ICH Guidelines-compliant HPLC-UV Method for Pharmaceutical Quality Control and Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of the Multi-targeted Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor Pazopanib
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ABSTRACT
In this study, an HPLC method with ultraviolet (UV) detection was developed and validated for determination of pazopanib (PAZ), a multi-targeted tyrosine kinase (TK) inhibitor in bulk drug, tablets formulation, and in human plasma. Oxamniquine (OXA) was used as internal standard (IS). The analytical column used for the separation was Nucleosil CN with dimensions (i.d. 250 × 4.6 mm and particle size 5 µm). The separation was carried out in isocratic mode with mobile phase constituting acetonitrile:100 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.5); 40:60, v/v. The developed method was linear in the concentration range of 2–12 µg mL–1 and had a correlation coefficient (r = 0.9998, n = 6). The limits of detection and quantitation (LOD and LOQ) were 0.27 and 0.82 µg mL–1, respectively. The relative standard deviations for the inter- and intra-assay precisions were below 3.61 % and the accuracy of the method was 96.69–104.15 %. The degradation products were resolved from the intact drug, proving the stability-indicating property of the proposed method. The recovery values were 100.17–103.98 % (± 1.81–4.02) for determination of PAZ in human plasma. The results indicated the versatility of the new method in estimation of PAZ during pharmaceutical quality control (QC) and therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM).

KEYWORDS
Tyrosine kinase inhibitors, pazopanib, HPLC, UV detection, quality control, therapeutic drug monitoring.

1. Introduction
An important role of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGF) in quite a few tumor forms has been reported.1–3 The dysregulation of platelet derived growth factor (PDGF)4 and epidermal growth factor (EGF)5 also play an important role in propagation of tumor cell. Pazopanib (PAZ; GW-786034) chemically known as 5-({4-[2,3-Dimethyl-2H-indazol-6-yl] (methyl) amino}-2-pyrimidinyl) amino)-2-methylbenzene-sulfonamide (Fig. 1) is tyrosine kinase inhibitor (multi-targeted and orally active). The targets for PAZ are VEGFR-1, -2, and -3, PDGFR-α, PDGFR-β, c-Kit and act by inhibiting auto-phosphorylation of growth factor receptor (intracellular TK domain). PAZ competes with ATP to bind to the intracellular TK domain thus, blocking downstream signal transduction.4 The recommended dose of PAZ is not more than 800 mg once daily for treatment of soft tissue sarcoma or renal cell carcinoma. Pharmacokinetic studies have revealed peak plasma concentration of 58.1 µg mL–1 and mean AUC of 1037 µg h mL–1 for PAZ after a dose of 50–2000 mg. The absorption of PAZ in cancer patients is slow and the bioavailability of 800 mg tablet in these patients is 21 %. The PAZ accumulates after continuous once daily dosing for 22 days and the drug is present in the systemic circulation as intact PAZ and not as metabolites of PAZ. PAZ is 99 % plasma protein bound and is eliminated mainly through feces and around 4 % through renal route.7

Environmental conditions (e.g. temperature, humidity, etc.) that vary during manufacturing, transportation, distribution...
Several adverse effects like eye disorders (e.g. retinal detachment/bear) and gastrointestinal disorders (e.g. pancreatitis) have been reported with votrient® tablets during the post-marketing surveillance. These adverse effects can also be attributed to the degradation products of PAZ; therefore, necessitating stability testing of the active PAZ substance. Saudi Arabian weather conditions are highly variable and thus could affect the quality of PAZ. PAZ tablets are imported from outside Saudi Arabia and therefore may be exposed to great climatic variation from manufacturing to national distribution. The stability testing can used to optimize for the appropriate packing process, determining storage conditions, shelf life and expiry date. These reasons warrant the need of method for assessing the quality control (QC) of PAZ-containing tablets.

An exposure–response relationship has been observed during therapy with PAZ. Therefore, therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of PAZ could be a valuable tool in clinical practice. For pharmaceutical QC of PAZ and its TDM, a validated reliable analytical method is necessary. The reported methods for determination of PAZ are limited and mostly suffer from major drawbacks such as: (1) their applications are limited to the bulk drug and/or dosage forms, but not applicable to plasma samples; (2) the method devoted to analysis of plasma samples is relying on intensive and costly instrumental techniques such as liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry; which is not available in most QC and/or clinical laboratories. In this study, an HPLC method based on UV detection was developed and validated for stability testing of PAZ and its therapeutic drug monitoring. The developed method was selective and sensitive and it fulfilled the requirements of the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines for validation of analytical procedures.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Pazopanib (PAZ) standard drug was provided as gift sample by Saudi Food & Drug Authority Laboratories (Riyadh, Saudi Arabia). Oksamquine (OXA) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation (Saint Louis, CA, USA). Votrient® film-coated tablets (GaloSmithKline, Philadelphia, PA, USA) obtained from local market contained 200 mg of PAZ per tablet as per the label claim. King Khalid University Hospital (Riyadh, Saudi Arabia) provided the human plasma and the plasma was stored at –20 °C till analysis. HPLC grade solvents obtained from (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) were used for analysis. Millipore Milli-Q® UF Plus apparatus (Millipore, Molsheim, France) was used to obtain ultra-pure water for the analysis.

