Introduction. The socio-economic burden of a hand injury in South Africa is substantial, particularly for manual labourers whose job tasks are physically demanding and require hand function. Barriers to participation in work occur on an economic, social and political level, as well as on a therapist and client-specific level.

Aim. This study aimed to identify the strategies and barriers encountered by occupational therapists in work-related transitions after a serious hand injury.

Methods. A descriptive cross-sectional design was utilised. A questionnaire was developed for the study through a rigorous development process that included piloting. The final instrument was disseminated as an online questionnaire to occupational therapists working within the field of return-to-work and hand injuries. As no data were available on the number of occupational therapists working within this field, convenience sampling was used with snowballing as a strategy to increase the number of possible respondents. Data were exported into Microsoft Excel and descriptive analyses were conducted.

Results. Forty three occupational therapists completed the questionnaire. Respondents mostly focused on treating components of function (100%), addressing activities of daily living (97.67%) and issuing home programmes (97.67%) as direct intervention strategies to facilitate work-related transitions. One of the least used strategies was issuing assistive devices for work (30.23%). The least used work-specific strategies included conducting worksite visits, observing clients (or proxies) completing work tasks in the workplace and implementing work trials. Financial support and compensation were viewed as both an asset and a barrier.

Conclusion. Without knowledge about the representability of the study sample, the results of this study cannot be generalised. However, the therapists who responded to the questionnaire were offering a range of strategies to address work-related transitions for people with serious hand injuries, despite the numerous barriers that exist.
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in high and upper-middle income countries and focused mainly on
taking the prognostic factors related to successful work-related transi-
tions into account during the transition to work process. The limited
research evidence on the strategies used by occupational therapists
and their frequency of use internationally, and more specifically in
South Africa, pointed to the need for the current study. Of the few
studies that have investigated these strategies, psychological sup-
port particularly for traumatic hand injuries, the involvement of
occupational therapists in the communication of the work-related
transition process with the employer and employee and making
recommendations for alternative work tasks were featured.

Factors impacting on work-related transitions may be an asset
for some and a barrier to others. A Swedish study determined that
factors related to work-related transitions and general work motiva-
tions could be grouped into three categories: individual factors, fac-
tors related to the work environment and rehabilitation factors.
A more recent study achieved consensus on 13 assets for work-related
transitions following non-traumatic upper extremity surgery. The
assets identified were: motivation to return to work; self-efficacy
for return to work and recovery; availability of alternative duties;
flexible return to work arrangements; positive coping skills; limited
heavy work job tasks; supportive return to work policies; supportive
management; absence of catastrophic thinking; absence of fear avoid-
ance to return to work; absence of fear avoidance to pain/activities;
return to meaningful work duties and high job satisfaction.

Barriers confronted by occupational therapists in addressing
work-related transitions occur at an economic, social and political
level, as well as on a therapist- and client-specific level. An Australian
study found that the barriers to ideal practice in conducting work-
related assessments related to occupational therapists working in
isolation, and lacking training and experience. Client-specific barriers
included a lack of motivation to return to work and clients who do not
speak English as a first language. The most prominent work barriers
were lack of consistency in the workplace, the work environment and
the employer’s attitude. External barriers included industrial issues,
delayed referrals and legislative problems among others.

Understanding the context is crucial in justifying the need for,
and significance of, this study. The extremely high unemployment
rate in South Africa (29.1% in 2019), along with the substantial
income inequities that have affected the skills level of informal
workers, make the return to work process extremely challeng-
ing. Taking into account the substantial socio-economic burden of
hand injuries in South Africa to individuals, families, employers and
the State, identifying evidence-based interventions for successful
work-related transitions is vital in contributing to reducing our un-
employment rate. Low- and middle-income countries such as South
Africa, have large numbers of informal workers and are strongly
reliant on manual labour. Considering that manual labour requires
strong and dextrous hand function, sustaining a hand injury typically
requires medical intervention and rehabilitation which impacts on
the person’s capacity to work. The high prevalence of unemployment
within South Africa has been shown to adversely impact unskilled workers’ rehabilitation as they can easily be replaced.

