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ABSTRACT

This article examines Malachi’s use of תּוֺרַה in dialogue with the wisdom tradition of Proverbs. The proposition of the article is that wisdom in the book of Proverbs is תּוֺרַה-oriented and that Malachi’s use of תּוֺרַה serves to present and elevate תּוֺרַה as Israel’s Wisdom Tradition/teaching. The ideas of hearing, keeping, or forsaking the תּוֺרַה in Prov 28:4, 7, 9; 29:18 correspond to the idea of remembering the תּוֺרַה of Moses in Mal 3:21. Within the contextual perspective of the Mosaic covenant, it is believed that keeping the Mosaic תּוֺרַה constitute wisdom for Israel (Deut 4:6; cf. Ps. 19:7; 119:130). As taught in the OT, wisdom is, in some extent, the manifest expression and outworking of the Yahweh’s law in the heart and life. Thus, in light of the close connection between תּוֺרַה and wisdom in the OT, this article presents a general understanding of wisdom relative to the HB as a background, it examines תּוֺרַה wisdom from selected passages in the book of Proverbs, analyses the use of תּוֺרַה in Malachi’s dialogue and then concludes with a synthesis of the relationship between תּוֺרַה wisdom in Proverbs and תּוֺרַה usage in Malachi’s dialogue.
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A INTRODUCTION

In the Hebrew Bible/Old Testament (HB/OT), the noun, תּוֺרַה (תּוֺרַה, “law”), is regarded as a foundational theological concept as it embraces and represents a number of different connotations and understanding in diverse ancient Israelite societal and communal backgrounds. Jonathan Ben-Dov writes:

The term תּוֺרַה is a keystone of biblical religion, and as such it embodied several divergent meanings in various social settings of
ancient Israel. In the Wisdom literature it denoted the meaning of a general, usually secular instruction, which never takes written form. On the other hand, in priestly literature the word תּוֺרַה denotes a short instruction which relates to the ritual realm—sacrifices, purity, leprosy, etc. This kind of instruction, always supplied by priests, was committed to writing in a series of short scrolls, and is presently collected into one priestly continuum in the Pentateuch.\(^2\)

The noun means basically instruction or teaching\(^3\) and fundamentally, a pointing out, a direction or authoritative direction from Yahweh. It gives the God-ordained direction to life such that a lawless life is a directionless life.\(^4\) The Bible does not recognise any law as valid apart from the law of God, given by a revelation to the patriarchs and Moses,\(^5\) and expounded by the prophets. Israel’s very existence and relationship to God necessitated a law (תּוֺרַה) or authoritative direction.

---


On the other hand, the Hebrew word for “wisdom” is the feminine noun חַכְמָה which is used to identify abstractions.\(^6\) חַכְ is the ability to make informed decisions and judgements based on personal knowledge and experience at every opportune moment.\(^7\) The consistency in making such informed decisions is an indication of maturity and development. In the OT, the category of wisdom is wide-ranging. It embraces insight and understanding, intelligence and discernment (see Prov 5:1; 10:13) and also technical and artistic ability with a practical outlook. “It is the possession of whatever abilities were necessary for a practical individual to be successful in their own sphere of life.”\(^8\) The foundational prerequisite for attaining wisdom is the fear of the LORD (Prov 1:7; 9:10).\(^9\) Essentially, the worldview of wisdom begins with people. Wisdom teachings probably began in the family or clan:\(^10\) parents, elders and other wise teachers had learned from their experiences of the world and had words of wisdom to pass on to each succeeding generation, in addition to instruction about family responsibilities, the value of hard work and the folly of laziness.\(^11\) The underlying assumption is that human beings learn from experience and that such experience can be communicated in the writings of the Wisdom literature.\(^12\)

For ancient Israel, wisdom is essentially an approach to life, a means of embodying their commitment to God in a very deliberate and intelligent manner. Within the contextual perspective of the Mosaic covenant, it is believed that keeping the Mosaic תּוֺרַה constitute wisdom for Israel (Deut 4:6; cf. Ps 19:7; 119:130). As taught in the OT, wisdom is, to some extent, the manifest expression and effect of Yahweh’s law in the heart and life. Thus, in light of the close connection between תּוֺרַה and wisdom in the OT, this article seeks to develop the proposition that wisdom in the OT and relatively in the book of Proverbs is תּוֺרַה-oriented and that Malachi’s use of תּוֺרַה in his dialogues serves to present and elevate תּוֺרַה as Israel’s Wisdom teaching. In the following sessions, the article presents a general understanding of wisdom relative to the HB/OT as a background, it examines תּוֺרַה wisdom from selected passages in

