



## Report on some monogenean and clinostomid infestations of freshwater fish and waterbird hosts in Middle Letaba Dam, Limpopo Province, South Africa

P.A.S. OLIVIER<sup>1\*</sup>, WILMIEN J. LUUS-POWELL<sup>1</sup> and J.E. SAAYMAN<sup>1, 2</sup>

---

### ABSTRACT

OLIVIER, P.A.S., LUUS-POWELL, WILMIEN J. & SAAYMAN, J.E. 2009. Report on some monogenean and clinostomid infestations of freshwater fish and waterbird hosts in Middle Letaba Dam, Limpopo Province, South Africa. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 76:187–199

This report deals with the results of a parasitological study done as part of a post-impoundment ecological study of Middle Letaba Dam, Limpopo Province, South Africa. It involved a seasonal survey protocol with particular attention to the diversity and prevalence of the parasitic fauna of the indigenous fish community of the dam and the role of selected fish-eating birds in the life cycle and distribution of fish helminths. The potential species composition of fish of the dam is provided. Monogenean and clinostomatid parasites encountered are listed and infestation statistics of fish and fish-eating bird hosts are presented. The results of this study also provide information on new distribution and host records of the encountered monogeneans.

**Keywords:** Clinostomidae, Middle Letaba Dam, Monogenea, South Africa

---

### INTRODUCTION

The utilization of freshwater fish to supplement protein demand by humans, especially in rural areas, is a topic widely researched. Nevertheless, South Africa has always lagged behind in this effort when compared to densely populated countries such as China, Taiwan and Israel. Many reasons for this state of affairs can be given, like the customary supply of marine fish from South Africa's long shoreline with its rich, but lately diminishing, fish community. South Africa's inland and rural communities have also not to a large extent developed an established appetite for freshwater fish. This may be due to the

relatively effortless and uncomplicated accessibility to competitively priced alternative sources of protein, such as chicken, small ruminants, pigs and cattle.

The position may, however, change drastically. Declining tendencies in marine fisheries output have been reported as long ago as the 1970s (Noble & Hemens 1978; Cram 1980; Allanson & Jackson 1983). Since then more documented evidence of this phenomenon is available. The imbalance between the development of land protein resources on the one hand and population growth linked to rising costs of refrigeration and transport of marine protein products on the other, may, however, force the pendulum to move towards utilization of aquaculture products as supplementary food sources. This, together with an increased interest in the development of aquaculture practices, has spurred the initiation of research efforts in aspects such as intensive freshwater fish husbandry, harvesting of fish stocks from natural freshwater habitats (Roode 1978; Saay-

---

\* Author to whom correspondence is to be directed: olivierp@ul.ac.za

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biodiversity, University of Limpopo (Turfloop Campus), Private Bag X1106, Sovenga, 0727 South Africa

<sup>2</sup> Present address: 7 Protea Street, Albertinia, 6695 South Africa

man 1984; Hecht 1985; Hecht, Uys & Britz 1988) and the enhancement of guidelines, aims and objectives for aquaculture-supportive research in South Africa (Safriel & Bruton 1984).

Prioritizing freshwater fish as a supplementary alternative supply of protein for humans indisputably accentuates the importance of fish health in general. In this regard, the significant role of scientific knowledge with regards to indigenous as well as introduced fish parasites can hardly be over-emphasized. Over the last decade the importance of studying fish parasites, including aspects such as their diversity, distribution, infestation rates and pathology have been realized by many South African researchers.

This report deals with the results of parasitological studies done as part of a multidisciplinary research programme on the post-impoundment ecology of the Middle Letaba Dam. The study was commissioned in 1987 by the (then) Department of Development Aid with the Government Service of the (then) Gazankulu Government as beneficiary. The study involved a seasonal survey protocol and particular attention was given to the diversity and prevalence of the parasitic fauna of the indigenous fish community of the dam. Attention also focused on the role of selected waterbirds in the life cycle and distribution of some fish helminths (with special reference to members of the Clinostomidae) using piscivorous birds as final hosts.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study area

Middle Letaba Dam is situated at 30°24' S, 23°16' E, about 50 km west of the city of Giyani, in the Limpopo Province of South Africa. The catchment area of the dam includes two river systems: the Senwathweni River with a catchment area of 757 km<sup>2</sup> and a mean annual rainfall of 757 mm, and the Middle Letaba River with a catchment area of 1 042 km<sup>2</sup> and a mean annual rainfall of 708 mm. The most important tributaries of these systems are the Koe-does, Brandboontjies and Houtbos Rivers. At full water level, Middle Letaba Dam has a surface area of 1 943 ha and a mean depth of 9.5 m (maximum of 34 m). Water temperatures (day and night temperatures) and pH values were frequently measured at various positions in the dam. Precipitation data were obtained from the Weather Bureau Station in the vicinity of the dam. Water temperatures did not fluctuate much seasonally, with average seasonal day temperatures being 27.5 °C (autumn),

17.5 °C (winter), 22 °C (spring) and 27 °C (summer) and average night temperatures being 26 °C (autumn), 16.5 °C (winter), 20 °C (spring) and 25.5 °C (summer). Reasons for these relatively small differences may be due to the fact that the Middle Letaba Dam is situated in a subtropical environment and that at the time of the survey the dam was only about 30 % full. As a result, there was no clear delineation between the epilimnion and hypolimnion resulting in a thorough mixing of the entire water column. For the period of the survey pH values of the impoundment were relatively constant, with average seasonal values ranging between 7.9 (autumn), 8.3 (winter), 7.7 (spring) and 8.1 (summer).

### Procuring and transport of host specimens

Parasitological surveys were done seasonally from January 1984 to January 1989. The majority of fish hosts were captured using gill nets of various stretched mesh sizes, ranging from 30–180 mm but beach seine nets were used in shallower water. Where the physical conditions did not allow the use of gill nets fish hosts were collected by means of electro-fishing. The captured fish were transported live to the field laboratory where they were kept in containers with well aerated water. Avian hosts were shot and their beaks were immediately sealed with elastic bands to avoid the escape of any clinostomid worms lodged in the buccal cavity and oesophagus. The birds were transported in sealed plastic bags to the field laboratory for immediate autopsy and parasitic infestation analysis of the complete alimentary tract.

