Effect of late prepartum fibre-based diets on the live weight changes and reproduction of Holstein cows in the subsequent lactation period
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Abstract

The objective of this study was to evaluate two late prepartum fibre-based diets that differed in non-structural carbohydrate (NFC) and protein levels on live weight (LW) changes and fertility traits of Holstein cows until 120 days postpartum. At 30 days before calving, 120 pregnant Holsteins (heifers, n = 54 and dry cows, n = 66) from the Elsenburg herd were assigned to two nutritional treatments according to parity, expected calving date, LW, and milk production during the previous lactation. Prepartum heifers and cows were fed independently a similar type and level of a prepartum concentrate, associated with an ad libitum intake of either unchopped oat hay for the control group or a partial total mixed ration (pTMR: oat hay (48%), lucerne hay (43%) and soybean oil cake meal (9%)) for the treatment group. After parturition, cows in both the control and treatment groups were maintained on ad libitum cultivated irrigated kikuyu-ryegrass pastures, supplemented each with a post-partum concentrate of 7 kg/day from calving until 120 days in milk (DIM). As expected, young and still growing primiparous cows were significantly lighter in pre- and post-partum LW traits compared with mature multiparous cows (parity > 3). Prepartum LW of cows was similar between the control and the treatment in both parity groups. Post-partum LW of the primiparous cows differed significantly between the control and the treatment, which were 488 ± 9 and 507 ± 13 kg, respectively. However, no difference was observed in terms of post-partum LW of multiparous cows of the control and the treatment groups, which were 579 ± 10 and 579 ± 8 kg, respectively. Primiparous cows that received the prepartum oat hay-based diet showed significant decrease in post-partum LW loss changes, LW nadir, LW loss at nadir and rate of LW loss from calving to LW nadir in comparison with their counterparts on the prepartum pTMR-based diet, but these LW traits were similar in multiparous cows. Prepartum diets did not have an effect on post-partum fertility parameters of the multiparous cows, whereas primiparous cows that received the control diet recorded a significantly longer interval from calving to first service (CFS) in the subsequent lactation in comparison with their counterparts fed the treatment diet i.e. 117 ± 9 and 86 ± 8 days, respectively. Proportions of cows that were pregnant at 120 DIM were similar in the subsequent lactation between groups that received the prepartum oat hay- and pTMR-based diets in both parity groups. Further research is required to investigate different prepartum feeding periods and nutritional approaches involving various levels and sources of energy and protein nutrients to encourage post-partum metabolic and hormonal responses that benefit the fertility of dairy cows in the subsequent lactation.
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Introduction

During the transition period, periparturient cows mobilize their stored body reserves in an attempt to meet the increased demands for maintenance and production (i.e. pregnancy growth before calving or milk production...
after calving) (Wankhade et al., 2017). This condition is caused by the periparturient decline in dry matter intake (DMI), which creates a shortage in needed nutrients (glucose, amino acids, fatty acids) and a state of negative energy balance (NEB). NEB, as evidenced by live weight (LW) loss after calving, is a physiological adaptation that occurs in mammals during the periparturient period (Jorritsma et al., 2003). It has been demonstrated that weighing animals regularly is a proxy to identify the energy balance (EB), nutritional status and physiological wellbeing of each cow in the herd in response to managerial purposes (e.g. nutritional treatment according to desired EB balance, LW loss or gain, health status, productivity and reproductive performances) (Alawneh et al., 2012). This technique is an easy and fast method to use at farm level, compared with the inherent difficulties with body condition score (BCS) measurement owing to inter-observer inconsistency and bias (Van Straten et al., 2008). In addition, BCS is not sensitive enough to detect differences in visceral fat deposition, which can affect metabolism and peripartal health compared with continuous LW monitoring (Drackley et al., 2014).

