Parasites of pigs in two farms with poor husbandry practices in Bishoftu, Ethiopia

A cross-sectional study was conducted from November 2011 to April 2012 on a total of 384 pigs from two privately owned intensive farms in Bishoftu, Ethiopia. The objectives of the study were to identify and determine the prevalence of common parasites of pigs. For the determination of gastrointestinal (GIT) parasites, faecal samples were collected from the study animals and subjected to standard parasitological examination techniques. Physical examination was conducted for the presence of skin parasitic lesions and skin scrapings were collected to determine prevalence of ectoparasites. The overall prevalence of GIT parasites in the pigs was 25% (96/384). Examination of faecal samples revealed the ova or oocysts of four different gastrointestinal parasites, namely Coccidia (12%), Strongyles (5.2%), Ascaris suum (4.9%) and Trichuris suis (2.9%). Mixed infection by at least two parasite species was observed in 3.65% (14/384) of the pigs. The only ectoparasite species identified was Sarcoptes scabiei var. suis, with a prevalence of 2.6%. This study indicates that pig parasites are a major problem in the study area, hence implementation of strategic control measures and appropriate hygienic management systems are recommended to reduce the prevalence of parasites.

Introduction

Pig production is the fastest growing livestock sector worldwide and this trend is expected to continue over the coming years. It is believed to mitigate the deficiency of animal protein and considered a tool to fight poverty in the tropics (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations [FAO] 2012). Over the past decade, rearing of domestic pigs has become an increasingly popular livestock strategy in East Africa (Food and Agriculture Organization Organization Statistics Division [FAOSTAT] 2005; Phiri et al. 2003). The lack of grazing land for ruminants and the recognition by farmers of quicker and higher returns on their investment have contributed to an increased interest in pig production (Phiri et al. 2003; Serres 2001). Pigs have lower social prestige than cattle, but they are inexpensive to raise and are therefore a popular option for resource-poor farmers (Dewey et al. 2011). Moreover, the high fecundity and feed conversion efficiency, early maturity, short generation interval, relatively small space requirement and ability to produce maximally under varied management conditions are some of the advantages of pig production compared to other livestock rearing (Lekule & Kyvsgaard 2003). The growth in pig production plays an important role in contributing to national gross domestic product and general economic growth by providing an additional animal protein source for human consumption, generating employment and reducing poverty (Oluwafemi 2008).

Pig production in Ethiopia is believed to be in its infant stage (Hailu et al. 2014). The pig population in the country is estimated to be 29 000 heads, representing 0.1% of the African pig population (FAOSTAT 2005). So far there are no large intensive swine farms in Ethiopia. Small-scale pig production as an agricultural activity was only recently introduced in all parts of Ethiopia and is mainly concentrated around the Bishoftu area. In many rural parts of Ethiopia, pig production is characterised by extensive production systems in which animals are allowed to scavenge at backyard and municipal garbage dumping sites (Abdu & Gashaw 2010). This extensive husbandry system coupled with poor environmental hygiene and non-selective feeding behaviour of pigs has been indicated as a major risk factor for infection of pigs with helminths and other gastrointestinal (GIT) parasites; therefore pigs may act as potential reservoir hosts of human GIT parasites (Zewdneh et al. 2013).

GIT parasitic diseases constitute an important constraint to the pig industry in most parts of the world (Bakut, Shinggu & Nwosu 1997; Onah & Chiejina 1995). In the tropical and sub-tropical areas, as a result of minimum management attention given to pigs, parasitic infections in pigs are estimated to be second to African swine fever in importance and are major constraints to efficient pig production of all age groups (Hale, Stewart & Marti 1986; Permin et al. 1999; Sangeeta, Prasad & Singh 2002). The importance of parasitic diseases in pigs is chiefly economical as subclinical
infection delays the achievement of market weight because of poor feed conversion rates, growth rate and general health status (Hale & Stewart 1987; Stewart & Hale 1988). Parasitic diseases also predispose pigs to concurrent infections by depressing the immunity of infected animals, whilst some can result in condemnation of organs or carcasses, causing additional losses in the pig industry (Hale & Stewart 1998).

Several studies have been conducted to determine the occurrence and economic importance of parasites in pigs and various parasite species have been identified worldwide. These studies have identified *Ascaris* spp., *Trichurus* spp., *Oesophagostomum* spp., *Trichinella* spp., *Strongylus* spp. (Caballero-Hernández et al. 2004; Kagira et al. 2008; Nganga, Karanja & Mutune 2008), *Eimeria* spp., *Isospora* spp. and *Cryptosporidium* spp. (Nosal & Eckert 2005) as the most common GIT parasites of pigs. The most common external parasite of pigs is *Sarcoptes scabiei* var. *suis*, although in some cases they may be infested by *Demodex phylloides* and *Haematopinus suis* (Damriyasa et al. 2004; Davis & Moon 1990). Information on epidemiological data and economic significance of GIT parasites in pigs in Ethiopia is scant. Available information is limited to a survey covering smallholder farmers in and around Holeta (Abdu & Gashaw 2010), Burayu district (Kumsa & Kifle 2014) and Tigray (Zewdneh et al. 2013), where *Ascaris suum*, *Oesophagostomum* spp., *S. scabiei* var. *suis* and *Eimeria* spp. were reported to be prevalent. Information on prevalence, types of parasite and management practices helps to formulate pig husbandry and extension programmes. In addition, knowledge about parasite species can be used as baseline information to design effective parasite control measures (Kumsa & Kifle 2014). Therefore, this study was conducted in Bishoftu, Ethiopia, with the objective of determining the prevalence of common parasites and risk factors for their occurrence in intensively managed small-scale private pig farms.