---

10. Roland Clements has strongly emphasized the importance of the household, both as a context for teaching and learning and as a subject for instruction. Roland E. Clements, *Wisdom Theology* (Carlisle: Paternoster, 1992), 126-150.
the book of Proverbs, analyses the use of tôrâh in Malachi’s dialogue and then concludes with a synthesis of the relationship between tôrâh wisdom in Proverbs and tôrâh usage in Malachi’s dialogue.

B OVERVIEW OF WISDOM IN THE HEBREW BIBLE/OLD TESTAMENT

The ancient world developed writings in a mixture of literary categories with the earliest Wisdom literature dating from around 2000 BCE. The general concern that inspired and motivated the development and writing of Wisdom literature includes: first, the exploration of philosophical issues and ideas (Job and Ecclesiastes belong to this category). In Job, one encounters the debate about the suffering of the righteous, and in Ecclesiastes there is the contemplation on the critical question of the meaningfulness of life. A follow-up to this motivation of the authors of the Wisdom literature, is the concern that they provide a viable platform for counselling and giving of advice on how to live a practical, rational and level-headed life. This is the category to which Proverbs belongs, with all its precise and sharp counsels and sayings on the practical and moral decisions that individuals take in life.

A number of modern researchers have questioned the perception of Wisdom literature as a unique literary genre and wisdom tradition as a literary development in the HB/OT. In his essay, Mark R. Sneed maintains that no satisfactory reconstruction can be made in order to define wisdom tradition whether generic realism or generic nominalism. According to him, Wisdom literature or tradition should be seen as a “mode of literature.” Will Kynes advances that the term wisdom tradition should not be used in biblical scholarship. His essay provides a comparative explanation of certain tendencies namely; “pan-Sapientialism” and “pan-Deuteronomism” which he considers as poorly defined wisdom categories. He thus offers a proposal for clarifying

13 The Wisdom literature of the OT consists of the books of Job, Proverbs Ecclesiastes and some Psalms (19, 37, 104, 107, 147, 148). This does not mean that other books of the Bible do not contain wisdom, but the aforementioned books are categorised as books of wisdom for they contain more words of wisdom than other forms of literary writings. Lawrence O. Richards, Bible Reader’s Companion (Eastbourne, England: Cook Communications Ministries, 2004), 382.
14 Richards, Bible Reader’s, 382.
similarities, “the formalization of intertextual comparisons made by a group of readers.” In his essay, Douglas Miller notes that Wisdom literature has a unique posture and voice from other literary works of the HB/OT and that the term wisdom persists as a literary category. He describes seven general features of Wisdom literature that stressed essential components of the wisdom corpus namely; “form,” “social location,” “technical vocabulary,” “humanistic orientation,” “didacticism,” “eudemonism,” and “Weltanschauung.” He however reduces these central indicators heuristically to three basic and essential pointers namely; “persuasive rhetoric” (that is, instruction), “realized eschatology” (focus on present mundane existence), and “experiential epistemology” (grounded in human experience).

In his essay, Michael V. Fox notes that what makes wisdom unique from other literary categories in the HB/OT is not really about conventional subjects but “stylistic and theological differences” and that these unique differences are “features of the genre’s goals, not of the authors’ mentality.” Although he observes the need to reformulate a new nomenclature for the wisdom genre, he believes the Wisdom literature as embodiments of insights for ethical living.

In “Deciding the Boundaries of Wisdom: Applying the Concept of Family Resemblance,” Katharine J. Dell while steering clear of the use of wisdom tradition affirms the abiding significance of the category of wisdom in the HB/OT. She proposes three basic principles for redefinition of the frontiers of Wisdom literature namely “ruling wisdom thrust,” “intellectual tone,” and “didactic speech intention.” She presumes that the category of genre is still very relevant on the condition of well-informed definition and that the language of form, content, and contexts still thrive. She applies her “Concept of Family Resemblance” to the relationship that exists between the books of Proverbs and Ecclesiastes and this helps one to consider them as “the core of wisdom.”

