Forage quality and performance of sheep in Massai grass pastures managed at pre-grazing canopy heights
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Abstract

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of pasture height at pre-grazing of Massai grass on the quality of available forage, forage intake and the performance of lambs raised for meat production. The treatments consisted of four pre-grazing canopy heights (35, 40, 45 and 50 cm). Pastures were managed by the intermittent stocking method and 32 sheep were used. Dry matter intake (DMI) was estimated by Lipe® indicator. Green forage allowance was not affected by pre-grazing heights. There was no effect of heights on the chemical composition of the stem or the leaf blades, except for crude protein content of leaf blades, which showed an inverse linear effect. Grazing time had a quadratic response in function of canopy height. The minimum point was at 42.6 cm, with 502.9 min/day. DMI increased linearly with increasing pasture height. Average daily weight gain (ADG) and stocking rate (SR) responded linearly to pre-grazing heights. Weight gain per hectare was not affected by height as a result of the compensation between SR and ADG. The increase from 35 to 50 cm in Massai grass canopy height reduces its nutritional value and individual performance and increases DMI of sheep intended for meat production.
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Introduction

Panicum maximum Jacq. cv Massai grass stands out for its high capacity of emitting leaves and tillers, thus being promising for ruminant production systems. According to Fernandes et al. (2014), this forage may be an alternative for sheep production systems in regions with low rainfall, that is, up to 150 mm distributed over six months. The authors emphasize that there is no compromise of the pasture structure of the Massai grass in these environmental conditions. Nevertheless, studies on the management of this forage in semi-arid regions are still scarce (Martuscello et al., 2015). According to Ferro et al. (2015), the great challenge in pasture management is to find the balance between frequency and intensity of grazing in order to achieve greater animal production, in terms of the eco-physiological limits of the plants.

Canopy height is a structural feature that affects animal productivity in pasture, as it has a direct effect on forage mass and biting depth, in addition to altering plant components and grazing dynamics (Pedreira et al., 2009). Therefore, it is of paramount importance to identify pre-grazing canopy heights to optimize forage production (intermittent grazing management), the consumption pattern and the resulting animal performance, as the success of pasture systems is achieved in large part by forage and grazing management.

Pastures managed with fixed rest periods facilitate intermittent stocking management. However, this may compromise gains in system efficiency, resulting in variable structured canopies over time, and thus affecting the pattern of forage intake and animal performance (Pedreira et al., 2009). According to Omondi et al. (2008), sheep are one of the more important livestock species in the semi-arid tropics, with high exploitation potential through sustainable use in order to improve livestock farmers’ livelihoods.
Pasture structure is an important factor in the intake of forage. Among the structural variables of canopy forage, the relations between leaf blade : stem and green material : dead material can be highlighted, as these are associated with ease of access and the demand for more nutritious pasture. Animals have the ability to select leaves and they search for them, even when pasture availability is limited and searching for them demands more time (Bremm et al., 2008).

Under favourable conditions of light, humidity and temperature, pastures are the main food source for ruminants. Understanding their chemical composition and variations over time (crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fibre (NDF), acid detergent fibre (ADF) and in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD)) contributes to identifying factors that may modify nutrient consumption (Vargas Junior et al., 2013).

This study aimed to evaluate the effect of pre-grazing pasture height of Massai grass on the quality of forage available, forage intake and the performance of lambs intended for meat production.

Material and Methods

The experiment was conducted in the GEFOR (Grupo de Estudos em Forragicultura) experimental area at the Macaíba Campus of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte (UFRN) in Macaíba, RN. The experimental area has geographic coordinates of latitude 5° 89'25.78” south and longitude 35° 36'37.05” west, with an average altitude of 50 m above sea level. The experimental period was from 9 September 2013 to 11 May 2014, amounting to 422 days of evaluation.

The soil of the area is classified as quartzipsamment (EMBRAPA, 2006). Soil fertility analyses (Table 1) were performed by the Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation of Rio Grande do Norte (EMPARN). The climate, according to the climatic classification of Thornthwaite (1948), is dry, sub-humid with water surplus from May to August. The historical average annual rainfall (for the past 20 years) is 1048 mm and the cumulative annual average evapotranspiration potential is 1472 mm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layers (cm)</th>
<th>P (mg/dm³)</th>
<th>K (mg/dm³)</th>
<th>Na (mg/dm³)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Ca (cmol/dm³)</th>
<th>Mg (cmol/dm³)</th>
<th>Al (cmol/dm³)</th>
<th>H⁺Al (cmol/dm³)</th>
<th>CEC</th>
<th>BS (%)</th>
<th>Granulometry (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>84.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The total assessment area was 9 600 m² (0.96 ha), divided into four equal parts of 2 400 m² (0.24 ha), one for each treatment, and the area designated for each height was divided into six paddocks of 400 m² (0.04 ha). Treatments consisted of four canopy heights for pre-grazing: 35, 40, 45 and 50 cm. A height of 50 cm was determined by a correlation with 95% canopy light interception (Andrade et al., 2006), and the lowest heights were defined to find the balance between the greater frequency of defoliation and the nutritive value of the forage.

