Association of teacher feedback with scholastic attainment at secondary level in Pakistan
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In this article we report on the association between teacher feedback and scholastic attainment at Secondary School Certificate (SSC) level in Pakistan. The study was conducted with data collected from Pakistani secondary school teachers and students through a survey study using multistage sampling. The objectives of the study were (1) to explore the possible differences in the effect of feedback by male and female teachers on the academic achievement of students in large, medium, and small secondary schools; (2) to compute the relationship of teacher feedback on the scholastic accomplishment at secondary level. The research instrument (questionnaire) with 14 closed-ended statements was developed, validated through experts in the field of education, and internal consistency of the tool was measured using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) – the calculated Cronbach Alpha was 0.814. Two hypotheses for the study were formulated and tested by using non-parametric statistical tests in order to achieve the objectives of the study. The results show that teachers’ feedback during the teaching-learning process has a positive association with academic achievement of students. Furthermore, formative feedback, diagnostic feedback, gestural feedback, immediate feedback and feedback on classroom discussion are used to overcome the behavioural and learning issues of low and high achievers. The findings of the study suggest that variety in teacher feedback techniques during the teaching-learning process should be promoted to enhance the quality of students’ academic achievement.
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Introduction
Written feedback from teachers to students in the learning process has been ignored due to teachers’ workloads. Proper written feedback can stimulate learning and enhance performance without negatively effecting students’ self-esteem (Siewert, 2011).

Teacher feedback is considered as an essential ingredient of the teaching-learning process, because both instructor and learner can benefit. As a result of teacher feedback, learners are motivated to correct their task while the instructors are inspired to enhance the learners’ academic achievement. The effective teaching-learning process is based upon constructive teacher feedback which enhances students’ feeling autonomous in the learning process. The effective use of teacher feedback in a systematic way of making the learning environment more interesting for learners to feel motivated and to achieve high performance (Ovando, 1994).

Amadi and Paul (2017) state that the teaching process is not as uncomplicated as people think; in fact, it is a complicated process, which is a combination of reality, intention, devotion, life experiences, knowledge, skills and abilities that flow from teacher to student to create the learning process. Teacher feedback is a process of communication, which is meaningless until it is perceived by students. Therefore, teacher feedback is a tool to interest students in learning through two-way communication. Many students like teacher feedback that is enthusiastic, motivating, devoted and displays a sense of humour (Tan, Whipp, Gagné & Van Quaquebeke, 2019).

Teacher feedback is an effective tool to encourage students to reach higher levels of academic achievement. The teacher’s feedback in the form of advice, praise or encouragement helps keeps students to remain focussed in their learning and to overcome obstacles (Santrock, 2007). Receiving written feedback from the teacher on homework tasks makes students happy and motivates them to achieve better (Crisp, 2007). Thus, the mode and style of teacher feedback provide the basic foundation for the educational achievement of young learners in the learning environment.

Quality education is a fundamental right of every child in the classroom environment, but may not be achieved without proper feedback from teachers. When teachers are not aware of the types of feedback and feedback techniques and strategies, students may not receive quality education, which is reflected in low-level scholastic attainment. Most of the teachers use motivational words like good, very good, excellent, poor and weak. However, these motivational remarks cannot be considered as proper teacher feedback. Teacher feedback is a form of information about students’ learning task that flows from teachers to students; it should thus be positive, actionable, achievable, and on the same level as the students’ mental ability to achieve the learning objectives (Qu & Zhang, 2013).
Statement of Research
The research was undertaken in Pakistan to explore the mode and style of teacher feedback and its effectiveness on the educational achievement at secondary level.

Objectives
The objectives of the study were designed by taking teacher feedback as independent variable and academic achievement as dependent variable. The objectives were (1) to explore the possible difference in effect of male and female teachers’ feedback on the academic achievement of students in large, medium, and small secondary schools; (2) to compute the relationship of teacher feedback on the scholastic accomplishment at secondary level.

Hypotheses of the Research
The following two null hypotheses were framed to attain the objectives of the research:
Hypothesis 1: There is no significant effect of male and female teachers’ feedback on the academic achievement of students in large, medium, and small schools.
Hypothesis 2: There is no significant relationship between inclusive teacher feedback and scholastic attainment of students at secondary level.