---

18 Kynes, “Modern Scholarly,” 32.
21 Fox, “Three Theses,” 80.
Whilst wisdom teaching was prominent throughout the ANE, wisdom in the OT has a clear theological focus; wisdom without God is lacking, and the wisest plans, made without reference to God, will be confounded (for example, Isa 10:13-16; 19:12-13). “True wisdom is possessed by and proceeds from God, and may only be properly discovered by those who know God.” The growth of wisdom movement in ancient Israel is closely related to the monarchy with a link to Solomon. In the HB, wisdom employs traditional forms, such as proverbs, and disputation, but with some degree of transformation by its integrative worldview and its potential to challenge and accommodate acceptable explanations and interpretations of both human and divine experiences.

Lawrence O. Richards notes:

All the chief literary forms used in the Wisdom literature are reflected in the Old Testament Wisdom books of Proverbs, Job, Ecclesiastes, and in many of the wisdom psalms. These are: the sentence saying, or proverbs; the short precept followed by a discussion; the rhetorical question; soliloquy; debate; descriptive or contemplative poetry; imaginative tales; and illustrative anecdotes.

The use of these forms does not occasion any conflict between the prophet’s call to the requirements of righteousness and justice and the sage’s encouragement to be wise. Wisdom and righteousness go together; for the one who is honestly wise and who is conscious of the presence of Yahweh will choose to do the requirements of Yahweh’s tórāh. The one who truly fears Yahweh, in the manner of upholding his tórāh, will make prudent decisions and can expect to live a secure and happy life. This primary reflection on Yahweh and of wisdom as a faith and tórāh-rooted righteousness establishes HB/OT

---

23 Egypt and Mesopotamia are among Israel’s religious neighbours where speculative reflections and discursions of some of life’s difficult issues, such as meaning of life or the problem of the suffering that one finds in the OT are located. Roland Murphy, “Wisdom,” ABD 6: 928; Derek Kidner, The Wisdom of Proverbs, Job and Ecclesiastes (Downers Grove: Inter-Varsity Press, 1985), 125-141; Bill T. Arnold and Bryan E. Beyer, Readings from the Ancient Near East: Primary Sources for Old Testament Studies (Grand Rapids: Baker, 2002), 175-179.

24 Routledge, Old Testament Theology, 216.

25 Routledge, Old Testament Theology, 220.


28 Richards, Bible Reader’s, 384.

29 Richards, Bible Reader’s, 384.
wisdom/Wisdom literature as unique.\textsuperscript{30} Since God in the OT is a living and vital personality, he directed the aftermaths of human moral actions, punishing evil and rewarding good. In this regard, the hard wisdom conveyed in proverbial advices and sayings in the HB/OT had a unique urgency.\textsuperscript{31}

\textbf{C \ tôtôh WISDOM IN PROVERBS}

The book of Proverbs is believed to have originated as a composition of wisdom schools and of the royal court and of its training of scribes and princes on the one hand and on the other hand the wisdom sayings began in the oral context of village life and of the tribes, where early Israel began.\textsuperscript{32} Whilst a professional band of wisdom teachers during the Israelite monarchy may be responsible for the production of wisdom sayings, it is obvious in the book of Proverbs that several verses link the wisdom sayings with kings, especially Solomon and Hezekiah (Prov 1:1; 10:1; 25:1; cf. 1 Kgs 3:5-9; 4:32).\textsuperscript{33} In early and medieval Christian literature, Proverbs was considered to be the introduction to the corpus of Wisdom literature as found in the Bible and the “deuterocanonical literature” and in the second millennium of Christianity; it became a book treasured for its practical wisdom more than for any theological issues that might have divided Jews and Christians.\textsuperscript{34}

The book is made up of two distinct parts: chapters 1-9 and 10-31. The first part of nine (9) chapters contains wisdom discussions. This section pictures “the ‘mega image’ of the book, encountering countering Women Wisdom on the part of life,”\textsuperscript{35} and her alternative rival, Madam Folly.\textsuperscript{36} The second section contains two-line pithy statements which are mainly Proverbs. It characterises the book’s title among its contemporary readers and interpreters. Daniel P. Bricker stresses that

\textit{A key to understanding the wisdom in the book of Proverbs is to understand the “two ways” concept used to teach the importance of}

\textsuperscript{30} Richards, \textit{Bible Reader’s}, 385.