Panicum maximum cv. Massai was planted in June 2011, using a conventional seeding system in 20 cm spaced rows and P₂O₅ distribution (80 kg/ha). Pastures received 150 kg/ha of nitrogen (N) annually, always in the rainy season, according to the recommendations of Martuscello et al. (2015). The area was kept permanently free of weed growth, and ant control was conducted throughout the evaluation period. Pastures were irrigated in October, November and December 2013, and in October and November 2014. A sprinkler irrigation system was used with the necessary amount of water to supplement the monthly minimum of 35 mm to maintain the plant as physiologically active during the restricted rainfall period.

Pastures were managed by intermittent stocking (Allen et al., 2011). The start of the occupation period of each paddock was determined by pre-grazing height set for each treatment, being 12, 14, 15 and 16 days for the heights 35, 40, 45 and 50 cm, respectively. Post-grazing height was 15 cm for all treatments. The pasture rest periods were 73, 77, 88 and 87 days for the heights 35, 40, 45 and 50 cm, respectively.

Pasture height was determined using a one-meter-ruler graduated in centimetres. Forty points along each paddock were randomly selected and measured. Canopy height at each point corresponded to the average height of the curvature of the leaves, around the ruler (Frame, 1981).
The forage mass (kg/ha of dry matter (DM)) in the pre- and post-grazing conditions were estimated by a cut close to the ground level contained within the six representative areas of 0.25 m² in four paddocks of each management system. Subsamples were separated manually into fractions: leaf blade and stem (stem + sheath).

The forage allowance (FA) was calculated by dividing forage mass (FM) available in pre-grazing by the occupation period (OP) added to the accumulation rate (AcR) and divided by stocking rate (SR), expressed in kg/ha DM/100 kg live weight (LW) on each day (FA = ((FM/OP) + AcR)/SR), according to the methodology proposed by Sollenberger & Moore (1997). Accumulation rates were calculated as the difference between the pre- and post-grazing forage mass divided by the number of days of the rest period.

Missing forage mass (MFM) was obtained as the difference between pre-grazing and post-grazing forage mass, and the missing percentage was calculated as the ratio between MFM and pre-grazing mass, multiplied by 100 (Almeida, 1999).

Samples of the morphological components (leaf blade and stem) were pre-dried at 55 °C, ground in a Wiley mill to 1 mm, and subsequently analysed for DM levels, ash, CP (AOAC, 2000), NDF, ADF and lignin (Van Soest et al., 1991).

Thirty-two 105-day-old castrated male Santa Ines weaned lambs with average live weights of 18.2 ± 2.6 kg were used for performance evaluation, as well as regulating animals that were placed or removed from the paddocks as needed to adjust the stocking rate for canopy height adjustment (Mott & Lucas, 1952). The animals entered the experiment after weaning (90 day) and remained until the end of the evaluation, when they were slaughtered. They were kept in the pasture during the day (from 7:00 to 16:00) and sheltered in sheds with collective pens at night, with access to water and mineral salt ad libitum. Containing animals in shelters during this period is a practice that is adopted in most sheep production systems in the north-eastern region of Brazil to prevent predatory attacks and animal theft.

Parasite control was carried out individually each week by monitoring the egg count per gram of faeces according to the methodology described by Gordon & Whitlock (1939). An anthelmintic oral application was performed whenever it reached 500. Animals were weighed weekly and total weight gain was calculated as the difference in animal weight at the beginning and end of the trial period. Average daily gain (ADG) (g/day) was calculated as the total gain divided by grazing days. Weight gain per hectare was obtained by multiplying the stocking rate and the ADG (g/day/ha).

Evaluations of the consumption pattern were conducted every three months, when observations were made in 24-hour shifts and the animals’ activities were recorded every five minutes. The animals were evaluated visually for feeding behaviour, with three test animals for each observer. Biting rate was calculated from the time required for animals to perform 20 bites, then transformed into bites per minute (Hodgson, 1985). The observed activities were grazing, rumination and idle times, with the last two divided into standing and lying down. All observations for the day were added up for each variable and expressed in min/day.