Adding complexity to this picture is the lack of occupational
therapists employed within the Department of Labour in South
Africa which impacts on the services and resources available for
addressing the final stages of rehabilitation which specifically focus
on work-related transitions. The majority of South Africans
are reliant on the public sector for healthcare as they do not
have healthcare insurance. In contrast, people in higher income
brackets can afford healthcare insurance and can thus access treat-
ment in the private healthcare sector which has better human
resourcing, despite more people being dependent on the public
sector for healthcare. Conceptual framework provides a way of understanding the barriers many South Africans face in accessing healthcare, which includes rehabilitation. This
patient-centred framework outlines five dimensions of accessibility
namely, approachability, acceptability, availability, accommodation,
affordability and appropriateness. These dimensions encapsulate
aspects such as financial accessibility, physical accessibility, time and
resource availability. Transport costs, travelling distances and the
type of transport available are common barriers South Africans face
in accessing healthcare. Considering these contextual realities, it
is of critical importance that occupational therapy interventions
directed at work-related transitions are based on the best available
evidence in order to make the best use of scarce resources and to
achieve the best possible outcomes.

The current study was nested within a larger three-year study
that explored the successful transition of people with serious hand injuries to work. This study, conducted in the
second year of the larger study, followed a mixed methods
approach that occurred in two-phases. The quantitative (first)
phase aimed to identify the strategies occupational therapists
in South Africa were using to facilitate work-related transitions
for people with serious hand injuries, and to determine the bar-
riers encountered. Obtaining this information would be useful
in contributing to the development of best practice guidelines aimed at improving the occupational therapy services offered
to this group of people. The qualitative (second) phase aimed to
understand the strategies occupational therapists were using for
this group of people from the perspective of occupational
therapists and their clients. Earlier data collection sessions,
prior to this phase of the study, were used as a foundation from
which to develop this phase of the study. For the purposes of
this study, the work-related transitional process started in the
acute phase, moved through a rehabilitative phase and then to a
return-to-work phase in which occupational therapists used
specific work-related strategies. Therefore, the questionnaire
that was developed was categorised accordingly. The ques-
tionnaire was divided broadly into direct occupational therapy
treatment strategies, indirect occupational therapy treatment
strategies, the provision of emotional support, specific work-
related strategies and suggestions, as well as possible assets and
barriers to work-related transitions.

The study had three objectives:
1. To establish which interventions occupational therapists used
   in each of the four categories: direct occupational therapy
   services, indirect occupational therapy services, work-related
   interventions, and return to work as a component of work-
   related services.
2. To determine the frequency of use of the various strategies.
3. To determine the assets and barriers influencing work-related
   transitions.

METHODS
The STROBE guidelines have been used to report this study.

Study Design
A descriptive cross-sectional research design was utilised. This
particular design was appropriate to obtain descriptive data from
occupational therapists in all healthcare facilities that described the
work-related transition strategies they were using, and to draw comparisons between the different strategies14. Cross-sectional questionnaires are also useful to measure the frequency that various work-related transitional strategies are used15 and to determine the variety of barriers encountered by study participants.

**Population and sampling**

The population comprised all occupational therapists in South Africa working within the field of hand/upper limb rehabilitation and vocational rehabilitation or work practice. As no data were available on the number of occupational therapists working within this field, non-convenience sampling with snowballing was used to include as many occupational therapists as possible.

**Instrumentation**

A questionnaire was developed, and pilot tested prior to finalisation.