\textsuperscript{31} Richards, \textit{Bible Reader’s}, 384.

\textsuperscript{32} Hess, \textit{Old Testament}, 459.

\textsuperscript{33} Hess, \textit{Old Testament}, 459.

\textsuperscript{34} Hess, \textit{Old Testament}, 457.

\textsuperscript{35} Tremper Longman III, \textit{How to Read Proverbs} (Downers Grove: Inter-Varsity Press, 2002), 42. A number of critical scholars regard these chapters as originating from the exilic and postexilic periods with Solomon having little or nothing to contribute to the composition. Steinmann had however written to defend Solomonic authorship of 1-9 in Andrew E. Steinmann, “Proverbs 1-9 as a Solomonic Composition,” \textit{JETS} 43/4 (2000): 660-74.

\textsuperscript{36} Hess, \textit{Old Testament}, 464.
choosing wisely which path or lifestyle would be followed: the path of the wise and righteous, or the way of the foolish and wicked.\(^{37}\)

The author identifies the purpose of the book as a matter of acquiring wisdom and situates this concern with the יִרְאַת יְהוָה “fear of Yahweh” (1:7).\(^ {38}\) The syntagmatic concept יִרְאַת יְהוָה “fear of Yahweh” is generally recognised and accepted as both the credo and theological and epistemological bedrock of the book.\(^ {39}\) It is a concept that generally connotes reverential surrender to Yahweh’s will that epitomises Yahweh’s true worshippers and not of trembling fear that weakens action, neither is it of polite reverence.\(^ {40}\) The wisdom in the book of Proverbs has to do with morality, especially the knowledge that is required to live a life of impact, the beginning point being the fear of God. The book tries to show the basic rules of good behaviour that is integrity, discipline, justice and common sense. It also reveals the failure that awaits the fool.\(^ {41}\) According to the Proverbs, the way to live successfully is governed by rules of right and wrong.\(^ {42}\)

While there is an obvious lack of preoccupation with יָדָה in Proverbs, the book of Proverbs is essentially about יָדָה as the direction and guide of life. The יָדָה in Proverbs, where it appears unqualified (28:9; 29:18) clearly refers to the divine law (also a Jewish term for Pentateuch). The expression יָדָה ("your mother’s יָדָה," Prov 1:8), among others refers to home teachings with focus on the יָדָה but not necessarily equal to it.\(^ {43}\) In this regard,

all direction rests and must rest on Yahweh’s fundamental יָדָה, law or direction. A parent’s law, a teacher’s or employer’s law must be the right application of Yahweh’s יָדָה.\(^ {44}\)

Thus when Yahweh’s יָדָה is properly applied it becomes the fundamental principle and fabric of life and direction of human society.\(^ {45}\) In keeping with this understanding, the following texts are examined:


\(^{42}\) Kristilere, \textit{Seven Pillars}, 19.


\(^{44}\) Rushdoony, \textit{Institutes}, 690

\(^{45}\) Rushdoony, \textit{Institutes}, 690.
He who scorns instruction will pay for it, but he who respects a command is rewarded. The tôrâh (teaching) of the wise is a fountain of life, turning a man from the snares of death (Prov 13:13-14).

The expression חָכָם תּוֺרַת “tôrâh of the wise” indicates that law (tôrâh) is used here in its original sense of “direction” or “instruction.”\(^4\) It is the actual voice of spiritual experience rather than divine command, though it will be in compliance with the Tôrâh.\(^4\) Yahweh’s tôrâh is given to all mankind; the godly society and godly men will mediate that tôrâh to each new generation and will thus ensure their health and welfare. This is why Franz Delitzsch remarked:

The proverb is designed to state that the life which springs from the doctrine of the wise man, as from the fountain of health, for the disciple who will receive it, communicates to him knowledge and strength, to know where the snares of destruction lie, and to hasten with vigorous steps away when they threaten to entangle him.\(^4\)

