

## Beta alanine effects immediately pre- and post hatch on chick quality, carcass yield and meat quality in broilers

H. Atan & C. Kop-Bozbay<sup>#</sup>

Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Eskisehir Osmangazi University, 26480, Eskisehir, Turkey

(Submitted 1 October 2020; Accepted 12 December 2020; Published 11 January 2021)

Copyright resides with the authors in terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 South African Licence.

See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/za>

Condition of use: The user may copy, distribute, transmit and adapt the work, but must recognise the authors and the South African Journal of Animal Science.

### Abstract

First, this study aimed to evaluate the effects on hatchability of in ovo supplementation of beta alanine ( $\beta$ A). Second, it assessed the effects on performance, meat quality and serum constituents of broiler chickens of  $\beta$ A injection in ovo and of supplementation with  $\beta$ A in feed for the first seven days after hatching. For this purpose, 960 fertilized broiler chicken eggs were distributed to hatchery trays in four treatment groups with six replicates on day 17 of incubation. The treatment groups consisted of eggs that were not injected (T1; negative control), injected with sterile solution with 0.9% salt (T2; positive control), injected with in ovo 1%  $\beta$ A solution (T3), and not injected, but with chicks were fed 1%  $\beta$ A for the first seven days after hatching (T4). Upon hatching, chicks from T1, T2, and T3 were fed commercial starter feed. All treatments were assessed with six replicates of 28 birds (14 females and 14 males) throughout the grow-out period. As a result of this study, T3 increased hatchability, yolk-free hatching weight and chick quality, and decreased yolk weight and feed access time at hatch. Both T3 and T4 improved carcass yield and meat quality, and T3 increased serum total antioxidant status at 42 days after hatching. The results demonstrated that in ovo  $\beta$ A feeding improved hatching characteristics, and  $\beta$ A administration in ovo or in feed improved carcass yield and meat quality without affecting growth performance.

**Keywords:** antioxidant status, in ovo, oxidant status

<sup>#</sup>Corresponding author: [cbozbay@ogu.edu.tr](mailto:cbozbay@ogu.edu.tr)

### Introduction

Productivity in poultry depends on embryonic development, post-hatch growth, meat production and egg yield. The periods immediately pre- and post hatch are critical to achieving production efficiency, and early supplementation strategies can be beneficial (Sirsat *et al.*, 2018). Studies have shown in ovo (Kadam *et al.*, 2008; Elwan *et al.*, 2019; Kop-Bozbay & Ocak, 2019; Tavaniello *et al.*, 2020) and post-hatch amino acid feeding (Kralik *et al.*, 2014, 2015; Kop-Bozbay & Ocak, 2020) to be effective in meeting the nutrient requirements of birds around the time of hatching and to reduce the detrimental consequences that are associated with the hatching process.

Carnosine is a dipeptide molecule that is composed of the amino acids beta-alanine and histidine. Its synthesis depends on the presence of  $\beta$ A as a substrate. The concentration of carnosine in the skeletal muscles of animals depends on the species, age, muscle type, nutritional status and, significantly, the composition of the diet (Wu, 2020). The main sources of carnosine and anserine for humans are poultry, beef and fish. White muscle fibres of chickens contain a high concentration of carnosine (Tomonaga *et al.*, 2006). Carnosine and its derivative anserine serve as antioxidants and neurotransmitters. Carnosine has an antioxidative effect because of its ability to bind metal ions and eliminate various types of free radicals (Kralik *et al.*, 2014). This antioxidant activity of carnosine can increase the stability of meat in storage. Moreover, because carnosine has hypoglycemic, hypotensive, antidepressant-like activity and anti-ageing effects, it contributes to the nutritional quality of meat (Boldyrev *et al.*, 2013).

The concentration of carnosine in animal and human tissues can be altered with supplementation of the amino acids that are components of carnosine, namely  $\beta$ A and I-Histidine (Tomonaga *et al.*, 2005; Boldyrev *et al.*, 2013). However, carnosine supplementation may not be effective because it is broken down into and during digestion (about 40%  $\beta$ A by weight). In another study, in ovo  $\beta$ A feeding had positive effects on the weight of chicks at hatching and on their mobility (Kop-Bozbay *et al.*, 2018). However, although there

are some studies on dietary  $\beta$ A (Tomonaga *et al.*, 2005, 2006, 2012; Kralik *et al.*, 2014, 2015; Qi *et al.*, 2018) in poultry, there is a gap in the literature in which  $\beta$ A supplementation in ovo and early post hatch have not been studied simultaneously.

Administration of  $\beta$ A in ovo or in feed may enhance the commercial value of broiler chickens by improving the shelf-life of the meat and its nutritional quality because of an increased concentration of these dipeptides in the muscles. Therefore, this study was conducted to determine the effects of i) in ovo  $\beta$ A injection on hatchability characteristics, namely hatching weight, yolk weight, hatchability, embryonic mortality rate, chick quality score, and feeding behaviour, and ii) supplementation of  $\beta$ A during the first seven days after hatching on the performance, meat quality and serum constituents of broiler chickens.