In dairy cows, optimal prepartum dietary management strategies regarding in particular the types and levels of intake of nutrients relative to their requirements are still inconclusive (Janovick & Drackley, 2010). Rabelo et al. (2005) indicated that feeding high energy levels, which are starch- or fat-based on an ad libitum basis to prepartum cows was beneficial to transition success. On the other hand, an overconsumption of energy in prepartum cows was reported to be detrimental to rumen health and liver function (Grum et al., 1996; Rukkwamsuk et al., 1999) and to decrease DMI (Olsson et al., 1998), all together leading to poor adaptation to NEB in the subsequent lactation. Consequently, feeding prepartum fibre-based diets (>400 g/kg of NDF on DM basis) containing low digestible energy levels were investigated in dairy cows (Jouany, 2006; Janovick & Drackley, 2010). These researchers reported that such prepartum diets adjusted the DMI to optimise rumen digestion and fermentation, decreased the mobilization of body reserves, and prevented the hepatic deposition of total lipid and tri-acyl glycerol. Furthermore, feeding fibre-based diets that contained low digestible energy levels to dry cows has several benefits in dairy herds, as is evident in fewer health complications, reduced body condition loss, and enhanced reproductive axis after parturition (Beever, 2006; Duffield et al., 2009). The assumption of this study was that improving the late prepartum intake of energy and protein per kg on a DM basis to enhance the EB status of dairy cows can limit the LW loss and improve fertility during the following lactation. The objective of the study was thus to evaluate the effects of two late prepartum fibre-based diets that differed in levels (low versus high) of NFC and protein on LW changes and fertility traits of Holstein cows until 120 days postpartum.

**Materials and Methods**

The ethical clearance for this study was obtained from the Western Cape Department of Agriculture (WCDA) Project AP/BR/D/CM31). The study investigated the effect of two late prepartum fibre-based diets that differed in levels (low versus high) of NFC and protein on milk production, LW changes and reproduction performances of Holstein cows until 120 days postpartum. In the current manuscript, the results of the prepartum fibre-based diets on the LW changes and reproductive traits of dairy cows are presented.

The study was conducted at Elsenburg Research Farm, WCDA, located approximately 50 km east of Cape Town at an altitude of 177 m, longitude of 18° 50' and latitude of 33° 51' in the winter rainfall region of South Africa. The area receives an average annual rainfall of 650 mm and has a typical Mediterranean climate with short cool wet winters and long warm dry summers.

Fresh drinking water was freely available at all times in the trial. Holstein heifers and cows that were expected to calve from February 2014 to July 2015 were used in the study, which took place from 30 days before the expected calving dates to 120 days after calving. A number of 120 pregnant Holsteins (heifers, n = 54 and dry cows, n = 66) was allocated to two prepartum nutritional compounds according to expected calving date, parity, LW and milk production during the previous lactation. The animal intakes in the trial were done in blocks of two to four cows that had the predicted calving dates within a week. This was to expose them to similar environmental conditions during the post-partum observation period of the trial. Heifers were assigned based on their LW and expected calving dates. The LW of heifers at 30 days prepartum was 538 ± 9 kg and 544 ± 7 kg for the control and treatment groups, respectively. Primiparous cows were 2.19 ± 0.05 kg and 2.24 ± 0.07 years old at calving for the control and treatment groups, respectively. Dry cows were assigned according to parity, expected calving date and milk yields in the previous lactation. Live weights of dry cows at 30 days prepartum were 630 ± 7 kg and 626 ± 5 kg for the control and treatment groups, respectively. Milk yields during the previous lactation of multiparous cows were 8697 ± 131 kg and 8650 ± 132 kg for the control and treatment groups, respectively. Multiparous cows were 5.41 ± 0.36 and 5.11 ± 0.28 years old at calving for the control and treatment groups, respectively.
The chemical compositions of feeds and prepartum diets used in the study are presented in Table 1. Representative samples of feeds used in the current study were collected weekly, then bulked monthly and analysed for chemical composition. AOAC (1990) official methods were used to determine dry matter (DM), ash, fat as ether extract and crude protein (CP) (nitrogen, Nx6.25) contents of feedstuffs and pastures. Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) was determined according to Van Soest et al. (1991), with the use of sodium sulphite anhydrous and amylase to decrease contaminations of nitrogen and starch in the NDF determination. Calcium and P were determined using an inductively coupled plasma dry ashing method (ALASA, dry ashing 6.1.1, RevA/98) with an Iris Advantage Thermo elemental instrument, according to Giron (1973). The NFC in the prepartum diets, which consisted of starches, sugars, organic acids, and other reserve carbohydrates such as fructans, was estimated according to NRC (2001) as follows:

