Complications associated with central venous lines for paediatric oncology patients at Universitas Academic Hospital, Bloemfontein, from 1992 to 2018
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A venous access device is a catheter designed for continuous access to the venous system, which may be required for long-term parenteral feeding, administration of intravenous (IV) fluids and medication, or taking blood samples. Several types of central venous access devices (CVADs) are used, such as external tunneled cuffed catheters (e.g. Hickman and Broviac lines), chemo ports (totally implanted catheter ports) and peripherally inserted central catheters (PICCs).

In oncology patients, CVADs are typically used for administering pro-inflammatory chemotherapy agents, bone marrow transplants, antibiotics and fluids, and for blood sampling. With these patients needing medium- to long-term treatment (2 - 3 years), CVADs are commonly used to avoid multiple needle pricks of peripheral veins, thereby decreasing their anxiety and improving patients' quality of life during the treatment period, especially in patients with difficult peripheral IV access (non-visible or non-palpable veins).

Central venous catheters may cause complications in up to 40% of paediatric patients. Common complications seen in paediatric patients with chemo ports are those associated with bloodstream infections, local skin infections, wound dehiscence, mechanical complications, venous thrombosis and skin necrosis. The use of CVADs can also be associated with arterial puncture, haemothorax, stroke, arrhythmias and nerve damage.

Although fewer complications and risks are associated with Hickman lines, just like with any other CVAD, their lumens may become blocked, they may become infected, and the cut-down may result in scarring of the skin. Precaution must be taken not to contaminate the exposed external part of the line. External tunneled cuffed catheters are more prone to line sepsis than chemo ports (4.7 v. 1.5 episodes per 1 000 catheter days).

PICC lines are often used in oncology patients receiving short-term treatment or in patients outside the oncological setting, but can be associated with complications such as extravasation (81.6%) and infections (78.3%). Multiple attempts to insert a PICC line may introduce infection, which is a risk in an already immunocompromised patient. In addition, the use of PICCs can lead to compromised integrity of the peripheral veins over time, which makes reliable peripheral venous access increasingly difficult in these patients. Other options of CVADs which are more reliable and less prone to complications, are therefore preferred.

Chemo ports are totally implanted and therefore do not have an external part that can become contaminated and infected by direct contact to the environment. The only maintenance required is monthly flushing of the line when not in use. Patients with a chemo port can bath, shower and swim as normal. Because of these benefits, and also a lower rate of removal due to mechanical complications, chemo ports are preferred over Hickman lines for central venous access.

Risk factors for CVAD complications include the type of CVAD, underlying disease (more common in haematological malignancies) and patient age (more common in younger patients). Neutropenia is one of the most important risk factors for the outbreak of infections.
The choice of a CVAD depends on the typical complication rate of the approach and the risk of thrombotic or septic complications associated with a specific device, together with the planned therapy course and the clinical experience of the provider.\(^{16,17}\) Choosing the appropriate device for the oncology patient should be part of proactive vascular access planning.

The Paediatric Haematology Oncology Unit at the Universitas Academic Hospital, Bloemfontein, mainly uses Hickman lines. This is due to availability and most of the staff at the hospital being familiar with and skilled in using this type of CVAD. The preferred site of insertion is the right internal jugular vein, but the left internal jugular vein is also used if thrombosis has occurred on the right side following a previous line.

These lines are inserted under general anaesthesia in theatre by paediatric surgeons. The device is placed in an iodine solution prior to insertion, provided that the patient is not allergic to iodine. Cefazolin is given as a prophylactic antibiotic at induction of anaesthesia. The device can be inserted either through ultrasound-guided percutaneous puncture (Seldinger technique) or a surgical cut-down using anatomical landmarks. To confirm correct positioning of the catheter tip, fluoroscopy is performed intraoperatively.

The open cut-down technique in the neck is commonly used at Universitas Academic Hospital, as the Hickman lines available at the facility do not always support the Seldinger technique because of instrumentation constraints. However, if the equipment supports the use of the Seldinger technique, it is preferred. The line is tunneled to exit just inferior to the xiphisternum. This exit position supports better postoperative maintenance of the line (e.g. enough space for dressing application to protect the line against environmental contamination). The tip of the line is positioned in the superior vena cava (SVC).

The line is then flushed with heparinised saline and the external part is covered with a sterile dressing on the chest and upper abdomen wall. In patients who had a previous line, Doppler ultrasound is performed before placement of the new device to evaluate the vessel for patency and possible thrombus formation. Routine evaluation for vessel thrombosis is performed only if the patient presents with symptoms and signs of vessel occlusion (SVC syndrome, swollen limbs).