### Examination of hosts for parasites

Immediately prior to examination, the fish were killed by severing the spinal cord just posterior to the cranium. Monogenean parasites were collected from the gills of the fish with the aid of a stereo microscope and smears were made from the skin and mucosa of the stomach. Sampled monogeneans were fixed in hot (70 °C) alcohol-formalin-acetic acid (AFA) and stored in 4 % buffered formalin. Some of the monogeneans were mounted on microscope slides in glycerine jelly under slight cover slip pressure, and the cover slip sealed with clear nail varnish. Clinostomids were carefully removed from the buccal cavity and oesophagus of the birds, fixed in hot (70 °C) AFA, and preserved in 80 % ethanol. The clinostomids that were collected were mounted on microscope slides for microscopic investigations or stored in 80 % ethanol for further studies.

Prevalence of infestation (%) was calculated as the number of infested hosts/number of examined hosts X 100. Mean intensity of infestation was calculated as the total number of parasites/number of infested hosts. Standard deviation was not calculated due to meaningless values as a result of too small sample sizes (Rózsa, Reiczigel & Majoros 2000). For consistency, name changes of hosts since the beginning of this study are incorporated, following that of Skelton (2001) for fish hosts and Hockey, Dean & Ryan (2005) for bird hosts.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Fish species composition of Middle Letaba Dam

A pilot-survey (done prior to the parasitological survey) of the catchment area of the proposed Middle Letaba Dam was carried out to determine the possible species composition of fish which could eventually inhabit the dam (Olivier, Kruger, Van der Waal, Viljoen & Viljoen 1986). The latter investigation complements a previous study by Gaigher & McPott

(1973), and mainly correlates with their findings, except for *Barbus radiatus* Peters and *Synodontis zambezensis* Peters which the latter authors encountered in the Middle Letaba River and *Glossogobius giuris* (Hamilton-Buchanan) which they collected at the confluence of the Middle Letaba and Klein Letaba Rivers. Based on the results of these two surveys, the potential fish species diversity of the dam is relatively low, limited to about 28 species with *Clarias gariepinus* Burchell and *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Peters) the numerically dominant species (Table 1).

Of the species mentioned in Table 1, *Mesobola brevianalis* (Boulenger), *Opsaridium zambezense* (Gilchrist et Thompson), *Barbus lineomaculatus* Boulenger, *B. radiatus*, *Cyprinus carpio* L., *Micralestes acutidens* (Peters), *Amphilius uranoscopus* (Pfeffer), *Schilbe intermedius* Rüppell, *Chiloglanis pretoriae* Van der Horst, *S. zambezensis*, *Micropterus salmoides* (Lacepède), *Tilapia rendalli* (Boulenger) and *G. giuris* were not collected from the dam during the present parasitological survey. Some of these may

TABLE 1 Potential species composition of fish of Middle Letaba Dam and its catchment area

Gaigher & McPott 1973	n	Olivier et al. 1986	Sampled during this study
<i>Anguilla mossambica</i> Peters	1	+	+
<i>Mesobola brevianalis</i> (Boulenger)	–	+	X
<i>Opsaridium peringueyi</i> (Gilchrist & Thompson)	–	+	X
<i>Barbus lineomaculatus</i> Boulenger	–	+	X
<i>Barbus unitaeniatus</i> Günther	82	+	+
<i>Barbus bifrenatus</i> Fowler	13	+	+
<i>Barbus viviparus</i> Weber	46	+	+
<i>Barbus toppini</i> Boulenger	68	+	+
<i>Barbus radiatus</i> Peters	–	X	X
<i>Barbus trimaculatus</i> Peters	89	+	+
<i>Barbus paludinosus</i> Peters	83	+	+
<i>Labeobarbus marequensis</i> (A. Smith)	32	+	+
<i>Labeo rosae</i> Steindachner	24	+	+
<i>Labeo ruddi</i> Boulenger	64	+	+
<i>Labeo cylindricus</i> Peters	68	+	+
<i>Labeo molybdinus</i> Du Plessis	4	+	+
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i> Linnaeus	–	+	X
<i>Micralestes acutidens</i> (Peters)	–	+	X
<i>Amphilius uranoscopus</i> (Pfeffer)	–	+	X
<i>Schilbe intermedius</i> (Rüppell)	–	+	X
<i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell)	111	+	+
<i>Chiloglanis pretoriae</i> Van der Horst	–	+	X
<i>Synodontis zambezensis</i> Peters	–	X	X
<i>Micropterus salmoides</i> (Lacepède)	–	+	X
<i>Pseudocrenilabrus philander</i> (Weber)	69	+	+
<i>Tilapia rendalli</i> (Boulenger)	–	+	X
<i>Oreochromis mossambicus</i> (Peters)	177	+	+
<i>Glossogobius giuris</i> (Hamilton-Buchanan)	–	X	X

n = number of fish sampled for parasitological analyses

+ = species present

X = species not collected

still successfully colonize Middle Letaba Dam. However, *C. pretoriae* and *O. zambezense* are considered to prefer rivers as their favoured habitat and will, in all probability, not establish themselves in the dam (Polling, Mokgalong & Saayman 1983). *Synodontis zambezensis* and *G. giuris* might also not colonize the dam as they naturally occur only in downstream habitats (Gaigher 1973). The unique construction of the overflow of the dam, forming an impenetrable barrier to upstream migration, will prevent these two species from reaching the dam. Of the 28 species of fish listed in Table 1, only 15 were collected during the parasitological survey and, therefore, could be considered as possible parasite

hosts (only a single specimen of *Anguilla mossambica* Peters was collected during the summer survey of 1984, but revealed no parasites).

### Monogenean parasites

To date, very few records of Monogenea of South African freshwater fish appeared in the published literature (e.g. Paperna 1980; Mashego 1983; Khalil & Polling 1997; Mashego 2000; Luus-Powell, Mashego & Khalil 2003; Christison, Shinn & Van As 2005). During this study monogenean parasites were collected from a total of 831 hosts specimens of as many as 14 different fish species (Table 2).

