The use of ex-situ nitrogen-doped olive oil-derived carbon nano-onions for application in chemi-resistive gas sensors to detect acetone at room temperature
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ABSTRACT

This study reports on the synthesis of carbon nano-onions (CNOs; ca. d ≤ 55 nm) and nitrogen-doped CNOs (N-CNOs) using a facile pyrolysis method and ex-situ doping of the CNOs. Elemental analysis of the N-CNOs revealed that their nitrogen content depended on the ammonia flow rate. Analysis of the N-CNOs revealed that they all exhibited structural defects. After the successful synthesis of CNOs and N-CNOs, polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP);CNOs/N-CNOs:MnO₂-nanorods (MONRs) composites were prepared and used as active sensing materials. In every case, the PVP polymer was used to stabilize the MONRs for acetone detection at 25 °C. The chemi-resistive gas sensors that showed the highest acetone sensitivity (pS = 2.0 × 10⁻⁴ ppm⁻¹) was fabricated using a pristine CNOs (pCNOs) based composite. However, the N-CNOs based sensor (a₁,S₁) presented the lowest acetone limit of detection (LoD) at 1.2 ppm. The study implicated the effect of the nitrogen and oxygen content of the CNOs surfaces on the acetone detection. Thus, a higher sensitivity with lower LoD was observed at room temperature using the pCNOs based sensor, when compared to earlier literature reports.
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INTRODUCTION

About four decades ago Iijima reported on multi-layered carbon allotrope with a quasi-spherical or spheroidal shape.1,2 Additionally, Kroto and McKay also reported on the formation of quasi-icosahedral carbon nanoparticles with spiral shells.2,3 This led to the discovery of a carbon allotrope exhibiting curved multi-layered graphene sheets forming a quasi-spherical shape (particle size: 20-300 nm) and it was named as carbon nano-onions (CNOs) owing to the nanoparticles' cross-sectional resemblance of an onion.3–5

Commonly, the arc discharge and catalytic chemical vapor deposition are the most used methods to synthesize CNOs.6–9 Each synthetic method results in CNOs with different physical properties. CNOs formed by different methods can vary in their core type (i.e. hollow, metal core filled and solid), number of multi-layers (i.e. long or short range layering order), number of concentric shells, nanoparticles shape (e.g. quasi-spherical, hexagonal or polyhedral) and size.3–11 The layering of CNOs multi-layers, their graphitic nature, interlayer spacing and their structural morphology can be tuned by structural modification of the nanoparticles following processes such as annealing and/or washing.12 Moreover, the precursor (hydrocarbon source) used during synthesis also governs the formation of various types of CNOs.12–15

Mohapatra et al., reported a facile oil-wick open flame assisted synthetic method for producing solid quasi-spherical CNOs.16 This is a hydrocarbon(s) pyrolysis method which is catalyst free and it produces CNOs in grams scale. The method accommodates the use of various combustible hydrocarbon sources such as wood, wool, diesel, lycopene (from tomato), and petrol (gasoline).16–18 Additionally, the method is cost effective since it makes use of inexpensive hydrocarbon sources. Hence, this study presents the use olive oil as a hydrocarbon source since its general composition includes an assembly of lipids such as hydrocarbon and aliphatic alcohols.19–21 Olive oil can allow for the formation of CNOs that exhibit various oxygen species and/or oxygenated functional groups which are enormously important for various application purposes.

The formation of CNOs using the pyrolysis method is initiated by poly-aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) that react to form concentric fullerene-like shells, following a process similar to that of a snow accretion.22,23 Thus, hydrocarbons such as paraffin and vegetable oils usually produce CNOs with comparable particle sizes.24,25 Research on CNOs has been driven by the remarkable electrical, mechanical and physiochemical properties associated with them.26 Due to these properties, CNOs have been used in numerous applications such as catalysis, energy, sensing and storage devices.26–29 Moreover, surface modifications of CNOs such as polymer surface decoration finds application in areas such as solar cells, lithium-ion batteries, and biomedical materials in the form of CNOs composites.30 The full potential and applicability of CNOs is also dependent on properties such as surface area as well as their solubility in either aqueous or organic solvents. Heteroatoms can also be introduced into the structural matrix of CNOs to give surface modifications which affects both their chemical and physical properties.30–32 Hence, like other carbon nanomaterials (e.g. carbon nano-tubes and fullerenes), CNOs have shown a great potential in chemical gas sensing device applications.33–35 For example, Barbar and co-researchers recently reported on a CNOs-polyesterene composite for detection of sulphur containing amino acids following the report by Mohapatra et al., which was based on the enzymatic and non-enzymatic electrochemical glucose sensors fabricated using CNOs.34,35

Apart from the use of CNOs-polymer composites for chemical gas sensing, the sensing property of CNOs can possibly be enhanced by its use in combination with various metal oxides.36 Metal oxides made from common metals such as manganese and zinc, are used in the
fabrication of n-type semi-conducting sensors. However, there are limiting characteristics for the use of metal oxide-based sensors which include high cross-sensitivity, long-term signal drift, sensitivity to humidity, and slow sensor response. Thus, CNOs can be mixed with metal oxides (e.g. MnO₂) to improve the metal oxide-based sensor performance working at room temperature.⁴⁰,⁴¹

