Plant nematodes in South Africa. 12. Checklist of plant nematodes of the protected areas of the Eastern Cape Province

Soil-inhabiting nematodes, including plant-parasitic nematodes, are considered to be the most abundant multicellular organisms in the soil, and of particular interest since they are an integral part of the interlocking chain of nutrient conversions. Because of their abundance and relative susceptibility to both physical and chemical changes, these organisms are used as indicator organisms. The National Collection of Nematodes (NCN) consists of a core collection, the Meloidogyne Collection and the Juan Heyns Collection, which are housed at the Plant Protection Research Institute of the Agricultural Research Council in Pretoria. Vast amounts of biodiversity data are contained in NCN, and the digitising of the collection from 2007 to 2014 yielded unpublished locality information, especially datasets of plant nematodes reported from protected areas of the Eastern Cape. Two hundred and thirty plant nematode species belonging to 36 genera were reported from the Eastern Cape. Of these, only 80 were from protected areas, whilst 163 were from uncultivated areas (outside protected areas) and 148 from cultivated areas. Ten species were described from protected areas, namely Criconemoides silvicola, Meloinema silvicola, Ogma tuberculatum, Paralongidorus cebensis, Paralongidorus hihiiae, Scutellonema tsitsikamense, Trichodorus vandenbergae, Xiphinema erriae, Xiphinema ornatizulu and Xiphinema simplex. Only M. silvicola, O. tuberculatum, P. cebensis and S. tsitsikamense were not reported from other provinces, suggesting endemism.

Conservation implications: The diversity of nematode fauna is not adequately protected as most nematode biodiversity in the Eastern Cape lies outside protected areas, with only 80 of the 230 plant-feeding nematode species in the province being reported from protected areas.

Introduction

The National Collection of Nematodes (NCN), one of the four national collections housed in the Biosystematics Programme of the Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Council, Pretoria, was founded in the mid-1950s, with the first type specimens deposited in 1958. The National Collection of Nematodes consists of a core collection, the Meloidogyne Collection and the Juan Heyns Collection. The National Collection of Nematodes currently consists of 180 000 specimens, of which 7140 are type specimens, mostly from southern Africa, but also from such diverse localities as Antarctica and the Amazon.

The type specimens of 510 species are currently deposited in NCN and we now know that 455 plant nematode or plant-feeding nematode species are reported from South Africa. The South African Plant-Parasitic Nematode Survey (SAPPNS) programme was initiated in 1987 to produce a comprehensive assessment of the nematode biodiversity resources of South Africa. One of the four objectives of SAPPNS is to compile an inventory of the plant nematodes of South Africa (Marais 2006). As part of this initiative, surveys were undertaken in various areas, including some of the protected areas of the Eastern Cape, in order to establish the incidence and distribution of plant nematodes in these areas (Van den Berg 1996). In this article, plant nematodes are seen as nematodes that feed on plants and therefore always have a tylenchoid stomatostyle, dorylaimoid odontostyle or trichodorid onchiostyle. This includes nematodes that have an ectoparasitic, endoparasitic or semi-endoparasitic life cycle (Yeates et al. 1993).

As recommended in the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, locality data and host plant data are usually published as part of species descriptions. Apart from a checklist of the Tsitsikamma National Park (Van den Berg 1996), the nematode biodiversity information of the Eastern Cape had not been consolidated into a single publication. During the digitising of the specimens of NCN, a number of unpublished records of plant nematodes reported from protected areas in the Eastern Cape were discovered.
Materials and methods

The datasets reflected in the checklist consist of nematodes collected by various nematologists over a period of 30 years. In some cases the collection and extraction methods are not known. The standard procedure of NCN is that soil samples are taken with a garden trowel, spade or soil auger, depending on the terrain in the rhizosphere of the plant. The plants are collected at the same time and the soil and accompanying plant are sealed in plastic bags, placed in a cool box containing ice bricks and transported to the laboratory of NCN where the samples are stored in a cold room at 12 °C. The relevant collection data, including locality, habitat, substrate, moisture regime, soil type, exposure slope, aspect and host plant, are noted in the field.

The nematodes are extracted from the soil using the sugar centrifugal-flotation method (Jenkins 1964). Specimens are killed in water by the gradual application of heat, then fixed and preserved in distilled water, 4% formaldehyde and 1% propionic acid (known as FPG), dehydrated to anhydrous glycerine and permanently mounted in anhydrous glycerine on Cobb aluminium slides (Hooper & Evans 1993; Netscher & Seinhorst 1969). Nematodes are extracted from plant material using the method described by Kleyhans (1997), and are killed, preserved and mounted in the same way as the nematodes extracted from soil.


Results and discussion

The checklist reflects specimens collected between 1968 and 1998 from seven protected areas in the Eastern Cape. The SAPPNS database contains 311 records of nematodes sampled in uncultivated areas in the Eastern Cape, of which 98 records are from localities in protected areas (Online Appendix 1). The database also contains 505 records of localities sampled in cultivated areas, which include crop fields, plantations, gardens and sports fields. It was found that 80 plant nematode species were reported from protected areas (Table 1), 163 species from uncultivated areas (outside protected areas) and 150 species from cultivated areas.

In total, 230 (51%) of the 455 currently known plant nematode species from South Africa have been reported from the Eastern Cape. Of these, 29 species were described from the province; 10 of these were described from the protected areas in the province: Criconemoides silvicola Van den Berg 1996, Meloinema silvicola Kleyhans 1988, Ogmata tuberculatum Van den Berg 1996, Paralongidorus cebensis (Heyns & Coomans 1989) Escuer & Arias 1997, Paralongidorus haniae Liebenberg, Heyns & Swart 1993, Scutellonema tsitsikamense Van den Berg 1976, Trichodorus vandenbergae De Waele & Kilian 1992, Xiphinema erriae Hutsebaut, Heyns & Coomans 1988, Xiphinema ornatzulu Hutsebaut, Heyns & Coomans 1989 and Xiphinema simplex Hutsebaut, Heyns & Coomans 1989. Only M. silvicola, O. tuberculatum, P. cebensis and S. tsitsikamense were not reported from other provinces, suggesting endemism.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Genera</th>
<th>Eastern Cape</th>
<th>Protected areas</th>
<th>Uncultivated areas (excluding protected areas)</th>
<th>Cultivated areas (crops, plantations, sports fields)</th>
<th>Species described from Eastern Cape outside protected areas</th>
<th>Species described from Eastern Cape protected areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anguinidae</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolichodoridae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belonolaimidae</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pratylenchidae</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoploaimidae</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heteroderidae</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criconematidae</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tylencehorychnida</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichodoridae</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longidoridae</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of records in SAPPNS database</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of plant nematode species</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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