

Dolutegravir for second-line treatment: Programmatic implications of new evidence

**Authors:**

Ying Zhao^{1,2} 
Gary Maartens^{2,3} 
Graeme Meintjes^{1,2} 

Affiliations:

¹Department of Medicine,
Faculty of Health Science,
University of Cape Town,
Cape Town, South Africa

²Wellcome Centre for
Infectious Diseases Research
in Africa, Institute of
Infectious Disease and
Molecular Medicine,
University of Cape Town,
Cape Town, South Africa

³Division of Clinical
Pharmacology, Department
of Medicine, University of
Cape Town, Cape Town,
South Africa

Corresponding author:

Ying Zhao,
yingzhao1126@gmail.com

How to cite this article:

Zhao Y, Maartens G,
Meintjes G. Dolutegravir
for second-line treatment:
Programmatic implications
of new evidence. *S Afr J HIV
Med.* 2022;23(1), a1428.
[https://doi.org/10.4102/
sajhivmed.v23i1.1428](https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhivmed.v23i1.1428)

Copyright:

© 2022. The Authors.
Licensee: AOSIS. This work
is licensed under the
Creative Commons
Attribution License.

Read online:

Scan this QR
code with your
smart phone or
mobile device
to read online.

Dolutegravir, an integrase strand transfer inhibitor, with an optimised nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) backbone is the World Health Organization (WHO)-recommended second-line antiretroviral therapy (ART) regimen for adults after failing a first-line regimen based on a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI), either nevirapine or efavirenz.¹ This WHO recommendation is based on the DAWNING study, which showed that dolutegravir was superior in both safety and efficacy compared to lopinavir-ritonavir, when administered with two NRTIs, at least one of which had to be fully active on resistance testing.² The World Health Organization recommends substituting tenofovir with zidovudine when switching to second-line ART to ensure that there will be at least one fully active NRTI because the signature tenofovir resistance mutation K65R does not compromise zidovudine's effectiveness and there is limited access to resistance testing in high-burden, resource-limited settings to select an optimised NRTI backbone.³

The question has been raised whether recycling tenofovir and lamivudine (or emtricitabine) with dolutegravir in second-line ART could be an effective and easily implementable regimen. Tenofovir is less toxic than zidovudine⁴ and is dosed once rather than twice daily, which improves adherence. Recent evidence has shown that recycling tenofovir in second-line ART is efficacious. The NADIA study randomly assigned participants in a 2 × 2 factorial design to daily dolutegravir or darunavir-ritonavir combined with either tenofovir or zidovudine (both with lamivudine).⁵ At week 96, recycling tenofovir was superior to switching to zidovudine (percentage point difference 7.0%, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.2% – 12.8%).⁵ ARTIST, a prospective cohort study of recycled tenofovir and lamivudine with dolutegravir in second-line ART, reported that 85% of 60 participants achieved HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL at week 24, despite 65% having resistance to both tenofovir and lamivudine at baseline.⁶ In the VISEND randomised trial, 83% achieved HIV-1 RNA < 1000 copies/mL in the tenofovir-lamivudine-dolutegravir group at week 48, compared with 82% in the atazanavir-ritonavir-zidovudine-lamivudine group and 69% in the lopinavir-ritonavir-zidovudine-lamivudine group.⁷ It is well established that the modest effect of NRTIs on reducing viral fitness in the presence of NRTI resistance is both necessary and sufficient to achieve virologic suppression in combination with a protease inhibitor⁸ – this is likely also true for dolutegravir as over 90% of those taking either dolutegravir or darunavir-ritonavir and two NRTIs with resistance to both NRTIs achieved virologic suppression in the NADIA study.⁵ In our view, these findings from recent studies strengthen the evidence base for recycling tenofovir and lamivudine (or emtricitabine) with dolutegravir in second-line ART in resource-limited settings.

There is an important caveat for clinicians to be aware of: resistance to dolutegravir has been documented more frequently in second-line when compared with first-line ART. Emergent dolutegravir resistance was reported in a small proportion of integrase inhibitor-naïve participants switching to second-line dolutegravir-based ART in randomised trials (9 [4%] of 235 participants by week 96 in NADIA and 6 [2%] of 314 participants by week 159 in DAWNING).^{2,5,9} Notably, emergence of protease inhibitor resistance did not occur in either NADIA or DAWNING, indicating that dolutegravir has a lower genetic barrier to resistance than protease inhibitors when dolutegravir is administered with NRTIs potentially compromised by resistance mutations. Risk factors associated with emergent dolutegravir resistance include intermittent adherence, drug-drug interactions, high baseline HIV-1 RNA and active opportunistic infections.⁹ Further research is needed to better understand the risks associated with the development of dolutegravir resistance and particularly when combined with pre-existing resistance to NRTIs, as well as strategies to mitigate dolutegravir resistance selection and second-line failure.