TABLE 2 Host/parasite checklist of monogenean parasites from Middle Letaba Dam with an indication of host specificity for each species of parasite

Host	n	Parasite	Host range
<i>Barbus unitaeniatus</i> Günther	73	<i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 1	3
<i>Barbus bifrenatus</i> Fowler	13	<i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 4	3
<i>Barbus viviparous</i> Weber	46	<i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 4	3
<i>Barbus toppini</i> Boulenger	68	<i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 4	3
<i>Barbus trimaculatus</i> Peters	70	<i>Dactylogyrus afrolongicornis</i> Paperna <i>Dactylogyrus afrolongicornis alberti</i> Paperna <i>Dactylogyrus afrosclerovaginatus</i> Paperna <i>Dactylogyrus allolongionchus</i> Paperna <i>Dactylogyrus meyersi</i> Price, McClellan, Druckenmiller & Jacobs <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 1 <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 2 <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 3	1 1 1 1 1 3 2 1
<i>Barbus paludinosus</i> Peters	83	<i>Dactylogyrus dominici</i> Mashego <i>Dactylogyrus teresae</i> Mashego	1 1
<i>Labeobarbus mareqensis</i> (A. Smith)	32	<i>Dactylogyrus spinicirrus</i> (Paperna & Thurston) <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 1 <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 2	1 3 2
<i>Labeo rosae</i> Steindacher	24	<i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 7 <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 8 <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 9 <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 10 <i>Dogielius</i> sp. 1	2 2 2 2 1
<i>Labeo ruddi</i> Boulenger	64	<i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 7 <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 9 <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 9 <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. J <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 10 <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 12 <i>Dogielius</i> sp. 2 <i>Dogielius</i> sp. 3 <i>Dogielius</i> sp. 4	2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1
<i>Labeo cylindricus</i> Peters	68	<i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 5	2
<i>Labeo molybdinus</i> Du Plessis	4	<i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 5 <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp. 6	2 1

TABLE 2 (cont.)

Host	n	Parasite	Host range
<i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell)	111	<i>Quadriacanthus allobychowskiella</i> Paperna	1
		<i>Quadriacanthus aegypticus</i> El-Naggar & Serag	1
		<i>Quadriacanthus clariadis</i> Paperna	1
		<i>Quadriacanthus</i> sp. 1	1
		<i>Quadriacanthus</i> sp. 2	1
		<i>Quadriacanthus</i> sp. 3	1
		<i>Quadriacanthus</i> sp. 4	1
		<i>Quadriacanthus</i> sp. 5	1
		<i>Quadriacanthus</i> sp. 6	1
		<i>Quadriacanthus</i> sp. 7	1
		<i>Quadriacanthus</i> sp. 8	1
		<i>Quadriacanthus</i> sp. 9	1
		<i>Quadriacanthus</i> sp. 10	1
		<i>Gyrodactylus rysavyi</i> Ergens	1
<i>Macrogyrodactylus clarrii</i> Gussev	1		
<i>Macrogyrodactylus karibae</i> Douellou & Chishawa	1		
<i>Pseudocrenilabrus philander</i> (Weber)	69	<i>Cichlidogyrus tilapiae</i>	2
		<i>Enterogyrus cichlidarium</i>	2
<i>Oreochromis mossambicus</i> (Peters)	105	<i>Cichlidogyrus halli</i> (Price & Kirk)	1
		<i>Cichlidogyrus sclerosus</i> Paperna & Thurston	1
		<i>Cichlidogyrus tilapiae</i> Paperna	2
		<i>Cichlidogyrus</i> sp. 1	1
		<i>Cichlidogyrus</i> sp. 2	1
		<i>Enterogyrus cichlidarium</i> Paperna	2
		<i>Scutogyrus gravivaginus</i> (Paperna & Thurston)	1

n = number of hosts examined

1 = one-host parasite

2 = two-host parasite

3 = three-host parasite

Although some of the parasites encountered are of uncertain specific status, their generic status and host distribution were positively verified.

The dactylogyrid species were obtained from the gills of their respective hosts. Gyrodactylid parasites were found to be present on either the skin or the gills of the host. Species of *Chichlidogyrus* Paperna and *Scutogyrus* Pariselle & Euzet are harboured on the gills of their hosts, while *Enterogyrus chichlidarium* Paperna occurs in the mucosa of the stomach of both its hosts (*Pseudocrenilabrus philander* (Weber) and *O. mossambicus*).

During the current study, members of three monogenean families, represented by eight genera and 47 species (19 known species and 28 unidentified species), were encountered (Table 2). Species of these families are known to be variably host specific, with host ranges restricted to one or only a few closely related species. This trend is supported by the results of this study (Table 2). In general, members of the genus *Dogiellus* Bikhovski were found only on two of the four *Labeo* hosts and species of

*Quadriacanthus* Paperna, *Gyrodactylus* Paperna and *Macrogyrodactylus* Malberg were found on *C. gariepinus* only. Species of *Cichlidogyrus* were restricted to *P. philander* and *O. mossambicus*, while *Scutogyrus gravivaginus* (Paperna & Thurston) was collected from *O. mossambicus* only. Species of the genus *Dactylogyrus* Diesing are parasites of *Barbus* and *Labeo* species. More specifically, Table 2 clearly illustrates the phenomenon of host specificity with no less than 37 of encountered species found on a single host, eight parasitized two-host species and only two were harboured by three different hosts. This corresponds with findings of Mashego (1983) who reported on eight of the parasites collected during the present study parasitizing the same host species, although from different geographical regions in South Africa. These are *Dactylogyrus afro-longicornis afrolongicornis* Paperna, *Dactylogyrus afro-longicornis alberti* Paperna, *Dactylogyrus afro-sclerovaginatatus* Paperna, *Dactylogyrus allolongionchus* Paperna and *Dactylogyrus meyersi* Price, McLellan, Druckenmiller & Jacobs from *Barbus trimaculatus* Peters, *Dactylogyrus dominici* Mashego

and *Dactylogyrus teresae* Mashego from *Barbus paludinosus* Peters, and *Dactylogyrus spinicirrus* (Paperna & Thurston) from *Labeobarbus marequensis* (Smith, 1841).