## Materials and Methods

All procedures with animals were approved by the Animal Experiments Local Ethics Committee of Eskisehir Osmangazi University (Protocol no. HADYEK-623/2017).

One thousand fertile eggs were purchased from a commercial company (CP Standart Gıda San.ve Tic. A.Ş, İstanbul, Turkey) and weighed with 0.1 g precision scales. After being checked for fracture, crack and structural defect, 960 eggs were distributed randomly to four treatment groups with six replicates (40 eggs at  $45.6 \pm 3$  g weight per replication) and placed in hatchery trays. The eggs were incubated (model T1280, Çimuka, Ankara, Turkey) under optimal conditions ( $37.2$  °C -  $37.7$  °C and 60% - 75% humidity) at the Research and Application Farm of Agricultural Faculty of Eskişehir Osmangazi University, Turkey. A 0.9% saline (Foye *et al.*, 2006) was prepared and used in a 1%  $\beta$ A solution (Kop-Bozbay *et al.*, 2018) (Sigma Alderich No. H8125 and A9920, MilliporeSigma, St. Louis, Missouri, USA). The treatment groups consisted of eggs that were not injected (T1; negative control), injected with a sterile solution with 0.9% salt (T2; positive control), injected with in ovo 1%  $\beta$ A solution (T3), and not injected with the chicks being fed 1%  $\beta$ A feed during the first seven days after hatching (T4). The in ovo injection was accomplished by inserting 1 ml of solution into the amnion with a 19-mm long and 27-gauge needle (Uni *et al.*, 2005). The injection area was disinfected with ethyl alcohol and dressed with liquid paraffin, and then the eggs were transferred to hatching baskets. All these operations were completed in about five minutes.

Within two hours of hatching, the measurements related to hatching characteristics were ascertained. Then the chicks were transferred to an experimental house with six replicates of 28 birds (14 females and 14 males) with similar average weights ( $42 \pm 3$  g) and reared for 42 days. Except for the T4 chicks, all groups were fed ad libitum with a corn-soybean-based commercial diet that was formulated according to NRC (1994) guidelines (Table 1). The T4 birds were fed with a 1%  $\beta$ A (10 g  $\beta$ A/kg) supplemented starter feed for the first seven days after hatching. The experiment was performed under standard husbandry practices, and the health status and general appearance of the birds were monitored at least twice daily.

To determine the yolk sac weight (YSW) and yolk-free bodyweight of the embryos (EW) on the 18th day of incubation and chick weight (CW) at hatch, six embryonated eggs and six chicks were selected, and bodyweight changes (BWC) were calculated. As soon as the hatching process was completed, the chicks were taken from the machine and were checked for abnormalities such as an unclosed belly and foot defects, and their bodyweights were recorded. At hatch, the chicks and all unhatched eggs were counted, and the chick quality scores (CQS) (Tona *et al.*, 2003) of the weighed chicks were established. The percentage of hatchability was calculated following Ghanaatparast-Rashti *et al.* (2018):

$$\% \text{ hatchability} = \frac{\text{live chicks upon hatching}}{\text{number of fertile eggs obtained at day 17}}$$

All unhatched eggs were opened, and dead chicks were evaluated as those that were fully formed, but dead without pipping, or as those that had pipped, but were dead in shell (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2018). Dead chicks in each class were expressed as percentages of incubated fertile eggs. The time that elapsed from hatching until reaching feed was recorded for each chick (Aigueperse *et al.*, 2013).

The broilers were weighed to determine BW on days 7, 21 and 42, and the feed consumed (FI) between these time points was recorded. Feed conversion ratio (FCR) was calculated from these data.

At 42 days old, a total of 48 animals (one male and one female) were chosen at random from each replication, weighed, killed by cervical dislocation, and processed (Kop-Bozbay & Ocak, 2015a). After removing the visceral contents, carcass weight was recorded. The carcass yield was calculated as g/100 g bodyweight. The colour values ( $L^*$ , lightness;  $a^*$ , redness;  $b^*$ , yellowness) of the skinless left breast (pectoralis muscle (PM) and thigh *Ilio tibialis* muscle (ITM) meats of each cleaned bird were measured with a Minolta CR 300 chroma meter (Minolta Camera, Osaka, Japan) at day 45. Three replicate measures were made at random locations on the raw PM and ITM, and the mean colour values were calculated for each sample. Immediately after colour measurement, pH values were assessed with a digital pH meter

(Cyberscan PC 510), calibrated at 25 °C and buffer solution at pH 4.01 and 7.00 (Mettler Toledo, USA) from three points of the PM and ITM (Von Lengerken *et al.*, 2002). The meat samples (1 g) from the ITM and PM were rolled in filter paper, put in Eppendorf tubes, and centrifuged for 4 min x 1500 g, then the water-holding capacity (WHC) was determined (Kop-Bozbay *et al.*, 2020).