The preparation of gas sensors for room temperature sensing is advantageous since it offers low power consumption and low ignition risk when detecting flammable or explosive analytes.⁴¹ The use of CNOs can promote or separate the charge carriers when blended or mixed with metal oxides (herein MnO₂). This will offer cooperative or synergistic activity of the CNOs-metal oxide composite material since the recombination of charge carriers will be reduced in the sensing device circuit allowing for increased migration of charge carriers.⁴² Therefore, a facile application of the nano-material in room temperature VOCs gas sensing systems can be rendered by the use of CNOs since carbon nanoparticles have been previously been worked together with metal oxide and selected polymers to prepare sensors for detecting analytes such as 2-methylcyclopentanone, ethanol and methanol.⁴³–⁴⁵ Thus, in this study we demonstrate for the first time the use of pristine CNOs (pCNOs) and nitrogen-doped CNOs-metal oxide-polymer composite in chemi-resistive volatile organic chemical gas sensors (VOCGSs) as a gas sensing active material for detecting one of the common VOCs (i.e. acetone) at room temperature.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Starting materials**

Olive oil (Hercules Company, Durban, South Africa) and a fibre glass wick (purchased from a local retailer) were used as received. A polished brass collecting plate (BCP, size: 140 × 140 × 1.5 mm) and a glass wick (purchased from a local retailer) were used as received. About 15 mL of olive oil was transferred into a 50 mL glass container in which a fibre-glass wick was immersed and allowed to stand for 24 hours. The wick was ignited to produce a flame in a controlled air environment. In a typical experiment, a gas line was used to direct the flow of air towards the burning flame. This allowed for the simultaneous control of the flame shape and/or size as well as to promote the incomplete combustion. The as-synthesized product was continuously collected onto the BCP plate for 15 minutes. This was followed by the collection of the product by scraping the black carbonaceous powder from the BCP using a solid-plastic spatula. The collected CNOs were washed with hexane in a Soxhlet extractor to remove excess oil from the carbon material. The final product was labelled as pCNOs.

pCNOs were then doped with nitrogen using the ex-situ nitrogen doping process. The doping process of the pCNOs was conducted using chemical vapour deposition (CVD) method. The sample of pCNOs (150 mg) contained in a quartz boat was placed in a CVD reactor as shown in Scheme S2. Ammonia was passed through the sample in the presence of argon as the carrier gas at 1000 °C. The carrier gas flow rate was kept constant at 100 sccm for all three experiments, whereas the NH₃ flow rates were varied (100, 150 and 200 sccm) and the three samples collected were called a₁CNOs, a₁.₅CNOs and a₂CNOs respectively. Moreover, manganese oxide nanorods were synthesized using a method previously reported by Wang et al., without any modification (see Supplementary data for details).⁴⁶

**Fabrication of the sensing devices**

The initial step involved the preparation of a mixed materials solution (i.e. composite solution), using different CNOs (i.e. pCNOs, a₁CNOs, a₁.₅CNOs or a₂CNOs), manganese dioxide (MnO₂-nanorods), PVP and DMF. DMF was used as the preferred solvent due to its ability to disperse MnO₂-nanorods (MONRs) together with different CNOs (i.e. pristine and doped CNOs). PVP was then used to stabilize the MONRs under room temperature conditions. For the preparation of different CNOs composites, a 3:1 ratio of PVP:CNOs:MONRs was added to 3 mL of DMF. The mixture was stirred for 12 hours at room temperature followed by sonication for an hour to obtain a homogeneous suspension of the composite.

Electrodes used for the device fabrication were cleaned following a standard procedure (see Supplementary information) before drop-casting the composite solution. Different composite solutions were drop cast onto the dry inter-digitated electrodes (IDEs). A micropipette was used to drop cast 10 µL of each composite solution onto different IDEs to give four different sensing devices. The resulting sensors were vacuum dried at 100 °C for 30 minutes and then kept in a desiccator. All sensors were labelled as pS, a₁S, a₁.₅S and a₂S for sensors made from pCNOs, a₁CNOs, a₁.₅CNOs and a₂CNOs respectively.

**Fabrication and testing of the sensing devices**

The fabricated sensing devices were tested using the Agilent 4284A Precision LCR meter. 1, 3, 6, 10 and 20 kHz were selected frequencies used during the tests along with resistance measurements. Tests were conducted using five intervals of 1 µL per injection of acetone in a 2.4 L analysis chamber giving various concentrations of the analyte (i.e. acetone: 136, 271, 407, 543, 678 ppm), calculated using Equation 1.⁴⁷

\[
C(ppm) = 2.46[V_{ad}(\rho_a/V_{ad}M_{an})] \times 10^7 \quad (1)
\]

Where \(V_{ad}\) represents the volume of the added analyte (i.e. volume of acetone in µL), \(V_{ad}\) added into the chamber, \(V_{ad}\) is the volume of the analysis chamber used (2400 mL), \(\rho_{a}\) is the density of analyte (0.791 g/mL at 25 °C) and \(M_{an}\) is the molecular weight of analyte (58.08 g/mol).