In general, the intensity of monogenean infestations was found to be very low, on average five parasites per infested host. However, the diversity of monogeneans on *C. gariepinus* (with no less than 16 species), with a more profound and variable intensity of infestation, is noteworthy. Immediately after the closure of the dam in 1984, very low intensities were observed (mean intensity = 1.0) followed by a tremendous increase, reaching a peak in the summer of 1986/1987 (mean intensity = 700.0). This was followed by a steady decline to as low as a mean intensity of less than 1.0 in January 1988. The latter could be ascribed to the greater volume of water as a result of good rains in December/January of 1987/1988 (more than 250 mm; mean annual for the catchment area is 732 mm) resulting in a decline in host density. Gyrodactylids have no free swimming larval stages and infestation between individual hosts is probably by direct contact (Paperna 1980).

During the period of hyperinfestation, infested specimens of *C. gariepinus* all developed a thick layer of greyish-white mucus over the entire skin, in all probability caused by the severe irritation caused by the parasites. Other pathological signs observed included proliferation of epithelial cells at the point of attachment, erosion of the skin and fading of skin colour, all of which are in accord with similar observations reported by Paperna (1980).

Monogeneans encountered from Middle Letaba Dam revealed some species representing either first parasite records, or new host records from South Africa. This can be summarized as follows:

1. *Gyrodactylus* Von Nordman (Gyrodactylidae). Christison *et al.* (2005) provides a summary of all the gyrodactylids that have been reported from southern Africa. These include one known, *Gyrodactylus transvaalensis* Prudhoe & Hussey, and three unidentified species as records from South Africa. None of the latter was collected during this survey. However, the authors agree with Christison *et al.* (2005) that the records of the three unidentified species referred to by Bragg (1991) and Lombard (1968), should not be considered as part of the South African fauna due to their association with alien fish hosts (rainbow trout and large mouth black bass, respectively). However, *Gyrodactylus rysavyi* Ergens, described and reported to date only from

Egypt (Ergens 1973), was encountered during the present study from *C. gariepinus*, and represents a new distribution record from South Africa.

2. *Macrogyrodactylus* Malberg (Gyrodactylidae). During this study two macrogyrodactylid species (*Macrogyrodactylus clarii* Gussev and *Macrogyrodactylus karibae* Douëllou & Chishawa) were encountered from *C. gariepinus* and have previously been reported on by Khalil & Mashego (1998). The latter authors also reported on *Macrogyrodactylus congolensis* (Prudhoe) collected from *C. gariepinus* at Mokgoma-Matlala Dam, South Africa.
3. *Dactylogyrus* Diesing (Dactylogyridae). Eleven dactylogyrid species were previously reported from South Africa (Khalil & Polling 1997): *D. afrologicornis* Paperna, *D. afrologicornis alberti*, *D. afrosclerovaginus*, *D. allolongionchus*, *D. dominici*, *Dactylogyrus enidae* Mashego, *Dactylogyrus jubbstrema* Price, Korach & McPott, *D. myersi*, *Dactylogyrus pienaari* Price, Korach & McPott and *D. spinicirrus* *D. teresae* Mashego. Of these, only three species, *D. enidae*, *D. jubbstrema* and *D. pienaari*, were not encountered during this study. Of the remaining seven species collected, it is worthwhile to note that *D. afrosclerovaginus* was collected from *Barbus trimaculatus* Peters (previously reported from *Barbus paludinosus* Peters), representing a new host record. Additional to the above, 12 unidentified dactylogyrid species were found from six hosts (Table 2).
4. *Dogielius* Bikhovski (Dactylogyridae). None of the more than 20 described species from Africa (Khalil & Polling 1997) was either sampled during the present study or is previously known from South Africa. However, four unidentified species, one from *Labeo rosae* Steindachner and three from *Labeo ruddi* Boulenger, were collected.
5. *Quadriacanthus* Paperna (Dactylogyridae). Three formerly described species (*Quadriacanthus aegypticus* El-Naggar & Serag, *Quadriacanthus allobychochowskilla* Paperna and *Quadriacanthus clariadis* Paperna) and ten unidentified species, all sampled from *C. gariepinus* and all being first records for the genus from South Africa, were collected.
6. *Chichlidogyrus* Paperna (Ancyrocephalidae). Only one species, *Chichlidogyrus papernastrema* Price, Peebles & Bamford is previously known

from South Africa. This species was not collected during the present survey, possibly due to the absence of the type host, *Tilapia sparrmanii* Boulenger. However, five chichlidogyrinid species were encountered and are new records for South Africa: *Chichlidogyrus halli* (Price & Kirk), *Chichlidogyrus sclerosus* Paperna & Thurston, *Chichlidogyrus tilapiae* Paperna and two unidentified species.

7. *Enterogyrus* Paperna (Ancyrocephalidae). *Enterogyrus cichlidarum* Paperna was the only species collected and represents a first record of the genus from South Africa.
8. *Scutogyrus* Pariselle & Euzet (Ancyrocephalidae). *Scutogyrus gravivaginus* (Paperna & Thurston) was the only species collected and it is a first record of the genus from South Africa.

#### Digenean species of the family Clinostomidae

Positive species designation of trematodan metacercariae is usually difficult due to their undifferentiated genitalia, therefore adult stages from the definitive host are frequently the only way to achieve positive identification. However, the fact that most trematodes, including their larval stages, are highly host specific (Paperna 1980; Grobler & Mokgalong 2002) can be applied as a useful tool for identification. Furthermore, diagnosis during this survey was somewhat easier as trematode infestations (especially that of clinostomids) of resident piscivorous birds acting as definitive hosts, were simultaneously investigated (Mokgalong 1996).

In the past, many problems were experienced with the specific diagnostic designations of members of the family Clinostomidae (Grobler, Mokgalong & Saayman 1999). Feizullaev & Mirzoeva (1983) provided some clarity on solving this problem when

they synonymized 35 species as one, *Clinostomum complanatum* (Rudolphi). These included *Clinostomum vanderhorsti* Ortlepp and *Clinostomum tilapiae* Ukoli, both of which prompted much discussion, confusion and misidentification. Recent studies using molecular techniques clearly revealed that material previously identified as *C. tilapiae*, found in the gill chambers of *O. mossambicus*, is in fact *Neutraclinostomum intermedialis* (Lamont) (Grobler *et al.* 1999; Grobler & Mokgalong 2002). The demonstration of high host specificity (*O. mossambicus* as intermediate fish host and the darter, *Anhinga rufa* (Daudin), as definitive avian host) for *N. intermedialis* (Mokgalong 1996) further supports the view of considering *C. tilapiae* sampled from gill chambers of *O. mossambicus*, as a junior synonym of *N. intermedialis*. This approach is followed for the present report.