\[
\text{NFC in g/kg on DM basis} = \frac{\text{DM} \times [100 - (\text{NDF\%} + \text{CP\%} + \text{Fat\%} + \text{Ash\%})]}{100},
\]

where: DM was expressed in g/kg; and NDF, CP, fat and ash were all expressed in % on DM basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Oat hay</th>
<th>pTMR</th>
<th>Pasture</th>
<th>Prepartum diets</th>
<th>Prepartum diets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dry period</td>
<td>Postpartum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM(^1)</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash(^1)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP(^1)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat as EE(^1)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF(^1)</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFC(^1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^1)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(^1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) DM: dry matter in g/kg as is; ash in g/kg DM; CP: crude protein in g/kg DM; EE: ether extract in g/kg DM; NDF: neutral detergent fibre in g/kg DM; NFC: non-fibre carbohydrates in g/kg DM; Ca: calcium in g/kg DM; P: phosphorus in g/kg DM
\(^2\) pTMR: partial total mixed ration
\(^3\) Determined from the chemical composition of feeds at an estimated intake level of 14 kg/cow per day and 12 kg/heifer per day on DM basis, respectively

During the dry period (DP), pregnant heifers and dry cows were walked in the morning (08:00) to the individual feeders where they were fed the same level and type of prepartum concentrate and later returned to their own prepartum nutritional compounds where they received an ad libitum intake of unchopped oat hay for the control group and a pTMR for the treatment group. The pTMR consisted of 48% oat hay + 43% lucerne hay and 9% soybean oil cake meal. The prepartum concentrate, which contained maize as the main energy source and anionic salts, was given at 3 kg/day for heifers and cows from 30 to 14 days prepartum and then from 13 days prepartum to calving at 6 kg/day and 5 kg/day for cows and heifers, respectively. Levels of prepartum diets were estimated on a DM basis at 14 kg/cow per day and 12 kg/heifer per day. The prepartum treatment was formulated to improve prepartum intake of energy and protein per kg on a DM basis, compared with the control (Table 1). Such improvement in nutrient contents was to optimize the ruminal digestion and fermentation, reduce the extent and the duration of the periparturient NEB, and improve fertility in the subsequent lactation. Following parturition, any health problem was monitored during the first 10 DIM and treated according to the required standard operating procedures by the herd manager after milking sessions or the veterinarian at the weekly visits to the farm, to ensure a return to a normal reproductive health by 30 DIM. In addition, cows in both the
control and treatment groups were maintained postpartum under similar feeding and management conditions. All animals had ad libitum access to cultivated kikuyu-ryegrass pastures, which were irrigated as required, using a permanent irrigation system. Pastures (201 g/kg DM with 539, 34, 184 g/kg NDF, fat, CP, on a DM basis) (Table 1) were grazed in rotation, ensuring unrestricted DMI under typical farming conditions. Regardless of parity, cows were each supplemented with a post-partum concentrate of 7 kg/day from calving until 120 days. After each milking session (at 05:30 and 15:00), cows were independently fed half of the daily allowance of concentrate. Using the CPM-Dairy software programme (2006), the post-partum diet was estimated at an intake level of 22 and 25 kg DM/day for primiparous and multiparous cows, respectively. The diet consisted of 7 kg/animal per day of post-partum concentrate, which was offered in the milking parlour, and the rest of the intake was supplied by the pastures, to provide in total 38 g/kg DM, 2.47 Mcal/kg of metabolizable energy, and 454, 40, 183 and 255 g/kg of NDF, fat, CP and NFC on a DM basis, respectively.