In a typical test, 1 µL of acetone was injected into a sealed chamber in every step. The chamber was designed in a manner that allowed for analyte injection as well as exposing the sensors to different environments (see Scheme S3). Tests were conducted in a sealed glove box environment in which the relative humidity (RH) was maintained at 27%. The RH was constantly monitored using a constant flow of nitrogen at 300 sccm that was considered as a diluting-purging gas used to limit and/or reduce high moisture contents (i.e. RH: >30%)
that generally affects the analyte detection at 25 °C. Measurements on the resistance as a function of frequency measurements were conducted to select the best working frequency for each sensor. This was done with five 1 µL intervals of acetone injected into the chamber in which each sensor was exposed to the analyte for 150 seconds per 1 µL of acetone. Thus, any changes in frequency for each sensor were able to be observed considering the strength of the blank signal divided by the background noise of the blank signal over the same time, known as the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), given by Equation 2.46

$$R_{\text{signal}} = \mu_{\text{signal}} / \sigma$$

Where $\mu$ is the signal mean or expected value and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation calculation of the noise given out by each sensor. The sensitivity ($S_s$) and the limit of detection (LoD) were calculated using Equation 3 and 4 respectively. Determinations followed the change in the sensor response per concentration unit of the analyte (i.e. the slope of a calibration curve) which is the sensitivity of a sensing device. This was the first derivative of its response (herein; the response $R$ is the relative resistance variation $R = \Delta R / R_i$), with respect to the concentration of the analyte, at any concentration on the response curve.49, 50

$$S_s = \partial(\Delta R / R_i) / \partial[C_a]$$

Where, the change in resistance is given by $\Delta R = R_a - R_i$, in which $R_a$ is the resistance of the sensor when exposed to the analyte, $R_i$ is the resistance of the sensor in an inert atmosphere (under $N_2$) or background gas and $[C_a]$ is the concentration of the analyte. Lastly, the lowest amount of analyte in the detection system that can be detected was estimated. This was achieved by using the following LoD equation.

$$\text{LoD} = \bar{X}_b + 3\sigma$$

Where $\bar{X}_b$ is the mean response of the blank and $\sigma_b$ is the standard deviation of the blank.51, 52

**Characterization of the CNOs**

The CNOs morphology and surface characteristics were studied by using the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) technique (FEI Nova Nanolab 600 FIB-SEM) operated at 30 kV. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) data were obtained from a Tecnai G2 30ST instrument operated at 200 kV. The quality and structural properties of the CNOs (2 mg; supported on a silicon wafer sample holder) were investigated using a Jobin-Yvon T64000 Ultraviolet (UV) LabRam HR-micro Raman spectrometer with an Olympus BX41 microscope. The Raman spectrometer used was operated with a liquid nitrogen cooled charge coupled detector working at an excitation wavelength of 514.5 nm at room temperature. The thermal stability of the CNOs (10 mg) was monitored using a Perkin Elmer 6000 thermogravimetric analyser. A Micromeritics Tristar 3000 surface area and porosity analyser was used to determine the surface area as well as the pore volume of all the CNOs (200 mg). The composition and/or surface elemental analysis of CNOs was achieved using three different techniques; (1) the Tescan Vega-3-LMU-SEM coupled with the energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) X-Max 80 detector, (2) the LECO-932 carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen (CHN) analyser calibrated with a sulfaflazine as a standard, and (3) the Physical Electronics Quantum 2000 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) equipment, that used a monochromatic Al Ka source operated at 1486.7 eV.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Structural morphology analysis of the CNOs**

The obtained SEM micrographs revealed aggregation and/or conglomeration of quasi-spherical nanoparticles with particle sizes less than 100 nm (see Figure 1(a) and S1(a)). The observed morphology from the SEM micrographs was similar to that found in our previously work.53 SEM micrographs of the doped CNOs (i.e. $a_1$CNOs, $a_3$CNOs and $a_5$CNOs) showed similar conglomerated quasi-spherical morphology without structural deformations or distortions (see Figure S1). Furthermore, the low magnification TEM micrographs revealed that the as-synthesized CNOs exhibited dense or solid quasi-spherical shapes with particle sizes of ca. 55 ± 3 nm as shown in Figure S2(b), S2(c) and S3, supporting the SEM data. The conglomerates formed are ascribed to the van der Waals forces which led to linkages of quasi-spherical nanoparticles that are comprised of closed cages (see the highlighted quasi-sphere in Figure 1(b)) similar to those obtained by Panda et al.54 This has revealed that the carbon nanoparticles produced are chain-like nanoparticles comprising multi-layered turbostratic shells. Moreover, Figure 1(b) also show concentric turbostratic shells of CNOs with radially continuous morphology of short-range ordered multiple concentric layers with the lattice fringe spacing of ca. 0.35 nm. The obtained lattice fringe spacing also indicated the presence of disordered graphitic carbon shells.55, 56 The formation of the short-ranged multi-layers can be attributed to the types of radicals that facilitate the growth process of individual particles during the flame pyrolysis process. Similar results were obtained in our previous work in which the growth process known as the "snow ball" accretion process was discussed.55, 57, 58 In addition, these results are also comparable to those obtained by Mohapatra et al., and Reddy et al.59, 60

The nitrogen-doped CNOs (N-CNOs) showed similar shapes as compared to the pCNOs. The high magnification TEM (HMTEM) micrographs shown in Figure S2(b), (c) and (d) indicates that the conglomerated, multi-centred concentric and short-range ordered CNOs were still present following the doping process. This confirmed that the heat treatment during the doping process promoted further short-range ordering through nanoparticles healing and not the formation of new structural morphologies or growth of the nanoparticles.