During the present survey three species of the family Clinostomidae were sampled from six different fish hosts (Table 3). The results concerning these species are discussed separately below and, where applicable, additional data of similar studies from different habitats in South Africa are presented as comparisons.

#### *Neutraclinostomum intermedialis* (Lamont)

This parasite was exclusively procured from the branchial chambers of *O. mossambicus* and, during this study, a total of 379 specimens were collected. A total of 177 fish hosts were examined and showed a prevalence of 48 % and a mean intensity of 4.4 (Table 3). The largest number of hosts were encountered during summer ( $n=87$ ), with almost 50 % ( $n = 39$ ) represented by smaller fish (total length < 10 cm). Fish longer than 30 cm were virtually absent from this survey, but the data of a single large specimen is nevertheless included in Table 4.

TABLE 3 Species of the family Clinostomidae collected from infested intermediate hosts from Middle Letaba Dam

Parasite	Host	<i>n</i>	Prev.	Mi.
<i>Neutraclinostomum intermedialis</i> (Lamont)	<i>Oreochromis mossambicus</i> (Peters)	177	48	4.4
<i>Clinostomum complanatum</i> (Rudolphi)	<i>Barbus unitaeniatus</i> Günther	82	2.4	1
	<i>Barbus trimaculatus</i> Peters	89	1.1	2
	<i>Labeobarbus marequensis</i> (A. Smith)	13	7.7	1
	<i>Pseudocrenilabrus philander</i> (Weber)	47	6.4	1
<i>Euclinostomum heterostomum</i> (Rudolphi)	<i>Oreochromis mossambicus</i> (Peters)	177	24.9	2.2
	<i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell)	21	4.7	9

*n* = number of hosts examined

Prev. = prevalence of infestation (%)

Mi. = mean intensity of infestation

TABLE 4 Seasonal analysis, per length group (TL, cm) of *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Peters), for *Neutraclinostomum intermedialis* (Lamont) infestations in Middle Letaba Dam

Season	< 10 cm	10.1–20 cm	20.1–30 cm	> 30 cm	All size groups
<b>Summer</b>					
Number of hosts examined	39	24	23	1	87
Prevalence of infestation	53.9	45.8	13.1	100	41.4
Intensity of infestation	1–21	1–7	5–20	4	1–21
Mean intensity of infestation	4	4.3	11.3	4	4.7
Number of parasites collected	83	47	34	4	168
<b>Autumn</b>					
Number of hosts examined	2	10	3	–	15
Prevalence of infestation	100	80	33.3	–	73.3
Intensity of infestation	3–4	2–16	–	–	2–16
Mean intensity of infestation	3.5	5.6	8	–	5.5
Number of parasites collected	7	45	8	–	60
<b>Winter</b>					
Number of hosts examined	11	4	25	–	40
Prevalence of infestation	63.6	75	40	–	50
Intensity of infestation	1–5	4–5	1–17	–	1–17
Mean intensity of infestation	2.4	4.3	3.7	–	3.4
Number of parasites collected	17	13	37	–	67
<b>Spring</b>					
Number of hosts examined	18	14	3	–	35
Prevalence of infestation	55.6	50	33.3	–	51.4
Intensity of infestation	1–10	1–9	–	–	1–10
Mean intensity of infestation	5.5	4	1	–	4.7
Number of parasites collected	55	28	1	–	84
<b>All seasons</b>					
Number of hosts examined	70	52	54	1	177
Prevalence of infestation	57.1	55.8	27.8	100	48
Intensity of infestation	1–21	1–16	1–20	4	1–21
Mean intensity of infestation	4.1	4.6	5.6	4	4.5
Number of parasites collected	16	133	80	4	379

The highest prevalence (57.1 % for all seasons) was found within the <10 cm length group with a 63.6 % registered for the winter survey within the same length group (the autumn survey was not taken into account due the fact that only two hosts were examined). The lowest prevalence was found for hosts of 20.1–30 cm in length suggesting smaller fish to be more susceptible to infestation. The highest mean intensity (11.3), however, was recorded during the summer survey for the 20.1–30 cm length group with as many as 20 parasites per host. This length group in fact recorded the highest mean intensity values for all seasonal surveys, except during spring (Table 4).

*Neutraclinostomum intermedialis* does indicate some, yet not pronounced, seasonal variations in prevalence and intensity of infestation. This rela-

tively small seasonal variation in infestation rates is to be expected in a young, subtropical impoundment where seasonal climatic conditions are not sharply demarcated. Furthermore, comparison of infestation rates amongst different sexes of the host failed to illustrate any noteworthy differences.

Mokgalong (1996) clearly illustrated *A. rufa* to be the definitive host for *N. intermedialis*, with 75 % of the examined hosts from Middle Letaba Dam infested (Table 5). He further reported high infestation values of *N. intermedialis* for this bird (intensity = 7–72 and mean intensity = 35). These values correspond well (prevalence of 50–100 %) if compared with similar data for other water bodies in the Limpopo Province (Mokgalong 1996). The high infestation values of *A. rufa* in Middle Letaba Dam, and the fact that it is one of the most abundant resi-

TABLE 5 Parasite/host checklist of definitive hosts (piscivorous birds) at Middle Letaba Dam infested with adult members of Clinostomidae

Parasite	Host	<i>n</i>	Prev.	In.	Mi.
<i>Neutraclinostomum intermedialis</i> (Lamont)	<i>Anhinga rufa</i> (Daudin)	44	75	7–72	35
<i>Clinostomum complanatum</i> (Rudolphi)	<i>Phalacrocorax lucidus</i> (Lichtenstein)	11	63	1–37	11
	<i>Phalacrocorax africanus</i> (Gmelin)	30	60	1–7	4
	<i>Anhinga rufa</i> (Daudin)	44	96	3–190	48
	<i>Ardea cinerea</i> Linnaeus	3	66	1–3	2
	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i> (Linnaeus)	3	66	2–4	3
<i>Euclinostomum heterostomum</i> (Rudolphi)	<i>Phalacrocorax luidus</i> (Lichtenstein)	11	88	1–21	7
	<i>Phalacrocorax africanus</i>	30	30	1–10	6
	<i>Anhinga rufa</i> (Daudin)	44	80	1–11	5
	<i>Ardea cinerea</i> Linnaeus	3	100	4–6	5
	<i>Ardea melanocephala</i> Anon	5	100	1–8	5
	<i>Ardea purpurea</i> Linnaeus	2	50	1	1