**Questionnaire development**

The items in the questionnaire were developed from two sources. Firstly, as part of a scoping review, a thematic analysis was conducted to identify the different types of strategies used by occupational therapists to facilitate work-related transitions for clients with hand injuries16. Questions were formulated from the codes that made up the theme “strategies that occupational therapists use to enable work-related transitions” in the scoping review. Secondly, an inductive analysis was undertaken of interview transcripts from an overarching study conducted in 2017 that explored South African occupational therapy practice related to work transitions after serious hand injury17. Codes were identified on the strategies the therapists used and questions were formulated from these codes. The questionnaire comprised two sections namely, work-related transition strategies used by occupational therapists, and demographic information (see Table 1, page 55). Within the section on work-related transition strategies, questions were grouped according to the client’s stage of recovery (e.g. acute, rehabilitative and return-to-work phases) and sub-divided into: direct occupational therapy treatment strategies, indirect occupational therapy treatment strategies, provision of emotional support, specific work-related strategies, and assets and barriers to work-related transitions.

The questionnaire made use of a combination of ordinal scales and text boxes. A four-point rating scale was chosen for most questions (43 of 67) as it is known to enhance validity and reliability when measuring individuals’ opinions18. Four-point rating scales are also beneficial as the repetitive style of asking the questions has been found to improve the response rate19. The text boxes contextualised the responses or contributed new considerations to the existing questionnaire. Questions with four-point rating scales were supplemented with a textbox to provide an opportunity for additional descriptive information to the frequency of strategies used to facilitate work-related transitions, which is widely regarded as complex. The questionnaire was refined during the pilot testing phase after which it was finalised.

**Testing validity and utility**

Face validity, content validity and specific aspects of clinical utility of the questionnaire were investigated through a piloting process.

**Pilot sample:** Three occupational therapists employed at three different academic institutions across the country and with a clinical background of at least five years in the field were invited to participate. These participants were chosen so as not to involve any potential respondents for the main study in this pilot phase and to obtain diverse input on the clarity and relevance of the questionnaire to the research question. Experts within the field of work-related transitions or hand injuries were involved in this process to increase the likelihood of obtaining reliable and accurate responses20.

**Procedure:** The three participants were recruited via email or telephonically. On agreeing to participate, each participant was emailed the questionnaire which they completed in their own time.

After receiving the completed questionnaires, responses were checked for consistency by looking for logical patterns. The first author arranged individual face-to-face or Skype meetings with each participant to systematically critique the clarity of each question. Content validity was evaluated by appraising the comprehensiveness of the questionnaire and checking that the questions represented all characteristics the authors intended to measure21. Participants also determined whether any questions could be removed, added or reformulated, without compromising content validity22.

For clinical utility, the clarity of instructions, formatting of questions and response options, completion time interpretation of questions and clinically relevant23 were evaluated with the same three occupational therapists. Clinical relevance related to the appropriateness of the strategies for occupational therapy practice in South Africa and the likely barriers that would be encountered. Participants also commented on the conciseness and comprehensiveness of the questionnaire.

Feedback from the pilot study participants was tabulated and systematically discussed item by item. Where the feedback improved clarity, changes were made. Revisions included changing or removing words for clarity, adding a definition of case management, expanding a question to include synonyms (for example, components of function and performance skills), dividing concepts such as activities of daily living into instrumental and basic activities of daily living, providing examples for some items and adding text boxes to capture additional comments. The response options were initially in most cases, hardly ever and never. After the pilot study improvements were made. One pilot participant recommended adding a further response option to make the three-point rating scale a four-point rating scale.

**The final Questionnaire**

The final questionnaire consisted of two sections (details shown in Table 1, page 55) and contained an information page, consent form and inclusion criteria.

**Data Collection Method**

The questionnaire was developed as an online survey as the most economical way of ensuring that participants from across the country could participate in the study. Additional benefits included the flexible formatting of the questionnaire (which permitted questions that were not relevant to the respondent to remain hidden), being able to limit the number of questions on each page, allowing respondents to answer the questions at their convenience and ease of sharing the link with colleagues. The questionnaire could only be completed if the respondent met the self-selected inclusion criteria.