During the prepartum period, pregnant heifers and dry cows were weighed once every week until parturition. Calving seasons were summer from 15 October to 14 April, with December to February being the hottest months, and winter from 15 April to 14 October, with June to August being the coldest months. Post-partum LW of dairy cows was automatically recorded daily after milking sessions using a calibrated electronic scale. Daily LW data were averaged to weekly means. The nadir was defined as the DIM in which the lowest post-partum LW value was recorded (Sakaguchi, 2009). The post-partum weekly LW loss was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{LW loss}_n = (\text{LW}_{\text{week}1} - \text{LW}_n) \times 100(\%) / \text{LW}_{\text{week}1}
\]

where: LW loss\(_n\) and LW\(_n\) were respectively LW loss and LW at \(n\) weeks after parturition

LW\(_{\text{week}1}\) was LW within one week after parturition.

The rate of LW loss from calving to LW\(_{\text{nadir}}\) was estimated in kg/day as follows:

\[
\text{Rate of LW loss from calving to LW}_{\text{nadir}} = (\text{LW at calving} - \text{LW}_{\text{nadir}}) / \text{Number of days to reach LW}_{\text{nadir}}.
\]

The rate of LW gain from LW\(_{\text{nadir}}\) to 120 DIM was estimated in kg/day as follows:

\[
\text{Rate of LW gain from LW}_{\text{nadir}} \text{ to 120 DIM} = (\text{LW at 120 DIM} - \text{LW}_{\text{nadir}}) / (120 - \text{Number of days to reach LW}_{\text{nadir}}).
\]

From day 30 postpartum, Kamar heat detectors (Kamar Heatmount Detectors, Kamar Products Inc., Zionsville, USA) were attached to the tail-head area of cows. After a positive oestrous detection, artificial insemination (AI) was carried out after the morning or the afternoon milk session by a single trained inseminator. The Kamar detector was positive when cows showed oestrous behaviours (i.e. mucous vaginal discharge, mounting, sniffing). Kamar detectors were removed at the insemination and replaced when a following pregnancy diagnosis was negative. Pregnancy diagnoses were performed by rectal palpation by the veterinarian at 35 - 40 days after AI. Reproductive traits of dairy cows were derived from calving and AI dates following pregnancy diagnostic outcomes and were measured according to standard fertility practices.

Data were analysed using the PROC MIXED enterprise guide (SAS, 2012). The statistical model included year (Y) effect, parity (Pa) effect, animal intake block (B) effect in the trial, calving season (S) effect, treatment (T) effect, week (W) effect of observations, interaction effects between treatment and week of observation (WT), and treatment and calving season (ST) as fixed effects. The animal effect within treatments was specified as a random effect. The measured variables obtained every day within a particular week during the trial were regarded as repeated measurements of that particular week block. The statistical model was as follows:

\[
\text{Model} = \mu + Y_g + Pa_h + B_i + S_j + T_k + W_l + (ST)_{jk} + (WT)_{kl} + \delta_{ijklm} + \varepsilon_{ijklm},
\]

where: \(\mu\) = overall mean

\(Y_g\) = the fixed effect of the \(g^{th}\) year of calving (i.e. 2014 and 2015)

\(B_i\) = the fixed effect of the \(i^{th}\) animal intake block in the trial (i.e 1 to 21)

\(Pa_h\) = the effect of the \(h^{th}\) parity (i.e. primiparous and multiparous groups)

\(S_j\) = the fixed effect of the \(j^{th}\) season of calving (i.e. summer and winter)

\(T_k\) = the fixed effect of the \(k^{th}\) treatment (i.e. control and treatment)
\( W_i \) = the fixed effect of the \( i^{th} \) week of observation (i.e. 1 to 17)
\( (ST)_{jk} \) = the interaction between levels of the \( j^{th} \) season of calving and \( k^{th} \) treatment
\( (WT)_{kl} \) = the interaction between levels of the \( l^{th} \) week of sampling and \( k^{th} \) treatment
\( \delta_{ijklm} \) = the variable effect of the \( m^{th} \) block effect in the \( k^{th} \) treatment (repeated statement)
\( \varepsilon_{ijklm} \) = the random experimental error

