The impact of digital divide for first-year students in adoption of social media for learning in South Africa

**Background:** Social media comprise technologies that facilitate learning in higher education institutions. However, many first-year students at tertiary education institutions are not taking advantage of social media for their learning because of environmental and personal factors related to the digital divide (DD).

**Objectives:** The objective of this research study was to investigate the impact of the DD factors on first-year students in using social media for learning in tertiary education institutions.

**Method:** A survey method was used to conduct the study. Social cognitive theory was employed as a theory underpinning this research. A questionnaire technique was used to collect data from 600 first-year students of a multi-campus university. Three hundred students came from each of the two campuses. Regression analysis was performed with the purpose of testing the hypotheses of the study.

**Results:** The result of the analysis revealed a low computer access and usage but a high percentage of mobile devices usage by students from disadvantaged backgrounds. Personal factors were found to have an impact on the behaviour of students in adopting social media for their studies. The study also found that the prevalence of social media nullifies the lack of computer resources and connection to the Internet in disadvantaged areas.

**Conclusion:** This study demonstrated that the DD was more complex than hitherto envisaged. The study recommends that ownership of computers and devices connected to the Internet needs to be promoted, especially in disadvantaged areas.
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**Introduction**

Information and Communication Technology (ICT) has become an integral part of our economic, political, and social lives, altering the way we purchase goods, bank, communicate with one another and support our education (Ali 2011:211). Social media is one of the ICTs easily adopted and used by the younger generation for socialising. Researchers, such as Kausar and Awan (2019:120), defined social media as a means of communication, collaboration, sharing and exchanging of data through different networks. Social media has numerous benefits, such as the ability to promote and encourage higher public participation in innovations, collaboration and interaction (Haryanti & Rusfian 2018:131). Most students from the disadvantaged background are not able to benefit from the advantages offered by social media because of the digital divide (DD). Digital divide is defined as the gap between individuals, households, businesses and geographical areas at different socio-economic levels with regards to their opportunities to access ICT and to their use of the Internet for a wide variety of activities (Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development 2001).

The problem identified in this study is that despite the benefits of using social media technologies for learning, many students are still not adopting this for their learning because of various factors of the DD. The objective of this study was to investigate the impact of the DD on first-year students in using social media for learning in tertiary education institutions. The study was required to answer this research problem: ‘[w]hy are many students in higher education (HE) institutions still not adopting social media technologies for their studies, despite the benefits offered by social media?’
This study is deemed to be important because of rampant low level of academic performance in various universities of technology, despite the benefits afforded by e-learning and social media for learning. Because of this low level of academic performance, universities are laying several measures to get the learning materials to students using different digital formats, such as e-Tutors, Social media and Blackboard, and hence, there is a need to determine the factors that may prevent students from using these technologies and the impact of personal and environmental factors on students’ learning.

This article is organised as follows: first, the introduction, background, related study and literature, theoretical framework, materials and methods, ethical considerations and references. The article also covers the theory underpinned in this study, materials and methods used, and finally, highlights the findings and recommendation suggested for this study.

Background

According to Chawinga (2017:6), the use of social media improves blended learning, which helps students to create positive contextual learning in relation to educational intentions. The application of ICT creates more efficient and effective ways for students to become proactive and competitive in the higher institution of learning (Attuquayefio 2019:117). All these opportunities are eluding the first-year students from mostly poor rural areas with no prior access to computers and ICTs. In the same view, Ilorah et al. (2017:252) explained that many rural areas are resource challenged in several ways from a lack of basic ICT infrastructure, electricity to poor network connections.

Development Support Monitor paper revealed that the affordability of ICT devices is low in all provinces of South Africa when compared with other countries. Six years later, Gillwald, Mothobi and Rademan (2018:6) stated that as much as unaffordability of devices is the primary barrier to South Africans coming online, the unaffordability of data is also one of the major factors limiting the intensity of use. Although technology is growing fast day by day and the prices of computers and other ICT devices are not as expensive as before, some families still have difficulties affording and accessing them (Smith 2015). Smith further argued that families who cannot afford Internet access are at a disadvantage compared with those who do.