**Table 1** Ingredients and nutrient composition of the basal diet (as-fed basis) provided to broiler chickens to determine the effects of supplemental beta alanine

Ingredients (g/kg)	Starter (days 0 - 21)	Finisher (days 22 - 42)
Corn	552.50	587.00
Soybean meal (46%)	380.00	330.00
Vegetable oil	25.00	45.00
Dicalcium phosphate	19.00	19.50
Limestone	12.00	7.50
Sodium chloride	4.00	3.50
Lysine-HCL	2.50	2.50
DL-Methionine	2.50	2.50
Vitamin-mineral premix <sup>1</sup>	2.50	2.50
Calculated energy (MJ/kg) and nutrient contents (g/kg)		
Metabolizable energy	12.720	13.350
Dry matter	88.816	89.099
Crude protein	21.850	19.760
Eter extract	4.505	6.528
Crude fibre	2.909	2.787
Ash	6.176	5.787
Lysine	1.505	1.156
Methionine	0.579	0.514
Methionine+cysteine	0.921	0.867
D-Tryptophan	0.241	0.214
Calcium	0.960	0.900
Available P	0.400	0.400

<sup>1</sup>Vitamin A: 12000 IU, vitamin D<sub>3</sub>: 2400 IU, vitamin E: 30 IU, vitamin K<sub>3</sub>: 2.5 mg, vitamin B<sub>1</sub>: 3.0 mg, vitamin B<sub>2</sub>: 7 mg, nicotinamide: 40 mg, calcium D-pantothenate: 8.0 mg, vitamin B<sub>6</sub>: 4.0 mg; vitamin B<sub>12</sub>: 0.015 mg; folic acid: 1 mg, d-biotine: 0.045 mg, vitamin c: 50 mg, chlorine chloride: 125 mg, manganese: 80 mg; iron: 40 mg, zinc: 60 mg, copper: 5 mg, cobalt: 0.1 mg, iodine: 0.4 mg, seleium: 0.15 mg

Total antioxidant status (TAS), total oxidant status (TOS), triglyceride, cholesterol, protein and glucose concentrations were calculated on days 7 and 42 from the blood of three males and three females, which was collected in heparinized tubes (Lin *et al.*, 2006). The blood samples were centrifuged at 10 °C for 10 min at 4000 rpm, and serum was harvested and stored at -20 °C until the samples were analysed. These metabolites were measured with commercial kits (Corticosterone ELISA Kit, Assay Designs, catalogue no 900,907, USA) for poultry in a private laboratory. The in vitro enzymatic colorimetric method was used to measure triglyceride, cholesterol, protein and glucose (Chand *et al.*, 2018). Total oxidant status and TAS were assayed with Randox kit components (Miller, 1993). Calibration of the assay was done with 6-hydroxy-2, 5, 7, and 8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (trolox). The results were expressed in mmol/L trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity using a trolox standard curve that ranged from 0 to 2.29 mmol/L. Measurements were carried out on RX Imola automatic biochemical analyser (Randox Laboratories Ltd., Crumlin, Dublin, Ireland) (Pascariu *et al.*, 2017).

The data were analysed with SPSS (IBM SPSS Inc., version 21.0. IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). One-way analysis of variance was used to determine the effects of the treatments on BW, FI and FCR. The normal distribution of the data was evaluated with the Levene test, and the homogeneity of variance was tested with

the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Differences between treatment means were assessed with Duncan's multiple comparison test when the analysis of variance produced significant effects. The probability  $P < 0.05$  was used as the critical value for establishing treatment effects.

## Results and Discussion

The yolk-free CWs of T3 chicks were higher than T1, and their pre-hatch weight gain was higher than T4 ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 2). At hatch, the T3 group had lower YSW than the T4 group ( $P < 0.05$ ). The hatchability of T3 eggs was higher than T1 ( $P < 0.05$ ). The embryonic mortality in T3 eggs was lower than in T1 and T2 ( $P < 0.05$ ). T3 chicks had a higher CQS than the other groups ( $P < 0.05$ ) and a lower feed access time than T1 and T4 chicks ( $P < 0.001$ ). The lack of differences between in T2 wherein the eggs had been injected with saline (a sham treatment) and those eggs that were not injected (T1 and T4) might be interpreted to suggest standard incubation procedures could be applied in nutritional studies that are conducted in ovo.

**Table 2** Yolk-free embryo weight on day 18 of incubation, yolk-free chick weight at hatching, yolk sac weight, bodyweight between day 18 of incubation and hatching, and hatchability trait responses to in ovo feeding of beta alanine to broilers

Items	T1	T2	T3	T4	SE	P-value
Embryo weight at day 18, g	29.76	30.11	29.87	30.58	0.248	0.688
Chick weight at hatching, g	34.13 <sup>b</sup>	34.45 <sup>ab</sup>	35.82 <sup>a</sup>	34.52 <sup>ab</sup>	0.232	0.038
Weight change, g	4.37 <sup>ab</sup>	4.34 <sup>ab</sup>	5.95 <sup>a</sup>	3.94 <sup>b</sup>	0.257	0.019
Yolk sac weight at day 18, g	12.93	13.50	13.64	13.43	0.276	0.840
Yolk sac weight at hatch, g	5.25 <sup>ab</sup>	5.15 <sup>ab</sup>	4.55 <sup>b</sup>	6.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.235	0.047
Hatchability, %	89.96 <sup>b</sup>	91.05 <sup>ab</sup>	97.27 <sup>a</sup>	92.85 <sup>ab</sup>	0.770	0.044
Pipped and dead, %	5.75 <sup>a</sup>	5.68 <sup>a</sup>	2.86 <sup>b</sup>	3.81 <sup>ab</sup>	0.437	0.033
Dead and not pipped, %	4.29	3.27	1.87	3.33	0.390	0.177
Chick quality score	98.16 <sup>b</sup>	97.94 <sup>b</sup>	99.61 <sup>a</sup>	97.16 <sup>b</sup>	0.319	0.042
Time to access feed, s	298.50 <sup>a</sup>	245.16 <sup>ab</sup>	130.50 <sup>b</sup>	292.00 <sup>a</sup>	16.684	<0.001