**Raman spectroscopic analysis of CNOs**

A micro-Raman spectroscopic analysis technique was used to investigate the structural properties of CNOs obtained. The position, intensity and breadth of both bands (i.e. D- and G-band) were used as the measure for determining the quality of the CNOs. It is evident that for all samples, the D-band is positioned in the expected Raman region (i.e. between 1337 and 1346 cm$^{-1}$). This observation can be ascribed to the disorder induced by C-C vibrations corresponding to the disruption of the sp$^2$ hybridized carbon bonds. The suggested disruptions are due to the presence of dangling bonds, sp$^3$ bonding C and H adatoms, intercalated C and H atoms, vacancies, as well as other carbon rings arising from structural disorder.61, 62 Furthermore, the presence of this D-band indicates the breathing mode of the six-fold aromatic rings in the carbon nanoparticles structural matrix.9, 61 Moreover, to quantify the degree of graphitization of the CNOs, the ratio of the areas (fitted with Voigt line shapes using the Origin 8.5 inbuilt fitting fixture) of the D-band to that of the G-band ($I_D / I_G$) was...
estimated. Table 1 gives a summary of the Raman data obtained. The defects and/or disorder density ratios ($I_D/I_G$) are directly related to the extent of disorders in the form of sp$^3$ hybridized carbons and the presence of either longer or shorter graphitic fragments. It can also be seen that the $I_D/I_G$ values of the pristine and doped CNOs were ca. > 0.5, which further denotes a certain degree of graphitization (i.e. the presence of deformed graphitic carbon) depending on the sample. The data in Table 1 reveals that for all doped samples, there exists a down-shift (D-band) and an up-shift (G-band) with reference to the pristine sample respectively. This indicates that doped CNOs exhibit curvature distortions as seen in other curved carbon nanostructures (e.g. carbon spheres). Therefore, it can be said that N-CNOs exhibit both the armchair and zig-zag edges at the graphitic boundaries. All doped CNOs showed Raman spectra with lower D- and G-band FWHM values as compared to that of the pCNOs sample, as shown in Table 1. On the other hand, the defects and/or disorder density ratio ($I_D/I_G$) for the a1CNOs and a1.5CNOs respectively as shown in Figure S4 and S5. Additionally, these shifts can be regarded to be proportional to the number of edges and/or in-plane terminations of either the hexagonal or pentagonal carbon network of the CNOs outer shells which can in turn change the sp$^3$ to sp$^2$ carbon fraction. This observation can be attributed to the healing effect induced by the heat treatment during doping. Moreover, the results in Table 1 also suggest that pCNOs, a1.5CNOs and a2CNOs exhibit a higher quantity of sp$^2$ to sp$^3$ carbon content with a1CNOs having the lowest quantity of sp$^2$ to sp$^3$ as its Raman spectrum shows a slightly higher D-band intensity than the G-band intensity. This is further supported by the intensities of the G-bands that are more intense than the D-bands for pCNOs, a1CNOs and a2CNOs respectively as shown in Figure S4 and S5.

In contrast, the D- and G-band FWHMs of the pCNOs are higher than those of the doped samples (see Table 1). This indicates an increased amount of structural disorder that can be ascribed to the exposure of the in-plane terminations of either hexagonal or pentagonal carbon network of the CNOs outer shells as well as amorphous carbon impurities. The exposure of the in-plane terminations on the CNOs shells can be proposed to contribute to the amount of zig-zag edge defects, thereby increasing the sp$^3$ content within the curvature of the shells of the CNOs. All doped CNOs showed Raman spectra with lower D- and G-band FWHM values as compared to that of the pCNOs sample, as shown in Table 1. On the other hand, the defects and/or disorder density ratio ($I_D/I_G$) for all doped samples were estimated to be ca. 1.76, 0.97, and 0.68 for a1CNOs, a1.5CNOs and a2CNOs respectively. This can be attributed to the removal of amorphous carbon impurities by heat treatment (i.e. at 1000 °C) used during the doping process.

The decreasing $I_D/I_G$ trend can also be attributed to a remarkable reduction of the sp$^3$ carbons after heat treatment that promoted re-ordering of the multi-layers in which nanoparticles underwent structural healing as suggested by TEM results. As seen in the Raman results, both a1CNOs and a2CNOs presented lower $I_D/I_G$ than the pCNOs. This is also due to a reduction of defects or disorder from the in-plane edges of either the hexagonal or pentagonal carbon networks of the CNOs outer shells (see Figure S4(b)). There was some incorporation of nitrogen adatoms which contributed to the defect densities since the attachment of nitrogen adatoms mainly occurred on the outer shell edges. The nitrogen adatoms surface attachment was affected by the flow rate of the NH$_3$. Moreover, at high flow rates (i.e. ≥ 150 sccm), there were less surface attachments which resulted in less N doping of the material. The higher $I_D/I_G$ ratio for the a1CNOs (ca. $I_D/I_G=1.76$) suggests that the as-prepared CNOs (i.e. pCNOs with $I_D/I_G=1.36$) can be doped with nitrogen heteroatoms using the lowest NH$_3$ flow rate (i.e. 100 sccm).

Thermal stability of pristine and doped CNOs

The thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) and differential thermogravimetric analyses (DTA) data correlates with the Raman data. All CNOs samples decompose to completion (i.e. 0% sample residue) by the combustion process since the carbons residual mass remains at 0% following the completion of every TGA analysis as shown in Figure 2(a). Thus, the obtained TGA profiles suggest facile oxidative decomposition of the materials.

The first-order derivative weight loss curves for all samples were established as shown in Figure 2(b). The first-order derivative weight loss (FDW) curve for pCNOs exhibited a broader shape as compared to N-CNOs samples. The broader FDW curve for the pCNOs showed that the decomposition of this material happened in two stages. The decomposition peak at ~622 °C suggests a first slow decomposition of the disordered graphitic outer shells whereas the shoulder observed around ~700 °C can be ascribed to the decomposition of the graphitic carbon core exhibited by pCNOs. The shoulder in the FDW curve of pCNOs at a higher temperature (around ~700 °C) signifies the presence of multiple centers or a core promoted by the conglomeration of nanoparticles as previously seen in the HMTEM micrographs (see Figure S2(d)).