2.2. Chromatographic System and Conditions

HPLC system from Shimadzu controlled by ‘LC solution’ software (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with pump LC-20AD VP, auto-sampler SIL-20A, co-sense BA LC system, column oven CTO-20A, UV–VIS detector SPD-20A and system controller CBM-20A. The analytical column used for the separation was nucleosil CN manufactured by Macherey-Nagel GmbH (Düren, Germany) with dimensions (i.d. 250 × 4.6 mm and particle size 5 µm). The analysis was performed under constant column temperature of 25 ± 2 °C. The separation was carried out in isocratic mode with mobile phase constituting acetonitrile:100 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.5); 40:60, v/v. Prior to use the mobile phase was filtered using millipore 0.45 µm filter and degassed on an ultrasonic bath. After optimization the ideal flow rate was found to be 1.2 mL min⁻¹ and the PAZ was detected at 270 nm. Samples of 20 µL were injected into the HPLC system during the analysis.

2.3. Preparation of PAZ Standard and Sample Solutions

A stock solution of 1 mg mL⁻¹ in methanol was prepared by accurately weighing (5 mg) of PAZ and dissolving in 5 mL methanol. Working standard of 200 mg mL⁻¹ was obtained by diluting the stock solution with methanol. The tablet samples (Votrient®) were prepared by weighing 10 tablets, calculating their average weight and then crushing them to fine powder. 25 mg equivalent powder of PAZ was diluted with methanol to obtain a solution of 1 mg mL⁻¹. The resulting solution was filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filter. The stock solution was diluted to obtain concentration ranging from 2–12 µg mL⁻¹ (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 µg mL⁻¹) and were subjected to analysis by the proposed method.

2.4. Preparation of OXA Internal Standard Solution

Stock solution of OXA 1 mg mL⁻¹ in methanol was prepared by dissolving 25 mg of OXA in 25 mL of methanol. The working solution of OXA 200 µg mL⁻¹ was prepared from the stock solution.

2.5. Forced Degradation

2.5.1. Acid and Alkali Hydrolysis

A 500 µL aliquot of PAZ working standard (200 µg mL⁻¹) were pipetted into 10 mL volumetric flasks (n = 10) and were added with 8.5 mL water. The flasks were separated into two groups of five each and were added with either 1 mL of 10 N hydrochloric acid or 10 N sodium hydroxide. The resulting solutions were initially heated for 5 min on hot plate and then transferred to a water bath (70 °C). The samples were removed from the water bath after 1 h and allowed to cool down to room temperature (25 ± 2 °C). The samples were then neutralized either with acid or base (equivalent amount) and 20 µL of these neutralized samples were injected into the HPLC system.

2.5.2. Oxidation

A 500 µL aliquot of PAZ working standard (200 µg mL⁻¹) were pipetted into 10 mL volumetric flasks (n = 5) and were added with 7.5 mL water. These flasks were added with 2 mL of 30 % hydrogen peroxide solution. The samples flasks were placed inside a water bath (70 °C). The samples were withdrawn from the flasks in water bath at intervals of 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, and 300 min. After withdrawing the samples were allowed to cool to the room temperature (25 ± 2 °C) and then 20 µL were injected in the HPLC system.

2.6. Construction of Calibration Curve for Analysis of Plasma Samples

Blank human plasma was spiked with varying PAZ and OXA (internal standard) fixed concentration of 10 µg mL⁻¹ to prepare the calibration standards in the range 2–12 µg mL⁻¹. Aliquots of 500 µL of spiked plasma were added to 500 µL of methanol, vortexed for 30 s and then centrifuged at 13 000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was pipetted out and filtered through 0.2 µm millipore syringe filters. A 20 µL aliquot of the filtered sample was injected into the HPLC system for analysis. The calibration curve contained six calibration points and the calibration plot was constructed as peak area ratio of PAZ to OXA (y-axis) versus PAZ concentration (x-axis). Each calibration standard was analyzed three times and the slope, intercept and correlation coefficient (r) calculated.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Method Development and Chromatographic Condition Optimization

HPLC is widely used in quality control, pharmaceutical analysis and clinical settings as it provides acceptable sensitivity, more convenient and cheaper. PAZ contains a chromophore center (Fig. 1) and therefore, a method based on UV detection was developed and validated for estimation of PAZ in bulk and dosage form for QC analysis. The developed method was also validated for estimation of PAZ in plasma for TDM, pharmacokinetic and toxicokinetic analysis. The OXA (Fig. 1) was selected as internal standard on the basis that it also shows maximum absorption in the same region as PAZ (270 nm) (Fig. 2). It was also determined by the preliminary experiments that the peaks (PAZ and OXA) are resolved (Fig. 3B).