According to Naidoo and Raju (2012:34), students from HE institutions in South Africa fall into two categories: some with no access or skills, whilst there are others who have had access and are skilled in using and have a vast experience with ICTs. Some students from disadvantaged backgrounds have never used the Internet.

A research study conducted by Beger and Sinha (2012) shows that there is a pronounced DD in South Africa with regards to ICTs’ ownership and access, and this is still the situation as indicated by Gillwald et al. (2018). Beger and Sinha went on to define ‘[d]igital divide’ as the gap between those who have access to ICT resources and those who do not have access. Although technology is improving, the DD still exists, thus creating a negative impact on students’ learning capabilities.

Bala (2018:369) emphasised that the access and use of ICT improve teaching and learning capabilities amongst students and provides real opportunities for individualisation of instruction. However, Naidoo and Raju (2012:34) indicated that majority of the students who enroll in HE institutions only get to use computers and Internet for the first time, and hence, have difficulties even in performing the most basic computer skills.

According to Barnard and Van der Merwe (2015), much as students might have access to Internet, computers and other ICT resources whilst at school, their socio-economic backgrounds limit this access at home. In the same vein, Gudmundsdottir (2010:85) stated that although students access ICT at schools, their access to and use of the same technology at home can be adversely or positively affected by their individual circumstances. As ICT plays a greater role across our society, including public and private education, more countries are more than ever in need of high-quality, internationally comparable statistics on ICT in education (Du Toit 2015:4).

Related work

Digital divide

The term DD is a term that is becoming more complex to define because of the prevalence of social media. Digital divide refers to the gap between people who do and do not have access to various forms of ICT, such as computers, Internet and other ICT resources (Van Duk 2017). This gap could stretch across certain countries that differ in their degree of development, or it could exist between people from the same country who find themselves in different financial, social, educational, geographical and other situations or stages of development (Barnard & Van der Merwe 2015). The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD 2001) defined DD as follows:

[7]The gap between individuals, households, businesses, and geographic areas at different socio-economic levels with regards to their opportunities to access information and communication technologies and to their use of the Internet for a wide variety of activities. (p. 5)

This definition by OECD is adopted in this study. According to Sparks (2013:28), DD covers a broad range of social, economic and geographical differences in access to and use of digital equipment and services. Sparks further explains that these differences (social, economic and geographical) are most notably personal computers, and the ability to access the Internet in terms of both physical connection and facility of use.
Digital divide comes in different forms, such as; those which is located on an international level, that is, between different countries, such as developed and developing countries, or located at an intra-national level, or within a country, such as rural or urban or DD between individuals with different levels of socio-economic factors (Cruz-Jesus, Oliveira & Bacao 2012:279). Haight, Quan-Haase and Corbett (2014:506) found, in their study of Canadian society, that differences in access to ICTs exist between those with low income, rural or urban dwellers, low education and immigration status. Learners coming from families with high incomes, far-reaching and influential social relationships and high skills have a greater affinity for using digital tools when compared with those from low- or middle-income families (Krish et al. 2018:2).

This study focuses on the DD amongst individuals with different levels of socio-economic factors.

Socio-economic factors are the social and economic experiences and realities that help in developing one’s personality, attitudes and lifestyle (Chase 2017). Chase further explained that socio-economic factors include education, income and occupation, place of residence and culture or ethnicity. Chetty et al. (2018:2) identified that families from low- or middle-income groups have a limited access to digital technologies, such as computers, internet and ICT resources, because of high cost and lack of infrastructure. Camerini, Schulz and JJeannet (2018:2491) supported the statement by explaining that not only do people from the lower socio-economic status (SES) not have access to such technologies but also they lack knowledge on how to access these technologies. The DD has impacted the society socially, economically and technologically.