Darunavir-ritonavir was non-inferior to dolutegravir for the outcome of virologic suppression at week 96 in the NADIA study⁵ and is, therefore, a robust alternative to dolutegravir in

second-line ART. The cost and availability of a fixed-dose combination with NRTIs currently favour the use of dolutegravir over darunavir-ritonavir. Darunavir-ritonavir with two NRTIs, even if there is resistance to both these NRTIs, should be an effective treatment option if virologic failure with dolutegravir resistance develops on dolutegravir-based second-line ART.

The NADIA study investigators argue that patients switching to dolutegravir after virologic failure on a NNRTI-based first-line regimen are a high-risk group for developing resistance and HIV-1 RNA rebound on dolutegravir-based second-line regimens should trigger intensive adherence counselling and an earlier repeat HIV-1 RNA test following adherence interventions, based on the observation that most participants who developed dolutegravir resistance self-reported suboptimum adherence at multiple study visits.⁵ A cohort study in East and Central Africa reported that patients who switched from a first-line NNRTI regimen to dolutegravir with HIV-1 RNA \geq 1000 copies/mL or unknown HIV-1 RNA levels had worse HIV treatment outcomes compared with those who switched with HIV-1 RNA $<$ 200 copies/mL.¹⁰ Therefore, these patients may benefit from additional clinical monitoring and adherence support.

In summary, dolutegravir in second-line ART with recycled tenofovir is more effective than switching to zidovudine. However, emergent dolutegravir resistance in a small minority of participants raises a public health concern as dolutegravir is recommended in most patients requiring first-line ART. There is, therefore, a need for appropriate surveillance programmes to monitor the emergence of dolutegravir resistance in second-line ART.

Acknowledgements

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no financial or personal relationships that may have inappropriately influenced them in writing this article.

Authors' contributions

Y.Z. wrote and revised the manuscript. G. Maartens and G. Meintjes assisted with the development and revision of the manuscript.

Ethical considerations

This article followed all ethical standards for research without direct contact with human or animal subjects.

Funding information

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Data availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed.

Disclaimer

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of any affiliated agency of the authors.

References

1. World Health Organization. Consolidated guidelines on HIV prevention, testing, treatment, service delivery and monitoring: Recommendations for a public health approach [homepage on the Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 June 21] July. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240031593>
2. Underwood M, Horton J, Nangle K, et al. Integrase inhibitor resistance mechanisms and structural characteristics in antiretroviral therapy-experienced, integrase inhibitor-naïve adults with HIV-1 infection treated with dolutegravir plus two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors in the DAWNING study. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2021;66(1):e01643-21. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01643-21>
3. Chamartin F, Ostinelli CHD, Anastos K, et al. International epidemiology databases to evaluate AIDS (IeDEA) in sub-Saharan Africa, 2012–2019. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10(5):e035246. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035246>
4. Gallant JE, DeJesus E, Arribas JR, et al. Tenofovir DF, emtricitabine, and efavirenz vs. zidovudine, lamivudine, and efavirenz for HIV. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;354(3):251–260. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa051871>
5. Paton NI, Musaaazi J, Kityo C, et al. Efficacy and safety of dolutegravir or darunavir in combination with lamivudine plus either zidovudine or tenofovir for second-line treatment of HIV infection (NADIA): Week 96 results from a prospective, multicentre, open-label, factorial, randomised, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet HIV*. 2022;9(6):e381–e393.
6. Keene CM, Griesel R, Zhao Y, et al. Virologic efficacy of tenofovir, lamivudine and dolutegravir as second-line antiretroviral therapy in adults failing a tenofovir-based first-line regimen: A prospective cohort study. *AIDS*. 2021;35(9):1423. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000000002936>
7. Mulenga L, Fwoloshi S, Mweemba A. Dolutegravir with recycled nRTIs is noninferior to PI-based ART: VISEND trial. Presented at 2022 CROI; online, 12–16 and 22–24 Feb.
8. Hakim JG, Thompson J, Kityo C, et al. Lopinavir plus nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors, lopinavir plus raltegravir, or lopinavir monotherapy for second-line treatment of HIV (EARNEST): 144-week follow-up results from a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2018;18(1):47–57. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(17\)30630-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30630-8)
9. Cevik M, Orkin C, Sax PE. Emergent resistance to dolutegravir among INSTI-naïve patients on first-line or second-line antiretroviral therapy: A review of published cases. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2020;7(6):ofaa202. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofaa202>
10. Romo ML, Edwards JK, Semeere AS, et al. Viral load status before switching to dolutegravir-containing antiretroviral therapy and associations with HIV treatment outcomes in sub-Saharan Africa. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021;ciab1006. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab1006>