<sup>a,b</sup>Within a row, means with a common superscript were not different at probability  $P = 0.05$

T1: eggs not injected, T2: eggs injected with 0.9% sterile saline solution, T3: eggs injected with 1%  $\beta$ A solution, T4: eggs not injected, similar to T1 at hatching

The FI, BW and FCR of broiler chickens at days 7, 21 and 42 were not affected by in ovo feeding of  $\beta$ A or its supplementation after hatch (Table 3). T3 and T4 produced birds with increased carcass yield at 42 days old compared with T1, ( $P < 0.05$ ).

The PM was lighter in L\* values ( $P < 0.001$ ) in T3 and T4 than in the T1 birds. The a\* value of PM from T1 and T3 birds was higher than in T4 birds ( $P < 0.001$ ). The L\* value of ITM from T4 birds was higher than for the other treatments, whereas a\* value of T3 birds was higher than birds in the T2 and T4 treatments ( $P < 0.001$ ).

Blood parameters were not affected by  $\beta$ A administration in ovo or in starter feed, except for the TAS value at 42 days old (Table 5). The T3 treatment increased the TAS value compared with the T1 treatment ( $P < 0.05$ ).

**Table 3** Feed intake, bodyweight, feed conversion ratio and carcass yield responses to beta alanine ( $\beta$ A) administered in ovo or fed to broilers for seven days after hatching

Items	Days	T1	T2	T3	T4	SE	P-value
Feed intake, g	7	179.45	174.51	178.20	177.23	1.018	0.369
	21	1310.1	1315.7	1317.4	1334.7	13.28	0.931
	42	4264.6	4274.3	4126.0	4187.1	52.36	0.763
Bodyweight, g	7	145.95	140.51	142.08	137.95	1.456	0.258
	21	745.92	748.54	767.53	734.75	12.531	0.862
	42	2359.3	2397.4	2347.7	2267.7	30.59	0.467
FCR	7	1.23	1.24	1.25	1.28	0.012	0.466
	21	1.78	1.76	1.72	1.82	0.042	0.887
	42	1.78	1.80	1.75	1.85	0.018	0.315
Carcass yield, g/100g	42	70.27 <sup>b</sup>	72.20 <sup>ab</sup>	73.40 <sup>a</sup>	74.16 <sup>a</sup>	0.544	0.049

<sup>a,b</sup>Within a row, means with a common superscript were not different at probability  $P=0.05$ ;  $\beta$ A: beta alanine  
T1: eggs not injected, T2: eggs injected with 0.9% sterile saline solution, T3: eggs injected with 1%  $\beta$ A solution, T4: chicks supplemented with  $\beta$ A for seven days after hatching, FCR: feed conversion ratio

**Table 4** pH, water-holding capacity and colour characteristics of breast and thigh meats in response to beta alanine administration in ovo or fed to broilers for seven days after hatching

Items		T1	T2	T3	T4	SE	P-value
Breast muscle	pH	6.38 <sup>b</sup>	6.42 <sup>ab</sup>	6.52 <sup>a</sup>	6.44 <sup>a</sup>	0.031	0.014
	WHC %	64.56	64.55	66.52	63.09	0.538	0.187
	CIE L*	55.32 <sup>b</sup>	58.40 <sup>ab</sup>	58.60 <sup>a</sup>	63.95 <sup>a</sup>	0.927	0.001
	CIE a*	4.18 <sup>a</sup>	3.01 <sup>ab</sup>	4.16 <sup>a</sup>	1.78 <sup>b</sup>	0.216	0.001
	CIE b*	7.47	6.21	7.44	7.36	0.480	0.380
Thigh muscle	pH	6.18	6.11	6.30	6.26	0.028	0.080
	WHC %	63.06	63.46	62.66	64.70	0.572	0.629
	CIE L*	57.64 <sup>b</sup>	60.74 <sup>b</sup>	53.16 <sup>b</sup>	67.79 <sup>a</sup>	1.078	<0.001
	CIE a*	1.81 <sup>ab</sup>	0.99 <sup>b</sup>	2.89 <sup>a</sup>	0.50 <sup>b</sup>	0.245	<0.001
	CIE b*	4.93	5.40	6.80	6.31	0.281	0.369

<sup>a,b</sup>Within a row, means with a common superscript were not different at probability  $P=0.05$   
T1: eggs not injected, T2: eggs injected with 0.9% sterile saline solution, T3: eggs injected with 1%  $\beta$ A solution, T4: chicks supplemented with  $\beta$ A for seven days after hatching, WHC: water-holding capacity, CIE L\*: lightness (black = 0 and white = 100), CIE a\*: green-red opponent colours (<0 indicates greenness, >0 indicates redness, CIE b\*: blue-yellow opponent colours (<0 indicates blueness, >0 indicates yellowness)