**Material and methods**

**Study area**

The study was conducted from November 2011 to April 2012 in Bishoftu. This town is located at 9°N and 40°E in East Shewa zone, Ada’a Liban district, Oromia Region, 47 km south-east of Addis Ababa. The altitude is about 1850 m above sea level. Bishoftu experiences an average annual rainfall of 800 mm, having a bimodal pattern, with the main rainy season extending from June to September (during which 84% of the rain is expected) and the short rainy season from March to May. The mean annual minimum and maximum temperatures are 12 °C and 27 °C respectively, with an overall average of 18.7 °C. The mean relative humidity is 61.3%. It has three agro-ecological zones, namely midland (94%), highland (3%) and lowland (3%) (National Metrological Surveillance Agency [NMSA] 2003).

**Study design and study animals**

A cross-sectional study was designed to address the objective of this investigation. Although two large and five small pig farms were available, only two farms’ owners were willing to participate, so these two farms were selected for inclusion. A sample size of 384 pigs was recruited for the study following the formula described by Thrusfield (2005). Study subjects were randomly selected regardless of their health status, age, sex and body condition. For each recruited study subject, a general physical examination was conducted and data on age, sex and body condition score were recorded on a structured data sheet. Data on age of individual study subjects was retrieved from farm record books whilst animals were categorised as piglets (< 10 weeks), growers (10–16 weeks) or adult pigs (> 16 weeks), according to Keshaw et al. (2009). The body condition score was determined based on assessment of fat cover on their spine and transverse spinal process (Holness 1991). Generally, as found by Teshale (2005), the husbandry practice of the farms was not of a high standard; they were poorly managed with limited husbandry and health care practices. In addition, both farms had similar management practices and owned 1338 pigs (Farm 1) and 652 pigs (Farm 2) at the time of study.

**Sample collection and analysis**

Fresh faecal samples were collected directly from the rectum of the 384 pigs (289 from Farm 1 and 95 from Farm 2). The samples were placed in separate plastic containers and transported in an ice box to the parasitology laboratory of the College of Agricultural and Veterinary Medicine, Addis Ababa University for immediate processing or stored in the refrigerator at 4 °C for a day before processing. The faeces were examined by the centrifugal faecal floatation technique for eggs of nematodes, cestodes and coccidian oocysts, and the sedimentation technique for the presence of trematode eggs (Soulsby 1982; Urquhart et al. 1996). During physical examination from pigs that had clinical skin lesions, skin scrapings were collected by scraping the edges of the lesions using scalpel blades until capillary bleeding was seen and were preserved in labelled bottles containing 10% formalin and transported to the laboratory. Thereafter, they were placed for 30 min in Petri dishes containing 10% potassium hydroxide. The samples were examined for the presence of mites and identification was carried out according to the standard techniques recommended by Soulsby (1982) and Urquhart et al. (1996).

**Data analysis**

The data were entered into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and coded appropriately. For data analysis, SPSS version 16 was used. Descriptive statistics were used to determine the prevalence of parasites in pigs. The chi-square test was used to determine the association between the infection and the risk factors such as age, body condition score and sex. In all cases, 95% confidence intervals and $p < 0.05$ were set for significance.

**Results**

Of the total 384 pigs examined, 25% (96/384) were found to harbour one or more parasite species. Mixed infection was
observed in 3.65% (n = 14) of the pigs. In this study, four GIT parasites and one species of ectoparasite were identified. The GIT parasites identified were Coccidia (12%), A. suum (4.9%), strongyles (5.2%) and Trichuris suis (2.9%). The prevalence of mange mite infestation was 2.6% (n = 10) and only S. scabiei var suis was identified from all 10 skin scraping samples collected from the suspected lesions. The frequency of occurrence and prevalence of parasites identified in this study is summarised in Table 1.

The occurrence of parasitic infestation was higher in grower pigs (29.7%) than in piglets (19.9%) and adult pigs (23.1%). There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in infection rate of GIT parasites amongst the different risk factors. However, the occurrence of parasites was significantly higher in Farm 1 than in Farm 2 (p < 0.05), with a prevalence of 31.1% in Farm 1 and 15.1% in Farm 2. In addition, the occurrence of S. scabiei var suis was also significantly higher (p < 0.05) in piglets than in growers and adults. The distribution of parasites according to sex, age and body condition score of swine is listed in Table 2.