It is also evident that the N-CNOs homogenously decompose faster than the pCNOs since all the doped CNOs show narrower FDW curves. The doping process also increased the doped material thermal stability which suggests the doping process does not only introduce nitrogen adatoms but also modifies the structural matrix of the turbostratic CNOs (see Figure 2(b)). On the other hand, the fitted Voigt line shapes shows that the broader peak for the pCNOs has a higher full width at half maximum (FWHM ~174.6) relative to those of the doped CNOs samples (FWHM ~76.9(a1CNOs), 78.0 (a1.5CNOs), and 94.7(a2CNOs)) indicating a slow decomposition of disordered pCNOs (see Figure S6). Furthermore, the narrow peaks with lower FWHM values can also be attributed to the structural rearrangements induced by heat treatment for all doped samples. Additionally, the FWHM value of a1CNOs is higher than for both a1.5CNOs and a2CNOs which corresponds to the FWHM values of the Raman data obtained (Raman FWHM ca. 73.1 (a1CNOs) 75.6 (a1.5CNOs), and 85.5 (a2CNOs)). This further suggests that the a1CNOs sample was poorly doped with nitrogen heteroatoms due to a higher dopant source flow rate (i.e. 200 sccm).

In summary, the observed TGA profiles of all CNOs synthesized as well as their Raman and HMTEM data can be explained by considering the healing and growth processes involved during their synthesis. Therefore, the doping and heat treatment of the as-prepared CNOs can be thought of as promoting structural rearrangement and strength simultaneously leading to varied disordered graphitic CNOs with the different defect or disorder densities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Raman shift (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>FWHM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D-band</td>
<td>G-band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCNOs</td>
<td>1345.9</td>
<td>1583.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a1CNOs</td>
<td>1342.7</td>
<td>1594.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a1.5CNOs</td>
<td>1337.7</td>
<td>1585.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a2CNOs</td>
<td>1339.0</td>
<td>1587.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$ID$: area of the D-band, $I_G$: area of the G-band, $I_D/I_G$: ratio of the areas (i.e. for D-band and G-band respectively)

Figure 2: (a) thermogravimetric analysis profiles of pristine and doped CNOs, (b) first-order derivative weight loss curves of pristine and doped CNOs.
Elemental and surface area analysis of CNOs

The energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectra were analyzed and they showed that different CNOs are mainly composed of elemental carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen atoms in their structural matrix (see Figure S7 and Table S1). Notably, the carbon peaks are due to the carbon based samples and the SEM carbon tape. Adding to the EDX results, the CHN results shown in Table 2 reveals that all samples are predominantly made of carbon with the hydrogen contents less than 10%. A decrease in nitrogen content for doped samples was observed as the flow rate of NH₃ increased. The results in Table 2 show that a₁CNOs (N: 3.34%) has a higher nitrogen content when compared to a₀.₅CNOs (N: 3.28%) and a₂CNOs (N: 2.89%). These results signify that the use of higher NH₃ flow rates when doping CNOs discouraged the introduction of nitrogen heteroatoms.

Moreover, the doping process can introduce different types of nitrogen species in the CNOs structural matrix as suggested by Dias et al. Hence, for most carbonaceous nanoparticles, there exist possibilities for the formation of graphitic, pyridinic, or pyrrolic nitrogen sites as well as lactam, pyridinic oxide and/or amine nitrogen attachments on the surfaces of CNOs. The oxygen content showed an increase with pCNOs > N-CNOs. The XPS data (Figure 3(a)) further reveals that the pCNOs exhibit C and O atoms in the structural matrix which can form groups such as carboxylic acid groups.

The XPS data for a₁CNOs also revealed that the sample exhibited various carbon and oxygen atom arrangements along with different N bonding patterns (graphitic, pyridinic, or pyrrolic nitrogen) that exist on the a₁CNOs surfaces (see Figure 3(b) and 4). The deconvolution of the C₁s peak gave rise to different carbon atom types and the signals obtained comprises of carbon atoms that are hybridized differently (i.e. sp² and sp³, see Figure 4(a)). Additionally, the deconvolution of the N₁s peak revealed significant information about the different types of nitrogen that are associated with a₁CNOs. It is evident from Figure 4(b), that the a₁CNOs exhibit four different types of N groups and the corresponding peaks for pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N, graphitic-N, and oxygenated pyridinic-N (i.e. NOx) were positioned at 397.71 eV, 398.48 eV, 400.15 eV, and 402.83 eV respectively (also see Figure 4(d)). This indicates a wide range of N type species with different average percentage concentrations of the N atoms present viz. 23.64%, 37.59%, 21.32%, and 17.44% for pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N, graphitic-N, and oxygenated pyridinic-N respectively. Thus, the pyrrolic-N configuration dominated the sample and signifies the formation of a carbonaceous sample that is capable of donating charge carriers.

Lastly, the O₁s peak was also deconvoluted as shown in Figure 4(c), and it can be confirmed that the a₁CNOs sample also contained oxygenated carbon atoms with different bonding configurations. Hence, the nanoparticles produced exhibited different oxygen species on their surfaces.