The electronic platform, SUrveys.sun.ac.za, was used to conduct the online questionnaire. A link to the questionnaire was distributed to the entire population of occupational therapists to recruit as many respondents as possible. The questionnaire was shared through the Occupational Therapy Association of South Africa (OTASA) and the Metropole Occupational Therapists in
Health (MOTH) group mailing distribution lists. The link was also posted on social media platforms, namely LinkedIn and relevant Facebook groups (Occupational Therapy, University of Cape Town, OT Comserv 2017, ST’s, OT’s, DT’s and Audio’s!, Maties se beste OTs and 2017 Community Service Allied Health Professionals) between 27 May 2019 and 5 August 2019 and was shared with occupational therapists known to the first author for further distribution. Therapists who received the invitation to participate in the study were required to determine their eligibility by self-selecting options from the inclusion criteria. The eligibility questions asked if the participant was practicing as an occupational therapist in South Africa and if they were working in the field of upper limb and vocational rehabilitation. The questionnaire was designed to only proceed if both inclusion criteria were met. The questionnaire was available for ten weeks and 4 days (25 May 2019 to 6 August 2019). Reminders were sent via a mailing list on three occasions (11 July 2019, 24 July 2019 and 5 August 2019).

Data management and analysis

Data from completed questionnaires were exported from SUsurveys into Microsoft Excel for analysis. As the data were not normally distributed, medians and ranges were determined for numerical variables. Descriptive statistics were used to calculate frequencies and percentages for the different strategies used to facilitate work-related transitions. No additional analyses were conducted as the aim of the study was to describe current practice.
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RESULTS

The SUsurvey platform showed 762 incomplete responses where

---

Table 1. Questionnaire Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Response option (descriptor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1 - Techniques as part of a return to work protocol</td>
<td>• Direct occupational therapy treatment &lt;br&gt; • Indirect occupational therapy services &lt;br&gt; • Communication with key stakeholders &lt;br&gt; • Provision of emotional support &lt;br&gt; • Specific work-related strategies &lt;br&gt; • Specific work-related suggestions</td>
<td>• 4-point rating scale (almost always, often, seldom, never). &lt;br&gt; • Textbox for additional information at the end of the section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 - Assets and barriers</td>
<td>• Number of treatment sessions with a client &lt;br&gt; • Psychological condition &lt;br&gt; • Fear around return to work &lt;br&gt; • Anxiety around return to work &lt;br&gt; • Pain &lt;br&gt; • Desire for compensation/ disability grant.</td>
<td>• Asset or barrier (Asset, Barrier, Asset and Barrier). &lt;br&gt; • Textbox for additional assets and barriers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3, 4, 5 - Practice-related information</td>
<td>• Number of sessions with client &lt;br&gt; • Number of persons with serious hand injuries requiring assistance with return to work seen monthly. &lt;br&gt; • Treatment of workman’s compensation. &lt;br&gt; • Use of legal framework to inform clinical practice.</td>
<td>• Number of sessions with the client (1 session, 2-6 sessions, 7-11 sessions, 12-16 sessions, 17-20 sessions, 21-24 sessions and more than 24 sessions). &lt;br&gt; • Number of persons with serious hand injuries requiring assistance with return to work seen monthly (Option to type a free text response). &lt;br&gt; • Treatment of workman’s compensation (Binary scale Yes or No). &lt;br&gt; • Use of legal framework (a 4-point rating scale almost always, often, seldom, never) followed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1 - 4 - General Information</td>
<td>• Province &lt;br&gt; • Sector &lt;br&gt; • Level(s) of care &lt;br&gt; • Setting</td>
<td>Select from a list of options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-8 Biographical information</td>
<td>• Postgraduate qualifications &lt;br&gt; • Years of experience &lt;br&gt; • Number of years working in the area of hand rehabilitation &lt;br&gt; • Number of years working in the area of return to work</td>
<td>• Postgraduate qualifications (binary scale Yes or No). &lt;br&gt; • Experience, number of years working in hands and *RTW (option to type a free text response).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*RTW = Return to work
Table II. Respondent characteristics (n=43)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limpopo</td>
<td>3 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free State</td>
<td>4 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mpumalanga</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Cape</td>
<td>13 (30.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Cape</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West</td>
<td>5 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gauteng</td>
<td>12 (27.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KwaZulu Natal</td>
<td>5 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Cape</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>7 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>36 (83.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Care</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>9 (20.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary/District</td>
<td>7 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>15 (34.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one level</td>
<td>8 (18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ¹</td>
<td>12 (27.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>4 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Rural</td>
<td>1 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>25 (59.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peri-urban</td>
<td>6 (14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban, Peri-urban</td>
<td>6 (14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban, Peri-urban, Deep Rural²</td>
<td>1 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postgraduate qualification or course accreditation in return to work or hands</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20 (46.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>23 (53.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience (years)</th>
<th>Median (Range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As an occupational therapist</td>
<td>10 (0.5 – 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treating hand injuries</td>
<td>8 (0.5 – 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitating work-related transition</td>
<td>4 (0.5 – 30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of occupational therapy sessions</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>6 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-11</td>
<td>8 (18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-16</td>
<td>8 (18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-20</td>
<td>14 (32.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-24</td>
<td>5 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 24</td>
<td>2 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment of workman’s compensation clients (n=36)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of a legal framework to guide clinical reasoning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almost Always</td>
<td>5 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>15 (41.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seldom</td>
<td>5 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>11 (30.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assist clients with workmen’s compensation application</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almost Always</td>
<td>2 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>4 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seldom</td>
<td>11 (30.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>19 (52.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LEGEND FOR TABLE II**