The chromatographic conditions were optimized and included the selection of mobile phase and column. Several mobile phases systems and columns were studied during the analysis and the most appropriate mobile phase was found to be acetonitrile:100 mM sodium acetate buffer (40:60, v/v), adjusted to pH 4.5 with 100 % acetic acid. The nucleosil CN with dimensions (i.d. 250 × 4.6 mm and particle size 5 µm) manufactured by Macherey-Nagel GmbH (Düren, Germany) analytical column provided the most acceptable separation. The optimum flow rate for the mobile phase was found to be 1.2 mL mL–1 an isocratic mode. The column temperature (25 ± 2 °C) during the analysis was not varied during analysis. The total run time under these optimized conditions was 7 min and the retention time for PAZ was found to be 5.55 ± 0.04 and that for the OXA was found to be 3.70 ± 0.02 min (n = 5) (Fig. 3). The tabular format for the chromatographic conditions is presented in Table 1.

3.2. Method Validation

3.2.1. Linearity and Sensitivity

Three different calibration curves were generated independently and with the 6-concentration standards 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 µg mL–1 and each standard was run thrice during each run. The least-square method was used for the regression analysis and the standard deviation of each calibration standard (triplicate) was less than 2 %. The generated equation for the calibration curve was: Y = –0.042 + 0.1746 C (r = 0.9998). Y represents the relative detector signal, C represents the concentration of PAZ and the correlation coefficient is represented by r. Signal-to-noise ratio19 was used for determination of limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ). The LOD and LOQ values were 0.27 and 0.82 µg mL–1, respectively.

3.2.2. Precision and Accuracy

ICH guidelines were followed to measure the precision of the developed method. Five injections of the six calibration standard (2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 µg mL–1) were run repeatedly to determine the intra-assay precision. The relative standard deviation (RSD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ). The LOD and LOQ values were 0.27 and 0.82 µg mL–1, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retention time of PAZ</td>
<td>5.55 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention time of OXA (IS)</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity factor</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak asymmetry at 10 % peak height</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of theoretical plates</td>
<td>3657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height equivalent to theoretical plate /µm</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient /r</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope (b) ± SD</td>
<td>0.1746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept (a) ± SD</td>
<td>–0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD /µg mL–1</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOQ /µg mL–1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear range /µg mL–1</td>
<td>2–12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Chromatographic parameters for PAZ and OXA by the proposed HPLC method.

Figure 2 Absorption spectra of pazopanib (PAZ) and oxamnique (OXA) against methanol. Concentrations of both PAZ and OXA were 10 µg mL–1 in methanol.

Figure 3 Representative chromatogram of 10 µg mL–1 of standard solution of the internal standard, OXA (A) and a mixture solution containing 10 µg mL–1 of both standard PAZ and OXA (B).
19. Calculated for the intra-assay precision did not exceed 3.61 % (Table 2). Inter-assay precision for PAZ at concentration levels of (2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 µg mL⁻¹) was determined by spiking known concentrations of PAZ (2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 µg mL⁻¹) to placebo matrix for tablets. Five replicates for each concentration were injected and the concentrations were back calculated from the calibration curve. The concentrations recovered are presented as percentage of the nominal concentration and were in the range 96.69–104.15 % (Table 3). The developed method showed acceptable accuracy.19

The ruggedness of the method was evaluated by altering the most important optimized chromatographic parameters within the range 1–10 % and not interfering with other parameters. The parameters that were studied for the robustness included mobile phase composition, flow rate of mobile phase, change in pH, and column temperature. The results obtained indicated the robustness of the method and minute changes within 10 % did not significantly alter the PAZ estimation.

3.2.4. Sample Solution Stability

In order to check the bench top stability of the drug during experimentation, the drug solution (8 µg mL⁻¹) was kept was subjected to analysis at 24, 48 and 72 h under normal laboratory conditions (25 ± 2 °C). The drug was also tested under refrigerated condition (8 ± 1 °C) after 72 h of storage. No significant change in the samples was found over the tested period indicating stability of the drug solution and the RSD for the samples stored at (8 ± 1 °C) and (25 ± 2 °C) was found to be 1.27 % and 1.04 %, respectively.