To avoid problems of fitting a covariance structure in the statistical model, pre- and post-partum LW data were analysed separately. All effects were used to analyse the post-partum LW, whereas the prepartum LW was analysed without the repeated statement \( (\delta_{ijklm}) \) in the statistical model. The LW traits generated from LW curves (i.e. LW\(_{nadir}\), number of days to reach LW\(_{nadir}\)) were analysed using year, parity, blocks, calving season, treatment and the interaction between treatment and calving season as only fixed effects in the statistical model. Fertility traits were analysed using year of calving, parity, blocks, season of calving and treatment, and the interaction between treatment and season of calving as fixed effects in the statistical model. Statistical assumptions were described as fixed effects and their interactions were equal to zero with \( \delta_{ijklm} \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2) \) varying independently of \( \varepsilon_{ijklm} \). Differences of means and standard error (SE) of means between treatments were obtained using the pairwise comparison of the Bonferroni t-test. Significance was declared at \( P < 0.05 \). Interactions were reported as NS (not significant) if \( P > 0.05 \).

**Results and Discussion**

The effect of prepartum fibre-based diets differing in NFC and protein levels on post-partum LW and fertility traits of dairy cows is presented in Table 2.

The effects of year of calving and season of calving on LW and fertility traits of dairy cows were similar (therefore, \( P \)-values of their effects were not indicated in Table 2). As anticipated, pre- and post-partum LW traits of dairy cows were affected significantly by the parity effect, with mature multiparous cows (parity > 3) being heavier \( (P < 0.05) \) than young and still growing primiparous cows. Prepartum LW of pregnant heifers and dry cows did not differ between the control and the treatment in both parity groups. The interaction between the treatment and week of observation was not significant during the prepartum period, whereas both pregnant heifers and dry cows gained LW as calving time approached \( (week \text{ of observation } P < 0.05, \text{ not reported in Table 2}) \). The increase in prepartum LW in both parity groups was as expected with the increased foetal growth during the late pregnancy. Similar to the present findings, other studies reported similar LWs when cows were fed fibre-based diets that differed in fat and starch levels during the prepartum period (Grum *et al.*, 1996; Damgaard *et al.*, 2013). Fronk *et al.* (1980) studied the eight-week prepartum overconditioning of dairy cows fed 2.7 kg/day alfalfa hay ad libitum and supplemented with 1.8 kg/day of grain or 6.4 to 8.2 kg/day grain, depending on body condition ratings. These researchers reported that feeding large amounts of grain to prepartum cows improved the digestible energy intake compared with those fed low amounts of grain, but their LW changes did not differ. Live weight improved in cows overfed energy prepartum in some studies (Douglas *et al.*, 2006; Janovick & Drackley, 2010), but no prepartum diet effects were observed in others (Douglas *et al.*, 2004). The bulk of the accumulation of foetal mass (approximately 60% of a calf LW at birth) normally occurs during the last two months of the pregnancy (Bauman & Currie, 1980). Through homeorhetic controls, the imposition of pregnancy during this period requires the specific nutrients \( (\text{i.e. glucose and amino acids}) \) that are partitioned not only for the development of the foetus, but also for the growth of the foetal membranes, the gravid uterus, and the mammary gland. In addition, Everitt (1964) reported that the foetus of a ruminant is more vulnerable to maternal undernutrition stresses than that of many other species, which impedes the normal foetal growth. Thus, maternal adoptions during late pregnancy partitioned nutrients in heifers and dry cows required for their own maternal growth and replenishment of protein and energy reserves to meet the foetal requirements (Bauman & Currie, 1980). In this study, the lack of effects of prepartum diets differing in NFC and protein levels on the prepartum LW of heifers and dry cows can be related to the prioritization of nutrients and metabolizable energy to support the pregnancy requirements.