**Access of information and communication technologies in South Africa**

Information and communication technologies are a very important aspect for socialising and can also be used for shopping, banking, communicating and supporting our education. Despite the growing use of ICTs, members of the society have different levels of accessing ICTs (Soh et al. 2012:75). Accessing ICTs is sometimes very challenging, not only to community members but also to students. Broadbent and Papadopoulos (2013:5) indicated that it is very important for students to have access to and use of ICTs at schools for their learning because it contributes much to the economy. According to Gemiya (2020:51), developing countries, such as South Africa, find it difficult to enjoy life and improve their economy because of limited internet access and shortage of ICT accessibility.

**Impact of digital divide in students’ education**

Our educational system is on a higher demand to adopt and integrate ICT tools and techniques into teaching and learning processes (Esfijani & Zamani 2020:1). Social support from both schools and parents are influential factors impacting the access and use of ICT by students (Li & Ranieri 2013:198). Socio-economic circumstances also play a significant role in ICT access. Students may have access to technology (computers, telephones, etc.) whilst on campus, but do not have access to these technologies off campus (Barnard & Van der Merwe 2015). Despite ICT access at school, individual differences and home access can affect learners’ use and skills (Gudmundsdottir 2010). The study of Gudmundsdottir (2010) indicated that socio-economic differences, location and language affect learners’ opportunities and appetite to use ICT within and outside of schools.

The study of Naidoo and Raju (2012:38) on the impact of DD in information literacy training revealed that of 224 students who indicated their level of ICT experience, 148 (66%) had little or no ICT experience when they first came to the Durban University of Technology. Another study conducted by Gündüz (2010:48) on DD in Turkish primary schools revealed that a very few of the students who come from families of low socio-economic level have computers at home when compared with those who come from the middle socio-economic level families, and almost all of the students who come from higher socio-economic families have computer in their homes.

Another study of Vekiri (2010) found that there were significant differences amongst students by SES in accessing and using computers and the Internet outside schools and home, as well as in the nature and variety of their computer activities. This shows that students from low-SES backgrounds were at a disadvantage compared with those from the other SES groups. The study from Pew Research Center revealed that 78% of those living in the highest-income households use social media compared with 56% of those living in the lowest-income households (Perrin 2015).

**Social media for learning**

Social media refers to web-based and mobile application that allows individuals and organisations to create, engage and share a new user-generated or existing content in digital environments through multi-way communication (Davis et al. 2012). Social media encourage students to create relationship, provide them a collaborative environment to communicate with teachers and help them to create groups using social media learning tools so that the connection of students with their university will more likely improve their learning skills and to persist and complete their education (Hassan et al. 2019). Social media technologies, as demonstrated by Arif and Kanwal (2016), were found to be an effective means of communication in the HE institutions.

**Facebook**

Facebook, as suggested by Tiryakioğlu and Erzurum (2011:136), is the largest social media network with the biggest audience compared with other social media technologies, particularly because it enables people to effectively communicate with their friends and conveniently
exchange multimedia-based information. Facebook, as speculated by Singh (2018:141), is a profile-based site that simplifies the communication flow between users of the system through the content posted on the user’s personal page. He further explains that students can connect with their lecturers using Facebook. As suggested by Prescott, Wilson and Becket (2013), Facebook has been viewed as a good tool for encouraging peer support and informal learning between students, such as increased communication and support on course content and assessments.

Twitter
Twitter, as explained by Singh (2018:145), is a friendly networking site that allows the user to broadcast and receive messages from a smartphone, laptop, desktop, tablet or iPad and more. He further speculates that many institutions use it for educational interactions and up-to-date activities for students, teachers and administration. Educators who have developed a strong personal learning network on Twitter have access to the most recent information on any topic imaginable (Chamberlin & Lehmann 2011). The study carried out by Junco, Heibergert and Loken (2011:126) on college students’ engagement using Twitter revealed that Twitter allowed them to extend their conversations in ways that would not have been practical during the hour-long class sessions.