The surface properties (i.e. the surface areas (SA) and pore sizes (PS)) of all CNOs were investigated using the BET surface characterization technique. The surface area of pCNOs (69 m² g⁻¹) was less than that of the doped samples and showed a decreasing pattern with increasing flow rate of NH₃ as; a₁CNOs (206 m² g⁻¹), a₀.₅CNOs (191 m² g⁻¹) and a₂CNOs (188 m² g⁻¹) (see Figure 5). The increased content of adatoms improved the surface area of the pCNOs. In summary, the BET results indicate that the surface properties of the CNOs (i.e. the pore size and surface area) are greatly influenced by the synthesis parameters (e.g. heat treatment and dopant source flow rate) which affect the curvature, shape and direct contact area (aggregation or agglomeration) of carbon nanoparticles. In addition, MONRs can provide large surface area to the MONRs/CNOs based composite to allow for high response at low concentrations of acetone such as in the case of vanadium oxide (VO₂).
Electrochemical tests for VOCGSs based on different CNOs

Signal to noise and resistance measurements of the sensing devices

Four different sensors (i.e. pS, a1S, a1.5S and a2S) were fabricated and tested. The signal to noise ratio (SNR) was determined using Equation 2 as discussed in Section 2.4 in which different working frequencies were used (i.e. 1 kHz, 3 kHz, 6 kHz, 10 kHz and 20 kHz). Most of the sensing devices showed the highest SNR values occurred at 10 kHz relative to the frequency working range (see Figure S8). Hence, 10 kHz was selected as the optimal working frequency for all the sensors. Moreover, the use of this frequency is preferred because it allows for fabrication of sensing systems that use lower electrical power.84

A similar response towards acetone was shown by the decrease in resistance whenever the concentration of acetone was increased (i.e. from 0 ppm to 678 ppm) for all sensors as shown in Figure S9. The differences in the shape of the baseline (i.e. resistance (R) versus frequency (f) curves at 0 ppm) are as the result of potential kinetically controlled states (see Figure S9). This also includes the adsorption processes that involves chemisorption and/or physisorption for every sensing device. This can also be attributed to the dispersion and film thickness of the active sensing material. The sensors’ response (ΔR/R0) as a function of frequency plots revealed that the shape of the baselines for the blank measurements varied with different sensors as shown in Figure 6. These observations suggest that the adsorption of the acetone molecules on a CNOs-based sensing material selectively depends on the dipole-moment, polarity, porosity, as well as vapor pressure of the carbon surface used in the sensing composite.85,86

Acetone is one of the VOCs that is regarded as a polar aprotic analyte which means it is incapable of donating protons in hydrogen bonding interactions.87 Polar and volatile analytes usually interact with functional groups and/or active sensing sites on the sensing material surface more than their nonpolar counterparts. Thus, acetone can also facilitate a molecular interaction with the carbonaceous sensing material. Hence, in this study it is regarded as an electron donor or reducing gas based on its molecular properties. This was indicated by the decrease in resistance (i.e. increased conductivity) during its detection.88,89

Consequently, the presence of carbon embedded or supported manganese oxide nanoparticles in the sensing composites provides the n-type semiconducting properties with electrons as major charge carriers in the system containing a reducing gas. This electronic property as well as their nanoscale morphology allows for their use in the mixture (i.e. PVP, CNOs and MONRs). The obtained results suggest that the response of the sensors is greatly influenced by simultaneous surface interactions of acetone gas molecules with different CNOs and/or MONRs that form the relative humidity (RH) was maintained at 27%. Basically, the major charge carriers can be from different oxygen species that are responsible for establishing the charge equilibria on the carbon distributed metal oxide surface. Different oxygen species on the surface of the carbon-metal oxide sensing material can be generated by the adsorbed oxygen molecules from the air during the sensing device preparation.90,91 The nuclophilic type oxygen species, such as O2− (oxo), are usually involved in C-H bond cleavage (either homolytic or heterolytic) during oxidative dehydrogenation. In contrast, some oxygen species can be less electrophilic such as O2− (superoxide) and O2− (oxo).92 The existence of oxygen species such as O2− on the sensing material can promote the formation of a surface inversion layer resulting in a specific sensing response depending on the properties of the sensing material. This can occur when the oxygen species such as O2− dissociates after capturing an additional electron from a donor to form another oxygen specie as described in the reaction equations below.93

O2− (ads) + e− → 2O− (ads) (5)
O− (ads) + e− → O2−(ads) (6)

These ionized species can further promote total oxidation of acetone to form carbon dioxide (CO2) and water (H2O) as depicted in Scheme 1.94,95 Thus, it can be suggested that the oxidation of acetone molecules at the surface of the sensing materials follows the reaction mechanism described by Equation 7 and Scheme 1 respectively.96

C3H6O + 8O2− → 3CO2 + 3H2O + 8e−  (7)

Furthermore, the change in resistance is also attributed to a relatively stronger charge transfer interaction (i.e. chemisorption) as opposed to a weaker dipole or van der Waals (i.e. physisorption).96,97 Semiconducting metal oxides commonly show the abundant adsorption of oxygen species with negative charge forming electron-depletion layers (EDLs) near the surfaces of n-type metal oxide semiconductors.100,101 Hence, the introduction of negative charges by acetone occurs on the sensing material such that its molecules can interact with the negatively charged oxygen species on the surface of CNOs distributed and/or embedded metal oxides (i.e. MnO2) nanoparticles.102 The trapped electrons in the carbon distributed metal oxides composite sites are therefore injected into the EDL leading to the electron concentration increase and ultimately the decrease in resistance of the sensors.103,104,105

In summary, the composites used in this study reveal the synergistic effects between all materials used in the mixture (i.e. PVP, CNOs and MONRs). The obtained results suggest that the response of the sensors is greatly influenced by simultaneous surface interactions of acetone gas molecules with different CNOs and/or MONRs that form

Figure 5: Plots of changes in CNOs pore sizes and surface area

Figure 6: Graphs indicating the sensors response (ΔR/R0) towards varied acetone concentrations as a function of frequency for: (a) pS, (b) a1S, (c) a1.5S, and (d) a2S
the sensing composite. However, this depends on the availability or exposure of active adsorption sites which can also be influenced by dispersion and film thickness of the active sensing material.