Daily faecal production (FP) was estimated by faecal output using a Lipe marker, and 250 mg capsules were introduced orally to the animals (six per canopy height every three months) for seven days, in which daily collection of animal faeces was conducted for five days after the first two days of application. After all samples had been collected, the stools were frozen and a pool of five faecal samples for each animal was prepared and dehydrated in a circulation oven set at 55 °C until constant weight. After drying, the samples were ground to 1 mm and forwarded to the Simoes and Saliba laboratory to determine the Lipe® faeces concentration by infrared spectroscopy (Saliba et al., 2015).

The IVDMD was determined according to the methodology of Tilley & Terry (1963). To estimate dry matter intake (DMI), the following formula was used:

\[ DMI (\%BW) = \frac{(FP (kg/day))/(1 – IVDMD (kg/kg)) \times live weight \times 100}{Penning & Johnson, 1983}. \]

The animals were slaughtered according to the Technical Regulations of Desensitization Methods for Humanitarian Animal Slaughtering (IN Nº 3–MAPA, 2000) and in accordance with the authorization of the Ethics Committee for Animal Use (CEUA-UFRN, Protocol no. 022/2015). The animals were subjected to solid fasting for 16 hours before being slaughtered. After this period they were weighed again to obtain fasting weight (FW) and fasting loss. After skinning, the head and the extremities of the lambs were removed. The hot carcass weight (HCW) was recorded to estimate hot carcass yield (HCY):

\[ HCY = \frac{HCW}{FW} \times 100 \]

The animals were slaughtered with a live weight of at least 28 kg, which is the ideal weight for lamb slaughter, according to Siqueira et al. (2001).
The adopted design was completely randomized. The data were submitted to variance analysis and the effect of heights was assessed using regression analysis at 5% significance. The following model was used:

\[ Y_{ijk} = \mu + A_i + \alpha_{ij} \]

where: \( Y_{ijk} \) = value observed at i height, repetition (animal or paddock) j
\( \mu \) = overall average effect
\( A_i \) = height effect i, i = 35, 40, 45 and 50 cm
\( \alpha_{ij} \) = effect of random error attributed to repetition

**Results**

Leaf blade and stem allowance (on offer) were not affected by canopy heights pre-grazing (\( P > 0.05 \)). The average values were 23.3 and 11.1 kg DM/100 kg of live green forage weight, respectively (Table 2). The ratio between leaf blade mass and stem mass were not modified by pre-grazing canopy heights (\( P > 0.05 \)). Thus, the result was positive because leaf blade mass was approximately 2.5 times higher on average than stem mass. Declining leaf blade and stem mass and their declining percentage during occupation had linear responses to canopy heights (\( P < 0.05 \)).

**Table 2** Massai grass pasture variables managed under pre-grazing canopy heights and occupied by sheep

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Canopy height (cm)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35 40 45 50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf blade allowance (kg DM/100 kg LW)</td>
<td>22.2 23.1 24.7 23.2</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem allowance (kg DM/100 kg LW)</td>
<td>10.9 10.6 12.8 9.6</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf blade : stem ratio</td>
<td>2.5 2.5 2.2 2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf blade disappearance (kg/ha DM)</td>
<td>1776 2498 2188 3051</td>
<td>2378</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem disappearance (kg/ha DM)</td>
<td>116 293 444 529</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>105.8</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf blade disappearance (%)</td>
<td>80.1 87.8 84.4 92.2</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem disappearance (%)</td>
<td>8.3 15.9 35.5 36.7</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NS** (not significant), \( P > 0.05 \); * \( P < 0.05 \); ** \( P < 0.01 \).

DM: dry matter; LW: live weight

Canopy height had no effect on pre-grazing in the chemical composition and IVDMD of leaf blades (Table 3), except for CP content (\( P < 0.05 \)), which showed an inverse linear effect according to the equation:

\[ \text{Crude protein in the leaf blade} = 12.73 - 0.126x (R^2 = 65.17), \]

where: \( x \) = pre-grazing height.

Chemical composition and IVDMD of the stem were not affected by pre-grazing heights (\( P > 0.05 \)). Voluntary DMI increased linearly (Table 4) with increasing pasture height (\( P < 0.05 \)), with the highest estimated value being 2.0% of LW. Biting rate of animals had a linear response to canopy heights (\( P < 0.05 \)), where there was a decrease in BR with increased pasture height. Grazing time and idling time have quadratic response according to the canopy heights (\( P < 0.05 \)). The estimated height where the animals had the lowest grazing time was 42.6 cm with grazing time of 502.9 min/day, while the estimated height where the animals had more idling time was 42.1 cm with the time of 519.9 min/day. Ruminating time was not affected by the forage canopy height (\( P > 0.05 \)).
Table 3 Average chemical composition attributes of the morphological components of Massai grass for the four canopy heights in pre-grazing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Canopy height (cm)</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Significance¹</th>
<th>Linear</th>
<th>Quadratic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral matter</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral detergent fibre</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid detergent fibre</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignin</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro dry matter digestibility</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf blade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral matter</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral detergent fibre</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid detergent fibre</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignin</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro dry matter digestibility</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹NS (not significant), *P >0.05; **P <0.05