The combined Paediatric Haematology Oncology and Paediatric Surgery Unit at Universitas Academic Hospital is the only referral centre for children with malignancies and index cases of paediatric surgical conditions in central South Africa. This unit serves the Free State, Northern Cape and selected areas of the North West and Eastern Cape provinces. It also serves as a referral unit for Lesotho.

According to the protocol used in our unit, the catheter may only be used 48 hours after placement. The external part of the line is cleaned with chlorhexidine soap and flushed with heparinised saline twice per week, regardless of whether the patient is admitted or at home. Likewise, IV sets are replaced with new ones and the external part of the line is dressed with a clean dressing against the patient’s chest and upper abdomen wall twice per week.\(^{18}\)

Catheter-associated bloodstream infection (CABSI) is diagnosed by a positive blood culture taken from the line when the patient is clinically septic (i.e. presenting with fever) and is treated with antibiotics administered through the line. Initial treatment involves piperacillin/tazobactam and amikacin used empirically, but this can be changed according to sensitivity results of the blood culture. If the same organism is cultured after a week of treatment, the line is regarded septic and consequently removed. Blood samples for culture are never taken from a peripheral site while the Hickman line is functional. Sepsis at the tunnel exit site and pocket is treated conservatively by draining an abscess, wound cleaning and administering antibiotics without removal of the line. If there is no improvement, the line is removed and the tip is sent for culture.\(^{19}\) Line cultures are performed only per indication when a patient appears septic, not as routine. Blood sampling is performed as a sterile procedure and any growth is regarded as pathogenic.

This study assessed the complications of central venous lines for chemotherapy in paediatric oncology patients treated in the Paediatric Haematology Oncology Unit at the Universitas Academic Hospital in Bloemfontein from January 1992 to 31 March 2018.

Further objectives were to describe subgroups that developed line sepsis complications and had increased risk factors for line sepsis.

**Methods**

This was a retrospective descriptive study of paediatric oncology patients (16 years or younger) who received CVADs and were treated in the Paediatric Haematology Oncology Unit at Universitas Academic Hospital in Bloemfontein from January 1992 to March 2018.

A pilot study was run using data from three cases.

**Data collection**

Data were collected from the unit’s database and included: the patient’s age (months) at line insertion, diagnosis and reason for line insertion; data on specific insertion parameters (site of insertion, days in situ, any complications at insertion); and post-insertion developments (namely purpose served without complications during treatment, in situ line complications, reason for line removal, and presence of sepsis). Data collection and preparation for analysis were shared by the authors. All authors verified the information recorded.

**Data analysis**

As no changes were made to the methodology after the pilot study, those three cases could be included in the final dataset. Data were entered into a spreadsheet (MS Excel) for statistical analysis. Categorical variables were summarised as frequencies and percentages, whereas means, standard deviations and percentiles are reported for numerical variables.

**Ethical considerations**

Approval for the study was obtained from the Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee of the University of the Free State (ref. no. UFS-HSD2018/0389/3010) and the Free State Department of Health. To ensure patient confidentiality, no identifying information such as names or admission numbers was captured.

**Results**

**Clinical characteristics (N=293)**

A total of 300 Hickman lines were inserted over the study period; seven cases were excluded owing to missing information or the patient being older than 16 years. The final sample size was therefore 293 lines. The median patient age was 64.7 months (range: 3.2 - 193.3 months). The median days in situ were 132 (range: 0 - 769 days).

The most common patient diagnoses were leukaemia (60.8%), solid tumours (28.3%) and lymphoma (10.9%). Insertion sites were recorded as the internal jugular vein (64.2%) or subclavian vein (1.7%); no insertion site was recorded in 34% of the cases. The main reasons for line insertion included: protocol requirement (56.0%); difficult peripheral IV access (23.2%); and patient requests (16.7%). Diverse other reasons accounted for the remainder of cases.

Complications associated with line placement (procedural complications) were found in only 12% of cases, and were recorded...
as: bleeding (3.4%), incorrect position (3.1%), failure to insert (1.0%), pneumothorax (0.7%), and ‘other’ (3.8%). In situ complications were encountered in 37.5% of the cases, of which the most common complications included line sepsis (13.3%), blockage (6.1%) and the line having pulled out (5.5%) (Table 1).

Complications (procedural and in situ) were recorded in less than half (43.3%) of the cases. CABSIs was noted as reason for line removal in 14.3% of cases. In the remaining cases, removal was due to the end of treatment (37.9%), relapse (5.1%), line blockage (10.6%) or diverse ‘other’ reasons (21.2%). In 10.9% of cases, patients died with their lines in situ. Overall, 75.1% of the lines served their purpose.

