Erratum: Differential item functioning of the CESD-R and GAD-7 in African and white working adults

In the version of this article initially published, Henn, C., & Morgan, B. (2019). Differential item functioning of the CESDR-R and GAD-7 in African and white working adults. SA Journal of Industrial Psychology/SA Tydskrif vir Bedryfsielkunde, 45(0), a1663. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v45i0.1663 the title of the manuscript has been incorrectly published as ‘Differential item functioning of the CESDR-R and GAD-7 in African and white working adults’. The correct title wording is ‘Differential item functioning of the CESD-R and GAD-7 in African and white working adults’.

On page 5 of the article, Table 1 is incorrectly displayed without the confidence intervals for the alpha and parameter estimates for the omega columns.

The correct table is presented below:

**TABLE 1:** Descriptive statistics and reliability coefficients for the Centre for Epidemiological Depression Scale Revised and Generalised Anxiety Disorder 7 scale scores across African and white participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mdn.</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Skew.</th>
<th>Kurt.</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>Confidence intervals</th>
<th>ω</th>
<th>Parameter estimates</th>
<th>β</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CESD-R African</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.92, 0.95</td>
<td>0.94, 0.95</td>
<td>0.92, 0.95</td>
<td>0.92, 0.95</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESD-R white</td>
<td>14.04</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.93, 0.96</td>
<td>0.93, 0.96</td>
<td>0.93, 0.96</td>
<td>0.93, 0.96</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-7 African</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.89, 0.93</td>
<td>0.91, 0.93</td>
<td>0.89, 0.93</td>
<td>0.89, 0.93</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-7 white</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.92, 0.95</td>
<td>0.93, 0.95</td>
<td>0.92, 0.95</td>
<td>0.92, 0.95</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 95% bootstrapped confidence intervals for coefficient α and ω. CESD-R African: n = 307; CESD-R white: n = 244; GAD-7 African: n = 304; GAD-7 white: n = 225.

This correction does not alter the study’s findings of significance or overall interpretation of the study’s results. The publisher apologises for any inconvenience caused.
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