**Sensitivities (S) and limit of detections (LoDs) of the sensing devices**

The resistance and response results obtained revealed an n-type behavior for all sensors as shown by a decrease in resistance with increasing acetone concentrations. This observation was then followed by determinations of the sensitivity of the sensors (S) under the decreasing resistance and SNR conditions (i.e. at 10 kHz) for varied acetone concentrations (ppm) respectively. The change in each sensor’s response per concentration unit of the analyte was determined as the sensor’s sensitivity (S) using the slope of the calibration curve.

The results in Figure 7 and Table 3 reveals that pS (S: 1.2 × 10⁻⁴ ppm⁻¹) is the most sensitive sensor, of those studied, toward the detection of acetone. The order of sensitivity was determined as pS (S: 2.0 × 10⁻⁴ ppm⁻¹) > a₁S (S: 1.2 × 10⁻⁴ ppm⁻¹) > a₁.5S (S: 9.5 × 10⁻⁵ ppm⁻¹) > a₂S (S: 5.7 × 10⁻⁵ ppm⁻¹) and this decreasing order indicates that all sensors fabricated using N-CNOs composites exhibit lower sensitivities towards acetone. Moreover, a decreasing order of sensitivities was maintained by sensors fabricated using N-CNOs-based composites since a₁S (1.2 × 10⁻⁴ ppm⁻¹) > a₁.5S (9.5 × 10⁻⁵ ppm⁻¹) > a₂S (5.7 × 10⁻⁵ ppm⁻¹). This observation can be due to the presence of the carboxyl groups (C=O) in the molecular structure of acetone. These organic groups create an electron donating effect on the CNOs-MONRs inducing defects on the N-CNOs surfaces that unfavorably allowed for the interaction of the nitrogen-containing groups with acetone molecules depending on the type of nitrogen adatoms present on the CNOs surfaces.

Additionally, a₁S was the second most sensitive sensor following pS because the predominantly doped a₁CNOs used for a₁S exhibited nitrogen defective sites that hindered the attachment of acetone molecules. However, the nitrogen defective sites exhibited by a₂CNOs alternatively promoted charge transport through proton hopping within the PVP/a₂CNOs:MONRs-based composite. Hence, a decrease in charge carrier resistance on the surface of the active nanoparticles was observed.

The lowest amount of acetone in the detection system that can be detected and that is significantly different from the blank was determined using the LoD equation (see Section 2.4). As shown in Figure 8, the LoD results for all sensors indicates that the sensor with the lowest LoD is a₁S (LoD: 1.2 ppm) but it is the third most sensitive sensor towards acetone (see Figure S10). Furthermore, the summary in Table 3 also reveals that the most sensitive sensor (i.e. pS) is the device with the second lowest LoD. Notably, the LoD (2.9 ppm) of pS is lower than that of the sensor fabricated using nitrogen-doped hollow carbon spheres (N-HCS) reported by Mutuma et al., (LoD: 83 ppm). Moreover, Mutuma et al. reported a lower sensitivity (S: 1.5 × 10⁻⁵ ppm⁻¹) than that shown by pS (S: 2.0 × 10⁻⁴ ppm⁻¹). This can be associated with the presence of different oxygenated groups on the pCNOs surface edges that are additionally contributing to the type of oxygen species exhibited by the active composite in the sensing device (i.e. pS sensor). However, the sensitivity reported by Mutuma et al., was higher than the sensitivities of all sensors prepared using doped samples. This can be attributed to the inactive nitrogen-induced defects on the N-CNOs surfaces that unfavorably allowed for the interaction of the nitrogen-containing groups with acetone molecules depending on the type of nitrogen adatoms present on the CNOs surfaces.

![Figure 7: Sensor response (ΔR/R₀) as a function of varied acetone concentrations for pS, a₁S, a₁.5S and a₂S (at 10 kHz)](image)

![Figure 8: Resistance at 10 kHz as a function of acetone concentration. The blue horizontal line indicates the estimated LoD resistance of the corresponding sensors, respectively](image)

### Table 3: Sensitivities and limit of detection concentration (LoD) for different sensors based on CNOs composites as compared to other related studies conducted under room temperature conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Conc. (ppm)</th>
<th>Material(s)</th>
<th>LoD (ppm)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (ppm)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>0–678</td>
<td>PVP/pCNOs/ MONRs</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.0 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>0–678</td>
<td>PVP/a₁CNOs/ MONRs</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.2 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>0–678</td>
<td>PVP/a₁.5CNOs/ MONRs</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>9.5 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>0–678</td>
<td>PVP/a₂CNOs/ MONRs</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>5.7 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>0–489</td>
<td>N–HCSs-50</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1.5 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>MWCNTs/Fe</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.8 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>HKUST-1 MOF (Cu₃(BTC)₂(H₂O))</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSIONS

A facile synthesis of quasi-spherical CNOs with particle sizes of ~55 nm was successfully achieved using an olive oil flame pyrolysis method. Upon nitrogen doping of CNOs, the defect density induced in the CNOs structural matrix decreased with increasing dopant source flow rate used in the CVD reactor. The presence of CNOs structural defects and/or disorder was confirmed by the variable breadths of the first-order derivative peak (DTA, FWHM data) as well as the Raman band area I_D/I_G ratios. The surface areas of all the ex-situ doped CNOs were greater than 150 m^2 g^-1 and directly proportional to the nitrogen content. The successful doping of CNOs was confirmed by the CHN data which revealed the presence of nitrogen for all doped samples (between 2 and 4% N content). These results were further supported by the EDX and XPS data in which different oxygen species were also identified to be present in the CNOs prepared along with the dominance of the pyrrolic-N configuration. The pristine and doped CNOs showed a positive response towards the detection of acetone which was promoted by the presence of different hetero-atomic species exhibited by CNOs.