Table 2 Effects of prepartum nutritional treatments that differed in non-fibre carbohydrate and protein levels on live weight and fertility traits (mean ± SE) of dairy cows from eight weeks prepartum to 17 weeks postpartum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Primiparous cows</th>
<th>Multiparous cows</th>
<th>P values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cows</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactation number</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.97 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Live weight (LW) traits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Pa</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LW from week 8 to 1 prepartum (kg)</td>
<td>563 ± 9</td>
<td>570 ± 9</td>
<td>650 ± 8</td>
<td>645 ± 6</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW week 1 after calving (kg)</td>
<td>525 ± 9</td>
<td>540 ± 13</td>
<td>600 ± 11</td>
<td>614 ± 8</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWnadir (kg)</td>
<td>452 ± 8</td>
<td>472 ± 13</td>
<td>537 ± 8</td>
<td>538 ± 8</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW loss at nadir (kg)</td>
<td>68 ± 5</td>
<td>85 ± 8</td>
<td>74 ± 7</td>
<td>86 ± 5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of days to reach LWnadir (day)</td>
<td>35 ± 3</td>
<td>38 ± 5</td>
<td>32 ± 2</td>
<td>35 ± 2</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of LW loss from calving to LWnadir (kg/day)</td>
<td>2.07 ± 0.17</td>
<td>2.62 ± 0.27</td>
<td>2.38 ± 0.22</td>
<td>2.66 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW at peak MY(^1) (kg)</td>
<td>493 ± 10</td>
<td>517 ± 14</td>
<td>569 ± 10</td>
<td>577 ± 9</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of LW gain from LWnadir to 120 DIM (kg/day)</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW at first service (kg) (LW change in kg)(^2)</td>
<td>492 ± 7 (-33)</td>
<td>501 ± 11 (-49)</td>
<td>588 ± 8 (-12)</td>
<td>577 ± 11 (-37)</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW at conception (kg) (LW change in kg)(^2)</td>
<td>501 ± 7 (-24)</td>
<td>498 ± 9 (-52)</td>
<td>589 ± 8 (-11)</td>
<td>599 ± 8 (-15)</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW from week 1 to 17 postpartum (kg)</td>
<td>488 ± 9</td>
<td>507 ± 13</td>
<td>579 ± 10</td>
<td>579 ± 8</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW loss from week 2 to 17 postpartum (%)</td>
<td>7.65 ± 1.06</td>
<td>9.26 ± 1.13</td>
<td>4.91 ± 0.96</td>
<td>6.13 ± 0.79</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fertility traits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interval from calving to first service (days)</td>
<td>117 ± 9</td>
<td>86 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of cows serviced for the first AI(^1) at 80 days</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of cows that were pregnant at first service</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of services per conception</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.32</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of cows that were pregnant at 120 days</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)MY: milk yield, AI: artificial insemination
\(^2\)Change in LW at first service (or conception) was measured as LW at calving – LW at first service (or conception)
Pa: parity, T: treatment, ST: interaction ST
a, b Row means of treatments with different superscripts differ significantly at \(P < 0.05\)
The prepartum nutritional effects were significant in post-partum LW and LW loss changes, $LW_{nadir}$, LW loss at nadir and rate of LW loss from calving to $LW_{nadir}$ of dairy cows (Table 2). In both parity groups, post-partum LW curves of cows decreased until the nadir in early lactation and increased afterwards. Multiparous cows in the treatment group had similar post-partum LW, LW loss at nadir and rate of LW loss compared with their counterparts in the control group. However, post-partum LW improved ($P<0.05$) in the treatment compared with the control group in primiparous cows, at 507 ± 13 kg and 488 ± 9 kg, respectively.