Yahweh’s tôrâh must be the standard of right and wrong for moral and responsible creatures and this must be appreciated in proportion to its perfection. It is a necessity to educate humanity’s moral sense (13:13a) and is required as a basis of punishment and reward (13:13b). The wise man lives according to tôrâh חָכָם תּוֺרַת “the law of the wise.” Where men live without a rule of life, there is power without law, and this must work evil and not good. It is the characteristic of a morally wise man that all his powers of mind and soul are under control. Living by rule gives distinctness and definiteness to life, and thus augments its power. A lawless man possesses a terrible capacity for destruction; but his power reduces as he exercises it, while he is under Divine rule grows stronger and stronger. Snares are laid to turn men’s power into wrong channels so as to bring their lives under the dominion of lawlessness – “snares of death.” It is a snare of death because power without rule destroys itself and others; but the snare is avoided by close adherence to Yahweh’s tôrâh.

As a rule by which the morally wise are governed, Yahweh’s tôrâh is first abundant: “it is a fountain” which originates in God and thus an all-sufficient guide or rule of life for men in all ages and under all circumstances. Second, it is life-giving (fountain of life) such that when allowed to flow through the garden of the soul, and exert there its due influence, produces fruit unto holiness and the end everlasting life. Psalm 19:7-11 validates this testimony:

7 The law of the LORD is perfect, reviving the soul. The statutes of the LORD are trustworthy, making wise the simple. \(^8\) The precepts of the LORD are right, giving joy to the heart. The commands of the

\(^4\) BDB, 435-36.
\(^4\) Kidner, Proverbs, 103.
LORD are radiant, giving light to the eyes. The fear of the LORD is pure, enduring forever. The ordinances of the LORD are sure and altogether righteous. They are more precious than gold, than much pure gold; they are sweeter than honey, than honey from the comb.

By them is your servant warned; in keeping them there is great reward (NIV).

Since the direction provided by Yahweh’s tôrâh is the way of health, knowledge and wellbeing, Prov 28:4-5 restate another basic message of Yahweh’s tôrâh:

Those who forsake the law praise the wicked, but those who keep the law resist them. Evil men do not understand justice, but those who seek the LORD understand it fully.

Forsaking Yahweh’s tôrâh implies forsaking direction and life. A society and people that forsake Yahweh’s tôrâh lose wisdom and thereby all direction. Solomon pursues the result. Love of God and obedience to him are inseparable. The one is the necessary outcome of the other, so that “Yahweh’s seekers” (28:5) and “tôrâh’s keepers” (28:4) are the same person. The lives of such people are a more powerful reproof to the godless and wicked than any words which they can utter. Thus all neglect of Yahweh’s tôrâh is a commendation of sin. Here every man is on one side or the other, and all who are not contending with the wicked by keeping Yahweh’s tôrâh are countenancing their evil courses by their own forsaking of Yahweh’s tôrâh. The one keeping Yahweh’s tôrâh (28:7) takes delight in Yahweh, lives in fellowship with the truth and thus a companion of those who fear Yahweh and keep his precepts and he is therefore fortunate (29:18). The regulatory and basic assumption of life which enhances a man and improves his days with wisdom is הַעֲרַיָּת “the fear of Yahweh,” which is intimately linked with Yahweh’s tôrâh. The significance of tôrâh to life is dynamically set forth in another proverb: “He who turns away his ear from listening to the law (tôrâh), even his prayer is an abomination” (Prov 28:9). Thus, the one who rejects Yahweh’s tôrâh denies himself/herself any relationship of fellowship with Yahweh. Consequently, such a person’s prayer will be distasteful to Yahweh. This is a moral offence; for to pray to Yahweh whose tôrâh or instruction one rejects is to multiply ones transgressions.49

One of the most steadfast desires of men is to walk with knowledge of what the future holds. The means provided whereby man can know the future is the tôrâh-word of God. In terms of this, the psalmist announced, in his wonderful meditation on tôrâh in Ps 119: “Your law (tôrâh) is a lamp to my feet, and a light to my paths. I have sworn and determined to keep the judgments of thy righteousness” (Ps 119:105-106). This basic concept of tôrâh as man’s guide appears again and again in scripture, perhaps first of all, by Solomon: “For the

49 Rushdoony, Institutes, 692.
commandment of the law (תּוֺרַה) is a lamp and a light; a way of life; reproof also and correction” (Prov 6:23). The one, who walks by faith in compliance to Yahweh’s תּוֺרַה, walks to a significant extent by sight. In this regards, to walk without Yahweh’s תּוֺרַה is to walk in darkness.  