Kop-Bozbay *et al.* (2018) observed that in ovo feeding of  $\beta$ A at a dose of 0.75% increased CW by 7.4%. In the current study, T3 produced a 4.95% increase in CW at hatching compared with the control group. In addition, increased use of the yolk sac in T3 supported this rise in CW. This is consistent with findings that in ovo feeding with amino acids stimulated the use of the yolk by the embryo and consequently accelerated intestinal development (Kop-Bozbay & Ocak, 2015b). In the present study, T3 stimulated the use of yolk sac significantly, so these chicks had less residual yolk sac at hatch. These findings are in line with the results of in ovo threonine feeding by Kadam *et al.* (2008).

**Table 5** Serum total oxidant status, total antioxidant status, triglyceride, cholesterol, protein, and glucose levels in response to beta alanine administration in ovo or fed to broilers for seven days after hatching

Items		T1	T2	T3	T4	SE	P-value
Day 7	Total antioxidant status, ( $\mu\text{mol/l}$ )	0.44	0.90	1.06	1.34	0.156	0.200
	Total oxidant status, ( $\mu\text{mol/l}$ )	20.82	14.69	20.39	16.40	1.903	0.634
	Glucose, mg/dl	219.20	232.33	216.00	209.16	9.171	0.853
	Protein, mg/dL	2.66	2.36	1.86	2.51	0.152	0.290
	Cholesterol, mg/dl	153.60	179.66	165.1	177.83	9.206	0.753
	Triglyceride, mg/ dL	51.00	56.00	44.16	56.33	2.519	0.332
Day 42	Total antioxidant status, ( $\mu\text{mol/l}$ )	0.81 <sup>b</sup>	1.04 <sup>ab</sup>	1.36 <sup>a</sup>	1.24 <sup>ab</sup>	0.080	0.045
	Total oxidant status, ( $\mu\text{mol/l}$ )	11.77	8.13	9.57	8.97	0.818	0.417
	Glucose, mg/dL	218.50	222.16	231.50	231.50	3.506	0.638
	Protein, mg/dL	2.23	2.16	2.16	2.10	0.045	0.759
	Cholesterol, mg/dL	111.50	98.00	99.33	102.83	3.309	0.497
	Triglyceride, mg/dL	42.50	34.66	34.00	42.33	2.080	0.298

<sup>a,b</sup>Within a row, means with a common superscript were not different at probability  $P=0.05$

T1: eggs not injected, T2: eggs injected with 0.9% sterile saline solution, T3: eggs injected with 1%  $\beta\text{A}$  solution, T4: chicks supplemented with  $\beta\text{A}$  for seven days after hatching

Hatchability is an important criterion in determining the profitability of breeding companies and hatcheries. In the current study, T3 produced a 7.31% increase in hatchability and lower embryonic death compared with T1. The percentage hatchability seen in T1 exceeded the 87.2% level for normally shaped eggs (Kamanli *et al.*, 2010). The number of chicks that hatch successfully is important, but so is chick quality. A chick of good quality (saleable) has been defined as being clean, dry, and free from deformities (Tona *et al.*, 2003). Approximately 8% of hatched chicks may not be marketable (Tona *et al.*, 2004). Assessment of chick quality is based on personal observation and subjective judgment with a scoring system defined in Tona *et al.* (2003). The Tona score has a positive correlation with bodyweight at six weeks old, and high scoring birds have the highest bodyweight when slaughtered. Therefore, hatching weight alone should not be considered a good indicator of chick quality (Decuypere & Bruggeman, 2007). In the light of the present results (Table 2) and with supporting evidence from other studies (Kop-Bozbay *et al.*, 2018; Araújo *et al.*, 2019), it may be concluded that a higher number of chicks of better quality could be obtained with in ovo  $\beta\text{A}$  injection on the 17th day of incubation. Therefore, chicks fed  $\beta\text{A}$  in ovo are healthier and have higher life force than their unsupplemented counterparts (Tona *et al.*, 2003; Decuypere & Bruggeman, 2007). The reduced time from hatching to until the chicks accessed the feeder supports this suggestion. These findings support the conclusion that factors that affect protein synthesis and accumulation in the embryo influence the Tona score positively (Tona *et al.*, 2003, 2004; Decuypere & Bruggeman, 2007). In addition, chicks that have higher Tona scores would be more active and more willing to have feed, because they have a more functional digestive system at hatch.

Administration of  $\beta\text{A}$  in in ovo or in starter feed did not affect bodyweight and feed consumption in the study, unlike the findings in Tomonaga *et al.* (2006); however, they do concur with Tomonaga *et al.* (2012). This difference between studies may depend on the type and line of poultry and the amount of  $\beta\text{A}$  used in the experiments. Every gram of bodyweight difference at hatch has been associated with a 10 g difference in bodyweight at slaughter age (Vargas *et al.*, 2009). In the present study, the increase in yolk-free hatching weight in T3 was not reflected in slaughter weight. However, the highest carcass yield was obtained from the T3 group.