Significance
The study may be beneficial to educational readers in developing countries as the provision of quality education is an important aspect in the 21st century. However, the provision of quality education may not be possible without improvement in the teaching-learning process, which directly involves the teacher using different feedback techniques to provide students with proper feedback. There are 123,081 Pakistanis living in South Africa (Ministry of Labour, Manpower & Overseas Pakistanis, 2014) who form part of the human resources in the country and thus participate in the national economy of the country in various capacities. Moreover, teachers’ professionalism and expertise can be contextualised and transcend national boundaries, and may be used towards continuous professional development of teachers through new research approaches (Robson & Wihlborg, 2019). This article may also benefit overseas Pakistanis and education policy makers in developing countries regarding the quality of the teaching-learning process, which ultimately contributes to economic growth of that country.

Review of Literature
Teacher feedback becomes a more powerful tool when students understand the objectives of classroom learning. Without being aware of the learning objectives, students are in a confused state; therefore, it is necessary to create awareness about objectives prior to teaching and learning (Lee, 2008). At different stages of the teaching-learning process, teacher feedback is provided either in oral or written form. Inspiration and dedication are considered as two basic elements of feedback. The first element relates to intrinsic motivation of students, which provides the stimulus towards achievement of objectives (McGarrell & Verbeem, 2007; Truax, 2018). Feedback provides the opportunities to adjust expected standards on the basis of previous achievement (Clarke & Boud, 2007; Hattie & Timperley, 2007). These authors further suggest that teacher feedback is based on three fundamental questions: When to move? How to move? and Where to move? It facilitates curiosity during the learning process (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Teacher feedback is a combination of gestural, written, verbal, formative and summative feedback, which is shown in Figure 1.
The educational performance of students at school level can be intensified through proper and timely teacher feedback (Hattie, 2009; Pollock, 2012). The gestures and feedback by the teacher is considered as the most substantial instrument to enhance academic achievement in educational environments (Hattie, 2009). In the same context, Wiggins (2010) states that teacher feedback must be used to obtain learning objectives, it should be more friendly, clear, accurate, specific, stable, concrete, measurable, motivational and understandable as per the mental age of learners.

Fluckiger, Vigil, Pasco and Danielson (2010) describe the planning of formative feedback in relation to time and engagement of students in the learning process as one of teachers’ challenges. Students’ thinking and perception can be modified in order to enhance the level of learning through formative teacher feedback, by considering students as partners during formative feedback. Teacher feedback can be made more effective with the involvement of students during formative feedback. There are four kinds of formative feedback through which students are involved as partners in the learning process:

1) Formative quiz presentation on process and product.
2) Mid-term consultations during the semester on the basis of discussions.
3) Sharing of students’ questions, statements and formative ideas among the students.
4) Providing immediate feedback with collaborative approaches.

Wiggins (2010) has observed that the most common practices of teacher feedback during the teaching-learning process, consists of identical written remarks, i.e. good, very good, poor performance, poor, weak, or excellent. Some teachers use symbols like one star, two stars and three stars, and on the other hand, some present feedback in the form of questions like Why? Where? and When? None of these comments provide proper guidelines towards the attainment of learning objectives; however, they may create extrinsic motivation among learners towards learning. Teacher feedback is information that flows from teacher to student, and information cannot be represented in eliciting form.

Studies show that the Grade Point Average (GPA) in school subjects can be enhanced through periodic meetings of parents and through proper teacher feedback (García Bacete & Remírez, 2001). Active involvement of parents and verbal or written feedback form teachers are essential for the learning process, which enhances academic achievement (Grolnick, Price, Beiswenger & Sauck, 2007). In the same context, Lezotte and McKee Snyder (2010) describe the correlation between academic

![Figure 1 Ingredients of teacher feedback](image-url)
achievement and teacher feedback. The direction of teacher feedback can be managed by subject command, management of anecdotal record, guidance and supervision during the teaching-learning process.

Hattie and Timperley (2007) state that according to the nature of tasks, feedback can be classified in four levels:

1) Engagement of students towards learning new knowledge, which is fact focused and creates the awareness about right or wrong, true or false etc. This level of feedback is done through questions during learning, which assembles surface knowledge among students. The whole class is involved at this level.

2) Proceeding tasks of students towards the attainment of goals. At this level feedback is provided to reduce the cognitive load, anxiety, error recognition and re-evaluation, and also suggests some strategies to overcome the errors. This level of feedback is based on the individual as well as in group form, which enhances deeper learning among students.

3) Self-evaluation of students is carried out on this level of feedback, which provides confidence, encouragement and self-regulation among the students to accept challenges in acceptable mode. The third level of feedback is considered as effective because it enables students to remove inconsistency.

4) Students’ intrinsic feelings about the task should be kept at this level, which can be carried out through praise. The expectations about tasks can enhance the level of learning. Critical remarks should be avoided in this phase.

