2D axial showing pedunculated uterine fibroid (F) causing narrowing of rectum (white arrow).
result in a single ‘contained perforation’, which may be complicated by faecolith formation (Figure 18). Before commencing a CTC following an incomplete or failed OC, it is essential to exclude perforation. A pre-procedure low-dose CT scan is performed to assess whether free air is present, and if visualised, the CTC study is not performed. Risk of colonic perforation during CTC is rare because of the use of automatic insufflation and a small gauge soft rectal catheter. 16,23,26

Any structure that lies adjacent to the colon may cause an extrinsic impression on the colon lumen. 14 These impressions are easily identifiable when 2D multiplanar reformation is performed. The most common sources of these impressions include the uterus and adnexa (Figure 19).

Conclusion
Potential anorectal region pitfalls may be related to technique, interpretation or specific anatomical features. 2,4,14 Knowledge of these potential pitfalls should prevent CTC readers from missing cancers and avoid misdiagnosis of benign lesions.
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