*n* = number of hosts examined  
 Prev. = prevalence of infestation (%)  
 In. = intensity of infestation  
 Mi. = mean intensity of infestation

TABLE 6 Comparison of infestation values of *Neutraclinostomum intermedialis* (Lamont) in *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Peters) in different water bodies of the Limpopo and Olifants Rivers Drainage Systems—data from Saayman (1986)

Waterbody	<i>n</i>	Prev.	In.	Mi.
Middle Letaba Dam	177	48	1–21	4.5
Glen Alpine Dam	89	14.6	1–6	2
Luphephe-Nwanedzi Dams	157	10.7	1–5	1.4
Nwanedzi River	66	54.6	1–8	3.2
Nzhelele Dam	15	7	1	1
Seshego Dam	35	38	1–5	3
Nile Flood Pans	58	62.1	1–13	3.5
Piet Gouws Dam	59	35.6	1–14	2.8
Lepellane Dam	114	28.9	1–6	2.1
Tompi Seleka Fish Station	25	64	1–2	1.5

*n* = number of hosts examined  
 Prev. = prevalence of infestation (%)  
 In. = intensity of infestation  
 Mi. = mean intensity of infestation

dent fish-eating birds (Olivier, Saayman & Polling 1991), tend to indicate that intensity of infestation in the intermediate host (*O. mossambicus*) may well increase drastically in the near future.

Saayman (1986) investigated *N. intermedialis* infestations in *O. mossambicus* in various other water bodies of the Limpopo and Olifants Rivers Drainage Systems in the Limpopo Province (Table 6). Only three of these water bodies (Nile Flood Pans, Nwanedzi River and Tompi Seleka Fish Station) exhibit infestation values comparable to that of Middle Letaba Dam. Values obtained from Tompi Seleka are to be expected as it represents a situation where fish are kept at high densities in production ponds. These ponds were also densely populated with

snails, the invertebrate intermediate host for *N. intermedialis*, (no data on the species of the snails are available) and aquatic macrophytes. The ratio of shore to water area in production ponds is far greater than that for impoundments, resulting in enhanced opportunities for released cercariae to locate suitable hosts. Physical conditions in the Nile Flood Pans and pools in the Nwanedzi River are almost similar to the situation at Tompi Seleka and this may explain the high levels of infestation recorded for these two localities.

#### *Clinostomum complanatum* (Rudolphi)

In Middle Letaba Dam only four of the potential fish host species (Table 1) were infested with metacer-

cariae of *C. complanatum* (Table 3). In all of the infested hosts the parasites were always found encysted on the peritoneum of visceral organs with an explicit preference for the peritoneum of the ventral surface of the swim bladder. Extremely low prevalence and intensity values were recorded (Table 3).

Saayman (1986) investigated several indigenous fish species from various localities in the Olifants and Limpopo Drainage Systems for *C. complanatum* infestations. From the numerous hosts investigated, only five species (including *Marcusenius macrolepidotus* (Peters) which was not encountered in Middle Letaba Dam) were infested (Table 7). From the infested hosts, a total of 1985 parasites were procured with prevalence and intensity values, as given in Table 7, profoundly higher than those recorded for Middle Letaba Dam.

Seven piscivorous birds at Middle Letaba Dam were identified by Mokgalong (1996) as regular final hosts for *C. complanatum* (Table 5). Although relatively low numbers of birds were examined, the data of Table 5 clearly indicate *A. rufa* as the predominant definitive host for this parasite. Reed cormorants, *Phalacrocorax africanus* (Gmelin), grey herons,

*Ardea cinerea* Linnaeus. and black-crowned night herons, *Nycticorax nycticorax* Linnaeus, seem to act as subsidiary final hosts.

If the results from the present study and that of Saayman (1986) are evaluated, the following important observation needs to be elucidated: *S. intermedius* and *M. macrolepidotus* seem to be the major intermediate hosts for *C. complanatum* (Table 7). Both were, however, absent from Middle Letaba Dam at the time of the survey, but *S. intermedius* does occur in the catchment area of the dam (Table 1). It can, therefore, be expected that the latter will colonize the dam at some stage. As the biological requirements for the completion of the life cycle of the parasite are established in the dam, it could well be expected that *S. intermedius* would immediately become infested after colonization.

***Euclinostomum heterostomum* (Rudolphi)**

During this study, *E. heterostomum* was recorded regularly, encysted in muscle tissue of the host. The major intermediate host was identified as *O. mosambicus* from which a total of 96 parasites were collected (Table 8). A single male *C. gariepinus* (to-

TABLE 7 Fish intermediate hosts from various localities in the Olifants (O) and Limpopo (L) Rivers Drainage Systems infested with *Clinostomum complanatum* (Rudolphi)—data from Saayman (1986)

Host	n	Prev.	In.	Mi.	Locality and drainage system
<i>Schilbe intermedius</i> (Rüppell)	399	28.7	1–21	3.4	Luphephe-Nwanedzi Dams (L) Nwanedzi River (L) Glen Alpine Dam (L) Magalakwena River (L) Nzhelele Dam (L) Nile Flood Pans (L) Ga-Selati River (O) Olifants River (O) Letaba Estates (O)
<i>Marcusenius macrolepidotus</i> (Peters)	524	54.7	1–122	6.7	Luphephe-Nwanedzi Dams (L) Nwanedzi River (L) Glen Alpine Dam (L) Nzhelele Dam (L) Nile Flood Pans (L) Mohlapitse River (O) Letaba Estates (O)
<i>Barbus unitaeniatus</i> Günther	2	50	1	1.0	Letaba Estates (O)
<i>Barbus toppini</i> Weber	1	100	8	8.0	Letaba Estates (O)
<i>Chiloglanis pretoriae</i> Van der Horst	115	5.2	1–4	2.2	Luvuvhu River (L) Nwanedzi River (L) Mohlapitse River (O)

n = number of hosts examined  
 Prev. = prevalence of infestation (%)  
 In. = intensity of infestation  
 Mi. = mean intensity of infestation

tal length = 66,3 cm), from a sample of 21 specimens examined, collected during the winter survey, was found harbouring nine specimens of *E. heterostomum*. This may suggest that *C. gariepinus*, in extreme cases, can act as a reservoir host.