D MALACHI’S USE OF תּוֺרַה IN HIS DIALOGUE

In an attempt to communicate Yahweh’s massage to a band of disillusioned and contemptible exiles from Babylon, Malachi employs a fairly unique literary format which many scholars have given different labels. The discussion, dialogue, or disputation are classified into 6 oracle units of varying structures.

50 Rushdoony, Institutes, 692.
a superscription\textsuperscript{55} one or two postscripts or epilogues\textsuperscript{56} and diversity of themes.\textsuperscript{57} Malachi consists of judgement oracles within which are disputation, perhaps reminiscent of the courtroom. The disputation may include a claim, a hypothetical audience response, and an answer that leaves the accused with no further recourse. The author uses and adapts a complex variety of OT texts, drawn especially from the Genesis narratives, the covenant code of Exod 20:22-23:33, the Holiness Code of Lev 17-26, Deuteronomy, and other poetic materials in the Deuteronomistic History and in the prophets and Psalms.\textsuperscript{58} A brief summary of materials used is provided:

Judgements against Edom (e.g. Obadiah; Jer. 49:7-22; Amos 9:12 etc.) are found in a salvation oracle for Israel (Mal. 1:2-5). Levitical laws on burnt offerings (Lev. 1: 6:9-12; 22:17-19) provide foundation for Malachi 1:7-8 and sacrifices. The use of courses for breaking covenant (Mal. 2:2-3) occurs in Deuteronomy 28:15-68. For the one whose covenant is with God (Mal. 2:5-6), compare the Servant Songs of Isaiah 42:1-4; 49:1-4; 52:13-53:12. Malachi 2:14-16 reflects and

\textsuperscript{55} Hill, \textit{Malachi}, 26; Clendenen, “Malachi,” 227.


\textsuperscript{58} Hess, \textit{Old Testament}, 708.
develops the monogamous marriage commitment of Genesis 2:23-24... God’s eternal existence ensures his faithfulness (Mal. 3:6; Exod. 6:5; Lev. 26:40-42; Ps.111:9; Jer. 31:20). In terms of specific concerns of intermarriage, divorce, and maintaining the temple cult, the reader finds echoes in Chronicles, Ezra, and Nehemiah.59

In Malachi’s dialogue, תּוֺרַה appears first in his criticism of contemporary ministry colleagues (Mal 2:6-9), who unlike Levi failed to honour Yahweh and stood in respect of his name. He describes Levi as one who rendered true and precise interpretation of Yahweh’s tôrāh and in whose mouth was genuine instruction (tôrāḥ) and on his lips no corruption, perversity, iniquity, wickedness or unrighteousness could be found. Because compliance to Yahweh’s tôrāḥ marked out Israel’s fidelity to their covenant relationship with Yahweh, Israel’s life thus hinged on faithful discharge of priestly responsibility.60 Priestly instructional duties were closely linked with emphasis on the essential contents of Yahweh’s written instruction to Israel through Moses (Yahweh’s tôrāḥ) and collective access to this authoritative instructions or text is reflected in Deuteronomy.61 By neglecting their ministerial and instructional responsibilities; be it by priestly instruction, educational guideline or prophetic oracle, Malachi’s colleagues rather than turning many away from sin, their tôrāḥ (instruction) made many stumble and do sinful things.62 Their service of disloyalty morally corrupted the revered covenant with Levi and as such they forfeited their privileges in it.63

After a series of confrontational dialogues, Malachi returns to an exhortation to tôrāḥ compliance in his epilogue (Mal 4:4 [MT 3:22]). Malachi’s imperative exhortation to remember (וּזִכְר) Yahweh’s tôrāḥ invites Israel to live out or embodies their intellectual understanding of Yahweh’s dealing with them in history (cf. Exod 13:3; Deut 5:15; Ps 77:11), faithfully aligning and conforming their will to the instructions (tôrāḥ) of Yahweh through his servant Moses. Such intelligent acknowledgement and unconditional commitment and conformity will stimulate Israel to fear Yahweh and reverence his name. The expression עַבְדֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל תּוֺרַהם (“the tôrāḥ of Moses my servant”)64 authenticates

