Infiltrative angiolipoma of the parotid salivary gland in a dog
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ABSTRACT
Solitary benign angiolipoma and infiltrative angiolipoma are rare tumours in dogs. Angiolipomata can be distinguished histologically from lipomata by the large number of tightly packed blood vessels seen between the adipocytes with multiple fibrin thrombi occupying some of the vessels’ lumens. The dog presented with a solitary slow-growing mass in the cervical region. Histopathology revealed multifocal to coalescing single or clusters of blood-filled vessels lined by flattened endothelial cells with narrow, elongated, basophilic nuclei. These regions were embedded in adipose tissue with multifocal areas of intervascular remnants of differentiated serous salivary glandular tissue with multifocal small ducts. Fibrin thrombi occupied a few of the vessel lumens. A histological diagnosis of infiltrative angiolipoma was made. On computed tomography, the mass was bilobed with a suspected primary component involving the right parotid gland which was grossly enlarged. The mass had a slightly hypodense nodule to lobulated appearance with a few hyperattenuating mineralised specks throughout. Hounsfield units of the mass ranged between 40 and 45, which was less than the 60–65 of the contralateral salivary glands and cranial musculature. Post contrast images showed no contrast enhancement of 90% of the mass with only a band of peripheral contrast uptake of the affected lateral lobe.
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INTRODUCTION
According to the World Health Organisation (WHO) classification of mesenchymal soft tissue tumours in domestic animals, benign tumours originating from adipose tissue can be divided into lipomata (with a sub classification of infiltrative lipomata) and angiolipomata. In contrast to domestic animals, 14 types of benign tumours of adipose tissue are recognised in humans. Lipomata consist of large adipocytes that are tightly packed and contain eccentric, dense nuclei and account for 7.1% of all cutaneous tumours of non-lymphoid origin and occur in 16% of all dogs.

Angiolipomata reported in dogs include 2 variants and are classified as infiltrative or non-infiltrative, as is done for lipomata. Angiolipomata, infiltrating angiolipomata and infiltrating lipomata are far less common than lipomata in veterinary medicine and canine infiltrating angiolipomata have only been reported twice previously. The solitary benign angiolipomata are commonly found in the subcutaneous tissue of the trunk. This report documents a case of infiltrating angiolipoma of the parotid salivary gland in a dog.

CASE HISTORY
A 6-year-old, 43.5 kg, spayed Boerboel bitch presented with a palpably firm, well circumscribed oval mass, attached to the underlying structures, on the right side of the neck, just caudal to the angle of the mandible and ventral to the ear. The mass measured 10 × 5 × 5 cm and was reported to have been growing slowly over approximately 18 months. Clinical examination revealed a healthy dog with no detectable abnormalities. Fine-needle aspirates were taken from the mass and yielded blood-diluted samples with inconclusive cytological findings. Ultrasound examination of the cervical mass was done using a Sonoline Omnia ultrasound machine (Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany) with a multifrequency curvilinear array transducer operated at 7.5 MHz. The mass appeared well marginated, bilobed and hyperechoic with a slightly motled echotexture. The caudolateral lobe measured 60 × 17 mm and the cranio medial lobe 46 × 17 mm. The latter was located just caudal to the horizontal ear canal. A provisional ultrasonographic diagnosis of salivary gland infection and reactive lymphadenopathy was made.