The influence of  $\beta\text{A}$  administration on the colour values of thigh and breast meats in the present study was in accord with a study in which  $\beta\text{A}$  was added to the diet (Kralik *et al.*, 2014). In contrast to the current study, Kralik *et al.* (2014) and Qi *et al.* (2018) reported that the pH value of PM did not change with the addition of  $\beta\text{A}$ . Kralik *et al.* (2014) and Qi *et al.* (2018) also reported that there was no difference in WHC of the breast and thigh muscles, in agreement with the present results. Meat colour and pH relate to many properties of chicken meat (Iqbal *et al.*, 2013). Low final pH in chicken PM causes pale (high  $L^*$  values), soft, exudative meat (PSE) (Van Laack *et al.*, 2000). When the current results were evaluated, it could be said

that the breast and thigh muscles from birds that were provided with supplemental  $\beta$ A were normal and there was no evidence of PSE.

Because the blood TAS level at slaughter age in the T3 group was higher than that of the poultry in T1 group, this may have been caused by the increase in the concentration of carnosine, which has strong antioxidant ability (O'Neill *et al.*, 1998; Cong *et al.*, 2017; Kralik *et al.*, 2018; Qi *et al.*, 2018; Kopec *et al.*, 2020; Shimamoto *et al.*, 2020). These studies reported that the antioxidant capacity of the PM increased with the  $\beta$ A supplement (Qi *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, although the muscle antioxidant properties were not identified, the antioxidant capacity in the muscles may have increased because of the rise in blood TAS. Thus, it can be concluded that the shelf life of broiler meats could be increased by  $\beta$ A administration.

## Conclusion

In ovo feeding with a 1% solution of  $\beta$ A on the 17th day of incubation (T4) can result in the production of more and healthier chicks. Dietary supplementation with  $\beta$ A and in ovo feeding of  $\beta$ A can also increase the carcass yield. Thus,  $\beta$ A could be used beneficially in broiler production. In addition, standard incubation procedures could be applied in nutritional studies that are conducted in ovo because there were no differences in any of the measured variables between sham injected and non-injected eggs.

## Acknowledgements

This research was part of an MSc project of the first author and was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of Eskisehir Osmangazi University (BAP 201723A122). The authors are grateful for the support of the staff and facilities of Animal Science Department, Agriculture Faculty, Eskisehir Osmangazi University. They thank Dr. Dilber E. for reviewing the manuscript and the Scientific Research Fund of Eskisehir Osmangazi University for providing the laboratory infrastructure (BAP 201723E03).

## Authors' Contributions

CKB was responsible for the concept, formulation of objectives and scope of research, formulation of conclusions, and creation of the publication text. HA co-conducted the laboratory studies and implemented the research.

## Conflict of Interest Declaration

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest relative to this research.

## References

- Aigueperse, N., Calandreau, L. & Bertin, A., 2013. Maternal diet influences offspring feeding behavior and fearfulness in the precocial chicken. *PloS one*, 8. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077583
- Araújo, I.C., Café, M.B., Noleto, R.A., Martins, J.M., Ulhoa, C.J., Guareshi, G.C. & Leandro, N.S., 2019. Effect of vitamin E in ovo feeding to broiler embryos on hatchability, chick quality, oxidative state, and performance. *Poult. Sci.* 98, 3652-3661. DOI: 10.3382/ps/pey439
- Bhattacharyya, A., Majumdar, S., Bhanja, S.K., Mandal, A.B. & Kadam, M., 2018. Effect of maternal dietary manipulation and in ovo injection of nutrients on the hatchability indices, post-hatch growth, feed consumption, feed conversion ratio and immunocompetence traits of turkey poults. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 46, 287-294. DOI: 10.1080/09712119.2017.1296843
- Boldyrev, A.A., Aldini, G. & Derave, W., 2013. Physiology and pathophysiology of carnosine. *Physiol. Rev.* 93, 1803-1845. DOI: 10.1152/physrev.00039.2012
- Chand, N., Naz, S., Rehman, Z. & Khan, R.U., 2018. Blood biochemical profile of four fast-growing broiler strains under high ambient temperature. *Appl. Biol. Chem.* 61, 273-279. DOI: 10.1007/s13765-018-0358-4
- Cong, J., Zhang, L., Li, J., Wang, S., Gao, F. & Zhou, G., 2017. Effects of dietary supplementation with carnosine on meat quality and antioxidant capacity in broiler chickens. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 58, 69-75. DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.8236
- Decuyper, E. & Bruggeman, V., 2007. The endocrine interface of environmental and egg factors affecting chick quality. *Poult. Sci.* 86, 1037,1042. DOI: 10.1093/ps/86.5.1037
- Elwan, H.A., Elnesr, S.S., Xu, Q., Xie, C., Dong, X. & Zou, X., 2019. Effects of in ovo methionine-cysteine injection on embryonic development, antioxidant status, IGF-I and tlr4 gene expression, and jejunum histomorphometry in newly hatched broiler chicks exposed to heat stress during incubation. *Anim.* 9, 25. DOI: 10.3390/ani9010025
- Foye, O.T., Uni, Z., Ferket, P.R. & McMurtry, J.P., 2006. The effects of amniotic nutrient administration, in ovo feeding of arginine and/or-hydroxy-beta-methyl butyrate (HMB) on insulin-like growth factors, energy metabolism and growth in turkey poults. *J. Poult. Sci.* 5, 309-317. DOI: 10.3923/ijps.2006.309.317
- Ghanaatparast-Rashti, M., Mottaghtalab, M. & Ahmadi, H., 2018. In ovo feeding of nutrients and its impact on post-hatching water and feed deprivation up to 48 hr, energy status and jejunal morphology of chicks using response surface models. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 102, 806-817. DOI: 10.1111/jpn.12838
- Iqbal, A., Sun, D.W. & Allen, P., 2013. Prediction of moisture, color and pH in cooked, pre-sliced turkey hams by NIR hyperspectral imaging system. *J. Food Eng.* 117, 42-51. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2013.02.001
- Kadam, M. M., Bhanja, S.K., Mandal, A.B., Thakur, R., Vasan, P., Bhattacharyya, A. & Tyagi, J. S., 2008. Effect of in ovo threonine supplementation on early growth, immunological responses and digestive enzyme