The data of Table 8 reflect that all length groups of *O. mossambicus* may become infested with the parasite although it appears as if larger fish (total length longer than 20 cm) may be more vulnerable to infestation. No significant seasonal variations in infestation, nor any prevalence amongst different sexes, were observed.

In Middle Letaba Dam it was established that members of the Ardeidae, Phalacrocoracidae and Anhingidae act as final hosts for the adults of *E. heterostomum* (Table 5), the latter firmly attached to the lining of the buccal cavity and oesophagus.

Except for *P. africanus* with a prevalence of 30 %, all the other hosts demonstrated higher prevalence values ranging from 50–100 % with intensity values ranging from 1–21. White-breasted cormorants, *Phalacrocorax lucidus* (Lichtenstein), and *A. rufa* seem to be the major definitive hosts, but, *A. cinerea*, black-headed herons, *Ardea melanocephala* (Anon), and purple herons, *Ardea purpurea* Linnaeus, may well prove to be equally or even more important, pending the examination of larger numbers of hosts.

Although clinostomid metacercarial cysts attain a relatively large size, and notwithstanding the relatively high infestation values, it does not appear as if these parasites cause any deleterious affects to semi-adult and/or adult hosts. This observation is supported by Paperna (1980). In fingerlings and

TABLE 8 Seasonal analysis per length group (TL, cm) of *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Peters) for *Euclinostomum heterostomum* (Rudolphi) infestations in Middle Letaba Dam

Season	< 10 cm	10.1–20 cm	20.1–30 cm	> 30 cm	All size groups
<b>Summer</b>					
Number of hosts examined	39	24	23	1	87
Prevalence of infestation	12.8	33.3	56.5	100	31
Intensity of infestation	1	1–9	1–8	5	1–9
Mean intensity of infestation	1	2.6	2.2	5	2.2
Number of parasites collected	5	21	29	5	60
<b>Autumn</b>					
Number of hosts examined	2	10	3	–	15
Prevalence of infestation	–	20	–	–	13.3
Intensity of infestation	–	1–2	–	–	1–4
Mean intensity of infestation	–	1.5	–	–	1.5
Number of parasites collected	–	3	–	–	3
<b>Winter</b>					
Number of hosts examined	11	4	25	–	40
Prevalence of infestation	9.1	–	36	–	25
Intensity of infestation	1	–	1–4	–	1–4
Mean intensity of infestation	1	–	2.1	–	2
Number of parasites collected	1	–	19	–	20
<b>Spring</b>					
Number of hosts examined	18	14	3	–	35
Prevalence of infestation	5.6	28.6	–	–	14.3
Intensity of infestation	1	1–6	–	–	1–6
Mean intensity of infestation	1	3	–	–	2.6
Number of parasites collected	1	12	–	–	13
<b>All seasons</b>					
Number of hosts examined	70	52	54	1	177
Prevalence of infestation	10	26.9	43.1	25	24.9
Intensity of infestation	1	1–9	1–8	1	1–9
Mean intensity of infestation	1	2.6	2.2	1	2.2
Number of parasites collected	7	36	48	5	96

smaller fish species, however, severe infestations are likely to be detrimental. Paperna (1980) reported mortalities amongst very young (40–60 mm) *O. mossambicus*, even with intensity values of as low as 3–5 worms. During the present survey a number of juvenile specimens of *O. mossambicus* infested with *E. heterostomum* showed definite signs of locomotory impairment. Severe infestation with *N. intermedialis* is also likely to cause respiratory inhibition.

Britz, Saayman & Van As (1984) and Britz, Van As & Saayman (1984) reported considerable physical and histological damage to the oesophageal mucosa of avian hosts infested with clinostomid worms. This supports earlier reports from Baugh & Pandey (1969) and Ukoli (1970). The latter author observed that if worms are removed from the oesophageal region of the host, part of the host tissue is torn away, leaving a deep lesion which bleeds freely. The study at Middle Letaba Dam confirmed these observations in almost all infested avian hosts.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the Department of Development Aid, Premier Food Industries Ltd. and the Research Development and Administration of the University of Limpopo for financial assistance. We also thank the (then) Gazankulu Government for their involvement and support and the Department of Biodiversity, University of Limpopo for infrastructure and technical support.