YouTube
YouTube is an example of social media that allows for the development of social relationships that revolves around uploaded videos (Moghavvemi et al. 2018:38). It was confirmed that YouTube is used by a large number of students for learning through videos. It has a dedicated, special channel for education called TeacherTube for teachers to upload their instructional materials and share with other educators (Liu 2010:112). There are evidences in education that YouTube has been used for the following purposes (Liu 2010:112): class videos have been provided for flexible learning amongst students, videos used as a visual aid to help students to better understand the course content, videos used to address different student learning styles and videos used as research resources.

Theoretical background
Theories and models are significant in directing the research process (Mokwena & Hlebela 2018). The study employed social cognitive theory developed by Bandura (1986) to investigate how the DD impact the adoption of social media for learning, because according to this theory, people are neither driven by inner forces nor automatically shaped and controlled by the environment (Bandura 1989).

The model is conceptualised to suit this research study. In the conceptualised model, personal and environmental factors influence each other, and they both affect the behaviour of the individual. As outlined by Bandura (1989) in social cognitive theory, personal factors include biological properties of the organism, individual’s expectation, beliefs and self-perceptions, whilst environmental factors encompass the physical and social environments. Personal and environmental factors as shown in the following model to address the issue of DD.

The constructs are explained as follows:

Personal factors
Personal factors include cognitive, affective and biological characteristics of the individual. Ramirez, Kulinna and Cothran (2012) stated that personal factors include knowledge, perceived self-efficacy and outcome expectations related to the behaviour adoption. Personal factors can be explained using sub-constructs: self-efficacy, awareness and outcome expectations:

Hypothesis 1: Personal factors will have a positive impact on adoption of social media to facilitate student learning in HE institutions.

Self-efficacy
Self-efficacy is defined as the belief in one’s capabilities to achieve a goal or an outcome. Self-efficacy beliefs determine how people feel, think, motivate themselves and behave, and such beliefs produce these diverse effects through four major processes, which include cognitive, motivational, affective and selection processes (Friedman 1998):

Hypothesis 1(a): Self-efficacy will have a positive impact on students’ personal factors.

Awareness
Awareness is the degree at which users are aware of and have knowledge of the new technology, and how it works. According to Rengert (2011), behavioural factors are influenced by knowledge and skills. If an individual has knowledge and skills or aware of an innovation, it becomes easier for the person to adopt:

Hypothesis 1b: Awareness will have a positive impact on students’ personal factors.
Outcome expectations

Outcome expectations are the beliefs about the consequences or outcomes of performing behaviour. These are based on the individual’s belief regarding the behaviour-outcome relationship (Keller et al. 1999):

Hypothesis 1c: Outcome expectation will have a positive impact on students’ personal factors.

Environmental factors

According to Anderson et al. (2010:22) in social cognitive theory, environmental factors directly influence individuals’ self-efficacy to perform good behaviours. Cunningham et al. (2005:125) also emphasised that environmental factors are believed to directly influence self-efficacy, which, in turn, influence subsequent interests, choice of goals and actions:

Hypothesis 2: Environmental factors will have a positive impact on adoption of social media to facilitate student learning in HE institutions.

Socio-economic factors

Socio-economic factors are the social and economic experiences and realities that help to develop one’s personality, attitudes and lifestyle (Chase 2017). According to Chase, socio-economic factors include education, income and occupation, place of residence and culture or ethnicity:

Hypothesis 2a: Socio-economic factors will have a positive impact on students’ environmental factors.

Personal factors and environmental factors also have a reciprocal relationship, whereby personal factors have an impact on environmental factors, whilst environmental factors have an impact on personal factors.