- activities in broiler chickens. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 49 6, 736-741. DOI: 10.1080/00071660802469333
- Kamanli, S., Durmus, I. & Demir, S., 2010. Hatching characteristics of abnormal eggs. *Asian J. Vet. Adv.* 5, 271-274. DOI: 10.3923/ajava.2010.271.274
- Kop-Bozbay, C. & Ocak, N., 2015a. Body weight, meat quality and blood metabolite responses to carbohydrate administration in the drinking water during pre-slaughter feed withdrawal in broilers. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 99, 290-298. DOI: 10.1111/jpn.12194
- Kop-Bozbay, C. & Ocak, N., 2015b. Growth, digestive tract and muscle weights in slow-growing broiler is [sic] not affected by a blend of branched-chain amino acids injected into different sites of egg. *J. Agric. Environ. Sci.* 4, 261-269. DOI: 10.15640/jaes.v4n1a32
- Kop-Bozbay, C. & Ocak, N., 2019. In ovo injection of branched-chain amino acids: Embryonic development, hatchability and hatching quality of turkey poults. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 103, 1135-1142. DOI: 10.1111/jpn.13111
- Kop-Bozbay, C. & Ocak, N., 2020. Posthatch development in response to branched-chain amino acids blend supplementation in the diet for turkey poults subjected to early or delayed feeding. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.* 30, 1098-1105. DOI: 10.36899/JAPS.2020.5.0125
- Kop-Bozbay, C., Akdağ, A., Atan, H. & Ocak, N., 2018. Hatchability, some hatchling parameters, quality score, survivability in newly hatched-broiler chicks receiving a  $\beta$ -Alanine solution in ovo. *Turkish J. Agric. Food Sci. Technol.* 6, 1469-1473. DOI: 10.24925/turjaf.v6i10.1469-1473.2083
- Kop-Bozbay, C., Akdag, A., Atan, H. & Ocak, N., 2020. Response of broilers to supplementation of branched-chain amino acids blends with different valine contents in the starter period under summer conditions. *Asian-Australas J. Anim. Sci.* DOI: 10.5713/ajas.19.0828
- Kopec, W., Jamroz, D., Wiliczekiewicz, A., Biazik, E., Pudlo, A., Korzeniowska, M. & Skiba, T., 2020. Antioxidative characteristics of chicken breast meat and blood after diet supplementation with carnosine, L-histidine, and  $\beta$ -alanine. *Antioxidants* 9, 1093. DOI: 10.3390/antiox9111093
- Kralik, G., Sak-Bosnar, M., Kralik, Z. & Galović, O., 2014. Effects of  $\beta$ -alanine dietary supplementation on concentration of carnosine and quality of broiler muscle tissue. *J. Poult. Sci.* 51, 151-156. DOI: 10.2141/jpsa.0130047
- Kralik, G., Sak-Bosnar, M., Grčević, M. & Kralik, Z., 2018. Effect of amino acids on growth performance, carcass characteristics, meat quality, and carnosine concentration in broiler chickens. *J. Poult. Sci.* 55, 239-248. DOI: 10.2141/jpsa.0170083
- Kralik, G., Sak-Bosnar, M., Kralik, Z., Galović, O., Grčević, M. & Kralik, I., 2015. Effect of  $\beta$ -alanine and L-histidine on concentration of carnosine in muscle tissue and oxidative stability of chicken meat. *Polio Privreda*, 21, 190-194. DOI: 10.18047/poljo.21.1.sup.45
- Lin, H., Decuyper, E. & Buyse, J., 2006. Acute heat stress induces oxidative stress in broiler chickens. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Mol. Integ. Physiol.* 144, 11-17. DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2006.01.032
- Miller, N.J., Rice-Evans, C., Davies, M.J., Gopinathan, V. & Milner, A., 1993. A novel method for measuring antioxidant capacity and its application to monitoring the antioxidant status in premature neonates. *Clinical Science* 84, 407-412. DOI: 10.1042/cs0840407
- NRC, 1994. *Nutrient requirements of poultry*. 9th rev. edn. National Academy Press, Washington.
- O'Neill, L.M., Galvin, K., Morrissey, P.A. & Buckley, D.J., 1998. Inhibition of lipid oxidation in chicken by carnosine and dietary  $\alpha$ -tocopherol supplementation and its determination by derivative spectrophotometry. *Meat Sci.* 50, 479-488. DOI: 10.1016/s0309-1740(98)00061-8
- Qi, B., Wang, J., Ma, Y.B., Wu, S.G., Qi, G.H. & Zhang, H.J., 2018. Effect of dietary  $\beta$ -alanine supplementation on growth performance, meat quality, carnosine content, and gene expression of carnosine-related enzymes in broilers. *Poult. Sci.* 97, 1220-1228. DOI: 10.3382/ps/pex410
- Pascariu, S.M., Pop, I.M., Simeanu, D., Pavel, G. & Solcan, C., 2017. Effects of wine by-products on growth performance, complete blood count and total antioxidant status in broilers. *Braz. J. Poultry Sci.* 19, 191-202. DOI: 10.1590/1806-9061-2016-0305
- Shimamoto, S., Nakamura, K., Tomonaga, S., Furukawa, S., Ohtsuka, A. & Ijiri, D., 2020. Effects of cyclic high ambient temperature and dietary supplementation of orotic acid, a pyrimidine precursor, on plasma and muscle metabolites in broiler chickens. *Metabolites* 10, 189. DOI: 10.3390/metabo10050189
- Sirsat, S.D., Chathrasree, A.R., Ramteke, B.N. & Shirsat, S.D., 2018. Early post hatch feeding in chicks and practical constrains [sic] - A review. *Agric. Rev.* 39, 226-233. DOI: 10.18805/ag.R-1763
- Tavaniello, S., Slawinska, A., Prioriello, D., Petrecca, V., Bertocchi, M., Zampiga, M., Salvatori, G. & Maiorano, G., 2020. Effect of galactooligosaccharides delivered in ovo on meat quality traits of broiler chickens exposed to heat stress. *Poult. Sci.* 99, 612-619. DOI: 10.3382/ps/pez556
- Tomonaga, S., Kaji, Y., Tachibana, T., Denbow, D.M. & Furuse, M., 2005. Oral administration of  $\beta$ -alanine modifies carnosine concentrations in the muscles and brains of chicken. *Anim. Sci. J.* 76, 249-254. DOI: 10.1111/j.1740-0929.2005.00263.x
- Tomonaga, S., Matsumoto, M. & Furuse, M., 2012.  $\beta$ -Alanine enhances brain and muscle carnosine levels in broiler chicks. *J. Poult. Sci.* 0110165. DOI: 10.2141/jpsa.0110165
- Tomonaga, S., Kaneko, K., Kaji, Y., Kido, Y., Denbow, D.M. & Furuse, M., 2006. Dietary  $\beta$ -alanine enhances brain, but not muscle, carnosine and anserine concentrations in broilers. *Anim. Sci. J.* 77, 79-86. DOI: 10.1111/j.1740-0929.2006.00323.x
- Tona, K., Onagbesan, O., De Etelaere, B., Decuyper, E. & Bruggeman, V., 2004. Effects of age of broiler