Discussion

Of the 384 pigs examined, 23.96% (n = 92) were found to harbour one or more parasite species. However, this is lower than the findings of Abdu and Gashaw (2010) in Holeta, Ethiopia, Keshaw et al. (2009) in the West Indies, and Nganga et al. (2008) and Kagira et al. (2008) in Kenya, who recorded prevalences of 30.4%, 68.78%, 67.8% and 84.2%, respectively. These variations in the prevalence of pig parasitic infections could be a result of the difference in management systems, breed of pig, nutrition, climatic factors and animal health extension services in countries. The statistically significant difference (p = 0.007) in the prevalence of parasites between the two farms (31.1% for Farm 1 and 15.1% for Farm 2) could be ascribed to the difference in the number of samples taken from each farm.

The overall prevalence of Coccidia in this study was 12%, which is higher than that reported by Abdu and Gashaw (2010), who recorded 5.6% prevalence in and around Holeta, Ethiopia from semi-intensive and extensive farming systems. Studies conducted by Keshaw et al. (2009) in the West Indies, Weka and Ikeh (2009) in Jos metropolis, Nigeria and Weng et al. (2005) in China also indicated prevalences of 88%, 15.6% and 47.2%, respectively. This variation could be because of the difference in pig husbandry practices in the various study areas. Several species of Eimeria and Isospora suis have been identified from pigs (Cañon-Franco, Henâo-Agudelo & Pérez-Bedoya 2012; Eysker et al. 1994; Muntl et al. 2005). In piglets, coccidiosis causes poor performance during the fattening period as well as diarrhoea, and also predisposes the animal to secondary bacterial and viral infections (Koudela & Vitovec 1998; Lindsay, Blagburn & Powe 1992; Stuart et al. 1982).

The prevalence of strongyle ova in this study was 5.2%. This figure is lower than those reported by Kagira et al. (2008) in Kenya, Marufu et al. (2008) in Zimbabwe and Keshaw et al. (2009) in the West Indies, who reported a prevalence of 37%, 14% and 44%, respectively. The most common strongyles identified were Oesophagostomum spp., Hyostrongylus rubidus and Trichostrongylus axei (Keshaw et al. 2009; Marufu et al. 2008; Nganga et al. 2008). These parasites are responsible for loss of appetite, poor growth rate and poor feed conversion efficiency, and also predisposes animals to other pathogens and death in pigs (Stewart & Hoyt 2006).

Ascaris suum was recovered at a prevalence of 4.9%. This is lower than those reported by Abdu and Gashaw (2010) in Holeta and Zewdneh et al. (2013) in Tigrai, Ethiopia, Nganga et al. (2008) and Kagira et al. (2008) in Kenya and
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Permin et al. (1999) in Ghana. Larval migration of A. suum is one of the causes of milk spot hepatic lesions in growing pigs. It also depresses weight gain by up to 40% and feed conversion efficiencies by up to 25% (Polley & Mostert 1980). The thick-shelled eggs of A. suum are resistant to adverse environmental factors as well as chemicals and can maintain infectivity for long periods of time (Roepstorff & Nansen 1998).

The prevalence of T. suis in this study was 2.9%. Studies conducted by Zewdieh et al. (2013) in Tigrai (Ethiopia), Kagira et al. (2008) in Kenya, Marufu et al. (2008) in Zimbabwe, Permin et al. (1999) in Ghana, Weng et al. (2005) in China, Keshaw et al. (2009) in the West Indies and Nissen et al. (2011) in Uganda reported a prevalence of 0.3%, 7%, 4.7%, 4.6%, 5.2%, 38% and 17%, respectively. Sporadic disease caused by heavy infestation by T. suis is more common in pigs and is associated with watery diarrhoea that usually contains blood. The most important feature of Trichuris spp. is the longevity of the eggs in the environment, which can be up to 3 or 4 years (Urquhart et al. 1996).

The overall prevalence of S. scabiei var. suis in this study was 2.6%, which was lower than that reported by Abdu and Gashaw (2010) in extensive and semi-intensive swine farms in and around Holetta, Ethiopia, where a prevalence of 16.2% was reported. There was a significant difference (p = 0.048) in the prevalence of S. scabiei var. suis amongst the age groups, with a prevalence of 5.4%, 0.8% and 1.5% in adults, growners and piglets, respectively. Both sarcoptic and demodectic mange mites have been reported as the causal agent for swine mange mite infestation (Soulbsby 1982; Urquhart et al. 1996). However, sarcoptic mange caused by S. scabiei var. suis is the most common and serious ectoparasitic problem in swine (Das et al. 2010; Galuppi et al. 2007).

**Conclusion**

This study clearly shows that parasitic infections are prevalent in pigs in the study area. However, the attention given to pigs diseases in general and parasitic diseases in particular so far has not been sufficient. In the absence of detailed studies on parasitic diseases of pigs in Ethiopia, their negative impact on pig production will continue unabated. Therefore, comprehensive studies are necessary to obtain a clear epidemiological picture of pig parasitic diseases, their burden and their impact on production. In addition, appropriate control measures such as strategic application of acaricides, deworming with appropriate drugs and good sanitation should be undertaken to reduce the impact of parasitic diseases on pig health and production.
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