Materials and methods

A quantitative study using a survey method was used to conduct the research study. Quantitative research necessitates the diminution of phenomena to arithmetical values in order to carry out statistical analysis (Gelo, Braakmann & Benetka 2008). This research study collected quantitative data using a closed-ended questionnaire because it provides the researcher with numerical data (Zohrabi 2013). The sample population for this research study included 600 first-year students from a multi-campus university in South Africa. Probability and non-probability sampling methods were used for this study. Probability random sampling was used for the selection of participants because it ensures external validity and generalisability, and reduces the possibilities of sampling biases (Fox, Hunn & Mathers 2007). Non-probability sampling was purposively used to select first-year students, and probability sampling was employed to select 300 first-year students randomly from each of the campuses. These two campuses were purposively selected because one is situated in an urban metropolitan city. Students who study there come from different backgrounds, and other campus, on the other hand, was selected because it is one of the distance campuses where majority of the students come from rural areas.

Applying the identified social cognitive theory factors and the DD elements, a questionnaire was constructed and used to collect the research data. According to Ilorah (2017), questionnaire is a data collection technique where respondents answer research questions by selecting their appropriate choices from given answers or supplying their own answers electronically or on a hard copy. They were hand delivered and later collected back. The hand-delivery method provides a high response rate, and the researcher has an opportunity to know who received the questionnaire and who did not receive them (Phellas, Bloch & Seale 2012). IBM SPSS 24.0 was used for descriptive statistics and for testing the validity and reliability of data collected. Out of the 600 questionnaires distributed, 321 were found to be useful for analysis.

In order to design the survey questionnaire, the guidelines as provided by Babbie (2005) and Kumar (2011) were followed. Each construct was represented on the survey by multiple statement items. Some of the statements and questions were adapted from the study of Dewar et al. (2012) and were formulated to suit this study.

The questionnaire was structured as a multiple-choice closed statement item where participants were asked to select their level of agreement with each statement. Before distributing the questionnaire, they were given to two PhD students, three Master students and five first-year students to pretest to check for ambiguous statements, negative, double barreled questions, errors, instruction clarity and duplicate items. The questionnaire was then restructured using the feedback from the pretest. The instrument was designed primarily to assess the impact of DD on the adoption of social media for learning using the constructs of social cognitive theory as in the conceptual model.

Ethical considerations

The necessary ethical clearance was obtained from Research Ethics Committee of Tshwane University of Technology with Ref #: REC/2017/05/002. The study also ensured that participants’ privacy and confidentiality were maintained, and all issues raised by them were respected and taken into consideration. The Research Ethics Committee had approved the questionnaire for this research study.

Results

The result revealed that majority of the participants (67.0%) were from the rural-based campus and others (33.0%) were from the urban-based campus. The result also showed that out of the 321 usable questionnaires, majority of the respondents were female (61.1%) and others (38.9%) were male. Most of the respondents were between the ages of 18–24 years (85.7%), followed by age group 25–34 (14.3%).
The result also demonstrates that majority of the participants were from rural areas (74.1%), as compared to urban areas (25.9%). In terms of mobile ownership, the result showed that 70.1% of students own smartphones, 16.2% of them own tablets and only 13.7% own a cell phone without Internet connection. This means that 86.3% of students were able to access Internet from their phones. It also reveals that students in HE institutions are aware of social media usage, its technologies and qualities. Majority of the respondents (85.3%) showed awareness of social media tools, and 84.7% of them highlighted that they were aware that social media can be used to facilitate learning. About 75.1% of the respondents indicated that they are aware of the fact that social media can help them to interact with their fellow students.

The results of correlation analysis show a positive relationship between awareness ($r = 0.253^{**}$, $p < 0.01$) and behavioural strategies, which means that the more the students are aware of social media usage, the more they intend to adopt it. The results of regression analysis also proved awareness ($Beta = 0.213$, $Sig. = 0.000$) as one of the main predictors of personal factors and, therefore, has an impact on adoption of social media for learning.

Most of the participants believed that if they had access to ICTs, such as computer and Internet, they would find it helpful to use social media for their studies. The analysis revealed that 75.7% of the respondents agreed that it was easy for them to learn using social media. On the other hand, 81.6% believed that they had the knowledge of using social media for their studies and 79.4% believed that they had the ability to use social media to support their learning studies. The results of correlation analysis revealed that more students believed that they had knowledge, ability and skills of using social media and intend to adopt social media for learning. The correlation showed a positive relationship between self-efficacy ($r = 0.236^{**}$, $p < 0.01$) and behavioural strategies.