## REFERENCES

- ALLANSON, R.B. & JACKSON, P.B.N. 1983. *Limnology and fisheries potential of Lake PK le Roux*. Pretoria: CSIR (South African National Scientific Programmes. Report no. 77).
- BAUGH, C.H. & PANDEY, K.C. 1969. Studies on clinostome metacercariae. I. A restudy of *Clinostomum giganticum*. *Angewandte Parasitologie*, 10:211–223.
- BRAGG, R.R. 1991. Health status of salmonids in river systems in Natal. I. Collection of fish and parasitological examination. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 58:59–62.
- BRITZ, J., SAAYMAN, J.E. & VAN AS, J.G. 1984. Notes on the morphology of the adult and metacercaria of *Clinostomum tilapiae* Ukoli, 1966 (Trematoda: Clinostomatidae). *Journal of Wildlife Research*, 14:69–72.
- BRITZ, J., VAN AS, J.G. & SAAYMAN, J.E. 1984. Anatomy of the metacercaria and adults of *Euclinostomum heterostomum* (Rudolphi, 1809) (Trematoda: Clinostomatidae). *South African Journal of Zoology*, 19:91–96.
- CHRISTISON, K.W., SHINN, A.P. & VAN AS, J.G. 2005. *Gyrodactylus thlapi* n.sp. (Monogenea) from *Pseudocrenilabrus philander philander* (Weber) (Cichlidae) in the Okavango Delta, Botswana. *Systematic Parasitology*, 60:165–173.
- GRAM, D. 1980. Hidden elements in the development and implementation of marine resource conservation policy. The case of South West Africa/Namibian fisheries, in *Resource management and environmental uncertainty*, edited by M.H. Glantz. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- ERGENS, R. 1973. Two new species of *Gyrodactylus* from *Clarias lazera* (Vermes, Trematoda, Monogeneoidea). *Revue de Zoologie et de Botanique Africaines*, 87:77–80.
- FEIZULLAEV, N.A. & MIRZOEVA, S.S. 1983. Revision of the superfamily Clinostomoidae and analysis of its system. *Parasitologia (Lenin)*, 17:3–11.
- GAIGHER, I.G. 1973. The habitat preferences of fishes from the Limpopo River System, Transvaal and Mozambique. *Koedoe*, 16:103–116.
- GAIGHER, I.G. & MCPOTT, C. 1973. Distribution of fishes in southern Africa. *South African Journal of Science*, 69:25–27.
- GROBLER, J.P. & MOKGALONG, N.M. 2002. Patterns of genetic heterogeneity in *Neutraclinostomum intermedialis* (Digenea: Clinostomatidae): geographical and temporal considerations. *African Zoology*, 37:55–60.
- GROBLER, J.P., MOKGALONG, N.M. & SAAYMAN, J.E. 1999. Genetic divergence between two clinostomatid fish endoparasites, inferred from allozyme and RAPD data. *South African Journal of Zoology*, 34:135–139.
- HECHT, T. 1985. Recent developments in aquaculture in South Africa: the sharptooth catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*, in *Aquaculture in South Africa*, edited by T. Hecht, M. Bruton & O. Safriel. Pretoria: CSIR (Foundation for Research Development. Report no. 1)
- HECHT, T., UYS, W. & BRITZ, P.J. 1988. *The culture of the sharptooth catfish, Clarias gariepinus*. Pretoria: CSIR (South African National Scientific Programmes. Report no. 153).
- HOCKEY, P.A.R., DEAN, W.R.J. & RYAN, P.G. 2005. *Robert's birds of southern Africa*, 7<sup>th</sup> ed. Cape Town: John Voelcker Bird Book Fund.
- KHALIL, L.F. & MASHEGO, S.N. 1998. The African monogenean gyrodactylid genus *Macroglyrodactylus* Malberg, 1957, and the reporting of three species of the genus on *Clarias gariepinus* in South Africa. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 65:223–231.
- KHALIL, L.F. & POLLING, L. 1997. *Checklist of the helminth parasites of African fresh water fishes*. Pietersburg: Department of Zoology and Biology, University of the North.
- LOMBARD, G.L. 1968. A survey of fish diseases and parasites encountered in Transvaal. *Newsletter of the Limnological Society of South Africa*, 11:23–29.
- LUUS-POWELL, W.J., MASHEGO, S.N. & KHALIL, L.F. 2003. *Mormyroglyrodactylus gemini* gen. et sp. n. (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae), a new gyrodactylid from *Marcusenius macrolepidotus* (Mormyridae) from South Africa. *Folia Parasitologica*, 50:49–55.
- MASHEGO, S.N. 1983. South African monogenetic parasites of the genus *Dactylogyrus*: new species and records (Dactylogyridae: Monogenea). *Annals of the Transvaal Museum*, 33: 337–346.
- MASHEGO, S.N. 2000. Occurrence of *Neodiplozoon polycotyleus* Paperna, 1973 (Diplozoidae: Monogenea) in cyprinid fish in South Africa. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 67:153–154.
- MOKGALONG, N.M. 1996. A study of the gastrointestinal helminthes of the Phalacrocoracidae and the Anhingidae of the Northern Province, South Africa. Ph.D. thesis, University of the North.

- NOBLE, R.G. & HEMENS, J. 1978. *Inland water ecosystems in South Africa—a review of research needs*. Pretoria: CSIR (South African National Scientific Programmes. Report no. 34).
- OLIVIER, P.A.S., KRUGER, E.J., VAN DER WAAL, B.C.W., VILJOEN, C., VILJOEN, J.H. & POLLING, L. 1986. *Survey of the distribution of fish in the catchment area of Middle Letaba Dam. Final report*. Pretoria: Department of Development Aid.
- OLIVIER, P.A.S., SAAYMAN, J.E. & POLLING, L. 1991. Seasonality and abundance of waterbirds of the Middle Letaba Dam, in *A post-impoundment ecological study of the Middle Letaba Dam, Gazankulu, with special reference to its fish production potential*, edited by J.E. Saayman & H.J. Schoonbee. Sovenga, Pietersburg: University of the North.
- PAPERNA, I. 1980. *Parasites, infections and diseases of fish in Africa*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (CIFA Technical Paper, no. 7).
- POLLING, L., MOKGALONG, N.M. & SAAYMAN, J.E. 1983. *The fishes of the Limpopo and Olifants River tributaries (Limpopo drainage basin). Part V. Annotated checklist of the fishes of the Luvuvhu sub-system, Limpopo River system* (University of the North Series A, 30:1–13).
- ROODE, M.C. 1978. Harvesting of freshwater fish from natural resources. A marketing experiment conducted in the Transkei. *Fish Farmer*, 20:2–12.
- RÓZSA, L., REICZIGEL, J. & MAJOROS, G. 2000. Quantifying parasites in samples of hosts. *Journal of Parasitology*, 86: 228–232.
- SAAYMAN, J.E. 1984. Supportive biological disciplines for aquaculture development with special reference to aquaparasitology. *Fish Farmer*, 34:9–10.
- SAAYMAN, J.E. 1986. *The limnology of waterbodies of National States of North-east Transvaal. Final report*. Pretoria: Department of Development Aid.
- SAFRIEL, O. & BRUTON, M.N. 1984. *Aquaculture in South Africa: A cooperative research programme*. Pretoria: CSIR (South African National Scientific Programmes. Report no. 89).
- SKELTON, P. 2001. *A complete guide to the freshwater fishes of southern Africa*. Cape Town: Struik Publishers.
- UKOLI, F.M.A. 1970. On the adhesive mechanisms of *Apharyngostrigea* (*Apharyngostrigea*) *simplex* (Johnston, 1904) and *Clinostomum tilapiae* (Ukoli, 1966). *Nigerean Journal of Science*, 4:77–88.