**Methodology**

In this study we used descriptive (survey) research. The survey design is presented in Figure 2.
Data regarding gestural feedback, formative feedback, verbal feedback, written feedback, and summative feedback were gathered. The data were gathered through a survey method from male and female secondary school teachers and from boys and girls in the 10th grade from sample schools. The survey consisted of a closed-ended questionnaire on a five-point Likert scale, (Shields & Tajalli, 2006).

Population
The population of the study comprised of 2,375 high school teachers (male = 1,271, female = 1,104), and 12,648 high school boys and girls (boys = 7,020, girls = 5,628) in 134 high schools of federal government educational institutions of 10 regions in four provinces of Pakistan (Federal Government Educational Institutions (C/G), n.d.).

Sample and Data Sources
In this study data were gathered from high school teachers and students in the 10th grade during the academic sessions of 2013 to 2015 under multistage sampling techniques. The sample of the study consisted of 380 secondary school teachers (male = 190, female = 190), 430 secondary school students (boys = 215, girls = 215) in 39 secondary schools (schools for boys = 20, schools for girls = 19). An autonomous organisation, the Federal Board of

Figure 2 Research design of the study
Intermediate and Secondary Education (FBISE) Pakistan was the examining and assessing body of all the secondary schools. The overall response rate in large, medium and small category schools was 93.44%. The tree for sampling in the four stages of the multistage sampling for the study is shown in Figure 3 below.

Figure 3 Stages of multistage sampling

Categories
Three categories (see Table 1) were framed for the research purpose on the basis of the number of students who took the Secondary School Certificate part one (SSC-I) annual examination 2014.

Table 1 Area-wise categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Categories of schools</th>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Number of students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Rawalpindi, Wah</td>
<td>8,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Lahore, Multan, Peshawar</td>
<td>2,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Kharian, Gujranwala, Bahawalpur, Karachi, Quetta</td>
<td>1,743</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multistage sampling is a type of cluster sampling in which the whole population is divided into small clusters for research purpose (Wolter, 2007). In the first stage of multistage sampling, 10 regions were selected for the research purpose. In the second stage, secondary schools were sampled randomly and in the third stage secondary school teachers were sampled to facilitate research.

Initially, category-wise results of the association between feedback by teachers and the scholastic attainment of students were presented. In Table 5 the three categories were integrated and results obtained in toto, which has led to the generalisation that in all categories teachers’ feedback and scholastic attainment of secondary school students have significant association.

Development of Research Tool
Using descriptive (survey) research techniques, a 14-statements questionnaire was developed using a five-point Likert scale for data collection. The questionnaire was designed to cover the aspects of feedback including the attitude of students towards teacher feedback, clarity of feedback, detail of feedback, immediate or delayed feedback, teacher feedback on assignments, formative feedback during the teaching-learning process, taking care of individual differences, reduction of students’ cognitive load, and behavioural problems in class. The survey was conducted to solicit the respondents’ opinions. The survey was translated into the national language, Urdu, to obtain accurate responses from the students. The reliability (the quality of being trustworthy) of the research tool was measured by using the SPSS, while the validity of the tool was also validated by four experts in the field of education. The statistical Cronbach Alpha value was calculated as 0.814, which reflects internal consistency.
Analysis
Non-parametric distribution free statistical tests were applied for the analysis. The mode (techniques) and style of feedback (teacher) were explored through statistical chi square values, while the Mann Whitney U Test was used to associate educational attainment with inclusive teacher feedback. The information about the analysis is given below.

Table 2 Net teacher feedback result in high schools (large category)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>UD</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>SDA</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FT</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSG</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>1,330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1,540</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Significance level 0.05. Female teachers = FT, Secondary school girls = SSG, Male teachers = MT, Secondary school boys = SSB. Strongly agreed = SA, Agreed = A, Undecided = UD, Disagreed = DA, Strongly disagreed = SDA.

Table 2 depicts the sum of responses for the 14 items of the questionnaire under each category of responses for each level of the Likert scale on all feedback-related items. Accordingly, the total shown in the table is the sum of responses for all five categories of the scale (FT = 1,400; SSG = 1,400; MT = 1,330; SSB = 1,540), which relates to the number of respondents for each type of school. Male and female teachers and boys and girls in the 10th grade participated in the research. The non-parametric chi square test explains that the responses in the nature of the mode and style of feedback (teacher) in the large category, was calculated at 12 degrees of freedom and at the 0.05 significance level. Table 2 shows that the calculated value of the chi square was 157.78, which is much higher than the table value (21.03). Therefore, the hypothesis for the large category, \(H_{01}\), was not accepted.