The results of regression analysis revealed self-efficacy ($Beta = 0.220$, $Sig. = 0.000$), showing that it has an impact on personal factors, which, in turn, impacts the adoption of social media for learning. The findings show that 68.0% of students responded that social media could help to improve their learning. On the other hand, 85.7% believed that it could help them interact with their classmates online, and 82.8% believed that social media could help them be updated with their course or subject. The correlation analysis results revealed that outcome expectations have no relationship ($r = 0.097$, $p > 0.00$) with behavioural strategies. Regression analysis also supported the correlation analysis results by showing that outcome expectations (Beta = -0.053, $Sig. = 0.374$) do not have an impact on adoption of social media for learning in HE institutions. From the analysis, although the participants agreed strongly on the benefits that social media can offer for learning, the result indicates that outcome expectation does not predict adoption.

The result also shows that out of the 46.1% of the participants who had access to computers at home, only 27.8% had computers with access to the Internet. In terms of public services, 36.5% of the students indicated that they had a public library where they could access computers connected to the Internet for free of charge.

In contrast, only 47.4% of students responded positively that they had an Internet cafe in their area where they could access pay-to-use Internet. The correlation analysis results revealed that there is a negative relationship between socio-economic factors ($r = -0.045$, $p > 0.00$) and behavioural strategies. The results of regression analysis also supported the correlation analysis result by showing that socio-economic factors ($Beta = -0.120$, $Sig. = 0.030$) are not significant predictors in this study. This could be as a result of the finding that majority of the students had smartphones or Internet-enabled phones, which suggested that they could access social media from their phones. From the observation and preliminary exploration, it shows that students sell their books and skip meals in order to buy smartphones. Beger and Sinha (2012) earlier indicated that 72% of South African youth within the age group of 15–24 years own cell phones with Internet connection.

Four hypotheses were formulated and tested using a linear regression analysis approach by reverting each of the independent variable to a dependent variable - behavioural strategies. From the four hypotheses formulated, three are predicting personal factors, which, in turn, predict behavioural strategies; that is, awareness, intended to explain that self-efficacy and outcome expectations fall under personal factors from this study’s conceptual model, and socio-economic factors are explained as environmental factors.

The findings of this research study prove that personal factors measured using awareness and self-efficacy are the main predictor of impact on adoption of social media for learning. Outcome expectations and socio-economic factors do not have a significant impact on adoption of social media.

Awareness (H1[a]) was supported. H1(a) stated that awareness has a positive impact on personal factors. Based on the result, many students responded that they are aware of social media. Correlation analysis results revealed that there is a positive relationship between awareness ($r = 0.253^{**}$, $p < 0.01$) and behavioural strategies. Regression analysis also proved that awareness is one of the main predictors of personal factors and indirectly has an impact on adoption of social media. Therefore, awareness proved to have an impact on personal factors, and hence, an impact on adoption of social media.

Self-efficacy (H1[b]) was supported. H1(b) stated that self-efficacy has a positive impact on students’ personal factors. The outcome from the correlation analysis shows a positive relationship between self-efficacy ($r = 0.236^{**}$, $p < 0.01$) and behavioural strategies. Regression analysis also proved self-efficacy as one of the main predictors of personal factors,
and hence, has an impact on adoption of social media for learning. This means that the more the students believed that they have abilities, knowledge and skills to use social media, the more they become interested in adopting social media for their studies.

Outcome expectations (H1[c]) was not supported. H1(c) stated that outcome expectations have a positive impact on students’ personal factors. Correlation analysis results revealed a positive relationship between outcome expectations (r = 0.380**, p < 0.01) and self-efficacy and between outcome expectations (r = 0.352**, p < 0.01) and awareness. The results of regression analysis found outcome expectations (Beta = -0.053, Sig. = 0.374) to be not a significant predictor of impact on adoption of social media for learning. Therefore, outcome expectations were proven to have no impact on personal factors, and hence, no impact on the adoption of social media for learning.