<p>| Table 2: Precision and accuracy data for analysis of PAZ by the proposed HPLC method. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal concentration (µg mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Measured concentration (µg mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within run</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>104.15</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>101.02</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>98.09</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>98.79</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.96</td>
<td>99.61</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.13</td>
<td>101.09</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between runs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>99.78</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>96.97</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>96.69</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>96.85</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>97.82</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>99.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Stability-indicating Study

The ICH guidelines recommend stress testing of drug substance to interpret the intrinsic stability of the API as the drug substance can pass through stressful situations during the manufacturing. Hydrolytic and oxidation tests are recommended by the ICH guidelines for stress testing. A method that can quantify drug as well as resolve its degradation products is identified as best stability-indicating method. PAZ was stress tested as described in the experimental section (acid hydrolysis, alkali hydrolysis and oxidation). Chromatograms from acid and alkali hydrolysis of PAZ indicate that no degradation of PAZ occurred under these conditions when matched with chromatograms of blank solutions (Figs. 4–5). However, post-oxidation hydrolysis degradation products could be seen in the chromatogram (Fig. 6). As is clear from the chromatogram that the degradation products could be separated completely from PAZ using the proposed method, establishing stability-indicating property of the proposed method. The kinetics of PAZ degradation in oxidative conditions was studied. Plot of time versus both peak areas of PAZ and their corresponding ln values is shown in Fig. 7. Straight line with good correlation coefficient (0.9986) was obtained from linear regression analysis of time-log peak area plot (Fig. 7). This confirmed that the oxidative degradation of PAZ behaved as first-order reaction.21 The reaction rate constant (K) was calculated and found to be 6 × 10⁻⁷ min⁻¹ with 1/2 value of 11.15 min.

3.4. Selectivity of the Method

PAZ and OXA were well separated under the optimized chromatographic conditions and the representative chromatograms are given in Fig. 3. The chromatogram obtained from analysis of Votrient® tablet solution spiked with OXA was identical to that of the standard solution indicating that the tablet excipients had no interference with the analysis. Thus, indicating selectivity of the method for quality control analysis of PAZ.
The developed methods selectivity was also accessed in plasma. Blank human plasma was used to access the selectivity and recognize the peaks due to the plasma constituents and their resolution from the peaks of PAZ and OXA (IS). Figure 8 represents the chromatograms obtained from the blank plasma, plasma spiked with OXA, and plasma spiked with both PAZ and OXA. As is evident from the chromatograms that the PAZ and OXA are completely separated from the endogenous plasma constituents, thus, indicating selectivity of the method in plasma.

3.5. Applications of the Method

The method was successfully applied for estimation of PAZ in Votrient® tablets and human plasma. Varying concentrations of tablets solutions were taken and subjected to the analysis by the proposed method. Recovery values were 95.92–102.19 % and the relative standard deviation (RSD) values were 0.22–2.21 % (Table 3) indicating the reliability of the method for quality control of PAZ in bulk and dosage form. As well, human plasma samples were spiked with varying PAZ concentrations (Table 4) within its reported therapeutic plasma levels,7,22 and the spiked...
samples were subjected to the analysis by the proposed method. The results were satisfactory in terms of the accuracy and precision as the recovery values were 100.17–103.98 % and RSD did not exceed 4.02 % (Table 4). These data indicate the applicability of the proposed HPLC method for the accurate determination of PAZ in plasma in bioequivalence and pharmacokinetic studies.

4. Conclusion

The present study represents the first report that deals with the development of an HPLC method with ultraviolet (UV) detection for determination of PAZ, a multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor in bulk drug, tablets formulation, and in human plasma. The method was validated in terms of linearity, precision, and accuracy. The recovery values were within the acceptable range, and RSD did not exceed 4.02 %.

Figure 6 Oxidative stress testing of PAZ. Panel A is the chromatogram of blank hydrogen peroxide solution. Panel B is the chromatogram of a mixture of PAZ and hydrogen peroxide injected directly after mixing. Panel C is the chromatogram of a mixture of PAZ and hydrogen peroxide injected after incubation for 5 h in a water bath at 70 °C.

Figure 7 Kinetic study for stressed oxidation of PAZ. The time-peak area curve (●) and the linear plot of time-log peak area (▲) with its fitting equation and correlation coefficient.

Figure 8 Representative chromatograms from (A) blank PAZ-free human plasma, (B) plasma spiked with internal standard, OXA (5 µg mL\(^{-1}\)), (C) plasma spiked with OXA (5 µg mL\(^{-1}\)) and PAZ (5 µg mL\(^{-1}\)).
plasma samples. The stability-indicating property of the method was documented as per the recommendations of ICH guidelines. In addition, the applicability of the method for determination of PAZ in human plasma samples was proved. From the economical point of view, the method involved the native UV-absorbing property of PAZ, rather than relying on expensive instrumentation as LC-MS/MS. Statistical analysis for the results proved that the method is valid for its routine use in the pharmaceutical quality control industry and clinical laboratories.
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