Table 3 Net teacher feedback result in high schools (medium category)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>UD</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>SDA</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FT</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>770</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSG</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>658</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>798</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Significance level 0.05.

Table 3 shows the association between teacher feedback and educational attainment of the participants in the medium category institutions. The non-parametric chi square test explains that the responses in the nature of the mode and style of feedback (teacher) in the medium category, was calculated at 12 degrees of freedom and at the 0.05 significance level. The calculated value of the chi square was 86.27, which is much higher than the table value (21.03). Therefore, the hypothesis for medium category, \(H_{01}\), was rejected.

Table 4 Net teacher feedback result in high schools (small category)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>UD</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>SDA</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FT</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>308</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSG</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>630</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>308</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>756</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Significance level 0.05.

Table 4 explains the association between teacher feedback and educational attainment of the participants in the small category institutions. The non-parametric chi square test explains that the responses in the nature of the mode and style of feedback (teacher) in the small category, was calculated at 12 degrees of freedom and at the 0.05 significance level. The calculated value of the chi square was 78.91, which is much higher than the table value (21.03). Therefore, the hypothesis for small category, \(H_{01}\), was also rejected.

Relationship between feedback (teacher) and academic achievement

The relationship between the dependent variable (academic achievement) and the independent variable (teacher feedback) from participants in the research in all three categories, i.e. large, medium and small, is given in Table 5.
Table 5 Teacher feedback and academic achievement of large, medium and small categories of schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses “A”</th>
<th>Academic achievements level</th>
<th>Values for “A”</th>
<th>Ranks for “A”</th>
<th>Values for “B”</th>
<th>Ranks for “B”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3,467</td>
<td>“B”</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,644</td>
<td></td>
<td>628</td>
<td>1,305</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>588</td>
<td></td>
<td>858</td>
<td>1,594</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,594</td>
<td></td>
<td>632</td>
<td>3,467</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,305</td>
<td></td>
<td>119</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,903</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,903</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Result detail of A
Sum of ranks = 44, Mean of ranks = 8.8, Expected sum of ranks = 32.5, Expected mean of ranks = 6.5, U value = 6, Expected U value = 17.5.

Result detail of B
Sum of ranks = 34, Mean of ranks = 4.86, Expected sum of ranks = 45.5, Expected mean of ranks = 6.5, U value = 29, Expected U value = 17.5.

Combined results of A and B
Sum of ranks = 78, Mean of ranks = 6.5, SD = 6.1577.

Result A – z-ratio
The z-score is -1.7864. The p-value is 0.07346.

Result B – U-value
The U-value is 6. The critical value of U at $p \leq 0.05$ is 5.

Table 5 explains the influence of teacher feedback on the academic achievement of secondary school students. The computed $u$ value of the Mann Whitney $U$ test is greater than the table value. So, the second hypothesis, $H_{02}$, was not accepted.

Findings
It was observed that teacher feedback correlates positively with the scholastic achievement of boys and girls in 10th grade. Teacher feedback is a constructive tool through which students at secondary level boost their academic achievement, and learn more enthusiastically. The findings were consistent for all boys and girls in secondary schools (Tables 2–5).

Twenty-first century people are living in a global village because education, including aspects like curriculum, instruction, management, evaluation and mentoring are global phenomena. Therefore, most developing countries are trying to improve the quality of their education system through sharing of ideas, thoughts, issues, problems and solutions among the nations to produce human resources as needed and demanded in the 21st century. This article should prove helpful to any country to improve its instructional process – the enhancement of the education system will result in better human resource development and ultimately translate into economic benefits of the country.

Conclusion
Ahmad, Saeed and Salam (2013) studied the association between teacher feedback and the performance of students in examinations, which was found to be highly significant. They stated that improper teacher feedback leads students towards a state of confusion. In the same context, Siewert (2011) has observed that written teacher feedback enables the students to positive academic achievement (significant effect). The results of our study agree with those of both the afore-mentioned studies. According to Tables 2 to 4, the calculated values of the chi square and the Mann Whitney $U$ test (Table 5) were greater than the tabulated values, therefore, neither hypothesis was accepted, which illustrates the significance of teacher feedback in boys’ and girls’ secondary schools. It can be concluded that the significant effect exists between the variables of the study.

Recommendations
A more effective and objective classroom learning environment might be created through the right type of teacher feedback. Teachers may use verbal or written feedback to motivate students to achieve learning objectives at secondary level. In this way awareness can be created among the learners about their weaknesses and strengths to elevate the teaching-learning process.
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