I. A primary level of care refers to clinics and day hospitals in the community where the focus is usually rehabilitative, and services are provided on an outpatient basis. A secondary level of care refers to healthcare services offered on an in or outpatient basis for specific conditions. Tertiary level of care are specialised facilities with specialist skills and equipment. Clients treated in Tertiary levels of care are usually inpatients.

II. A rural setting was defined as an area some distance away from a town or city, with a smaller population. Deep rural referred to large and isolated areas. These are the areas of open country in South Africa, with low population density. An urban area referred to a built-up town or city and a peri-urban setting referred to an area located directly next to a city.
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used included the Model of Human Occupation, the Model of Creative Ability, Affolter Approach and the Biopsychosocial Model.

**Indirect Occupational Therapy**

With regard to indirect occupational therapy services, every respondent provided education and almost all contacted medical and rehabilitation professionals (93.0%) (see Figure 2). The respondents also contacted their clients’ employers (79.1%).

**Provision of emotional support**

The respondents identified how often they provided emotional support in a variety of ways (see Figure 3). Responding to their client’s stage of grief (91.7%) and considering psychological and psychobiological components of function (86.0%) were almost always included in the provision of emotional support. Additionally, they used motivational interventions (81.40%) and coping strategy interventions (79.1%). The types of motivational interventions used included: identifying external and internal motivators; positive reinforcement and feedback; goal setting; measuring progress numerically with photographs and videos; re-education; motivational interviewing; the use of meaningful activities; planning a routine; choosing motivators according to a client’s priorities and interests; applying principles of Vona du Toit’s Level of Creative Ability; participation in fun leisure activities that require similar function as the clients work and making clients aware of their capability and feeling of accomplishment; Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT); using activities with a time component to put a numerical value to progress, projects with an end-product and goals that incorporate strengthening to be able to return to a favourite activity.

Pain was largely treated proactively before it became a problem (76.7%) or treated as it became apparent (81.40%). Screening for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM–V)22: Axis I psychological diagnostic categories (e.g. Mood disorders, such as major depression, and anxiety disorders, such as Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder) was
seldom considered (76.7%). Respondents indicated that they would use tests such as the Progressive Goal Attainment Program, the Depression, Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS) and the Beck’s Depression Inventory to screen for psychological diagnostic categories. Table III (page 59) refers to the respondents’ provision of emotional support.