The LW loss change in primiparous cows was higher ($P<0.05$) in the treatment in comparison with the control, at 9.26 ± 1.13% and 7.65 ± 1.06%, respectively. The post-partum rate of LW loss from calving to $LW_{nadir}$ of dairy cows decreased ($P<0.05$) to 2.07 ± 0.17 kg/day in cows that received an oat hay-based diet compared with 2.62 ± 0.27 kg/day of their counterparts on the pTMR-based diet during the late prepartum period, respectively. Furthermore, the LW loss at nadir in primiparous cows decreased ($P<0.05$) in the control compared with the treatment, but cows weighed 20 kg more ($P<0.05$) at nadir in the treatment compared with the control group. In contrast with the current findings, previous studies in which cattle were fed various energy levels and sources in prepartum diets and a similar post-partum diet found similar postpartum LW (Grum et al., 1996; Douglas et al., 2004; 2006; Damgaard et al., 2013). Jorritsma et al. (2003) reported that a post-partum cow that undergoes continuous weight loss over time is definitely in a state of NEB, while a cow that gains weight over time is preventing the mobilization of body reserves to overcome a NEB. After the $LW_{nadir}$, cows that are maintaining or gaining LW demonstrate a positive indicator towards the process of stabilizing or improving their EB status (Van Straten et al., 2008). In the current study, the lack of effect of prepartum nutritional treatments on the post-partum LW can be attributed to the increased ability of mature multiparous cows (parity > 3) to adapt to the post-partum energy stress, compared with young and still growing primiparous cows. A possible explanation for the difference in post-partum LW in primiparous cows can be related to the positive prepartum effect of high NFC and protein levels in the pTMR-based diet, which improved the post-partum LW, compared with low levels in the oat hay-based diet.

In contrast with the lack of effects on fertility traits of season of calving ($P>0.05$), which was reported in this study (Table 2), De Rensis & Scaramuzzi (2003) noted a decrease in the fertility of post-partum dairy cows that were inseminated in the summer, compared with those that were inseminated in winter. Pennington et al. (1985) reported that heat stress in dairy cows decreased the duration and intensity of oestrous activity, leading to a decrease in the number of mounts in hot weather compared with cold weather and, consequently, poor detection of oestrus. Supporting these arguments, fertility functions were reported to be disrupted as dairy cows are not comfortable during sudden and prolonged heat stress conditions in the Mediterranean summer (Kadzere et al., 2002). These thermal disruptions then affect hormonal balances, ovarian function, oestrous expression, oocyte health, conception, embryonic development and pregnancy survival of dairy cows. The lack of effect of season of calving on fertility traits was possibly related to the low number of animals used in this study, which masked this effect.

In the current study (Table 2), no prepartum diet effects ($P>0.05$) were recorded between control and treatment groups in both parity groups regarding the proportion of cows serviced for the first time within the first 80 DIM, pregnancy rates at first service, number of services per conception, and cow LW at first service and at conception. However, the CFS interval of dairy cows was affected significantly by the prepartum diet effect. Numbers of days from calving to first service were similar in multiparous cows, being 104 ± 6 and 99 ± 6 for control and treatment groups, respectively. Primiparous cows in the control group had a larger CFS interval ($P<0.05$) compared with their counterparts in the treatment group, namely 117 ± 9 and 86 ± 8 days, respectively. Butler & Smith (1989) reported that early resumption of oestrus in dairy cows allowed them to complete one or more oestrous cycles before breeding. Moreover, an early ovarian cyclicity in postpartum cows was reported to be associated with an improved conception rate (Butler, 2000; Galvao et al., 2010), as these cows are more likely to have normal oestrous cycle lengths and more pronounced oestrous behaviours during the breeding period (Roche, 2006). However, the significant shortening in the CFS interval for the primiparous group in the treatment did not affect the proportion of cows that were pregnant at 120 DIM in the current study compared with those in the control, that is, 0.46 ± 0.09 and 0.34 ± 0.09, respectively. Similarly, their multiparous counterparts showed no post-partum differences in the proportion of cows that were pregnant at 120 DIM between treatment and the control, that is, 0.38 ± 0.08 and 0.42 ± 0.09, respectively. Other investigations found that prepartum diets that differed in energy levels and sources did not affect the CFS interval and proportions of cows that were pregnant in the subsequent lactation (Pushpakumara et al., 2003; McNamara et al., 2003; Burke et al., 2010). In contrast, increasing dietary fat in prepartum diets from 2.9% to 4.9% with granular long chain saturated fatty acids improved the pregnancy rate at 220 DIM from 58% to 86% (Frajblat & Butler, 2003). Cavestany et al. (2009) found that the proportions of grazing cows that ovulated from the first dominant follicle postpartum were similar when fed
prepartum diets consisting of low (5.2 kg of whole-plant wheat silage on DM basis/day) versus high (3.6 kg of wheat bran + 0.012 kg of urea + 4.7 kg corn silage, on DM basis/day) levels of concentrates in both parity groups. However, the interval from calving to first ovulation in the same study decreased in cows that received high levels of supplements versus low in the multiparous cows. Castro et al. (2012) studied the effect of the metabolic and energy status during the DP on the post-partum resumption of ovarian activity in dairy cows, using messenger ribonucleic acid (RNA) abundance of various gluconeogenic enzymes and metabolic hormones in the liver. These researchers found that the post-partum ovarian function in cows was negatively affected by the low prepartum energy status, as was evident in low levels of glucose, insulin, insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) and thyroxine. Furthermore, the prepartum energy status was reflected in post-partum anovulatory cycles by declines over time in hepatic messenger RNA abundance of metabolic factors such as I-R, PC and PEPCKm (Insulin receptor, pyruvate carboxylase and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase-mitochondrial, respectively). Using a Cox proportional hazard model on the data of seven experiments from 1993 to 2010, Cardoso et al. (2013) investigated the effect of prepartum energy levels (low: limited net energy lactation (NEL) intake to ≤100% of requirement versus high: NEL intake to >100% of requirement) on reproductive performance in dairy cows in the subsequent lactation. These researchers found that cows fed a prepartum low energy diet during the close up DP prevented the mobilization of body reserves and increased NE intake during the first four weeks after calving. These post-partum biological responses in the same study resulted in reduced hepatic fat deposition and decreased days open compared with those on prepartum high energy diet.