61 Martha Himmelfarb, Between Temple and Torah: Essays on Priests, Scribes, and Visionaries in the Second Temple Period and Beyond, TSAJ 151 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2013), 95-96.
64 Diversity of opinions exists regarding the basic idea of tôrāt mosheh. James Nogalski holds it is a reference to Malachi’s book. See James D. Nogalski, “The Day(s)
Malachi’s understanding of Israel’s tradition of Moses as the mediator of Yahweh’s תּוֺרַה and whose service is an epitome of the standard most delightful to Yahweh. Moses’ תּוֺרַה (תּוֺרַה מֶשֶׁה) summarizes Israel’s life and mission under God; it implies life or death, blessing or cursing for Israel (cf. Deut 30:15-20).

In the Sinai Covenant Theology, Yahweh’s תּוֺרַה functions as Israel’s response to Yahweh’s saving actions. Thus Israel is called in light of their present condition of sin, rebellion or disobedience to remember and return to Yahweh which will in turn quicken Yahweh to remember and return to them with forgiveness, fruitfulness, vindication and prosperity which they forfeited on account of forsaking Yahweh’s תּוֺרַה. Regarding the restorative impact of the Malachi’s exhortation to remember Yahweh’s תּוֺרַה Marvin A. Sweeney notes:

Malachi’s rhetorical goal appears not only to be to exhort the priests and people to observe YHWH’s Torah but to convince them to do so as a united community in which the strife that has divided its members over proper understanding of YHWH and observance of YHWH’s Torah is overcome.

E SYNTHESIS AND CONCLUSION

This article’s focus was to examine the connection between Malachi’s use of תּוֺרַה in his dialogue and the wisdom tradition of Proverbs with the basic proposition that wisdom in the book of Proverbs is תּוֺרַה-oriented and that Malachi’s use of תּוֺרַה serve to present and elevate תּוֺרַה as Israel’s Wisdom of YHWH in the Book of the Twelve,” in Thematic Threads in the Book of the Twelve, ed. Paul L. Redditt and Aaron Schart, BZA W 325 (Berlin: De Gruyter, 2003), 195-196. Michael H. Floyd considers the reference to be identical with the book of Deuteronomy. Michael H. Floyd, Minor Prophets, Part 2 (Forms of the Old Testament Literature vol. 22; Grand Rapids: Eerdmans / Baker Books, 2000), 2:625. Others see it as a reference to the whole Pentateuch. See, Paul L. Redditt, Haggai, Zechariah and Malachi, NCB (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1995), 185; Hill, Malachi, 366. 65
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Tradition/teaching. In this article, the HB/OT understanding of wisdom is briefly examined as well as the ideals of keeping, forsaking and remembering Yahweh’s \( t\text{\textit{or\text{\textit{a}}}} \) in Proverbs and Malachi.

Although significant OT theological themes such as covenant history, \( t\text{\textit{or\text{\textit{a}}}} \), and prophetic proclamation are not frequently mentioned in Wisdom literature, thus “wisdom sometimes appears to be the stepchild of the canonical family... Simply put the wisdom literature does not seem to fit in with the rest of the Old Testament.”

The observation regarding the silence of redemptive and covenant events in the history of Israel by the sages is also noted by David Penchansky in his introduction to the Wisdom literature when he said, “The sages did not regard the Israelite covenants to be important ... because they were concerned about other things.”

Whilst wisdom is portrayed as an autonomous tradition in connection with the Law and the Prophetic books, Richard L. Schultz demonstrates that the connection between wisdom corpus and other OT literature can be consciously bridged through intertextual relationship and integration.

The correspondence between \( t\text{\textit{or\text{\textit{a}}}} \) and wisdom is observed in Robert B. Y. Scott description of “the functions of prophet, priest, and sage, and of a common element in their teachings.” Robert notes that although

Isaiah and Jeremiah scorn the wise men of their time, yet they themselves adopt some of the language, forms, and ideas of the wisdom teachers. The priests (again, if Deuteronomy represents their teaching) are concerned with the wisdom embodied in the traditional torah; the keeping of the statutes and ordinances of Yahweh would give Israel a reputation among the nations as “a wise and understanding people.”