Specific work-related strategies
The respondents often obtained a job demand analysis based on information provided by the client (83.7%) and from the employer (55.8%). Of the respondents, 53.5% seldomly conducted worksite visits, 48.8% seldomly used actual work tasks and 62.8% seldomly observed a client (or proxy) completing actual work tasks. See Figure 4 for the frequency of specific work-related strategies used.

Work-related suggestions
This item requested respondents to indicate how often they were making recommendations for specific work-related strategies, such as workplace accommodations, light duty and an early return to work. The occupational therapists almost always recommended: a graded return to work (88.4%), return to work as soon as the client is medically and functionally able (88.4%) and workplace accommodations to the client (81.4%). Workplace accommodations were less often suggested to the employer (67.4%). Additional suggestions included ergonomic education and discussions with various stakeholders including the client’s colleagues and employer. Four respondents explicitly noted that they would suggest job modifications or workplace accommodation and not “light duty” (see Figure 4).

Assets and Barriers in Work-Related Transitions
Anxiety relating to return to work (97.7%), pain (95.35%), fear about returning to work (95.35%) and desire for compensation (90.7%) were the most frequent barriers identified. The number of treatment sessions with a client (55.8%) was indicated as the most common asset for work-related transitions. Figure 5 depicts the assets and barriers that respondents identified in work-related transitions. With regard to additional assets and barriers for work-related transitions in their settings, respondents identified more barriers than assets. Barriers included financial constraints, access to healthcare due to distance, the client’s attitude and insight and work specific constraints. Work specific constraints included aspects such as the employer’s willingness to assist, an employer’s refusal to consider a graded return to work program, the type of work contract that the client had and the client’s work history. One respondent commented that personal protective equipment was a challenge in many industries as the job may require wearing gloves, which may not be possible for a client with finger amputations. Furthermore, the same respondent explained that “light duty” is not feasible in specific industries due to the risks involved.

DISCUSSION
This study aimed to establish the strategies used by occupational therapists, and the assets and barriers they experienced in facilitating work-related transitions for people with serious hand injuries. The respondents in this study drew on a variety of interventions depending on the setting in which they worked and appeared to be fulfilling a central role in facilitating the successful reintegration of people with hand injuries into the work environment.

Direct occupational therapy services
Respondents mostly focused on treating components of function,
addressing activities of daily living and issuing home programmes as direct strategies to facilitate work-related transitions. This focus is well established with the underpinning rationale that hand injuries that limit engagement in activities of daily living such as work, require rehabilitation to restore functional components or to make adaptations where function cannot be restored. Studies have indicated that focusing on aspects of hand therapy, such as range of motion, are essential for a successful work-related transition. As adaptations occur over a long duration, they require the integration of both physical and psychosocial components. One of the least used interventions was issuing assistive devices for work. This may be because assistive devices (which include assistive technology in the workplace), have been found to be an expensive option for some people and may lead to feelings of isolation.

### Indirect occupational therapy services

The fact that all respondents provided education is supported by evidence since it has been established that informing clients of their diagnosis, precautions and adequately preparing them for what to expect in the return to work process contributes to successful work-related transitions. This is supported by another study in which occupational therapists were reported to often discuss these aspects with their clients during a consultation or to provide their clients with educational handouts as a method to improve compliance.

### Provision of emotional support

Pain complicates functional outcomes in the short and long-term. It is therefore noteworthy that most respondents in this study treated pain proactively and seldom addressed pain only as it became apparent. It is also well established that psychiatric comorbidities and psychosocial factors are of paramount importance for clients with pain and traumatic hand injuries. People with serious hand injuries require worker role adaptations recommended by an occupational therapist to consider psychological factors in addition to workplace modifications and traditional biomechanical approaches. Our study is supported by a previous study as most respondents in this study seldomly screened for DSM-V (Axis I) psychological diagnostic categories with their hand injured clients. Despite this, respondents focused on their client’s stages of grief associated with the loss of hand and limb function, addressed psychological and psychobiological components of function and facilitated the development of coping skills. This emphasis is supported by an American study that suggested that using psychosocial perceptions may assist occupational therapists to identify counterproductive factors that could negatively impact on their client’s rehabilitation outcomes.