Dairy heifers usually calve for the first time around 24 months old, making them physically immature at this age (Coffey et al., 2006). Pregnant heifers need nutrients for their own growth in addition to that of the developing calf before calving and milk synthesis after calving (Wathes et al., 2007; McArt et al., 2013), making them more vulnerable to the periparturient NEB compared with multiparous cows. Normally, the NEB commences a few days before parturition reaches a NEB nadir two to four weeks postpartum, and the EB becomes positive again by 10 to 12 weeks after calving (Butler, 2003). Additionally, a carry-over effect of the NEB status suppresses the luteinizing hormone (LH) surge and decreases ovarian responsiveness to LH stimulation (Beam & Butler, 1999; Butler, 2000; Thatcher, 2017), both of which result in delays in the resumption of cyclicity (Butler et al., 2006; Giuliodori et al., 2011), reduced oestrous expression (Lopez et al., 2004) and diminished viability and quality of the ovulating follicle (Lucy et al., 1991). A possible explanation for differing post-partum CFS intervals in primiparous cows can be related to the ability of the prepartum pTMR-based diet to significantly replenish post-partum LW, indicating improvements in EB and nutritional status, compared with the prepartum oat hay-based diet (Table 2). In multiparous cows (parity > 3), the lack of effect of prepartum nutritional treatments on the post-partum CFS interval can be attributed to the increased hepatic adaptation to post-partum energy stress, compared with young and still growing primiparous cows. The lack of differences in pregnancy rates at 120 DIM in both parity groups can be related to the prepartum feeding period used in the current trial, compared with a conventional DP of 56 to 60 days. The prepartum period used in this study had possibly not been sufficient to encourage post-partum metabolic and hormonal responses that improve the conception success in dairy cows in the subsequent lactation.

Conclusion

In this study, primiparous cows that were maintained on prepartum pTMR-based diet that contained high NFC and CP levels significantly replenished the LW and shortened the interval CFS in the subsequent lactation compared with their counterparts on a prepartum oat hay-based diet consisting of low NFC and CP levels. However, the proportions of cows that were pregnant at 120 DIM in the subsequent lactation were similar between the two prepartum fibre-based diets in both parity groups. Different prepartum feeding periods and nutritional strategies that involve various types and levels of energy and protein nutrients to stimulate post-partum metabolic and hormonal responses that benefit fertility warrant further investigation.
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