It was evident that the P3 exhibited higher sensitivity towards acetone indicating that the role of ex-situ nitrogen doping process only promoted the use of highly dural CNOs with decreased surface active sites leading to poor interactions between the analyte and the active sensing surface as revealed by Raman and TGA results. Our data also indicated that the physicochemical properties of the materials used to form the composites (i.e. PVP, CNOs and MONRs) influence the fabricated device responds towards the detection of acetone at room temperature. The LoDs and sensitivities obtained revealed a positive response of the prepared sensors towards acetone. However, the response was only observed when the three materials (i.e. PVP, CNOs and MONRs) were used to form the composite. Moreover, the a_S detector showed better sensitivity towards acetone as compared to other sensors fabricated using doped CNOs (i.e. a_S, a_D and a_S). This suggests that nitrogen-doped carbon nanoparticles can be used for the detection of acetone at room temperature. Hence, there is great potential to improve the chemical sensing properties using different composites of carbon nanoparticles, such as CNOs, and various metal oxide nanoparticles to detect different VOCs at room temperature.
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**Synthesis of Carbon Nano-Onions (CNOs)**

Flame pyrolysis of olive oil was conducted by making use of a fibre glass wick that was immersed in the contained oil such that it extracts the oil by capillary actions and/or surface tension. The glass container was then placed under each a brass collecting plate. The distance between the nozzle and the collecting plate was constantly kept at 80 mm using the distance adjusting rod.

![Scheme S1](image1)

**Scheme S1:** Set-up used during the olive oil flame pyrolysis process.

![Scheme S2](image2)

**Scheme S2:** Diagram showing the set-up used during the ex-situ doping process of the CNOs.
Synthesis of manganese oxide nanorods (MONR)

The synthesis involved the use of 40 mL distilled water (dH$_2$O), 0.63 g of KMnO$_4$ and 0.25 g of MnSO$_4$·H$_2$O. All substances were mixed and stirred to form a homogeneous solution that was transferred into a Teflon-lined autoclave for the hydrothermal synthesis of MONRs. The Teflon-lined hydrothermal autoclave was heated up to 160 °C and the reaction continued for 12 hours. After completing the reaction the autoclave was then allowed to cool to room temperature and the product was collected as a brown precipitate. This was followed by centrifugation of the collected precipitate using ethanol and dH$_2$O as alternating solvents, at 1400 rpm for 15 minutes. The obtained final-product (i.e. dried MONRs) was then dried at 80 °C for 24 h in a vacuum oven [46].

Cleaning of the interdigitated electrodes

The IDEs with a total of 40 strips (strip thickness: ~72 µm thickness, the distance between the strips: ~125 µm) were firstly cleaned using acetone, distilled water and isopropanol in 20 minutes sonication subsequent steps. The cleaning process was followed by drying the IDEs in a vacuum oven at 100 °C for 45 minutes.

Process followed during the device tests

A rotatable lid sealant was used to locate the sensor inside or outside acetone environment (i.e. into the chamber with the analyte (acetone) or the into the nitrogen controlled glove box environment) as shown in Scheme S2. The lid sealant simultaneously closed the lid opening during the location of the sensors into the glove box environment (i.e. outside of the chamber with the analyte). Furthermore, an opening on the lid dually served as a point of analyte injection as well as the point of exposing the sensor into the acetone environment (i.e. inside the chamber with a certain amount of acetone).

Scheme S3: Chamber designed with a set-up used during all electro-chemical tests conducted.
**Figure S1:** SEM micrographs of; (a) pCNOs, (b, c and d) N-doped CNOs for a$_1$CNOs, a$_{1.5}$CNOs and a$_2$CNOs respectively.

**Figure S2:** Low magnification TEM micrographs of; (a) manganese oxide nanoparticles, (b, c and d) pCNOs at different magnifications and/or regions.
Figure S3: Particle size distribution based of the low magnification TEM micrographs obtained.

Figure S4: (a) Raman spectra of pCNOs and doped CNOs, (b) the $I_D/I_G$ plot obtained using the D- and G-band areas for each sample.
Figure S5: Raman spectra of pCNOs and doped CNOs.

Figure S6: First-order derivative weight loss curves of pristine and doped CNOs.
Figure S7: EDX spectra of, (a) pCNOs, (b) a\(_1\)CNOs, (c) a\(_{1.5}\)CNOs, and (d) a\(_2\)CNOs respectively.

Table S1: EDX elemental analysis data of all CNOs synthesized under different conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>O (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCNOs</td>
<td>95.34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a(_1)CNOs</td>
<td>95.69</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a(_{1.5})CNOs</td>
<td>97.12</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a(_2)CNOs</td>
<td>97.48</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S8: SNR plots representing different sensors (i.e. pS, a1S, a1.5S and a2S) response fabricated using pristine and doped CNOs respectively.
Figure S9: Plot of resistance (R in kΩ) as a function of frequency for; (a) pS, (b) a\(_{1}\)S, (c) a\(_{1.5}\)S, and (d) a\(_{2}\)S for varied acetone concentrations.

Figure S10: Zoomed LoD plot that indicates the regions used to determine the analyte LoD concentration (2.9 ppm) for pCNOs.