### Assets and Barriers

While we did not categorise the barriers identified in the current study by sector of work, it is likely that occupational therapists treating workmen’s compensation clients in the private sector may experience similar barriers to those found in the government sector, such as the distance clients have to travel to receive occupational therapy and the cost implications to access occupational therapy among other economic and social challenges. In addition to the direct costs incurred by clients, there are various indirect costs which may include a reduction in wages, time away from the workplace, transport costs to attend healthcare appointments and costs to the employer.

For clients who are breadwinners, the indirect costs to their family and community are extensive. In a study conducted in a tertiary hospital in South Africa, 85% of the hand injury clients interviewed earned less than 600US$ monthly (between R1,000 and R9,000 per month), despite being the breadwinners of their family. It is likely that a breadwinner in South Africa who is earning minimum or close to minimum wage, will experience significant financial stress if they are unable to work for any length of time, which highlights the need for further studies to understand the value of financial compensation for breadwinners who have been injured. Financial support and compensation were viewed as both an asset and a barrier. It is important to note that although occupational therapists identified a client’s desire to obtain a disability grant as a
barrier to their intervention, many South Africans are dependent on grants to survive and to access healthcare11. Therefore, these clients need financial support to attend occupational therapy and to alleviate the financial pressure of not being able to work. However, given the high rates of unemployment and the competitive labour market in the country, some people with hand injuries may want to receive compensation to ease their financial burden, which may affect their motivation and compliance with occupational therapy treatment. There may also be barriers linked to personal expectations. For example, a South African study found that in some communities an injured person’s motivation to regain functional independence was affected by an expectation that they should be cared for22.

Strengths and Limitations to the Study
A thorough procedure was used to develop the questionnaire with inclusion of expert-driven pilot testing to assess face and content validity. The questionnaire was only available electronically which may have prevented some therapists from participating. The number of questionnaire responses is a limitation to the generalisability of the study, with some provinces not being represented at all. As we did not have information about the number of occupational therapists in the population, the results cannot be generalised nationally. Furthermore, as the respondents primarily worked in the private sector and much of the treatment they provided related to workmen’s compensation, the findings cannot be generalised across the public and private healthcare sectors. This study aimed to identify the interventions that were being used; it did not attempt to explore the evidence to support these interventions. Therefore, it may be useful to conduct a systematic review to evaluate the quality of evidence to support the work-related transitional interventions that are being used for people with hand injuries. The questionnaire used a four-point rating scale which is known to produce results that are clustered around extremes; this may have resulted in polarised results. In addition, the barriers considered in the questionnaire related only to the client and their context. Additional barriers such as the occupational therapist’s level of experience and employer-related aspects were not considered.

CONCLUSION
The occupational therapists in this study offered a range of strategies which included direct services (incorporating aspects of hand therapy), indirect services (such as case management and client education), provision of emotional support, and specific work-related strategies. The work-related strategies comprised completing a job demands analysis, work simulation, conducting work-site visits, observing clients at work, work trials and work hardening programmes. The therapists made essential work-place recommendations which they felt contributed to their clients’ successful return to work.

This study has uncovered the importance of identifying barriers to work-related transitions in terms of their influence on work-related outcomes, particularly within South Africa with its economic, political and social complexities. The occupational therapists in this study appreciated the importance of emotional and psychological support in relation to managing work-related transitions early in the intervention process. Furthermore, financial compensation was recognised as important in alleviating some of the financial stress associated with a loss of income and in providing clients with every opportunity to receive treatment.

While some strategies for work-related transitions described in international studies (such as issuing assistive devices for work) may not be feasible in contexts such as South Africa, others (such as facilitating early work-related transitions) are relatively easy to implement and were therefore used regularly by participants in this study. The occupational therapists in this study were contributing in a variety of ways to offer comprehensive services to support work-related transitions and addressed the barriers as best they could.
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