Therefore, H1 was supported. H1 stated that personal factors have a positive impact on the adoption of social media to facilitate learning in HE institutions.

Socio-economic factors (H2[a]) was supported negatively. H2(a) stated that socio-economic factors have a positive impact on environmental factors. Environmental factors are described as factors that help to clarify why challenging behaviours sometimes increase or decrease following changes in where a person lives or how they spend their days. The correlation analysis results revealed a negative relationship between socio-economic factors (r = -0.045, p > 0.00) and behavioural strategy. The results of regression analysis supported the correlation analysis by proving that socio-economic factors (Beta = -0.120, Sig. = 0.030) are not significant predictors of impact on the adoption of social media for learning. Students’ physical surroundings, in addition to situations that they encounter, do not have an impact on their behaviour. Therefore, socio-economic factors do not have an impact on environmental factors, which means no impact on adoption of social media.

The result proved that H2 was not supported. H2 stated that environmental factors have a positive impact on adoption of social media to facilitate student learning in HE institutions.

Discussion

This research study was required to answer the following research problem: ‘Why are many students in higher education institutions still not adopting social media technologies for their studies, despite the availability of social media and its benefits?’

The general conclusion of this study, based on the findings, is as follows:

- Majority of the students from HE institutions own smartphones and tablets, which they can use to access the Internet, and students believe that they have abilities and knowledge to using social media for their studies.
- The findings also revealed that majority of the students are aware of the fact that social media can be used to improve their learning.
- Variables, such as self-efficacy and awareness, have a significant impact on the adoption of social media, whereas outcome expectations and socio-economic factors were not found to have a significant impact on adoption of social media for learning.
- It was also found that majority of the students do not have access to computers and devices connected to the Internet at their homes. The findings also revealed that majority of the students do not find help on how to use computers at home. However, it was concluded from the analysed response from the participants that these social factors (low income, unemployment and low education levels) do not impact the adoption of social media for learning.
- The findings also show that majority of the students do not have public libraries in their areas where they can access Internet for free; the majority also indicated that there is no money at home that they can use to buy Internet session at Internet café. However, this does not impact the adoption of social media for learning. This can only be attributed to the high ownership of Internet-enabled cell phones by students.

The major limitation of this study is that it was focused only on one university, which could limit the generalisation of findings, unlike if the findings compared two or more different universities. The study also focused on two campuses out of the seven campuses of Tshwane University of Technology. If more campuses were included, maybe the findings would have been different. Another limitation arising from this study was that the study focused only on three social media technologies. Maybe if more social media technologies were included, more information would have come to light.

It is recommended that future research in this field should cover at least two or more universities in a way, which will help to increase the generalisability of the findings, and studies on how ownership of computers and devices connected to the Internet, especially amongst students from disadvantaged backgrounds, can be promoted.

Conclusion

This research study investigated the impact of DD on adoption of social media for learning. The study reported the findings from the quantitative research study, where the data were collected from 600 first-year students of two campuses of a multi-campus university in South Africa. Only 321 of 440 questionnaires returned were found to be useful for analysis. The study proposed social cognitive theory in purpose of understanding the Socio-economic and Personal factors that have impact in the adoption of social media for learning.
From the results analysed, DD factors that were found to have an impact on adoption of social media were identified. The conclusion drawn from demographic factors showed that majority of the students own phones with Internet capability. A lack of access to computers – and devices connected to the Internet – was found to have no impact on adoption of social media. All hypotheses were tested, and three hypotheses were supported and three were not supported. Self-efficacy and awareness were shown to have a significant impact on adoption of social media, whereas outcome expectations and socio-economic factors were found to have no significant impact on adoption of social media for learning.
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