**In situ complications of line sepsis (n=39)**

Line sepsis was an in situ complication in 13.3% of the total number of cases (Table 1). No systemic sepsis was noted in almost a quarter of these 39 cases (23.1%), whereas 61.5% of this set of cases were both neutropenic and septic, and 15.4% presented with symptoms of sepsis without neutropenia. The diagnoses in these patients were leukaemia (56.4%), solid tumours (35.9%) and lymphoma (7.7%). Line sepsis as a reason for removal was recorded in 38.5% of these cases (Fig. 1). The total number of line days over the course of the study period was 39 889. The number of sepsis episodes (n=39) translated to a total rate of 0.98 episodes per 1 000 catheter days. The median number of line days for cases complicated with sepsis was 143 days (range: 14 - 704 days). The lines still served their purpose in 79.5% of cases.

**Symptoms of systemic sepsis and accompanying neutropenia (n=190)**

Symptoms of systemic sepsis and accompanying neutropenia were noted in 190 cases (64.8%). Of these 190 lines, 12.6% developed CABSIs, with blockage recorded as the second most common in situ line complication (5.8%). Approximately two-thirds (67.4%) of patients did not develop any in situ line complications. The diagnoses in these patients were leukaemia (71.6%), solid tumours (17.3%) and lymphoma (11.0%).

Only 16.3% of the 190 lines were removed because of sepsis. We found that 14.7% of patients in this group died with their lines in situ and 44.7% had their lines removed because they reached the end of their treatment.

**Discussion**

The median age of 64.7 months (5.4 years) at line placement is younger than the 7.1 years reported by Adler et al.,[10] who noted a young age being a risk factor for complications developing with the use of CVADs.[9] Patients with leukaemia made up 60.8% of our cohort and lymphoma accounted for 10.9%, meaning haematological malignancy featured in almost three-quarters (71.7%) of the patients in our study. This is a higher proportion than reported by Adler et al.[10] (57.4%). Haematological malignancies and neutropenia are risk factors for line sepsis.[12-15] Data in the current study showed 0.98 sepsis episodes per 1 000 catheter days, which is lower than the rates reported by Adler et al.[10] (4.7 episodes per 1 000 catheter days) and Basford et al.[19] (11.4 sepsis episodes per 1 000 catheter days). The median of 132 in situ line days is comparable with the 140.7 days reported by Adler et al.[10]

Procedural complications were noted in 12.0% of cases, with in situ complications in 37.5% of cases. Some overlap of complications resulted in a combined complication figure of 43.3%. Almost all the lines were inserted surgically, as per the preferred method during the period reviewed in this study. It is well described that surgically placed lines are associated with more infectious and mechanical complications[19] compared with radiologically assisted (i.e. ultrasound-guided) percutaneous techniques in the paediatric oncological setting. However, the combined complication rate (43.3%) in our study compares well with the rate of 59.6% (infectious or mechanical complications) reported by Basford et al.[19] for external tunnelled catheters.

The observed rate of line sepsis (CABSI) in our study (13.3%) compares favourably with that reported for chemo ports in other studies (21.7%[16] 23.5%,[6] and is better than rates reported for external tunnelled catheters (36.5%,[14] 35.4%,[10] and 47.1%[20]). In the cases that presented with line sepsis, 61.5% had a combination of neutropenia and systemic sepsis. Of these, almost two-thirds (64.1%) were diagnosed with haematological malignancies. Lines were removed owing to sepsis in only 38.5% of these cases and therefore CVAD use could be successfully salvaged by the administration of antibiotics in a large number of cases.

In the 64.8% of the study population that had neutropenia and symptoms of systemic sepsis, 67.4% had no in situ line complications and only 12.6% developed line sepsis, despite being neutropenic and systemically ill, both risk factors for line sepsis. Lines were removed owing to sepsis in a smaller proportion (16.3%) than expected. Almost half of these patients reached the end of their treatment and the lines would have been removed in any case. Central lines served their purpose in a high proportion of the cohort (84.7%).

**Conclusion**

Our analysis shows a low percentage of line sepsis (CABSI) in this cohort (13.3%), despite the study population being young, a large

**Fig. 1. Reasons for line removal in cases with sepsis (n=39)**
proportion of haematological malignancies being included and the use of Hickman lines placed surgically. With these characteristics, our study population would have had a high risk for infective complications. The special care taken intraoperatively and the meticulous aseptic handling and maintenance of these lines postoperatively (by teaching staff to manage the lines within strict protocols) may have contributed to the low infective complication rates.

Further studies are recommended to investigate the role of neutropenia and haematological malignancies (leukaemia and lymphoma) in the development of line sepsis.
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