No abnormalities were detected on thoracic radiographs taken for evaluation of lung metastases. This did not, however, rule out metastatic nodules, which are more readily detected by computed tomography (CT). An incisional biopsy was taken from the mass. No infiltration of the mass was seen macroscopically in the skin and subcutaneous tissues. The mass was covered by a thin fibrous pseudocapsule on which multiple small (1 × 2 × 1 mm) islands of raised purplish tissue could be seen which were presumed to be tumour infiltration. The pseudocapsule was incised and a wedge biopsy taken, after which the capsule was sutured and the incision closed routinely. A minor amount of bleeding was present. A 3 × 4 × 2 cm haematoma developed ventral to the incision line following surgery, which resolved. The gross pathological appearance of the 1.5 × 1.0 × 0.5 cm formalin-fixed biopsy was mottled with small yellowish-white slightly raised areas (Fig. 1). Examination of haematoxylin & eosin-stained, routinely prepared sections by light microscopy revealed multifocal to coalescing single or clusters of blood-filled vessels lined by flattened endothelial cells with narrow, elongated, basophilic nuclei; however, occasional nuclei were slightly plump. These regions were surrounded by and embedded in adipose tissue and there was a thin intervascular fibrous connective tissue stroma. Within this region there were multifocal regions of intervascular remnants of differentiated.
serous salivary glandular tissue with multifocal small ducts (Fig. 2). Fibrin thrombi occupied a few of the vessel lumens (Fig. 3).

Blood oozed from the incision line starting 4 days after the biopsies were taken. The aetiology was uncertain but trauma to the area was suspected. Two weeks later the dog had a CT examination to evaluate potential thoracic metastasis and the extent of the mass and infiltration for surgical planning. At presentation the dog had a small 1 x 2 cm well granulating wound in the distal aspect of the original biopsy site. The dog was premedicated intravenously with morphine sulphate (Morphine sulphate Fresenius PF, Fresenius kabi, 10 mg/ml) at a dose of 0.2 mg/kg and diazepam (Pax, Aspen pharmacare, 10 mg/2 ml) at a dose of 0.4 mg/kg. Five minutes later the dog was induced with intravenous Propofol (Diprivan, AstraZeneca, 10 mg/ml) at a dose of 4 mg/kg and maintained under anaesthesia using isoflurane (Halocarbon, Halocarbon Products Corporation). The patient was placed symmetrically in sternal recumbency with her thoracic limbs pulled cranially. A CT was performed with an Emotion Duo helical dual slice CT machine (Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany). Non-contrast scans were performed on the thorax and head region followed by manual intravenous contrast administration (1 ml/kg Iohexal 300 mg/ml (Omnipaque 300, GE Healthcare)) as a bolus followed 5 minutes later by another head scan. The images were examined in bone, lung and soft tissue windows and were reconstructed into dorsal and sagittal planes. There was no evidence of thoracic or regional lymph node metastasis or underlying osseous changes. The mass was bilobed with a suspected primary component involving the right parotid gland which was grossly enlarged and measured 81 x 27 x 29 mm. Cranioventrally the mass extended medially to form another lobe measuring 56 x 31 x 49 mm medial to the mandibular salivary gland. The mass displaced the trachea and associated structures to the left and ipsilateral mandibular salivary gland to the right. The mass had a slightly hypo-attenuating mottled to lobulated appearance with a few hyperattenuating mineralised specks throughout. Ventrolaterally to the mass 2 small pockets of gas were seen. The mass appeared to involve the insertions of both the sternothyroideus and the sternohyoideus muscles. The Hounsfield units (HU) of the mass were in the range of 40–45, which was less than the 60–65 of the contralateral salivary glands and cranial musculature. Post contrast images of the mass...
made 5 minutes after contrast injection showed no contrast enhancement of 90% of the mass with only a band of contrast uptake on the ventral, lateral and dorsal aspect of the affected lateral lobe (Fig. 4 a,b). This appeared to be consistent with normal salivary tissue as the normal salivary glands uptake had a similar HU.

The owner declined further surgery. However, 14 months after diagnosis the dog is reported to be doing well although the mass has increased in size by approximately 30%.

