

Editorial

This issue of PER consists of 11 articles and one case note dealing with a wide range of topics in the global legal landscape. [Monray Botha](#) analyses the responsibility of South African companies towards their employees for achieving social justice in the corporate world. [Fawzia Cassim](#) examines how identity thieves use the personal information of individuals to commit identity fraud and theft, and looks at legislative solutions introduced in South Africa, the United States of America, the United Kingdom and India to combat identity theft crimes. [Howard Chitimira](#) discusses the regulation of market manipulation in Australia with the purpose of assessing if lessons can be learnt from it for South Africa. [Leentjie de Jong](#) deals with parenting coordination, a new alternative dispute resolution process to alleviate the negative effects of high-conflict co-parenting cases on the South African court system and the children of divorce. [Yvonne Donders](#) investigates the cultural dimensions of the right to health endorsed by several treaty provisions and treaty monitoring bodies and comes to the conclusion that states can implement the right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health in a culturally sensitive and responsible way by consulting cultural communities and individuals. [Joel Modiri](#) reflects on the development of a radical democratic political theory that shifts analytical and conceptual registers in which the relationship between law and poverty is conventionally addressed and argues for the creation of a radical alternative that defatalizes the present. Stephen Peté's unconventional historical examination of the Barberton Prison Complex during the 1980s is published in two parts. The [first part](#) deals with the deaths of three prisoners and the injury of many others during a day of violence at the Barberton prison farm on 29 December 1982 and the [second part](#) examines a string of violent incidents which occurred within the Barberton Prison Complex during the course of 1983, leading to nine inmate deaths. [Robbie Robinson](#) raises the question of whether or not the constitutionally entrenched right to make decisions concerning reproduction may be limited, as the continued existence of the State may ultimately be jeopardised if the size of the population is not limited to the available levels of subsistence. [Olufemi Soyeju](#) discusses the incapacity of low-income countries to realise the Millennium Development Goals and seeks to make a case for the adoption of a development-driven approach to law as a linchpin for the post-2015 development agenda. [Carmel van Niekerk](#) considers the constitutionality of section 294 of the *Children's Act* 38 of 2005, which permits commissioning parents to engage in surrogacy arrangements only in instances where they are able to provide a genetic link to their future offspring. In the only case note, [Salona Lutchman](#) evaluates the implications of *SS v Litako* 2014 SACR 431 (SCA): A Clarification on Extra Curial Statements and Hearsay.

Editor:

Prof C Rautenbach