- breeders and egg storage on egg quality, hatchability, chick quality, chick weight, and chick posthatch growth to forty-two days. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 13, 10-18. DOI: 10.1093/japr/13.1.10
- Tona, K., Bamelis, F., De Ketelaere, B., Bruggeman, V., Moraes, V.M., Buyse, J., Onagbesan, O. & Decuypere, E., 2003. Effects of egg storage time on spread of hatch, chick quality, and chick juvenile growth. *Poult. Sci.* 82, 736-741. DOI: 10.1093/ps/82.5.736
- Uni, Z., Ferket, P.R., Tako, E. & Kedar, O., 2005. In ovo feeding improves energy status of late-term chicken embryos. *Poult. Sci.* 84, 764-770. DOI: 10.1093/ps/84.5.764
- Van Laack, R.L.J.M., Liu, C.H., Smith, M.O. & Loveday, H.D., 2000. Characteristics of pale, soft, exudative broiler breast meat. *Poult. Sci.* 79, 1057-1061. DOI: 10.1093/ps/79.7.1057
- Vargas, G.E., Mesones, R.V., Bretcanu, O., López, J.M.P., Boccaccini, A.R. & Gorustovich, A., 2009. Biocompatibility and bone mineralization potential of 45S5 Bioglass®-derived glass-ceramic scaffolds in chick embryos. *Acta Biomaterialia*, 5, 374-380. DOI: 10.1016/j.actbio.2008.07.016
- Von Lengerken, G., Maak, S. & Wicke, M., 2002. Muscle metabolism and meat quality of pigs and poultry. *Veterinaria ir Zootechnika.* 20, 82-86.
- Wu, G., 2020. Important roles of dietary taurine, creatine, carnosine, anserine and 4-hydroxyproline in human nutrition and health. *Amino Acids* 52, 329-360. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-020-02823-6>