DISCUSSION

Lipomata are frequently seen in small animals; female dogs and entire male cats seem to be predisposed. Tumours of adipose tissue can be divided into infiltrative lipomata, angiolipomata and liposarcoma. Recently other benign tumours of adipose tissue, such as chondrolipoma, osteolipoma, fibrolipoma, and angiolipoleiomyoma have been described in dogs and cats. Chondrolipomata and osteolipomata are thought to be related to metaplastic differentiation of a normal lipoma with the aetiology thought to be related to local trauma, normal mesenchymal reactivity and close association with periosteum and joints. Angiolipomata are broadly classified as either infiltrative or solitary and appear to be rare tumours in dogs. To the authors’ knowledge, only 2 cases of canine infiltrative angiolipomata have previously been described. Histological examination of these tumours is necessary for a definitive diagnosis.

Infiltrative angiolipomata, like infiltrative lipomata, are locally aggressive as seen in the case reported here. The authors believe that the slow-growing nature of angiolipomata and the apparent lack of propensity to metastasise make debulking the masses a good treatment option. Solitary benign angiolipomata, on the other hand, respond well to surgical excision and have a low propensity for local recurrence.

In this case, an infiltrative angiolipoma occurred in the parotid salivary gland and although large, the mass had much the same shape as the parotid salivary gland. Grossly the tumour was covered by a thin pseudocapsule. On CT, the mass appeared to have broken through the pseudocapsule ventromedially to extend medially and form an additional neoplastic mass medial to the mandibular salivary gland. The HUs of the mass was slightly less than the normal soft tissues due to the lipomatous infiltration. Fat has a HU of about –80 to –100 and in infiltrating lipomata the tissue has a marked hypoattenuating appearance. In this case, the lack of marked hypoattenuation implies that other soft tissues were combined with the fat as can be seen in the histopathological sections. Computed tomography allowed good delineation of the tumour as reported previously in studies of infiltrative lipomata. Hyperattenuating areas visible on the periphery of infiltrating lipomata after the administration of contrast can occur post-surgery. In this case, an incisional biopsy was taken 2 weeks prior to the CT examination and could have contributed to the ventrolateral rim hyperattenuation. However, the dorsal rim was far away from the surgical site and it is believed that the hyperattenuating rim was just remnant non-aFFECTed parotid tissue. The ventrolateral gas accumulation was due to the earlier surgical wound breakdown.

Minimal bleeding from the mass was noted when the tumour was incised. However, the haematoma that formed after biopsy may indicate that more persistent low-level bleeding may be a potential problem. The authors found it unusual that the mass was not enhanced after biopsy may indicate that more persistent low-level bleeding may be a potential problem. The authors found it unusual that the mass was not enhanced after contrast administration. The authors found it unusual that the mass was not enhanced after contrast administration. The authors found it unusual that the mass was not enhanced after contrast administration. The authors found it unusual that the mass was not enhanced after contrast administration. The authors found it unusual that the mass was not enhanced after contrast administration. The authors found it unusual that the mass was not enhanced after contrast administration. The authors found it unusual that the mass was not enhanced after contrast administration. The authors found it unusual that the mass was not enhanced after contrast administration. The authors found it unusual that the mass was not enhanced after contrast administration. The authors found it unusual that the mass was not enhanced after contrast administration. The authors found it unusual that the mass was not enhanced after contrast administration. The authors found it unusual that the mass was not enhanced after contrast administration.
pressure on surrounding structures may cause pain, interference of movement, pressure atrophy and discomfort as seen with infiltrative lipomata. Surgery can be used as the sole method of treatment, but other alternatives like external beam radiation could potentially be employed alone or in combination with surgery for local tumour control as is done with infiltrative lipomata. In humans, hamartomatous angiolipoma and angiolipoma of the parotid salivary gland have been reported but no case report exists for their infiltration into the parotid salivary gland in dogs.

CONCLUSION
Although rare, it is important to consider angiolipomata as a differential diagnosis for subcutaneous nodules/masses. They can be difficult to diagnose with fine needle aspirates but biopsy gives the definitive diagnosis. This is particularly true when trying to differentiate sparsely vascularised lipomata from angio-true when trying to differentiate sparsely vascularised lipomata from angio-
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