Table 4 Dry matter intake, grazing time, biting rate, ruminating time and idle time of sheep in Massai grass pasture managed with pre-grazing canopy heights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Canopy height (cm)</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Significance¹</th>
<th>Linear</th>
<th>Quadratic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter intake (% LW)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter intake (g/LW⁰.⁷⁵)</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazing time (min/day)</td>
<td>517.5</td>
<td>499.4</td>
<td>478.9</td>
<td>543.1</td>
<td>509.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biting rate (bit/min)</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumination time (min/day)</td>
<td>481.7</td>
<td>462.2</td>
<td>432.8</td>
<td>472.2</td>
<td>462.2</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle time (min/day)</td>
<td>456.4</td>
<td>486.7</td>
<td>534.7</td>
<td>423.6</td>
<td>475.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹NS (not significant), *P >0.05; **P <0.05; ***P <0.01; ****P <0.001

LW: live weight

The ADG of the lambs in the study had a linear decline as a function of increasing pre-grazing heights (Table 5), which decreased from the smallest to the largest grazing heights. Stocking rate was adjusted by a linear regression model based on pre-grazing height (P <0.05). Weight gain per hectare was not influenced by pre-grazing height (P >0.05); at the lower heights, the greater ADG compensated for the lower stocking rate. Final weight and the total gain per animal responded linearly to pre-grazing heights (P <0.05), with both decreasing with increasing pasture heights in pre-grazing (Table 5). Hot carcass weight (Table 5) responded inversely to the linear function of pre-grazing heights (P <0.05). Hot carcass yield was not changed by pre-grazing heights (P >0.05), with an average yield of 40.3%.
Table 5 Average daily weight gain, stocking rate, gain per area, total gain, final weight, hot carcass weight and hot carcass yield of sheep in Massai grass pasture managed at pre-grazing heights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Canopy height (cm)</th>
<th>Stocking rate (AU/ha)¹</th>
<th>Average daily gain (g/day)</th>
<th>Gain per area (g/day.ha)</th>
<th>Total gain per animal (kg)</th>
<th>Final weight (kg)</th>
<th>Hot carcass weight (kg)</th>
<th>Hot carcass yield (%)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Significance²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>1246</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>1186</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹AU: animal unit of 30 kg; NS (not significant), * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01

Discussion

Leaf blade and stem differences of the material on offer may be explained because of the required capacity adjustment performed to maintain the management targets. According to Gómez et al. (2010), there is reduced weight gain in animals in an intermittent stocking system with a forage allowance above 2 kg DM/100 kg LW due to the excessive accumulation of forage, especially stems and senescent material, which depreciates its quality.

Greater dry matter disappearance is due to the higher stratum potentially consumed by the animals, meaning the greater the amplitude between pre- and post-grazing height targets. High percentages in missing leaf blades demonstrate high harvesting efficiency in both evaluated targets, but we should consider that the higher the percentage of leaves removed by lower grazing will reduce the residual leaf area post-grazing, and thereby depress the conditions for pasture regrowth.

Even at the lowest height, CP levels in leaf blades were lower than the 97 g/kg DM reported by Emerenciano Neto et al. (2014) for Massai grass managed at 50 cm in pre-grazing using the same fertilization application (150 kg/ha of nitrogen per year). This is associated with two factors: the plant’s age (Fernandes et al., 2014), as the results described by the authors were obtained in the first year of forage use, while the evaluations in this study were in the third year after planting; and the rest period time, which was higher in this study, and may have caused increased maturity of the plant tissues.

Changes in pasture chemical composition are often attributed to excessive stem elongation due to the increase in participating structural components and reduced cell content. However, Massai grass has a low stem elongation rate (Lopes et al., 2013; Luna et al., 2014; Martuscello et al., 2015), and a difference of 14 days in the sprouting stage from the lowest to highest height was not sufficient to cause structural changes in the stem, or consequently on the chemical composition. The low nutritional quality of the pasture components is compensated by the quantity of forage produced (Table 2), which justifies the recommendation of Massai grass as a cultivation option for low precipitation regions. Animal production is limited in these regions by the supply of bulk food, while diet quality can be improved by the use of concentrated supplements (Moreira et al., 2007).