Canonical wisdom teaching as found in Proverbs calls for right behaviour with focus on the advantages and disadvantages of doing the right thing namely; living according to Yahweh’s \( t\text{\textit{or\text{\textit{a}}}} \). Proverbs defines wisdom as “keeping and following Yahweh’s \( t\text{\textit{or\text{\textit{a}}}} \),” for to obtain wisdom and understanding is to follow \( t\text{\textit{or\text{\textit{a}}}} \):

See, I have taught you statutes and judgments just as the LORD my God commanded me, that you should do thus in the land where you

---

are entering to possess it. So keep and do them, for that is your wisdom and your understanding in the sight of the peoples who will hear all these statutes and say, “Surely this great nation is a wise and understanding people.” … Or what great nation is there that has statutes and judgments as righteous as this whole law which I am setting before you today? (Deut 4:5-6, 8).

Solomon links three things, namely: the fear of Yahweh and his instruction, direction, or tôrāh; the tôrāh as applied by father and mother to son; the consequence of obedience to this direction is an ornament or crown of life to the son:

The fear of the LORD is the beginning of knowledge; Fools despise wisdom and instruction. Hear, my son, your father's instruction, and do not forsake your mother's teaching (tôrāh). Indeed, they are a graceful wreath to your head, and ornaments about your neck (Prov 1:7-9).

Yahweh’s tôrāh makes provision for a healthy and viable society. In Proverbs however, forsaking Yahweh’s tôrāh (Prov 28:4) led the influential and well-off to easily oppress the weak and poor. Their leadership only benefited themselves and not those they rule (Prov 28:3; cf. 29:2, 4). They give no attention to the requirement of justice since they are bereft of any knowledge of it (28:5; 29:7) and accumulated wealth and riches by inflated and excessive interest rate (28:8). Forsaking Yahweh’s tôrāh resulted in lawlessness and this ultimately causes disruption in families and societies (28:12; 29:2).

Malachi’s corresponding exhortation to all Israel on the urgency of remembering Yahweh’s tôrāh situates tôrāh as Israel’s wisdom teaching. His exhortation is noticeably a call to daily living and practical experience that is grounded not in societal expectations, human wisdom and desires but on painstaking, thorough and contemplative application of Yahweh’s tôrāh. This tôrāh-wisdom exhortation implies that, “It is only through this divine lighthouse that Yahweh’s people can be guided to avoid destruction on ‘that great and dreadful day.’”75 Remembering Yahweh’s tôrāh will cause Israel to fear Yahweh (which is wisdom), rightly practise the cult, stimulate covenant fidelity, give attention to the urgent demands of justice and brings about restoration of fortunes. Whatever the future holds or looks like, whether impressive or not; a deliberate, conscious, sincere and wholehearted commitment to Yahweh’s tôrāh

---

will be the only guarantee of the needed wisdom for a fruitful, healthy, wholesome individual and community well-being.\(^6\)

The exhortation to remember Yahweh’s torah is a call to the entire Judahite community for an urgent appropriation and application of Yahweh’s torah to life and current situation. This will guarantee them a reputation in the anticipated return of the Messenger of the covenant, who will prepare Yahweh’s way and bring fire of purification on the priests so that Yahweh’s people will bring righteous offerings. Yahweh will put on trial and testify against all sorcerers, adulterers, perjured, and those who defraud and oppress the vulnerable (2:17-3:5). Yahweh’s action on the day of his visitation will inspire the hope of the righteous (3:17-18) and reduce the wicked to disgrace and utter destruction.

The Judahites’ response to the exhortation to remember Yahweh’s torah will cause them to make the most appropriate decision. This will be expressed only by יִרְאַת יְהוָה (“the fear of Yahweh”). Whilst the principle of a practical, intelligent and wise life may be discernible in much of the Wisdom literature; however, behind wisdom teachings of the OT lies the certainty that Yahweh’s torah enthusiastically and potentially invigorates right moral decisions and societal order.
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