Even when the highest DMI was found to be low (2.0% of LW), high levels of NDF and ADF limit consumption due to the low digestibility and lower feed passage rate, which physically limit DM intake. According to Figueiredo et al. (2013), an alternative for increasing consumption of diets exclusively containing forage would be to supply concentrate to the animals, which can reduce the grazing time in increasing levels (Bremm et al., 2008).

This quadratic response to biting rate may be related to animals’ compensatory grazing behaviour to maintain their DMI (Amaral et al., 2012). When there is greater available forage mass, biting mass tends to be larger and the animals need more time to manipulate this mass in their mouths, which reduces the biting rate. At lower grazing heights, less forage mass is available to the animal and consequently biting mass is reduced, thus requiring more grazing time. Conversely, greater herbage mass requires more jaw movements per bite (Mezzalira et al., 2014), causing grazing time to be greater. This behaviour was also observed by Mezzalira et al. (2014) in Cynodon cattle grazing managed under pre-grazing heights.

The grazing time results may be related to the biting rate and bite mass. The highest biting rate was estimated at the height of 35 cm, which can be related to lower bite mass and thus resulting in more bites
and more time to ensure ingestion; whereas in the pasture height of 50 cm, the mass of each mouthful is probably bigger and demands more time for manipulating (prehending and chewing) in the animals' mouths.

The ruminating time was not affected by canopy height ($P > 0.05$). Rumination time is highly correlated to the NDF intake. According to Van Soest (1994), an increase in NDF promotes increased rumination time owing to the increased need for crushing the fibre. As there was no change in the NDF of the pastures, the rumination time showed no significant differences between heights. Idle time is a reflection of other activities. This behaviour is the opposite of grazing time (Table 4), meaning that when more time was spent grazing, idle time decreased. According to Ribeiro et al. (2014), animals have compensatory food strategies in situations where there is reduced availability and quality of forage, prioritizing grazing time to maintain forage intake.

The higher intake of DM observed in the animals kept on the pastures managed at the higher pre-grazing canopy heights resulted in lower ADGs. This result can be explained by the values of forage disappearance at the higher canopy heights where there was a greater disappearance of stems compared to leaf blades (Table 2), which infers that there was greater contribution of this lower quality component (Table 3) in the ingested forage, which resulted in the lower performance of the animals. Even the highest ADG observed in this study (37.3 g/day) was lower than that described by Emerenciano Neto et al. (2014) for Santa Ines sheep in Massai grass pastures managed with post-grazing height of 25 cm during the rainy season (49.2 g/day). The lower performance is a function of the greater grazing intensity (post-grazing height of 15 cm) and by the lower nutritional quality of the pasture. The observed ADG values were also lower than the 61 g/day described by Silva et al. (2014), obtained for 4-year-old adult sheep in the finishing phase and in a Massai grass pasture with higher nutritional value (140 g/kg of CP and 34.2 g/kg of ADF).

To maintain pasture management targets, an additional 9.03 animal units (AU) (30 kg)/ha was needed to manage the 50 cm compared with 35 cm grazing height. The results of final weight and the total weight gain per animal are explained by the lower ADG. More time is needed for animals to reach the slaughter weight required by the market at the highest pre-grazing management heights, hence the animal remains in the production system longer, which can lead to higher costs and increased seasonal risks in the pasture allowance before slaughter.

The hot carcass weight is of great importance because it is the basis for payment to producers. Thus, pasture management at 35 cm pre-grazing height promotes higher revenue per animal. Variation in pre-grazing pasture heights was not sufficient to cause large enough variations in nutritional forage to modify the HCY. The hot carcass yield obtained was close to that observed by Garcia et al. (2010) for pure and crossed Santa Ines sheep only in Aruana grass pasture (41.9%), while the group that received supplementation had higher hot carcass yield. The authors attribute this result to the diet having a greater allowance of nutrients, and thus greater development of the main parts that compose the carcass (muscle, bone and fat) compared to other parts of the body.

Massai grass pastures produce enough forage mass to maintain about 30.8 AU/ha, and a reasonable nutritional value, sufficient to provide gains of 27.8 g/day. Considering the low nutritional value of Massai grass, protein and energy supplementation is required to optimize lamb performance.

Conclusions

Increased Massai grass pre-grazing height causes a reduction in nutritional value and is reflected by lower individual performance. However, it does not compromise productivity per area due to increased stocking rate. Defining pre-grazing Massai grass height should be based on the production system's objectives, namely 35 cm to optimize individual gain and 50 cm to optimize stocking rate.
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